M. TSUZUKI KODAI MATH. SEM. REP. 26 (1974), 36-43

SOME PROPERTIES OF CANONICAL PRODUCTS OF FINITE GENUS

By Masanobu Tsuzuki

Introduction. Let f(z) be a canonical product of finite order with only negative zeros. If $\lambda > 1$, then

$$\delta(0, f) > \frac{A}{1+A}$$

with an absolute constant A>0. This result is due to Edrei, Fuchs and Hellerstein [1]; for $\delta(0, f)$ and other standard terminology and notations used below, see [2].

Recently Ozawa obtained a fairly improved bound of the above constant A [3]. But it still remains open to find the best possible bound of A.

We now set

$$h(\lambda) = \inf \delta(0, f)$$

$$l(\lambda) = \sup \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{\log M(r, f)}},$$

where f ranges over all canonical products of finite order λ , with only negative zeros. Then the above problem reduces to get the exact value of $h(\lambda)$. In this note we shall prove first the following

THEOREM 1. If $1 \leq q \leq \lambda < q+1$, then we obtain

$$h(\lambda) \leq 1 - \frac{1}{B(q)},$$

where

$$B(q) = 2(2q+1)(2 + \log (q+1))$$
.

From the definitions it is clear that

$$1-h(\lambda) \geq l(\lambda)$$
.

Hence Theorem 1 is contained in the following

THEOREM 2. If $1 \leq q \leq \lambda < q+1$, then

$$l(\lambda) \geq 1/B(q)$$
.

Recieved Mar. 1, 1973.

Our proof of Theorem 2 depends on the construction of a canonical product f(z) of order λ satisfying

$$\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{\log M(r, f)} \ge 1/B(q) \,.$$

On the other hand Shea conjectured [4] that for entire functions of order $\lambda{>}1$

(1)
$$\overline{\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{\log M(r, f)}} \ge \frac{|\sin \pi \lambda|}{\pi \lambda}$$

and Williamson showed [5] that for canonical products with only negative zeros (1) is valid under suitable hypotheses. In this connection Williamson asked if canonical products $f(re^{i\theta})$ of genus $q \ge 2$ with only negative zeros asymptotically attain their maximum modulus for $|\theta| \le \pi/2$. It will be shown here that this is not in general the case. In fact for a canonical product $f(re^{i\theta})$ if we denote by $S(\alpha)$ a set of r such that the maximum modulus of f(z) on |z|=r only attains for $|\theta-\pi| < \alpha$, our third result is

THEOREM 3. There exists a canonical product $f(re^{i\theta})$ of genus $q \ge 1$ with only negative zeros such that for an arbitrarily given number $\varepsilon > 0$ $S(\varepsilon)$ has upper density 1.

1. Constructions of functions n(r) and N(r). Consider a decreasing sequence $\{\varepsilon_n\}$ $(n=1, 2, 3, \cdots)$ such that

$$\varepsilon_n \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad n \longrightarrow \infty$$

and define an increasing unbounded sequence $\{r_n\}$ $(n=1, 2, 3, \dots)$ satisfying

$$(1.1) nr_n^{\lambda+\varepsilon_n} < r_{n+1}^{\varepsilon_{n+1}},$$

where λ is a positive constant. From the sequence $\{r_n\}$ we construct three sequences $\{t_n\}$, $\{s_n\}$ and $\{u_n\}$ by

(1.2)
$$u_n = \frac{r_n}{(\log r_n)^3}, \quad t_n = \frac{r_n}{(\log r_n)^2}, \quad s_n = \frac{r_n}{\log r_n},$$

for $r_n > e$, respectively.

