

ON CERTAIN CRITERIA FOR THE LEFT-PRIMENESS OF ENTIRE FUNCTIONS, II

BY MITSURU OZAWA

1. Introduction. In our previous paper [5] we had proved two general theorems guaranteeing the left-primeness of entire functions. The first one may be stated in the following manner :

THEOREM A. *Let $F(z)$ be an entire function of finite order whose derivative $F'(z)$ has infinitely many zeros. Assume that the equations $F(z)=c$ and $F'(z)=0$ have only finitely many common roots for any constant c . Then $F(z)$ is left-prime in entire sense.*

Although this has a wide range of applicability, there are lots of defects, for example, this does not work to the function $z \sin z + z$. The function has infinitely many double zeros and hence $F(z)=0$ and $F'(z)=0$ have infinitely many common roots. We shall now fill up this kind of defect. Our theorems are the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let $F(z)$ be an entire function of finite order. Assume that for a certain constant A $F(z)=A$ has at least one but at most finitely many simple roots and has infinitely many multiple roots all of whose multiplicities are the same. Assume further that the equations $F(z)=c$, $F'(z)=0$ have only a finite number of common roots for any $c \neq A$. Then $F(z)$ is left-prime in entire sense.*

THEOREM 2. *Let $F(z)$ be such an entire function that for a constant A $F(z)=A$ has at least one but at most finitely many simple roots and has infinitely many multiple roots all of whose multiplicities are the same. Assume that*

$$N(r, A, F) - \bar{N}(r, A, F) \geq Km(r, F)$$

and

$$N(r, 0, F') - (N(r, A, F) - \bar{N}(r, A, F)) \geq km(r, F)$$

for some $K, k > 0$. Assume further that $F(z)=c$, $F'(z)=0$ have only a finite number of common roots for any $c \neq A$. Then $F(z)$ is left-prime in entire sense.

Firstly we should remark that any entire function has neither three perfectly branched values nor a finite Picard exceptional value and a perfectly branched value. Here we call a a perfectly branched value of $F(z)$ when $F(z)=a$ has

Received Jan. 15, 1974.

infinitely many multiple roots except for a finite number of simple roots. We shall make use of the above fact repeatedly.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. Suppose that $F(z)=f(g(z))$ with transcendental f and g . Then by Pólya's result $\rho(f)=0$, where $\rho(f)$ indicates the order of f . Assume that $f(w)-A$ has three simple zeros w_1, w_2, w_3 . Then $g(z)=w$, should have only finitely many simple roots by the finiteness of simple zeros of $F(z)-A$. This is impossible. Further at least one zero of $f(w)-A$ should be simple, since $F(z)-A$ has at least one simple zero. Hence there occur three possibilities:

- 1) $f(w)-A=B(w-w_1)M(w)^\mu$,
- 2) $f(w)-A=B(w-w_1)(w-w_2)^\lambda M(w)^\mu$, $\lambda \geq 2$,
- 3) $f(w)-A=B(w-w_1)(w-w_2)M(w)^\mu$,

where $M(w)$ has infinitely many simple zeros and $\mu \neq \lambda$. Here μ is the order of multiple zeros of $F(z)-A$. In what follows we shall prove the existence of a w_0 for which $f'(w_0)=0$ but $f(w_0) \neq A$. Suppose this is not the case. Then any zero of $f'(w)$ satisfies $f(w)=A$ and every multiple root of $f(w)=A$ satisfies $f'(w)=0$.

The case 1). In this case

$$\frac{f'^\mu}{(f-A)^{\mu-1}} = \frac{1}{B^{\mu-1}(w-w_1)^{\mu-1}}.$$

By integration of this equation we have

$$\mu(f-A)^{1/\mu} = B^{(1-\mu)/\mu} \mu [(w-w_1)^{1/\mu} - d].$$

We put $w=w_1$. Then $f(w_1)=A$ implies $d=0$. Thus

$$f-A = B^{(1-\mu)}(w-w_1).$$

This is impossible.

