M._TSUZUKI KODAI MATH. SEM. REP. 26 (1974), 36-43

SOME PROPERTIES OF CANONICAL PRODUCTS OF FINITE GENUS

BY MASANOBU TSUZUKI

Introduction. Let $f(z)$ be a canonical product of finite order with only negative zeros. If $\lambda > 1$, then

$$
\delta(0, f) \ge \frac{A}{1+A}
$$

with an absolute constant $A > 0$. This result is due to Edrei, Fuchs and Hellerstein [1]; for $\delta(0, f)$ and other standard terminology and notations used below, see $\lceil 2 \rceil$.

Recently Ozawa obtained a fairly improved bound of the above constant *A* [3]. But it still remains open to find the best possible bound of *A.*

We now set

$$
h(\lambda) = \inf \delta(0, f)
$$

$$
l(\lambda) = \sup \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{\log M(r, f)},
$$

where f ranges over all canonical products of finite order λ , with only negative zeros. Then the above problem reduces to get the exact value of $h(\lambda)$. In this note we shall prove first the following

THEOREM 1. If $1 \leq q \leq \lambda < q+1$, then we obtain

$$
h(\lambda) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{B(q)},
$$

where

$$
B(q) = 2(2q+1)(2+\log(q+1))
$$
.

From the definitions it is clear that

$$
1-h(\lambda)\geq l(\lambda).
$$

Hence Theorem 1 is contained in the following

THEOREM 2. If $1 \leq q \leq \lambda < q+1$ *, then*

$$
l(\lambda) \geq 1/B(q) .
$$

Recieved Mar. 1, 1973.

Our proof of Theorem 2 depends on the construction of a canonical product *f(z)* of order *λ* satisfying

$$
\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}} \frac{N(r,1/f)}{\log M(r,f)} \geq 1/B(q).
$$

On the other hand Shea conjectured [4] that for entire functions of order $\lambda > 1$

(1)
$$
\overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{\log M(r, f)} \ge \frac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{\pi \lambda}
$$

and Williamson showed [5] that for canonical products with only negative zeros (1) is valid under suitable hypotheses. In this connection Williamson asked if canonical products $f(re^{i\theta})$ of genus $q \ge 2$ with only negative zeros asymptotically attain their maximum modulus for $|\theta| \leq \pi/2$. It will be shown here that this is not in general the case. In fact for a canonical product *f(re*^{*i* θ) if we denote by *S(a)* a set of *r* such that the maximum modulus of $f(z)$} on $|z|=r$ only attains for $|\theta-\pi|<\alpha$, our third result is

THEOREM 3. There exists a canonical product $f(re^{i\theta})$ of genus $q \ge 1$ with only *negative zeros such that for an arbitrarily given number* ε>0 5(e) *has upper density* 1.

1. Constructions of functions $n(r)$ and $N(r)$. Consider a decreasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ $(n=1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ such that

$$
\epsilon_n \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty
$$

and define an increasing unbounded sequence $\{r_n\}$ $(n=1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ satisfying

$$
(1.1) \t\t\t nr_n^{\lambda+\epsilon_n} < r_{n+1}^{\epsilon_{n+1}},
$$

where *λ* is a positive constant. From the sequence *{rⁿ }* we construct three sequences $\{t_n\}$, $\{s_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ by

(1.2)
$$
u_n = \frac{r_n}{(\log r_n)^3}, \qquad t_n = \frac{r_n}{(\log r_n)^2}, \qquad s_n = \frac{r_n}{\log r_n},
$$

for $r_n > e$, respectively.