Denoting by [X] the integral part of X, we define

$$L(n, p) = \left[\left(\frac{r_n}{u_n} \right)^{1+p} \right] = \left[(\log r_n)^{3(1+p)} \right]$$

with a positive constant p. Let

(1.3)
$$u_{n,k} = u_n k^{\frac{1}{1+p}}$$
 $(k=1, 2, 3, \cdots, L(n, p))$

and

(1.4)
$$m_{n,p} = \left[\frac{(1+p)r_n^{\lambda+\varepsilon_n}}{L(n,p)} \right].$$

We may assume, by renumbering of $\{r_n\}$ if necessary, that the following relations are satisfied:

(1.5)
$$u_{n,1} = u_n < t_n < s_n < u_{n,L(n,p)} \le r_n ,$$
$$r_n < u_{n+1} ,$$
$$L(n, p) \ge 2 ,$$
$$m_{n,p} \ge 2$$

for $n=1, 2, 3, \cdots$. We now put

$$n(r) = 0, \quad (0 \le r < u_1)$$

$$n(r) = \begin{cases} km_{1,p} & (u_{1,k} \le r < u_{1,k+1} : k = 1, 2, 3, \dots, L(1, p) - 1) \\ L(1, p)m_{1,p} & (u_{1,L(1,p)} \le r < u_2) \end{cases}$$

and for $n \geq 2$

(1.6)
$$n(r) = \begin{cases} n(r_{n-1}) + km_{n,p} & (u_{n,k} \le r < u_{n,k+1} : k=1, 2, 3, \cdots, L(n, p) - 1) \\ n(r_{n-1}) + L(n, p)m_{n,p} & (u_{n,L(n,p)} \le r < u_{n+1}). \end{cases}$$

Then we deduce from (1.1), (1.2) and (1.4) that

(1.7)
$$\frac{n(r_{n-1})}{n(r_n)} < \frac{(n-1)L(n-1, p)m_{n-1,p}}{L(n, p)m_{n,p}} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

and

namely,

(1.8)
$$n(r_n) = n(r_{n-1}) + L(n, p) m_{n,p} = (1+p) r_n^{\lambda+e_n} (1+o(1)) \qquad (n \to \infty) .$$

We next notice that if $t_n \leq r \leq u_{n,L(n,p)}$, there is a k such that

$$u_{n,k} \leq r < u_{n,k+1}$$

$$k \leq \left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p} < k+1 \qquad (1 \leq k \leq L(n, k+1))$$

~

p))

.

and then, in view of (1.3) and (1.6),

(1.9)
$$\left[\left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p}\right]m_{n,p}+n(r_{n-1}) \leq n(r) < \left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p}m_{n,p}+n(r_{n-1}).$$

By (1.2), (1.4) and (1.6) we obtain for $t_n \leq r \leq r_n$

(1.10)
$$\left[\left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p}\right]m_{n,p}/\left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p}m_{n,p}=1+o(1) \qquad (n\to\infty)$$

and by (1.4) and (1.8)

(1.11)
$$\left(\frac{r}{u_n}\right)^{1+p} m_{n,p} = n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} (1+o(1)) \qquad (n \to \infty) \,.$$

38

Hence, by (1.7) and (1.9)-(1.11)

(1.12)
$$n(r) = n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} (1+o(1)) \quad (n \to \infty)$$

for $t_n \leq r \leq r_n$. We now set

$$N(r) = \int_0^r \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \, .$$

We deduce from (1.6) and (1.7) that if $s_n \leq r \leq r_n$,

$$\begin{split} N(r) &= N(t_n) + \int_{t_n}^{r} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \\ &= N(t_n) + (1 + o(1))n(r_n) \int_{t_n}^{r} \left(\frac{t}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} \frac{dt}{t} \\ &= N(t_n) + (1 + o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{1+p} \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} \quad (n \to \infty) \,. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, if $s_n \leq r \leq r_n$,

$$N(t_n) = \int_0^{t_n} \frac{n(t)}{t} dt \leq n(t_n) \log t_n$$

= $(1+o(1))n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} \left(\frac{t_n}{r}\right)^{1+p} \log t_n \qquad (n \to \infty)$
= $o\left(n(r_n) \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p}\right) \qquad (n \to \infty)$

by (1.2) and (1.12). Hence we obtain

(1.13)
$$N(r) = (1+o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{1+p} \left(\frac{r}{r_n}\right)^{1+p} \quad (n \to \infty)$$

for $s_n \leq r \leq r_n$ and

(1.14)
$$N(r_n) = (1+o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{1+p} \quad (n \to \infty)$$

Finally we notice that both n(r) and N(r) have the same order λ .