The case 2). In this case $(g(z)-w_2)^\lambda$ has infinitely many zeros, whose orders should be equal to μ . Hence $\lambda s = \mu$, $s \geq 2$. Then consider

$$\frac{f'^\mu}{(f-A)^{\mu-1}} = \frac{1}{B^{\mu-1}(w-w_1)^{\mu-1}(w-w_2)^{\mu-\lambda}}.$$

Integrating this equation we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(f-A)^{1/\mu} &= B^{(1-\mu)/\mu} \int \frac{dw}{(w-w_1)^{1-1/\mu}(w-w_2)^{1-\lambda/\mu}} \\ &= B^{(1-\mu)/\mu} (L(w) - d). \end{aligned}$$

We put $w=w_1$ or $w=w_2$. Then $d=L(w_1)=L(w_2)$. Thus $L(w_2)-L(w_1)=0$. However

$$L(w_2) - L(w_1) = \int_{w_1}^{w_2} \frac{dw}{(w-w_1)^{1-1/\mu}(w-w_2)^{1-\lambda/\mu}}$$

$$= \frac{1}{(-1)^{1-\lambda/\mu}(w_2-w_1)^{1-1/\mu-\lambda/\mu}} \int_0^1 \frac{dW}{W^{1-1/\mu}(1-W)^{1-\lambda/\mu}} \\ \neq 0.$$

This is a contradiction.

The case 3). Consider

$$\frac{f'^\mu}{(f-A)^{\mu-1}} = \frac{1}{B^{\mu-1}\{(w-w_1)(w-w_2)\}^{\mu-1}}.$$

Similarly as in the case 2) we have

$$0 = L(w_2) - L(w_1) \\ = \int_{w_1}^{w_2} \frac{dw}{\{(w-w_1)(w-w_2)\}^{1-1/\mu}} \\ = \frac{1}{(-1)^{1-1/\mu}(w_2-w_1)^{1-2/\mu}} \int_0^1 \frac{dW}{\{W(1-W)\}^{1-1/\mu}} \\ \neq 0.$$

This is untenable.

Hence in all the cases there exists a w_0 such that $f'(w_0)=0$ but $f(w_0) \neq A$. Then consider $g(z)=w_0$. At all the roots of $g(z)=w_0$ $F(z)=f(w_0) \neq A$ and $F'(z)=0$, which have only a finite number of common roots. Hence $g(z)=w_0$ has only finitely many roots, that is, w_0 is a Picard exceptional value of g . However g has already at least one perfectly branched value w_1 . This is impossible. Therefore $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime in entire sense.

Suppose that $F(z)=f(g(z))$ with a non-linear polynomial f and entire g . Then similarly as in the above we have three possibilities:

$$f-A = B(w-w_1)(w-w_2)^\mu \cdots (w-w_s)^\mu, \quad s \geq 2,$$

$$f-A = B(w-w_1)(w-w_2)^\lambda(w-w_3)^\mu \cdots (w-w_s)^\mu, \quad \lambda \geq 2, \quad s \geq 2$$

and

$$f-A = B(w-w_1)(w-w_2)(w-w_3)^\mu \cdots (w-w_s)^\mu, \quad s \geq 2.$$

We shall prove the existence of w_0 such that $f'(w_0)=0$ but $f(w_0) \neq A$. In each case we put x the number of roots of $f'=0$ other than the ones satisfying $f(w)=A$. We compute the degree of f' in two manners. Then we have

$$\mu(s-1) = (\mu-1)(s-1) + x$$

in the first case,

$$\mu(s-2) + \lambda = (\mu-1)(s-2) + \lambda - 1 + x$$

in the second case and

$$\mu(s-2)+1=(\mu-1)(s-2)+x$$

in the third case. In every case we have

$$x=s-1 \geq 1.$$

This gives the desired result. Then considering $g(z)=w_0$ and hence the equations $F(z)=f(w_0) \neq A$, $F'(z)=0$ and remarking the existence of a perfectly branched value w_1 of g we have a contradiction. q. e. d.

We can release our assumptions on the roots of $F(z)=A$ in the following manner: $F(z)-A=B(z-z_1)^{\lambda_1} \cdots (z-z_s)^{\lambda_s} L(z)^\mu$ for a certain A , where λ_j and μ are coprime for each j ($1 \leq j \leq s$), $s \geq 1$ and $L(z)$ has only infinitely many simple zeros.