Denoting by $[X]$ the integral part of X, we define

$$
L(n, p) = \left[\left(\frac{r_n}{u_n} \right)^{1+p} \right] = \left[(\log r_n)^{3(1+p)} \right]
$$

with a positive constant *p.* Let

(1.3)
$$
u_{n,k} = u_n k^{\frac{1}{1+p}} \qquad (k=1, 2, 3, \cdots, L(n, p))
$$

and

$$
(1.4) \t\t\t m_{n,p} = \left[\frac{(1+p)r_n^{\lambda+\epsilon_n}}{L(n,p)}\right].
$$

We may assume, by renumbering of $\{r_n\}$ if necessary, that the following rela tions are satisfied:

(1.5)
\n
$$
u_{n,1} = u_n \langle t_n \langle s_n \langle u_{n,L(n,p)} \rangle \le r_n
$$
\n
$$
r_n \langle u_{n+1},
$$
\n
$$
L(n, p) \ge 2,
$$
\n
$$
m_{n,p} \ge 2
$$

for $n=1, 2, 3, \cdots$. We now put

$$
n(r)=0, \qquad (0 \le r < u_1)
$$

\n
$$
n(r)=\begin{cases} km_{1,p} & (u_{1,h} \le r < u_{1,h+1} : k=1, 2, 3, \cdots, L(1, p)-1) \\ L(1, p)m_{1,p} & (u_{1,L(1,p)} \le r < u_2) \end{cases}
$$

and for $n \geq 2$

$$
(1.6) \qquad n(r) = \begin{cases} n(r_{n-1}) + km_{n,p} & (u_{n,k} \le r < u_{n,k+1} \colon k=1,2,3,\cdots, L(n,p)-1) \\ n(r_{n-1}) + L(n,p)m_{n,p} & (u_{n,L(n,p)} \le r < u_{n+1}) \end{cases}
$$

Then we deduce from (1.1) , (1.2) and (1.4) that

(1.7)
$$
\frac{n(r_{n-1})}{n(r_n)} < \frac{(n-1)L(n-1, p)m_{n-1, p}}{L(n, p)m_{n, p}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \to \infty)
$$

and

namely,

(1.8)
$$
n(r_n) = n(r_{n-1}) + L(n, p)m_{n,p} = (1+p)r_n^{\lambda+\epsilon_n}(1+o(1)) \qquad (n \to \infty).
$$

We next notice that if $t_n \leq r \leq u_{n,L(n,p)}$, there is a *k* such that

$$
u_{n,k} \le r < u_{n,k+1}
$$
\n
$$
k \le \left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p} < k+1 \quad (1 \le k \le L(n, p))
$$

 \mathcal{L}

and then, in view of (1.3) and (1.6),

(1.9)
$$
\left[\left(\frac{r}{u_n} \right)^{1+p} \right] m_{n,p} + n(r_{n-1}) \leq n(r) < \left(\frac{r}{u_n} \right)^{1+p} m_{n,p} + n(r_{n-1}).
$$

By (1.2), (1.4) and (1.6) we obtain for $t_n \leq r \leq r_n$

(1.10)
$$
\left[\left(\frac{r}{u_n} \right)^{1+p} \right] m_{n,p} / \left(\frac{r}{u_n} \right)^{1+p} m_{n,p} = 1 + o(1) \qquad (n \to \infty)
$$

and by (1.4) and (1.8)

(1.11)
$$
\left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p} m_{n,p} = n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} (1+o(1)) \qquad (n \to \infty).
$$

Hence, by (1.7) and (1.9)-(1.11)

(1.12)
$$
n(r) = n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} (1+o(1)) \qquad (n \to \infty)
$$

for $t_n \leq r \leq r_n$. We now set

$$
N(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t} dt.
$$

We deduce from (1.6) and (1.7) that if $s_n \leq r \leq r_n$,

$$
N(r) = N(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{\tau} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt
$$

= $N(t_n) + (1 + o(1))n(r_n) \int_{t_n}^{\tau} \left(\frac{t}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} \frac{dt}{t}$
= $N(t_n) + (1 + o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{1+p} \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} \qquad (n \to \infty).$

On the other hand, if $s_n \leq r \leq r_n$,

$$
N(t_n) = \int_0^{t_n} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \leq n(t_n) \log t_n
$$

