

## ON THE CHARACTERISTIC OF AN ALGEBROID FUNCTION

BY MASANOBU TSUZUKI

Let  $f(z)$  be an  $n$ -valued transcendental algebroid function in  $|z| < \infty$  defined by an irreducible equation

$$F(z, f) \equiv A_n(z)f^n + A_{n-1}(z)f^{n-1} + \cdots + A_0(z) = 0,$$

where the coefficients  $A_0, \dots, A_n$  are entire functions without any common zeros. We set

$$A(z) = \max(|A_0|, \dots, |A_n|).$$

Let  $\mu(r, A)$  be defined by

$$\mu(r, A) = \frac{1}{2n\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log A(re^{i\theta}) d\theta.$$

Recently Ozawa [1] obtained

LEMMA. *Suppose that there is at least one index  $j$  satisfying*

$$m\left(r, \frac{1}{A_j}\right) \leq cm(r, A), \quad c < 1,$$

*then*

$$(1-c)m(r, A) \leq n\mu(r, A) \leq m(r, A).$$

In connection with this lemma he proposed the following problem.

Are there any algebroid functions satisfying

$$(1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n\mu(r, A)}{m(r, A)} = 0?$$

In this note using Ozawa's method we construct a two-valued algebroid function satisfying (1).

In the first place we consider

$$h(x) = \frac{(\log x)^p}{x},$$

where  $\rho > 0$ .  $h(x)$  is a strictly decreasing function in  $x > x_0 > e$ . Let  $r_1$  be a real number such that

$$r_1 > x_0 > e, \quad (\log r_1)^\rho > 2.$$

We suppose that the real numbers  $r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_n$  have been defined. Then we choose  $r_{n+1}$  such that

$$(2) \quad h(r_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{n^\rho r_n}.$$

By this process we get an increasing sequence  $\{r_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ), satisfying (2). We set

$$N_1 = [1 \cdot \log r_1],$$

where  $[x]$  denotes the greatest integer not larger than  $x$ . Suppose that the numbers  $N_1 < N_2 < \dots < N_n$  have already been defined and let

$$S_1 = 1, \quad S_{n+1} = \sum_{\nu=1}^n N_\nu \quad (n \geq 1).$$

Then we define

$$(3) \quad N_{n+1} = [(n+1)S_{n+1} \log r_{n+1}].$$

Thus we have an increasing sequence  $\{N_n\}$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ). Now for a positive number  $\lambda$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_n}{r_n^\lambda} / \frac{N_{n+1}}{r_{n+1}^\lambda} &= \left(\frac{r_{n+1}}{r_n}\right)^\lambda \frac{n S_n \log r_n}{(n+1) S_{n+1} \log r_{n+1}} (1+o(1)) \\ &= \frac{n^{\lambda\rho} (\log r_{n+1})^{\lambda\rho}}{n \log r_{n+1}} (1+o(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore the series

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_n}{(3r_n/2)^\lambda} = \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^\lambda \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{N_n}{r_n^\lambda}$$

is convergent if  $\lambda > 1/\rho$  and divergent if  $\lambda < 1/\rho$ . For  $\rho > 1$  let  $g(z)$  be

$$(4) \quad \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 + \frac{2}{3} \frac{z}{r_n}\right)^{N_n}.$$

By the above result  $g(z)$  has the order  $1/\rho$ . For the zeros of  $g(z)$  we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{n(r_n, 0) \log r_n}{n(2r_n, 0)} &= \frac{n(r_n, 0) \log r_n}{n(2r_n, 0) - n(r_n, 0) + n(r_n, 0)} \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (1+o(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty), \end{aligned}$$

and by Shea's result [2, p. 226] we obtain

$$(5) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{N(r_n, 0, g)}{m(r_n, g)} = 0, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m(r_n, 1/g)}{m(r_n, g)} = 1.$$

Now we set

$$(6) \quad g_1(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 + \frac{z}{3r_n/2 - 2/3r_n} \right)^{N_n}.$$

$g_1(z)$  has the same order as  $g(z)$ . Setting  $3r_n/2 = a_n$  we have for  $z = r_n e^{i\theta}$