3. Proof of Theorem 2. Suppose that $F=f(g)$ with transcendental f and g . Then we have again three possibilities:

- 1) $f(w)-A=B(w-w_1)M(w)^\mu$,
- 2) $f(w)-A=B(w-w_1)(w-w_2)^\lambda M(w)^\mu$, $\lambda \geq 2$

and

- 3) $f(w)-A=B(w-w_1)(w-w_2)M(w)^\mu$.

Here $M(w)$ is transcendental entire. In all the cases we shall firstly prove the existence of infinitely many zeros of $M(w)$. In the case 1)

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, A, F) &\leq N(r, w_1, g) + \mu \sum_{j=2}^p N(r, w_j, g) \\ &\leq (\mu p - \mu + 1)m(r, g). \end{aligned}$$

However

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, A, F) - \bar{N}(r, A, F) &\geq Km(r, F) \\ &\geq Ksm(r, g)(1-\varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in E_g$, which is of finite measure. This gives a contradiction, since s is arbitrary. Hence $M(w)$ has infinitely many simple zeros in this case. Quite similarly we can prove the existence of infinitely many (simple) zeros of $M(w)$ in the remaining two cases.

Next we shall prove the existence of at least one w_0 , for which $f'(w_0)=0$ but $f(w_0) \neq A$. Suppose this is not the case. Then in the case 1)

$$N(r, 0, f'(g)) = N(r, A, F(g)) - \bar{N}(r, A, f(g)).$$

Therefore for $r \in E_g$

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 0, F') &= N(r, 0, f'(g)) + N(r, 0, g') \\ &\leq N(r, A, F) - \bar{N}(r, A, F) + N(r, 0, g') \\ &\leq N(r, 0, F') - km(r, F) + m(r, g)(1+\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$km(r, F) \leq m(r, g)(1 + \varepsilon).$$

However

$$km(r, F) \geq ksm(r, g)(1 - \varepsilon)$$

for $r \in E_g$. Hence

$$ks \leq 1,$$

which is impossible, since s is arbitrary. This gives the desired existence of w_0 , for which $f'(w_0) = 0$ but $f(w_0) \neq A$ in the case 1). Similarly we can prove the desired existence of w_0 in the remaining two cases. Once the existence of w_0 such that $f'(w_0) = 0$, $f(w_0) \neq A$ is ascertained, the remaining part of the proof is quite similar as in Theorem 1. Then we have the pseudo-primeness of F in entire sense. If $F(z) = f(g(z))$ with a non-linear polynomial f and entire g , we can prove the existence of $w_0 : f'(w_0) = 0$, $f(w_0) \neq A$ as in Theorem 1 and then we have the left-primeness of F . q. e. d.

As in the case of Theorem 1 we can release the condition on the roots of $F = A$.

4. Applications.

COROLLARY 1. $P(z)(\sin z + 1)$ is prime, where $P(z)$ is a polynomial of odd degree.

Proof. Let A be zero. Then

$$F(z) = P(z) \left(\frac{e^{iz/2} + ie^{-iz/2}}{\sqrt{2i}} \right)^2.$$

Since $P(z)$ is of odd degree, there is at least one zero of P of odd multiplicity. Consider

$$F(z) = P(z)(\sin z + 1) = c \neq 0,$$

$$F'(z) = P'(z)(\sin z + 1) + P(z) \cos z = 0.$$

Then we have

$$2P(z)^3 = CP(z)^2 + CP'(z)^2.$$

This has only finitely many roots. Hence $F(z)$ is left-prime in entire sense.

Consider the distribution of zeros of $F(z) = f(g(z))$ with a non-linear polynomial g . Then g should be quadratic. Let $g(z)$ be $\alpha(z-a)^2 + b$. In the present case a should be either $2n\pi + 5\pi/2$ or $2n\pi + 3\pi/2$, where n is an integer. Hence with $x = z - a$

$$\begin{aligned} P(a+x)\{\sin(a+x)+1\} &= F(a+x) = f(\alpha x^2 + b) = f(\alpha(-x)^2 + b) \\ &= F(a-x) = P(a-x)\{\sin(a-x)+1\}. \end{aligned}$$

However $\sin(a+x)+1=\sin(a-x)+1$. Hence $P(a+x)=P(a-x)$. By comparing the leading coefficients of both sides we have a contradiction. Thus we have the right-primeness of F in entire sense. Hence F is prime by Gross' theorem [2]. q. e. d.

