

ON PSEUDO-PRIME MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

BY GENKŌ HIROMI AND SHIGERU KIMURA

A transcendental meromorphic function $F(z)$ is called *pseudo-prime* if $F(z) = f \circ g(z)$ implies that either $f(z)$ or $g(z)$ is a rational function.

The notion of asymptotic spots of meromorphic functions was introduced by Heins [7], [8]. In this paper we shall give several sufficient conditions for meromorphic functions $F(z)$ to be pseudo-prime involving restrictions on the asymptotic spots of $F(z)$.

At first we shall show the following.

THEOREM 1. *Let $F(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order ρ_F which takes a value b at most a finite number of times and has a finite number of asymptotic spots σ_i ($i=1, \dots, k$) over a ($a \neq b$) such that, for any simply-connected Jordan region Ω containing a , $\cup_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(\Omega)$ contains infinitely many roots of $F(z)=a$. Further assume that there exist at most a finite number of roots of $F(z)=a$ outside $\cup_{i=1}^k \sigma_i(\Omega)$. Then $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.*

Proof. We may assume that $a=0$ and $b=\infty$. Suppose that f and g are both transcendental and $F(z)$ has a factorization of the form $F(z)=f \circ g(z)$. Then we have $\rho_f=0$ by a result of Edrei-Fuchs [2], in view of $\rho_F < +\infty$. Since $F(z)$ has only a finite number of poles, $f(\zeta)$ has also a finite number of poles. If $f(\zeta)$ has a finite number of zeros, then $\rho_f \geq 1$. This is a contradiction. Hence $f(\zeta)$ has infinitely many unbounded zeros $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. By the assumption, $\cup_{i=1}^k g(\sigma_i(\Omega))$ contains $\{\zeta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ except for at most one ζ_i . Therefore at least one of $g(\sigma_i(\Omega))$ which we denote $g(\sigma(\Omega))$ contains infinitely many unbounded $\{\zeta_i\}$. Hence $g(\sigma(\Omega))$ is unbounded and $\Omega(\supset f \circ g(\sigma(\Omega)))$ is unbounded by an extension of Wiman's theorem to meromorphic functions [6] (p. 119). This is a contradiction. Therefore $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.

An application. Theorem 1 can apply to the function $F(z)=R(z) \cdot \sin z$ where $R(z)$ is a rational function satisfying $R(z) \rightarrow 0$ as $z \rightarrow \infty$.

In [10], Ozawa gave several sufficient conditions for entire functions to be pseudo-prime. We shall give two theorems (Theorem 2 and Theorem 3), as sufficient conditions for meromorphic functions to be pseudo-prime, which are analogous to his theorems (Theorem 6 and Theorem 7 in [10], respectively).

Received November 15, 1972.

In order to prove our theorems we shall need the following lemma which refers to the existence of asymptotic spots for composed meromorphic functions.

LEMMA. Let $F(z)=f\circ g(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order where $f(\zeta)$ and $g(z)$ are both transcendental and let σ be an asymptotic spot of $F(z)$ over w_0 . Further assume that $\delta(\infty, f)>0$. Then there exists an asymptotic spot Σ of $g(z)$ over a root α of $f(\zeta)=w_0$ such that $\Sigma(\omega)=\sigma(\Omega)$ where $\omega(\ni\alpha)$ is a component of $f^{-1}(\Omega)$.

Proof. Let Ω_0 be a simply-connected region containing w_0 in w -plane. Assume that $g(\sigma(\Omega_0))$ is unbounded. Since $\delta(\infty, f)>0$, $\Omega_0(\subset f\circ g(\sigma(\Omega_0)))$ is unbounded by an extended Wiman's theorem. This is a contradiction. Hence $g(\sigma(\Omega_0))$ is bounded. Suppose that $g(\sigma(\Omega_0))$ is contained in the disk $|\zeta|<R$. We may assume that $f(\zeta)$ has no w_0 -points on $|\zeta|=R$. Denote by ζ_i ($i=1, \dots, k$) the w_0 -points of $f(\zeta)$ in $|\zeta|<R$. Consider disks K_i ($i=1, \dots, k$) centered at ζ_i such that $K_i \cap K_j = \emptyset$ ($i \neq j$) and $f(K_i) \subset \Omega_0$. Let Ω be a simply-connected region contained in $\bigcap_{i=1}^k f(K_i)$. Then $g(\sigma(\Omega))$ is contained in only one disk centered at α of K_i . Denote by ω a component of $f^{-1}(\Omega)$ containing α . Then we can define an asymptotic spot Σ of $g(z)$ over α , putting $\Sigma(\omega)=\sigma(\Omega)$.