2. Proof of Theorem 2. Let $q(\geq 1)$ be an integer. Put

$$E(u, q) = (1-u) \exp\left(u + \frac{u^2}{2} + \dots + \frac{u^q}{q}\right).$$

Let $\lambda(\geq 1)$ be a positive number and choose the integer q satisfying $q+1>\lambda\geq q$. We consider the canonical product

(2.1)
$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \prod_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} E\left(-\frac{z}{u_{n,k}},q\right)^{m_{n,p}} = f(z),$$

where p is a positive constant and L(n, p), $u_{n,k}$ and $m_{n,p}$ are the ones defined in Section 1. By the construction of n(r) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \frac{m_{n,p}}{u_{n,k}^{q+1}} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{dn(r)}{r^{q+1}} = (q+1) \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{n(r)}{r^{q+2}} dr < \infty$$

and, since $u_{n,L(n,p)} \leq r_n$,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} & \underline{m_{n,p}}_{u_{n,k}^{q}} \geq L(n,p) \underline{m_{n,p}}_{u_{n,L(n,p)}^{q}} \\ \geq & \underline{(1+p)r_{n}^{A+\varepsilon_{n}}}_{r_{n}^{q}} (1+o(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty) \,. \end{split}$$

In view of (1.1) these inequalities show that the product in (2.1) converges absolutely and uniformly in any bounded part of the plane to an integral function f(z) having the order λ and the genus q. Further f(z) satisfies an inequality

(2.2)
$$\log|f(z)| \leq (q+1)A(q+1)\left\{r^{q}\int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r^{q+1}\int_{r}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} dt\right\}$$

where $A(q+1)=2(2+\log (q+1))$ and |z|=r [2].

We now put p=2q in (1.4) and $|z|=r=r_n$ in (2.2). To estimate the first integral part of (2.2) we set

$$r_n^q \int_0^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = r_n^q \int_0^{s_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r_n^q \int_{s_n}^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt.$$

By (1.12) we find

(2.4)
$$r_n^{q} \int_{s_n}^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = (1+o(1))r_n^{q}n(r_n) \int_{s_n}^{r_n} \left(\frac{t}{r_n}\right)^{1+2q} \frac{dt}{t^{q+1}} = (1+o(1))\frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} \qquad (n \to \infty) \,.$$

Suppose that

$$\begin{aligned} r_n^q \! \int_0^{s_n} \! \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} \, dt \! = \! r_n^q \! \int_0^{u_n} \! \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} \, dt \! + \! r_n^q \! \int_{n_n}^{t_n} \! \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} \, dt \! + \! r_n^q \! \int_{t_n}^{s_n} \! \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} \, dt \\ = \! I_1 \! + \! I_2 \! + \! I_3, \qquad \text{say} \; . \end{aligned}$$

Then, we have

(2.5)
$$I_{1} = r_{n}^{q} \int_{0}^{u_{n}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt \leq r_{n}^{q} \int_{0}^{u_{n-1}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt + r_{n}^{q} \int_{u_{n-1}}^{u_{n}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = o(n(r_{n})) \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

in view of (1.1) and (1.8). Similarly, by (1.12)

40

PROPERTIES OF CANONIDAL PRODUCTS

(2.6)
$$I_{2} = r_{n}^{q} \int_{u_{n}}^{t_{n}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt \leq n(t_{n}) r_{n}^{q} \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{1}{u_{n}^{q}} - \frac{1}{t_{n}^{q}}\right) \\ = o(n(r_{n})) \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

and

(2.7)
$$I_{3} = r_{n}^{q} \int_{t_{n}}^{s_{n}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt \leq n(s_{n}) r_{n}^{q} \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{1}{t_{n}^{q}} - \frac{1}{s_{n}^{q}} \right) \\ = o(n(r_{n})) \qquad (n \to \infty) .$$