= $(1+o(1))n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} \left(\frac{t_n}{r}\right)^{1+p} \log t_n$ $(n \to \infty)$
= $o\left(n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p}\right)$ $(n \to \infty)$

by (1.2) and (1.12). Hence we obtain

(1.13)
$$
N(r) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{1 + p} \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1 + p} \qquad (n \to \infty)
$$

for $s_n \leq r \leq r_n$ and

(1.14)
$$
N(\tau_n) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{n(\tau_n)}{1 + p} \qquad (n \to \infty).
$$

Finally we notice that both n(r) and *N(r)* have the same order *λ.*

2. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $q(\geq 1)$ be an integer. Put

$$
E(u, q) = (1-u) \exp\left(u + \frac{u^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{u^q}{q}\right).
$$

Let $\lambda(\geq 1)$ be a positive number and choose the integer q satisfying $q+1>\lambda\geq q$. We consider the canonical product

(2.1)
$$
\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} E\left(-\frac{z}{u_{n,k}}, q\right)^{m_{n}, p} = f(z),
$$

where *p* is a positive constant and $L(n, p)$, $u_{n,k}$ and $m_{n,p}$ are the ones defined in Section 1. By the construction of $n(r)$ we have

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \frac{m_{n,p}}{u_{n,k}^{q+1}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dn(r)}{r^{q+1}}
$$

$$
= (q+1) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n(r)}{r^{q+2}} dr < \infty
$$

and, since $u_{n,L(n,p)} \leq r_n$,

$$
\sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \frac{m_{n,p}}{u_{n,k}^q} \ge L(n, p) \frac{m_{n,p}}{u_{n,L(n,p)}^q}
$$
\n
$$
\ge \frac{(1+p)r_n^{1+\epsilon_n}}{r_n^q} (1+o(1)) \quad (n \to \infty).
$$

In view of (1.1) these inequalities show that the product in (2.1) converges absolutely and uniformly in any bounded part of the plane to an integral func tion $f(z)$ having the order λ and the genus q . Further $f(z)$ satisfies an inequality

$$
(2.2) \tlog |f(z)| \leq (q+1)A(q+1)\Big\{r^q\int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r^{q+1}\int_r^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} dt\Big\}
$$

where $A(q+1)=2(2+\log(q+1))$ and $|z|=r$ [2].

We now put $p=2q$ in (1.4) and $|z|=r=r_n$ in (2.2). To estimate the first integral part of (2.2) we set

$$
r_n^q \int_0^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = r_n^q \int_0^{s_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r_n^q \int_{s_n}^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt.
$$

By (1.12) we find

(2.4)

$$
r_n^q \int_{s_n}^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = (1+o(1))r_n^q n(r_n) \int_{s_n}^{r_n} \left(\frac{t}{r_n}\right)^{1+2q} \frac{dt}{t^{q+1}}
$$

$$
= (1+o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} \qquad (n \to \infty).
$$

Suppose that

$$
r_n^{\sigma} \int_0^{s_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = r_n^{\sigma} \int_0^{u_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r_n^{\sigma} \int_{u_n}^{t_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r_n^{\sigma} \int_{u_n}^{s_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt
$$

= $I_1 + I_2 + I_3$, say.

Then, we have

$$
(2.5)
$$
\n
$$
I_{1} = r_{n}^{q} \int_{0}^{u_{n}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt \leq r_{n}^{q} \int_{0}^{u_{n-1}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r_{n}^{q} \int_{u_{n-1}}^{u_{n}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt
$$
\n
$$
= o(n(r_{n})) \qquad (n \to \infty)
$$

in view of (1.1) and (1.8) . Similarly, by (1.12)