By the above proof we can say that $P(z)(\sin z+1)$ is prime if $P(z)$ does not have the form $Q(z)^2$ and does not satisfy $P(a-z)=P(a+z)$ for any $a=2n\pi+2\pi+\pi/2$ or $2n\pi+\pi+\pi/2$. These conditions are necessary as the following examples show :

$$z^2(\sin z+1)=\left(z\frac{e^{iz/2}+ie^{-iz/2}}{\sqrt{i2}}\right)^2.$$

$$z(z-\pi)(\sin z+1)=\left\{\left(w-\frac{\pi^2}{4}\right)(\cos\sqrt{w}+1)\right\}\circ\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-z\right)^2.$$

COROLLARY 2. $z(e^{\alpha z}+e^{\beta z})^2$ is prime if either $\alpha\beta\neq 0$, $\alpha\beta^{-1}$ is real or $\alpha=0$, $\beta\neq 0$ or $\alpha=\beta=0$.

Proof. We firstly consider the case $\alpha\neq\beta$, $\alpha\beta\neq 0$. Consider

$$F(z)=z(e^{\alpha z}+e^{\beta z})^2=c\neq 0,$$

$$F'(z)=\{(2z\alpha+1)e^{\alpha z}+(2z\beta+1)e^{\beta z}\}(e^{\alpha z}+e^{\beta z})=0.$$

Then

$$(\alpha-\beta)z=\log\frac{z+1/2\beta}{z+1/2\alpha}+\log\frac{\beta}{\alpha}+\pi i+2p\pi i$$

and

$$\alpha z=\log\frac{z+1/2\beta}{z}-\frac{1}{2}\log z+\log\frac{\beta\sqrt{c}}{\beta-\alpha}+2q\pi i.$$

Taking their real parts we have

$$\left(1-\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right)\Re(\alpha z)=\log\frac{|z+1/2\beta|}{|z+1/2\alpha|}+\log\left|\frac{\beta}{\alpha}\right|$$

and

$$\Re(\alpha z)=\log\frac{|z+1/2\beta|}{|z|}+\log\frac{|\beta\sqrt{c}|}{|\beta-\alpha|}-\frac{1}{2}\log|z|.$$

If $z\rightarrow\infty$, then $|\Re(\alpha z)|$ is bounded but by the second equation $\Re(\alpha z)\rightarrow-\infty$. This is impossible. Hence the equations $F=c\neq 0$, $F'=0$ have only finitely many common roots. Hence F is left-prime in entire sense.

We next consider the right-primeness. To this end we consider the distribution of zeros of F . The set of zeros of F is $\{0\}$ and $\{(2p-1)\pi i/(\alpha-\beta)\}$ $p=0, \pm 1, \dots$. The latter ones are of order 2. Hence $F(z)=f(g(z))$ with a polynomial g implies that g is of degree two or one. Since the set of zeros of F is symmetric with respect to the origin only, $g(z)$ must be az^2+b if $\deg g=2$. Then $F(-z)=F(z)$. This implies that

$$e^{-\alpha z} + e^{-\beta z} = +i(e^{\alpha z} + e^{\beta z}).$$

This is impossible by the impossibility of Borel's identity [1], [3]. Hence F is right-prime in entire sense. Hence F is prime.

Next we consider the case $\alpha = \beta \neq 0$. We may consider the function $ze^{\alpha z}$. This has 0 as a Picard exceptional value. Hence $ze^{\alpha z}$ is pseudo-prime [4]. Then the remaining part is almost trivial. The case $\alpha = \beta = 0$ is trivial.

If $\alpha = 0$, we consider

$$\begin{aligned} F &= z(e^{\beta z} + 1)^2 = c \neq 0, \\ F' &= \{2z(\beta e^{\beta z} + 1) + e^{\beta z} + 1\}(e^{\beta z} + 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

By cancelling out $e^{\beta z}$ we have

$$c(1 + 2\beta z)^2 = 4\beta^2 z^3,$$

which has only three roots. Hence F is left-prime in entire sense. The right-primeness is almost similarly proved as in the general case. Hence F is prime. q. e. d.

COROLLARY 3.

$$z\left(\int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt + z\right)^2$$

is prime.

Proof. It is necessary to prove that

$$\int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt + z$$

has only finitely many multiple zeros and infinitely many simple zeros. However this fact was proved already in [5]. Let us consider

$$\begin{aligned} F &= z\left(\int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt + z\right)^2 = c \neq 0, \\ F' &= \left(\int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt + z\right)^2 + 2z(e^{-z^2} + 1)\left(\int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt + z\right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt + z = \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{z}}.$$

Hence

$$e^{-z^2} = -\frac{2z\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{c}}{az\sqrt{z}},$$

which implies

$$-z^2 = \log \frac{2z\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{c}}{2z\sqrt{z}} + \pi i + 2p\pi i,$$

$$\Re(z^2) = -\log \frac{|z\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{c}/2|}{|z\sqrt{z}|}.$$

Let z be $re^{i\theta}$. Then if $z \rightarrow \infty$, $r^2 \cos 2\theta \rightarrow 0$. Therefore $\theta \rightarrow \pi/4, 3\pi/4, 5\pi/4$ or $7\pi/4$ if $r \rightarrow \infty$. We firstly consider the case $\theta \rightarrow \pi/4$. Then $r^2 \cos 2\theta \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ implies $r^2(\theta - \pi/4) \rightarrow 0$. In this case

$$\left| \int_{re^{i\pi/4}}^{re^{i\theta}} e^{-t^2} dt \right| \leq \left| \int_{\pi/4}^{\theta} r e^{-r^2 \cos 2\phi} d\phi \right| \leq 2r |\theta - \pi/4| \rightarrow 0 \quad (r \rightarrow \infty).$$

Further

$$\left| \int_0^{re^{i\pi/4}} e^{-t^2} dt \right| = \left| \int_0^r \cos s^2 ds - i \int_0^r \sin s^2 ds \right| \leq M.$$

Hence

$$\left| z - \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{z}} \right| \leq \left| \int_0^{re^{i\pi/4}} e^{-t^2} dt \right| + \left| \int_{re^{i\pi/4}}^{re^{i\theta}} e^{-t^2} dt \right|$$

implies that

$$\left| z - \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{z}} \right|$$

is bounded as $z \rightarrow \infty$ along the solutions of $e^{-z^2} = -(2z\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{c})/2z\sqrt{z}$ being near the ray $\theta = \pi/4$. This is impossible. The same holds in the other three cases. Hence F is left-prime in entire sense.

The proof for the right-primeness of F is quite similar as in the one of

$$\int_0^z e^{-t^2} dt + z$$

in [5]. We shall make use of the result in [5]. Firstly we have only one possibility for the right-factor, that is, g is a quadratic polynomial, if F is not right-prime. Then by the symmetry with respect to the origin $g(z)$ should be $\alpha z^2 + b$. Hence $F(z) = F(-z)$. However it is immediate to prove $F(-z) = -F(z)$. This is impossible. Hence F is right-prime. q. e. d.

COROLLARY 4. $z(e_2(z) - p(z))^2$ is prime, where $e_2(z) = \exp e^z$ and $p(z)$ is a non-zero polynomial.

Proof. Firstly it is necessary to prove that $e_2(z) - p(z)$ has only finitely many multiple zeros and infinitely many simple zeros. This was already proved in [5] for any non-constant $p(z)$. If $p(z)$ is a non-zero constant, this is almost trivial.

Since $e_2(z) - p(z)$ has only finitely many multiple zeros together with infinitely many simple zeros,

