

## A REMARK ON DERIVED SPACES

BY TETSUHIRO SHIMIZU

Let  $G$  be a locally compact Abelian group, and let  $\mu$  be the Haar measure on  $G$ . If  $p \geq 1$ , the space of all  $\mu$ -measurable functions  $f$  such that  $|f|^p$  is summable is designated by  $L_p(G)$ .

We denote by  $L_p^0(G)$  the set of all  $f \in L_p(G)$  such that  $\|f\|_0 = \sup \{\|f * h\|_p : h \in L_1(G), \|\hat{h}\|_\infty \leq 1\} < \infty$ . Clearly  $L_p^0(G)$  is the linear subspace of  $L_p(G)$ . We call  $L_p^0(G)$  the *derived space* of  $L_p(G)$  ([5], p. 72).

The following results were showed by Helgason [3] and Figa-Talamanca [1].

*Let  $G$  be a locally compact Abelian group.*

- 1) *If  $G$  is a compact, then  $L_p^0(G)$  ( $1 \leq p \leq 2$ ) is algebraically and topologically isomorphic to  $L_2(G)$ .*
- 2) *If  $G$  is non-compact and connected, then  $L_p^0(G) = \{0\}$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ).*
- 3) *If  $G$  is non-compact and separable, then  $L_1^0(G) = \{0\}$ .*
- 4) *If  $G$  is an infinite discrete group, then  $L_p^0(G) = \{0\}$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ).*

We shall show the following theorem in this short note.

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $G$  be a non-compact locally compact Abelian group, then  $L_p^0(G) = \{0\}$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ).*

*Proof.* From the structure theorem of locally compact Abelian groups ([6, p. 95]), we know that  $G$  has an open subgroup  $H$  which is the direct sum of a compact group and an Euclidean space  $R^m$ .

a) Suppose  $m \geq 1$ . If we shall show that for any compact set  $K$  of  $G$  there exists an element  $d$  of  $G$  such that  $\{K + kd\}$ ,  $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , are pairwise disjoint, then we can prove this theorem by using the similar argument in [1].

Since  $K$  is compact, there is a finite set  $\{x_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$  of  $G$  such that  $K \subset \cup_{i=1}^n (x_i + H)$ . Put  $K_0 = \cup_{i=1}^n ((x_i + H) \cap K) - x_i$ . Then  $K_0$  is a compact subset of  $H$ . Since  $m \geq 1$ , there is an element  $d \in H$  such that  $\{K_0 + kd\}$ ,  $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , are pairwise disjoint. Clearly,  $\{K + kd\}$ ,  $k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots$ , are also pairwise disjoint.

b) Suppose now  $m = 0$ . Let  $\hat{\mu}$  and  $\mu_0$  be the Haar measures on  $G/H$  and  $H$  respectively such that

$$\int_G f(x) d\mu(x) = \int_{G/H} \left( \int_H f(x+y) d\mu_0(x) \right) d\hat{\mu}(y)$$

---

Received November 12, 1970.

and  $\|\mu_0\|=1$ . Let

$$\Phi g(\dot{y}) = \int_H g(x+y) d\mu_0(x)$$

for each  $g \in L_p(G)$ , since  $\|\mu_0\|=1$ , we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{G/H} |\Phi g(\dot{y})|^p d\dot{\mu}(\dot{y}) &= \int_{G/H} \left| \int_H g(x+y) d\mu_0(x) \right|^p d\dot{\mu}(\dot{y}) \\ &\leq \int_{G/H} \left( \int_H |g(x+y)|^p d\mu_0(x) \right) d\dot{\mu}(\dot{y}) = \int_G |g(x)|^p d\mu(x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $\Phi$  is a norm-decreasing linear operator of  $L_p(G)$  into  $L_p(G/H)$ . On the other hand, if  $\varphi$  is the canonical homomorphism of  $G$  to  $G/H$ , then  $\Psi g(x) = g(\varphi(x))$  belongs to  $L_p(G)$  for any  $g \in L_p(G/H)$ . Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_G |g(\varphi(x))|^p d\mu(x) &= \int_{G/H} \left( \int_H |g(\varphi(x+y))|^p d\mu_0(x) \right) d\dot{\mu}(\dot{y}) \\ &= \int_{G/H} |g(\dot{y})|^p d\dot{\mu}(\dot{y}) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

It is evident that  $\Phi \Psi g = g$  for all  $g \in L_p(G/H)$ .

For  $f, g \in C_c(G)$ , let

$$F(f, g, x; y, \dot{z}) = \int_H f(x+y-u-z) g(u+z) d\mu_0(u), \quad (x \in G, y \in H, \dot{z} \in G/H).$$