THEOREM 2. Let $F(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order ρ_F which takes a value b at most a finite number of times, and let H be the grand total of harmonic indices of all the asymptotic spots of $F(z)$. Further assume that the order of $N(r, a, F)$ for a value $a(\neq b)$ is less than $H/2$. Then $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.

Proof. We may assume that $a=0$ and $b=\infty$. Suppose that $F(z)$ has a factorization $F(z)=f\circ g(z)$ where f and g are both transcendental.

By the same reasoning as in Theorem 1, $f(\zeta)$ has infinitely many zeros. Take two zeros ζ_1 and ζ_2 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 0, F) &= N(r, 0, f\circ g) \\ &\geq N(r, \zeta_1, g) + N(r, \zeta_2, g) \\ &\geq m(r, g) - O(\log(r \cdot m(r, g))) \end{aligned}$$

by the second fundamental theorem for g . Hence

$$\rho_g \leq \rho_{N(r, 0, F)} < \frac{H}{2}.$$

Let σ be an asymptotic spot of $F(z)$ over w_0 with harmonic index $h(\sigma)$. Then we show that there exists an asymptotic spot Σ of $g(z)$ over α (a root of $f(\zeta)=w_0$) with harmonic index not less than $h(\sigma)$.

Since $F(z)$ has only a finite number of poles, $f(\zeta)$ has also only a finite number of poles. Hence $\delta(\infty, f)=1$. Therefore, by our Lemma we can find an asymptotic spot Σ of $g(z)$ over α .

Since $f(\zeta)$ has the expansion in ω (a component of $f^{-1}(\Omega)$ containing α)

$$f(\zeta) - w_0 = c(\zeta - \alpha)^n \{1 + o(1)\}$$

with a non-zero constant c , we have

$$f \circ g(z) - w_0 = c(g(z) - \alpha)^n \{1 + o(1)\}$$

in $\sigma(\Omega)$. Hence we have

$$\log \frac{\varepsilon}{|f \circ g(z) - w_0|} \leq \log^+ \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} + n \cdot \log^+ \frac{1}{|g(z) - \alpha|} + \log 2$$

in $\sigma(\Omega)$ where $\Omega = \{w; |w - w_0| < \varepsilon\}$.

On the other hand, we have

$$\log^+ \frac{1}{|\zeta - \alpha|} \leq \mathfrak{G}_\omega(\zeta, \alpha) + M$$

in ω where $\mathfrak{G}_\omega(\zeta, \alpha)$ is the Green's function of ω with the pole at α and M is a positive constant, and hence

$$\log^+ \frac{1}{|g(z) - \alpha|} \leq \mathfrak{G}_\omega(g(z), \alpha) + M$$

in $\sigma(\Omega)$. Therefore we have

$$\log \frac{\varepsilon}{|f \circ g(z) - w_0|} \leq n \cdot \mathfrak{G}_\omega(g(z), \alpha) + \log^+ \frac{\varepsilon}{|c|} + \log 2 + M \cdot n$$

in $\sigma(\Omega)$. If we put

$$u_{\sigma(\omega)}(z) = \text{G.H.M.} \log \frac{\varepsilon}{|f \circ g(z) - w_0|},$$

then we have

$$u_{\sigma(\omega)}(z) \leq n \cdot \mathfrak{G}_\omega(g(z), \alpha) + M'$$

in $\sigma(\Omega)$ with a positive constant M' . Since the harmonic index of σ is $h(\sigma)$, $u_{\sigma(\omega)}(z)$ dominates $h(\sigma)$ positive minimal harmonic functions $u_i(z)$ ($i=1, \dots, h(\sigma)$) in (Ω) ;

$$u_i(z) \leq n \cdot \mathfrak{G}_\omega(g(z), \alpha) + M'.$$

Thus it follows that

$$u_i(z) - n \cdot \mathfrak{G}_\omega(g(z), \alpha) \leq 0$$

in $\Sigma(\omega) = \sigma(\Omega)$, by the maximum principle of subharmonic functions. Therefore the harmonic index of the asymptotic spot Σ of $g(z)$ over α is not less than $h(\sigma)$.