Hence we deduce from (2.4)-(2.7) that

$$r_n^q \int_0^{r_n} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = (1+o(1)) \frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} \qquad (n \to \infty) \,.$$

We find next that, since the order of n(r) is λ , for all sufficiently large n

$$\begin{split} r_n^{q+1} & \int_{r_n}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} \, dt \! = \! r_n^{q+1} \! \int_{r_n}^{u_{n+1}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} dt \! + \! r_n^{q+1} \! \int_{u_{n+1}}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+2}} \, dt \\ & \leq \! \frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} + \! \frac{r_n^{q+1}}{(q\!+\!1\!-\!(\lambda\!+\!\varepsilon)) u_{n+1}^{q+1-(\lambda\!+\!\varepsilon)}} \,, \end{split}$$

where ε (<q+1- λ) is a positive constant. Hence, (1.1) yields

$$r_n^{q+1} \int_{r_n}^{\infty} \frac{n(t)}{t^{q+1}} dt = \frac{n(r_n)}{q+1} (1+o(1)) \qquad (n \to \infty) \,.$$

We now obtain from (2.2), (2.8), (2.9) and (1.14)

$$\log M(r_n, f) \leq (q+1)A(q+1)(1+o(1))N(r_n)(2q+1)\frac{1}{q+1} \qquad (n \to \infty)$$

which leads to

$$\overline{\lim_{r\to\infty}} \frac{N(r, 1/f)}{\log M(R, f)} \ge \frac{1}{2(2q+1)A(q+1)}.$$

The assertion of Theorem 2 now follows from this inequality.

3. Proof of Theorem 3. We shall adopt the functions and the notations of Section 2. For m sufficiently large we consider R such that

(3.1)
$$r_m \log r_m \leq R \leq r_m (\log r_m)^2 < u_{n+1}$$

Let

$$G_{q}(R) = \begin{cases} \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{np} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \right)^{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{q} \left(\frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \right)^{q} \right\} & (q \ge 2) \\ 0 & (q = 1) \end{cases}$$

and

$$F(R, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 + \left(\frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \right)^2 + 2 \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \cos \theta \right| - \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \cos \theta \right\}.$$

MASANOBU TSUZUKI

Since, by (1.1), $R/u_{m+1} < 1/2$ for sufficiently large R, we have

$$\sum_{n>m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left| \log E\left(-\frac{Re^{i\theta}}{u_{n,k}}, q\right) \right| \leq 2 \sum_{n>m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left(\frac{R}{u_{n,k}}\right)^{q+1} \leq 4n(r_m),$$

which yields

$$\log |f(Re^{i\pi})| = \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \log \left| E\left(-\frac{Re^{i\pi}}{u_{n,k}}, q\right) \right|$$
$$+ \sum_{n>m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{J(n,p)} \log \left| E\left(-\frac{Re^{i\pi}}{u_{n,k}}, q\right) \right|$$
$$\geq F(R, \pi) + G_q(R) - 4n(r_m) \qquad (R > R_0)$$

(3.2) and

(3.3)
$$\log |f(Re^{i\theta})| \leq F(R, \theta) + G_q(R) + 4n(r_m) \qquad (R > R_0).$$

Now the construction of n(r) implies that

$$G_{q}(R) = \sum_{l=2}^{q} \left\{ R^{l} \int_{0}^{r_{m}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{l+1}} dt + n(r_{m}) \frac{1}{l} \left(\frac{R}{r_{m}}\right)^{l} \right\}$$

and hence, by (2.4)-(2.7)