PROPERTIES OF CANONIDAL PRODUCTS 41

$$
I_{2}=r_{n}^{q}\int_{u_{n}}^{t_{n}}\frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}}dt\leq n(t_{n})r_{n}^{q}\frac{1}{q}\left(\frac{1}{u_{n}^{q}}-\frac{1}{t_{n}^{q}}\right)
$$

$$
=o(n(r_{n}))\qquad (n\rightarrow\infty)
$$

and

$$
(2.7)
$$
\n
$$
I_{3} = r_{n}^{q} \int_{t_{n}}^{s_{n}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt \leq n(s_{n}) r_{n}^{q} \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{1}{t_{n}^{q}} - \frac{1}{s_{n}^{q}} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= o(n(r_{n})) \qquad (n \to \infty).
$$

Hence we deduce from $(2.4)-(2.7)$ that

$$
r_n^q \int_0^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = (1+o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} \qquad (n \to \infty) .
$$

We find next that, since the order of *n(r)* is *λ,* for all sufficiently large *n*

$$
r_n^{q+1} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} dt = r_n^{q+1} \int_{r_n}^{u_{n+1}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} dt + r_n^{q+1} \int_{u_{n+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} dt
$$

$$
\leq \frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} + \frac{r_n^{q+1}}{(q+1-(\lambda+\varepsilon))u_{n+1}^{q+1}-(\lambda+\varepsilon)} ,
$$

where ε ($\langle q+1-\lambda \rangle$) is a positive constant. Hence, (1.1) yields

$$
r_n^{q+1} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} \, dt = \frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} \left(1 + o(1)\right) \qquad (n \to \infty)
$$

We now obtain from (2.2), (2.8), (2.9) and (1.14)

$$
\log M(r_n, f) \leq (q+1)A(q+1)(1+o(1))N(r_n)(2q+1)\frac{1}{q+1} \qquad (n \to \infty)
$$

which leads to

$$
\overline{\lim}_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{\log M(R, f)} \geq \frac{1}{2(2q+1)A(q+1)}.
$$

The assertion of Theorem 2 now follows from this inequality.

3. **Proof of Theorem** 3. We shall adopt the functions and the notations of Section 2. For *m* sufficiently large we consider *R* such that

$$
(3.1) \t\t\t r_m \log r_m \leq R \leq r_m (\log r_m)^2 < u_{n+1}.
$$

Let

$$
G_q(R) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{np} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \right)^2 + \dots + \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \right)^q \right\} & (q \ge 2) \\ 0 & (q=1) \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
F(R, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 + \left(\frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \cos \theta \right| - \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \cos \theta \right\}.
$$

42 MASANOBU TSUZUKI

Since, by (1.1), $R/u_{m+1} < 1/2$ for sufficiently large R , we have

$$
\sum_{n>m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left| \log E\left(-\frac{Re^{i\theta}}{u_{n,k}}, q\right) \right| \leq 2 \sum_{n>m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left(-\frac{R}{u_{n,k}}\right)^{q+1}
$$

$$
\leq 4n(r_m),
$$

which yields

$$
\log |f(Re^{i\pi})| = \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \log |E(-\frac{Re^{i\pi}}{u_{n,k}}, q)|
$$

+
$$
\sum_{n>m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{J(n,p)} \log |E(-\frac{Re^{i\pi}}{u_{n,k}}, q)|)
$$

$$
\geq F(R, \pi) + G_q(R) - 4n(r_m) \qquad (R > R_0)
$$

 (3.2) and

(3.3)
$$
\log |f(Re^{i\theta})| \leq F(R,\,\theta) + G_q(R) + 4n(r_m) \qquad (R > R_0).
$$

Now the construction of *n(r)* implies that

$$
G_q(R) = \sum_{l=2}^{q} \left\{ R^l \int_0^{\tau_m} \frac{n(t)}{t^{l+1}} \, dt + n(\tau_m) \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{R}{\tau_m} \right)^l \right\}
$$

and hence, by $(2.4)-(2.7)$

$$
(3.4) \tG_q(R) = n(r_m)(1+o(1)) \sum_{l=2}^q \left(\frac{1}{2q-l+1} + \frac{1}{l} \right) \left(\frac{R}{r_m} \right)^l \t(R \to \infty).
$$