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 0, F) - \bar{N}(r, 0, F) &\geq N(r, 0, e_2(z) - p(z))(1 - \varepsilon) \\ &\geq m(r, e_2(z))(1 - \varepsilon) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} m(r, F)(1 - \varepsilon) \end{aligned}$$

for $r \in E_\psi$, which is of finite measure, and

$$\begin{aligned}
& N(r, 0, F') - N(r, 0, F) + \bar{N}(r, 0, F) \\
&= N(r, p + 2zp', (1 + 2ze^z)e_2(z)) \\
&\geq m(r, \phi)(1 - \varepsilon) \geq m(r, e_2(z))(1 - \varepsilon) \\
&\geq \frac{1}{2}m(r, F)(1 - \varepsilon)
\end{aligned}$$

for $r \in E_\phi$, which is of finite measure. Here

$$\psi = \frac{e_2(z)}{e_2(z) - p(z)}, \quad \phi = \frac{e_2(z)(1 + 2ze^z)}{e_2(z)(1 + 2ze^z) - p(z) - 2zp'(z)}.$$

Now let us consider

$$\begin{cases} F = z(e_2(z) - p(z))^2 = c \neq 0 \\ F' = \{(2ze^z + 1)e_2(z) - p(z) - 2zp'(z)\} \{e_2(z) - p(z)\} = 0. \end{cases}$$

We now assume that $p(z)$ is not a constant. Then

$$e_2(z) = p(z) + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{z}}$$

and

$$e^z = \frac{2z\sqrt{z}p'(z) - \sqrt{c}}{2z(\sqrt{c} + \sqrt{z}p(z))}.$$

Hence

$$\Re z = \log \left| \frac{2z\sqrt{z}p'(z) - \sqrt{c}}{2z(\sqrt{c} + \sqrt{z}p(z))} \right| \rightarrow -\infty$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. Putting $z = x + iy$ we have $x \rightarrow -\infty$. Further

$$e^x \cos y = \log \left| p(z) + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{z}} \right| \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$. But $x \rightarrow -\infty$ implies the boundedness of $e^x \cos y$. This is impossible. We next assume that $p(z)$ is a non-zero constant a . Then

$$e_2(z) = a + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{z}}$$

and

$$e^z = -\frac{\sqrt{c}}{az\sqrt{z} + z\sqrt{c}}$$

imply

$$\log \left(a + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{\sqrt{z}} \right) + 2p\pi i = -\frac{\sqrt{c}}{az\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{c}z}$$

and

$$-\frac{\sqrt{c}}{az\sqrt{z} + \sqrt{c}z} = \log a + \frac{\sqrt{c}}{a\sqrt{z}} - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{c}}{a\sqrt{z}} \right)^2 + \dots + 2p\pi i.$$

Hence as $z \rightarrow \infty$ $\log a + 2p\pi i = 0$, that is, $a = 1$. This implies $p = 0$. Hence

$$1 - \frac{\sqrt{c}}{2\sqrt{z}} + \dots = -\frac{1}{z + \sqrt{c}\sqrt{z}},$$

which is impossible. Hence in both cases $F = c \neq 0$, $F' = 0$ have at most a finite number of common roots. Therefore F is left-prime in entire sense.

For the right-primeness of F we remark the following fact:

$$e^x \cos y = \log |p(z)|$$

is satisfied by the non-zero roots of $F(z) = 0$. Hence for $x \leq x_0$ there are at most finitely many solutions of $F(z) = 0$ if $p(z)$ is not a constant. Here x_0 is arbitrary. Then we can conclude the right-primeness of F as in [5], Corollary 3. If $p(z)$ is a non-zero constant a , then

$$e^z = \log a + 2p\pi i,$$

$$e^x \cos y = \log |a|.$$

Therefore there is no solution in $x \leq -x_0$ for a sufficiently large x_0 and there are infinitely many roots in $x \geq x_0$. Therefore we can conclude the right-primeness of F in entire sense in a quite similar manner. Thus $F(z)$ is prime. q. e. d.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] BOREL, E., Sur les zéros des fonctions entières, Acta Math., 20 (1897), 357-396.
- [2] GROSS, F., Factorization of entire functions which are periodic mod g , Indian Journ. pure Appl. Math., 2 (1971), 561-571.
- [3] NEVANLINNA, R., Einige Endeutigkeitssätze in der Theorie der meromorphen Funktionen, Acta Math., 48 (1926), 367-391.
- [4] OZAWA, M., On the solution of the functional equation $f \circ g(z) = F(z)$, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 20 (1968), 159-162.
- [5] OZAWA, M., On certain criteria for the left-primeness of entire functions. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 26 (1975), 304-317.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY,
OH-OKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO,
JAPAN