(3.4)
$$G_q(R) = n(r_m)(1+o(1)) \sum_{l=2}^q \left(\frac{1}{2q-l+1} + \frac{1}{l}\right) \left(\frac{R}{r_m}\right)^l \quad (R \to \infty).$$

On the other hand, since

$$F(R, \theta) = \sum_{n=1}^{m} m_{n,p} \sum_{k=1}^{L(n,p)} \left\{ \log \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} + \frac{1}{2} \log \left| 1 + \frac{u_{n,k}}{R} \left(2 \cos \theta + \frac{u_{n,k}}{R} \right) \right| - \frac{R}{u_{n,k}} \cos \theta \right\},$$

we have

$$\left|F(R,\theta) - \left(\int_{0}^{R}\log\frac{R}{t}dn(t) - R\cos\theta\int_{0}^{R}\frac{dn(t)}{t}\right)\right| < n(R)\log 2 \qquad (R > R_{0})$$

which implies that

(3.5)
$$\left| F(R, \theta) - \left\{ N(R) - \cos \theta \left(R \int_{0}^{r_{m}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{2}} dt + n(r_{m}) \frac{R}{r_{m}} \right) \right\} \right|$$
$$< n(r_{m}) \log 2 \qquad (R > R_{0}).$$

If $q \ge 2$, by (3.2), (3.4), (3.5) and (2.4)-(2.7) we obtain

(3.6) $\log |f(Re^{i\pi})| \ge N(R) + G_q(R) + (1+o(1)) \left(n(r_m) \frac{R}{r_m} + R \int_0^{r_m} \frac{n(t)}{t^2} dt \right) \quad (R \to \infty).$ Similarly (3.3) and (3.5) yield

(3.7)
$$\log |f(Re^{i\theta})| \leq N(R) + G_q(R) - (\cos\theta + o(1)) \left(n(r_m) \frac{R}{r_m} + R \int_0^{r_m} \frac{n(t)}{t^2} dt \right) (R \to \infty)$$

By (3.4)

$$n(r_m) \frac{R}{r_m} / G_q(R) \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (R \to \infty)$$

and hence, from (3.6) and (3.7) we deduce that

$$\frac{\log|f(Re^{i\theta})|}{\log|f(Re^{i\pi})|} \leq 1 - (1 + \cos\theta + o(1))C(R) \qquad (R \to \infty)$$

where

$$C(R) = \frac{R \int_{0}^{r_{m}} \frac{n(t)}{t^{2}} dt + n(r_{m}) \frac{R}{r_{m}}}{N(R) + G_{q}(R)} < 0.$$

This inequality holds for each R satisfying (3.1) if m is sufficiently large and the assertions of Theorem 3 become obvious for $q \ge 2$.

If q=1, $G_q(R)=o$ in (3.6) and (3.7), and hence, since

$$N(R)/n(r_m) \frac{R}{r_m} \longrightarrow 0 \qquad (R \to \infty) ,$$

we obtain

$$\frac{\log |f(Re^{i\theta})|}{\log |f(Re^{i\pi})|} \leq -\cos\theta + o(1) \qquad (R \to \infty).$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

The author is grateful to Professor M. Ozawa for his remarks during the preparation of manuscript.

References

- EDREI, A., W.H.J. FUCHS AND S. HELLERSTEIN, Radial distribution and deficiencies of the values of a meromorphic function, Pacific Journ. Math. 11 (1961), 135-151.
- [2] HAYMAN, W.K., Meromorphic functions, Oxford Press, 1964.
- [3] OZAWA, M., Radial distribution of zeros and deficiency of a canonical product of finite genus, Ködai Math. Sem. Rep. 25 (1973), 502-512.
- [4] SHEA, D.F., On the relations between the growth of a meromorphic function and the angular distribution of two of values, Ph. D. thesis, Syracuse University, 1965.
- [5] WILLIAMSON, J., Remarks on the maximum modulus of an entire function with negative zeros, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2), 21 (1970), 497-512.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, College of Liberal Arts, Saitama University.