Now applying the Heins' main theorem [8], we have

$$H \leq 2\rho_g.$$

This contradicts $\rho_g < H/2$. Thus we have the desired result.

THEOREM 3. *Let $F(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order ρ_F which has at most a finite number of poles, and let H be the grand total of harmonic indices of all the asymptotic spots of $F(z)$. Further assume that the order of $N(r; 0, F')$ is less than $H/2$. Then $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.*

Proof. Suppose that $F(z)$ has a factorization $F(z) = f \circ g(z)$ where f and g are both transcendental. Then, at first we shall prove that $f'(\zeta)$ has only a finite number of poles.

Let z_0 be a pole of $f' \circ g(z)$. If we put

$$g(z) = \zeta_0 + (z - z_0)^q g_1(z); \quad g_1(z_0) \neq 0, \quad \zeta_0 = g(z_0)$$

and

$$f(\zeta) = \frac{f_1(\zeta)}{(\zeta - \zeta_0)^p}; \quad f_1(\zeta_0) \neq 0, \infty,$$

then from the right hand of the derived equation;

$$F'(z) = f' \circ g(z) \cdot g'(z),$$

we have

$$F'(z) = \frac{F_1(z)}{(z - z_0)^{pq+1}}; \quad F_1(z_0) \neq 0, \infty,$$

and since $pq+1 \geq 2$, z_0 is a pole of $F'(z)$. This means that $f'(\zeta)$ has only a finite number of poles.

Hence, if $f'(\zeta)$ has only a finite number of zeros, then $\rho_f = \rho_{f'} \geq 1$. But since we have $\rho_f = 0$ by a result of Edrei-Fuchs [2], this is a contradiction. Therefore $f'(\zeta)$ has infinitely many zeros. Take two zeros ζ_1 and ζ_2 . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(r; 0, F') &\geq N(r; 0, f' \circ g) \\ &\geq N(r; \zeta_1, g) + N(r; \zeta_2, g), \end{aligned}$$

and by the second fundamental theorem,

$$N(r; 0, F') \geq m(r, g) - O(\log(r \cdot m(r, g))).$$

Hence we have

$$2\rho_g < H.$$

The remaining reasoning is the same as in Theorem 2. Hence we have the desired result.

Now, Goldstein gave a sufficient condition for meromorphic functions to be pseudo-prime involving restrictions on the asymptotic values. We shall give a modification of his result (Theorem 1 in [5]), by using asymptotic spots instead of asymptotic values.

THEOREM 4. *Let $F(z)$ be a taanscendental meromorphic function of finite order ρ_F which takes a value b at most a finite number of times and has an asymptotic spot σ over a ($a \neq b$), and let $\Omega_m = \{w; |w - a| < 1/m\}$ and $J(r) = \{re^{i\theta}; 0 \leq \theta \leq 2\pi, 1/|F(re^{i\theta}) - a| > \exp(K \cdot T(r, F))\}$ with a positive constant K . Further assume that there exists a sequence $\{r_m\}_{m=1}^\infty$ such that the angular measure of $J(r_m) \cap \sigma(\Omega_m)$ is not less than a positive number A . Then $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.*

Proof. Suppose that $F(z)$ has a factorization $F(z) = f \circ g(z)$ where f and g are both transcendental. We may assume that $b = \infty$. Since $F(z)$ has only a finite number of poles, $f(\zeta)$ has also only a finite number of poles. Hence $\delta(\infty, f) = 1$. Therefore by our Lemma, there exists an asymptotic spot Σ of $g(z)$ over α (a root of $f(\zeta) = a$).