Suppose that  $K_g$  is a compact support of  $g$ , then  $F(f, g, x; y, \dot{z}) = 0$  for all  $z \notin (K_g + H)$ . Since  $K_g + H$  is compact, there exists a finite subset  $\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$  of  $G$  such that  $K_g + H \subset \cup_{i=1}^n (z_i + H)$ . Thus, we have that if  $\dot{z} \notin \{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$ , then  $F(f, g, x; y, \dot{z}) = 0$  for all  $y \in H$  and  $\Phi g(\dot{z}) = 0$ . Hence, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(f * g)(\dot{x}) &= \int_H \int_G f(x+y-z) g(z) d\mu(z) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \int_H \int_{G/H} \int_H f(x+y-z-u) g(z+u) d\mu_0(u) d\dot{\mu}(\dot{z}) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \int_H \sum_{i=1}^n F(f, g, x; y, \dot{z}_i) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_H F(f, g, x; y, \dot{z}_i) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_H \int_H f(x+y-z_i-u) g(z_i+u) d\mu_0(u) d\mu_0(y) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_H \int_H f(x+y-z_i-u) g(z_i+u) d\mu_0(y) d\mu_0(u) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \int_H f(x+y-z_i-u) d\mu_0(y) \int_H g(z_i+u) d\mu_0(u) \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n \Phi f(\hat{x}-\hat{z}_i) \Phi g(\hat{z}_i) \\
 &= \int_{G/H} \Phi f(\hat{x}-\hat{z}) \Phi g(\hat{z}) d\hat{\mu}(\hat{z}) \\
 &= \Phi f * \Phi g(\hat{x}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since  $C_c(G)$  is dense in  $L_p(G)$  ( $1 \leq p < 2$ ), we have that if  $f \in L_p(G)$  and  $g \in L_1(G)$ , then  $\Phi(f * g) = \Phi f * \Phi g$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be the dual group of  $G$ , and let  $\Lambda$  be the annihilator of  $H$ . For any  $h \in L_1(G/H)$ , it is evident that  $\widehat{\Psi h}(\gamma) = \hat{h}(\gamma)$  for any  $\gamma \in \Lambda$  and  $\widehat{\Psi h}(\gamma) = 0$  for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Lambda$ . Therefore, if  $f \in L_p^0(G)$  and  $h \in L_1(G/H)$ , then

$$\|\Phi f * h\|_p = \|\Phi(f * \Psi h)\|_p \leq \|f * \Psi h\|_p \leq \|f\|_0 \|\widehat{\Psi h}\|_\infty = \|f\|_0 \|\hat{h}\|_\infty.$$

Consequently,  $\Phi f \in L_p^0(G/H)$  for any  $f \in L_p^0(G)$ .

Let  $f_\gamma(x) = (-x, \gamma)f(x)$  for any  $f \in L_p(G)$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Clearly, if  $f \in L_p^0(G)$ , then  $f_\gamma \in L_p^0(G)$ . Suppose that there exists a non-zero element  $f \in L_p^0(G)$ . If  $\gamma$  is an element of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \widehat{\Phi f_\gamma}(0) &= \int_{G/H} \Phi f_\gamma(\hat{x}) d\hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) \\
 &= \int_{G/H} \int_H (-x+y, \gamma) f(x+y) d\mu_0(y) d\hat{\mu}(\hat{x}) = \hat{f}(\gamma) \neq 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have that  $L_p^0(G/H) \neq \{0\}$ . But, since  $G/H$  is infinite discrete, this is impossible. Therefore,  $L_p^0(G) = \{0\}$ . This completes the proof.

The following theorem was proved by Gaudry in the case of a locally compact Abelian group with an infinite discrete subgroup.

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $G$  be a non-compact locally compact Abelian group. If  $g$  is a function on  $\Gamma$  such that  $\varphi g \in \cup_{1 \leq p < 2} L_p(G)^\wedge$ , where  $L_p(G)^\wedge = \{\hat{f} : f \in L_p(G), \hat{f} \text{ is the Fourier transform of } f\}$ , for each  $\varphi \in C_0(\Gamma)$ , then  $g$  is zero locally almost everywhere.*

*Proof.* From the hypothesis, we can assume  $g$  has a compact support  $K$ . Then, there is a number  $p_0, 1 < p_0 < 2$ , such that  $\varphi g \in L_{p_0}(G)^\wedge$  for all  $\varphi \in C_0(\Gamma)$  ([2], p. 486). Let  $\varphi_0 \in C_0(\Gamma)$  such that  $\varphi_0 \equiv 1$  on  $K$ , then  $\varphi_0 g = g \in L_{p_0}(G)^\wedge$ . Let  $f \in L_{p_0}(G)$  such that  $\hat{f} = g$  locally almost everywhere. Then  $f \in L_{p_0}^0(G)$  ([1]). Therefore, theorem A shows  $f$  is zero. Thus  $g$  is zero locally almost everywhere. This completes the proof.

For  $s \in G$ ,  $\tau_s$  will denote the translation operator defined by  $(\tau_s f)(t) = f(ts^{-1})$ . A continuous linear operator  $T$  from  $L_p(G)$  to  $L_p(G)$  is called a *multiplier* for  $L_p(G)$  whenever  $T\tau_s = \tau_s T$  for each  $s \in G$ . The collection of all multipliers for  $L_p(G)$  will

be denoted by  $M(L_p(G))$ .

Combining the theorem A with the proof of theorem 5 in [1] we can prove the next theorem.

**THEOREM C.** *Let  $G$  be a non-compact locally compact Abelian group and suppose  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $p \neq 2$ . If  $\varphi \in L_\infty(\Gamma)$  corresponds a multiplier  $T$  in  $M(L_p(G))$  (i.e.  $(Tf) = \varphi \hat{f}$  and has the property that whenever  $\psi$  is a function for which  $|\psi(\gamma)| \leq |\varphi(\gamma)|$  for each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  then  $\psi$  corresponds to a multiplier in  $M(L_p(G))$ , then  $\varphi = 0$ .*

#### REFERENCES

- [1] FIGA-TALAMANCA, A., On the subspace of  $L_p$  invariant under multiplication of transforms by bounded continuous functions. Rend. del Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova **35** (1965), 176-189.
- [2] GAUDRY, G. I., Multipliers of type  $(p, q)$ . Pacific J. Math. **18** (1966), 477-488.
- [3] HELGASON, S., Multipliers of Banach algebras. Ann. of Math. **64** (1959), 240-254.
- [4] HÖRMANDER, L., Estimates for translation invariant operator in  $L_p$  space. Acta Math. **104** (1960), 93-140.
- [5] LARSEN, L., The multiplier problem. Springer-Verlag, New York (1969).
- [6] RUDIN, W., Fourier analysis on groups. Interscience, New York (1962).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.