On the other hand, since

$$
F(R, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left\{ \log \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} + \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 + \frac{u_{n,k}}{R} \left(2 \cos \theta + \frac{u_{n,k}}{R} \right) \right| - \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \cos \theta \right\},\,
$$

we have

$$
\left| F(R,\,\theta) - \left(\int_0^R \log \frac{R}{t} dn(t) - R \cos \theta \int_0^R \frac{dn(t)}{t} \right) \right| < n(R) \log 2 \qquad (R > R_0)
$$

which implies that

$$
(3.5) \qquad \left| F(R,\,\theta) - \left\{ N(R) - \cos\theta \left(R \int_0^r \frac{m_n(t)}{t^2} dt + n(r_m) \frac{R}{r_m} \right) \right\} \right|
$$

$$
< n(r_m) \log 2 \qquad (R > R_0).
$$

If $q \ge 2$, by (3.2), (3.4), (3.5) and (2.4)-(2.7) we obtain

(3.6) $\log |f(Re^{i\pi})| \ge N(R) + G_q(R) + (1 + o(1)) \left(n(r_m) \frac{R}{r_m} + R \int_0^{r_m} \frac{n(t)}{t^2} dt\right) (R \to \infty).$ Similarily (3.3) and (3.5) yield

$$
(3.7) \qquad \log|f(Re^{i\theta})| \leq N(R) + G_q(R) - (\cos\theta + o(1)) \Big(n(r_m) \frac{R}{r_m} + R \int_0^{r_m} \frac{n(t)}{t^2} dt \Big) (R \to \infty).
$$

By (3.4)

$$
n(r_m) - \frac{R}{r_m} / G_q(R) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (R \to \infty)
$$

and hence, from (3.6) and (3.7) we deduce that

$$
\frac{\log |f(Re^{i\theta})|}{\log |f(Re^{i\pi})|} \leq 1 - (1 + \cos \theta + o(1))C(R) \qquad (R \to \infty)
$$

where

$$
C(R) = \frac{R \int_0^{\tau_m} \frac{n(t)}{t^2} dt + n(\tau_m) \frac{R}{\tau_m}}{N(R) + G_q(R)} < 0.
$$

This inequality holds for each *R* satisfying (3.1) if *m* is sufficiently large and the assertions of Theorem 3 become obvious for $q \ge 2$.

If $q=1$, $G_q(R)=o$ in (3.6) and (3.7), and hence, since

$$
N(R)/n(r_m)\frac{R}{r_m} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (R\rightarrow\infty),
$$

we obtain

$$
\frac{\log |f(Re^{i\theta})|}{\log |f(Re^{i\pi})|} \leq -\cos \theta + o(1) \qquad (R \to \infty).
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

The author is grateful to Professor M. Ozawa for his remarks during the preparation of manuscript.

REFERENCES

- [1] EDREI, A., W. H. J. FUCHS AND S. HELLERSTEIN, Radial distribution and deficiencies of the values of a meromorphic function, Pacific Journ. Math. II (1961), 135-151.
- [2] HAYMAN, W.K., Meromorphic functions, Oxford Press, 1964.
- [3] OZAWA, M., Radial distribution of zeros and deficiency of a canonical product of finite genus, Kδdai Math. Sem. Rep. 25 (1973), 502-512.
- $\lceil 4 \rceil$ SHEA, D.F., On the relations between the growth of a meromorphic function and the angular distribution of two of values, Ph. D. thesis, Syracuse Uni versity, 1965.
- [5] WILLIAMSON, J., Remarks on the maximum modulus of an entire function with negative zeros, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 21 (1970), 497-512.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, COLLEGE OF LIBERAL ARTS, SAITAMA UNIVERSITY.