Let s be the order of this a -point α of $f(\zeta)$. Then there exists a constant m_0 such that for every $m \geq m_0$

$$|f(\zeta) - a| > B \cdot |\zeta - \alpha|^s$$

in ω_m , where B is a positive constant, ω_m is a component of $f^{-1}(\Omega_m)$ containing α and $\Sigma(\omega_m) = \sigma(\Omega_m)$. Hence we have

$$|F(z) - a| = |f \circ g(z) - a| > B \cdot |g(z) - \alpha|^s$$

in $\sigma(\Omega_m)$.

On the other hand we have

$$\frac{1}{|F(z) - a|} > e^{K \cdot T(r_m, F)}$$

in $J(r_m)$, and hence

$$\log^+ \frac{1}{|g(z) - \alpha|} \geq \frac{K}{s} T(r_m, F) - \frac{1}{s} \log \frac{1}{B}$$

in $J(r_m) \cap \sigma(\Omega_m)$. Integrating both sides in the above inequality, it follows that for every $m \geq m_0$

$$T(r_m, g) \geq C \cdot T(r_m, F)$$

with a positive constant C . But since we have

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{T(r, f \circ g)}{T(r, g)} = +\infty,$$

by a result of Clunie [1], this is a contradiction. Therefore $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.

Goldstein also proved the following [4], [5].

THEOREM A. *Let $F(z)$ be a transcendental meromorphic function of finite order which takes a value b at most a finite number of times and is such that*

$$\sum_{a \neq b} \delta(a, F) = 1.$$

Then $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.

We shall prove Theorem 5 concerning the special class of meromorphic functions such that $\sum \delta(a)=2$, by using the following theorem of Nevanlinna [9].

THEOREM B. *Let $F(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order ρ_F without multiple values. Then the total sum of the deficiencies of $F(z)$ is 2 and $\rho_F \geq 1$.*

The simple proof of this theorem was given by Fuchs [3].

THEOREM 5. *Let $F(z)$ be a meromorphic function of finite order ρ_F without multiple values, then $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.*

Proof. Suppose that $F(z)$ has a factorization $F(z)=f \circ g(z)$ where f and g are both transcendental. Since $\rho_F < +\infty$, $\rho_f=0$ by a result of Edrei-Fuchs [2]. On the other hand, $f(\zeta)$ is a meromorphic function of finite order without multiple values. In fact, if $f(\zeta)$ has a multiple value, then $F(z)$ has also a multiple value. Thus we have $\rho_f \geq 1$ by Theorem B. This is a contradiction. Therefore $F(z)$ is pseudo-prime.

REFERENCES

- [1] CLUNIE, J., The composition of entire and meromorphic functions. McIntyre's memorial volume (univ. of Ohio), 75-92.
- [2] EDREI, A., AND W. H. J. FUCHS, On the zeros of $f(g(z))$ where f and g are entire functions. J. Analyse Math. **12** (1964), 246-255.
- [3] FUCHS, W. H. J., Topics in Nevanlinna theory. Proceedings of the Nrl conference on classical function theory (1970). Math. Research Center Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D. C., 1-32.
- [4] GOLDSTEIN, R., Composition of entire and meromorphic functions. Ph. D. thesis (Univ. of London) (1970).
- [5] GOLDSTEIN, R., Some results on factorization of meromorphic functions. J. London Math. Soc. (2), **4** (1971), 357-364.
- [6] HAYMAN, W. K., Meromorphic functions. Oxford (1964).
- [7] HEINS, M., On the Lindelöf principle. Ann. of Math. **61** (1955), 440-473.
- [8] HEINS, M., Asymptotic spots of entire and meromorphic functions. Ann. of Math. **66** (1957), 430-439.
- [9] NEVANLINNA, F., Über eine Klasse meromorpher Funktionen. 7. Congress Math. Scand. Oslo (1929).
- [10] OZAWA, M., On the solution of the functional equation $f \circ g(z)=F(z)$, II. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. **20** (1968), 163-169.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
MIE UNIVERSITY, AND
UTSUNOMIYA UNIVERSITY.