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{g(z)}{g_1(z)} \right| &= \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1+z/a_{\nu}}{1+z/(a_{\nu}-a_{\nu}^{-1})} \right|^{N_{\nu}} \\ &= \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left| \frac{1+z/a_{\nu}}{1+z/a_{\nu}-1/a_{\nu}^2} \right|^{N_{\nu}} \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}^2} \right)^{N_{\nu}} \\ &= C_1 \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-1/a_{\nu}(a_{\nu}+z)|^{N_{\nu}}}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$C_1 = \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}^2} \right)^{N_{\nu}}$$

is a positive constant. Further

$$\begin{aligned} \left| 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}(a_{\nu}+z)} \right|^{N_{\nu}} &\leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}(a_{\nu}+r_n)} \right)^{N_{\nu}} \leq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}^2 r_n} \right)^{N_{\nu}}, \\ \left| 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}(a_{\nu}+z)} \right|^{N_{\nu}} &\geq \left| 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}|a_{\nu}-r_n|} \right|^{N_{\nu}} \geq \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}} \right)^{N_{\nu}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$C_2 = \left\{ \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}} \right)^{N_{\nu}} \right\}^{-1} \geq \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{|1-1/a_{\nu}(a_{\nu}+z)|^{N_{\nu}}} \geq \left\{ \prod_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{a_{\nu}^2 r_n} \right)^{N_{\nu}} \right\}^{-1}.$$

Hence  $C_2$  is a positive constant and the right hand side converges to 1 as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Hence we can find  $n_0$  such that for  $n \geq n_0$

$$\infty > C_1 \cdot C_2 \geq \left| \frac{g(r_n e^{i\theta})}{g_1(r_n e^{i\theta})} \right| \geq \frac{C_1}{2} > 0.$$

Then we obtain

$$A(r_n e^{i\theta}) = \max (|g(r_n e^{i\theta})|, |g_1(r_n e^{i\theta})|) \leq K |g(r_n e^{i\theta})|,$$

where  $K (> 1)$  is a positive constant. By this estimate we have

$$(7) \quad m(r_n, A) \leq m(r_n, g) + K$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad m\left(r_n, \frac{1}{A}\right) &\geq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \log^+ \frac{1}{K|g(r_n e^{i\theta})|} d\theta \\
 &\geq m\left(r_n, \frac{1}{g}\right) - \log K - \log 2K.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally consider the equation

$$(9) \quad g_1(z)f^2 + g(z)f + g(z) = 0.$$

For this two-valued algebroid function  $f$ , whose order is  $1/\rho$  ( $1 < \rho$ ),

$$2\mu(r_n, A) = m(r_n, A) - m\left(r_n, \frac{1}{A}\right).$$

By (7) and (8)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \frac{2\mu(r_n, A)}{m(r_n, A)} &\leq 1 - \frac{m(r_n, 1/g) - 2 \log 2K}{m(r_n, g) + K} \\
 &= 1 - \frac{m(r_n, 1/g)}{m(r_n, g)} (1 + o(1)) \quad (n \rightarrow \infty).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (5)

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\mu(r, A)}{m(r, A)} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2\mu(r_n, A)}{m(r_n, A)} = 0.$$

This is the desired result.

REMARK. If we take  $r_n^2 = r_{n+1}$  and  $N_{n+1} = S_{n+1}(\log r_{n+1})^2$  for (2) and (3) respectively,  $g(z)$  and  $g_1(z)$  defined by (4), (6), with these  $r_n, N_n$ , have the same order 0. Then we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n(r_n, 0, g) \log r_n}{n(2r_n, 0, g)} = 0 \quad \text{and (5).}$$

Moreover the above arguments remain for those  $g(z)$  and  $g_1(z)$ . Hence if we use those  $g(z), g_1(z)$  in (9), we get a two-valued algebroid function of the order zero, which satisfies (2).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author should like to express his hearty thanks to Professor M. Ozawa for his kind encouragement in preparing this note.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] OZAWA, M., Deficiencies of an algebroid function. *Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep.* **21** (1969), 262-276.
- [2] SHEA, D. F., On the Valiron deficiencies of meromorphic functions of finite order. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **124** (1966), 201-227.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY.