KÖDAI MATH. SEM. REP. 19 (1967), 189–192

A REMARK CONCERNING A RENEWAL THEOREM ON (J, X)-PROCESSES

By Hirohisa Hatori, Toshio Mori and Hiroshi Oodaira

1. The purpose of this note is to weaken the conditions assumed in our previous paper [2] in proving the following result on (J, X)-processes:

(1)
$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\{x \le X_1 + \dots + X_n \le x + h\} = \frac{h}{m} \quad \text{if } 0 < m < \infty,$$

where m is a constant such that

$$p-\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{X_1+\cdots+X_n}{n}=m.$$

Let $\{(J_n, X_n); n=0, 1, 2, \cdots\}$ be a (J, X)-process with the state space $I_r \times R$, where $I_r = \{1, 2, \cdots, r\}$ and $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, i.e., a two-dimensional stochastic process satisfying the conditions $X_0 \equiv 0$ (a. s.) and

$$P\{J_n = k, X_n \leq x | (J_0, X_0), \dots, (J_{n-1}, X_{n-1})\} = Q_{J_{n-1}, k}(x) \quad (a. s.)$$

for all $(k, x) \in I_r \times R$,

where $\{Q_{jk}(\cdot); j, k=1, 2, \dots, r\}$ is a family of non-decreasing functions defined on R such that $Q_{jk}(-\infty)=0$ for $j, k=1, 2, \dots, r$, and $\sum_{k=1}^{r} Q_{jk}(+\infty)=1$ for $j=1, 2, \dots, r$.

In [2] the following assumptions were made to prove (1): (i) There is a positive integer M such that every element of the matrix P^{M} is positive, where $P=(p_{jk})$ is the $r \times r$ matrix with elements $p_{jk}=Q_{jk}(+\infty)$, (ii) the conditional distribution of X_n given $J_{n-1}=j$ and $J_n=k$ is a non-lattice distribution with finite second moment, and (iii)

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty} |\psi_{jk}(t)| < 1 \quad \text{for all} \quad j, k \in I_r,$$

where

$$\psi_{jk}(t) = E\{e^{itX_n} | J_{n-1} = j, J_n = k\}$$
$$= \frac{1}{p_{jk}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{itX} dQ_{jk}(x).$$

Received October 24, 1966.

HIROHISA HATORI, TOSHIO MORI AND HIROSHI OODAIRA

In this note we shall show that the condition (iii) is unnecessary, namely, we shall prove

THEOREM. (1) holds true if the conditions (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

To prove this we shall use the method of Maruyama [3], which is concerned with a renewal theorem for independent identically distributed random variables.

2. Proof of the theorem. Let $S_n = \sum_{\nu=1}^n X_{\nu}$ and $N_H(x, x+h) = E\{\sum_{n=1}^\infty H(S_n - x - h/2)\}$, where *H* is a real-valued even function. If H(x)=1 for $|x| \le h/2$ and =0 for |x| > h/2, then (1) may be written in the form

(2)
$$\lim_{x\to\infty} N_H(x, x+h) = \frac{1}{m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) dx.$$

Following [3], we shall prove that the relation (2) holds for a positive real-valued even function H(x) such that the integrals

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x)e^{-itx}dx = h(t),$$
$$\frac{1}{2\pi}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t)e^{itx}dt = H(x)$$

and

are absolutely convergent and the equalities hold for all t and x, and further h(t) vanishes outside a finite interval [-c, c].

Denoting by $\varphi_n(t)$ the characteristic function of S_n and introducing a convergence factor r, we may write

$$N_{H}\left(x-\frac{h}{2}, x+\frac{h}{2}\right) = \lim_{r \to 1-0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h(t) e^{-itx} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n} \varphi_{n}(t) dt$$
$$= \lim_{r \to 1-0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[\int_{c \ge |t| \ge \delta} + \int_{|t| < \delta} \right] h(t) e^{-itx} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} r^{n} \varphi_{n}(t) dt$$
$$= \lim_{r \to 1-0} \left[I_{1}(x) + I_{2}(x) \right].$$

On applying the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, we get

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\lim_{r\to 1-0}I_1(x)=0$$

for every $\delta > 0$. To evaluate the second term $I_2(x)$ we recall the following facts (see [1], [2]);

(a) The equation det $(\delta_{jk} - z p_{jk} \phi_{jk}(t)) = 0$ has a root $z = \zeta_0(t)$ for small t such that $\zeta_0(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$. Under the assumption (i), for sufficiently small $|t| < t_0$,

(3)
$$\varphi_n(t) = \frac{\sigma(t)}{\zeta_0(t)^n} + \rho_n(t) \quad \text{and} \quad |\rho_n(t)| \le \frac{K}{(1+\varepsilon)^n},$$

190

where K and ε are some positive constants. The functions $\zeta_0(t)$ and $\sigma(t)$ have respectively continuous derivatives of the second order for $|t| < t_0$, and $\sigma(t) \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow 0$.

(b) $1/\zeta_0(t)$ may be written as

$$\frac{1}{\zeta_0(t)} = \zeta_0(t)^{-1} = 1 + imt - \left(m^2 + \frac{m'}{2}\right)t^2 + o(t^2),$$

where $m = i\zeta_0'(0)$ and $m' = \zeta_0''(0)$, both being real constants.

From (3) and applying the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem, we obtain

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\lim_{r\to 1-0}\int_{-\delta}^{\delta}h(t)e^{-itx}\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}r^n\rho_n(t)dt=0$$

and thus it suffices to consider the integral

$$J(x) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{r\sigma(t)\zeta_0(t)^{-1}}{1 - r\zeta_0(t)^{-1}}h(t)e^{-itx}\right\} dt.$$

Now the following relations follows from (a) and (b);

(4)

$$R = R(t) = \operatorname{Re}(1 - \zeta_{0}(t)^{-1}) = O(t^{2}),$$

$$I = I(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Im}(imt - \zeta_{0}(t)^{-1}) = o(t^{2}),$$

$$R_{1} = R_{1}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Re}(\sigma(t)) = 1 + O(t),$$

$$I_{1} = I_{1}(t) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \operatorname{Im}(\sigma(t)) = O(t),$$

$$Q(r, t) = |1 - r\zeta_{0}(t)^{-1}|^{2}$$

def

$$Q(r, t) = |1 - r\zeta_0(t)^{-1}|^2$$

= (1-r)²+2(1-r)rR+r²R²+r²m²t²-2r²mtI+r²I²
= (1-r)²+r²m²t²+(1-r)O(t²)+o(t²),

 $Q(1, t) = m^2 t^2 + O(t^3).$

Note also that $R(t) \ge 0$ (see [2]).

We rewrite J(x) as

$$J(x) = \frac{r}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{1-r}{Q(r,t)} \operatorname{Re}\{\sigma(t)\zeta_0(t)^{-1}h(t)e^{-itx}\}dt + \frac{r^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{1}{Q(r,t)} \operatorname{Re}\{\sigma(t)(1-\overline{\zeta_0(t)^{-1}})\zeta_0(t)^{-1}h(t)e^{-itx}\}dt.$$

Using (4) and the argument in [3] we can show that the first integral tends to h(0)/(2m) as $r \rightarrow 1-0$ and $\delta \rightarrow +0$.

The second integral can be rewritten as

HIROHISA HATORI, TOSHIO MORI AND HIROSHI OODAIRA

$$\frac{r^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{1}{Q(r,t)} [R_1 \{ R(1-R) - (mt-I)^2 \} - I_1(mt-I)] h(t) \cos xt \, dt \\ + \frac{r^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{1}{Q(r,t)} [-R_1 I + I_1 \{ R(1-R) - (mt-I)^2 \}] h(t) \sin xt \, dt \\ + \frac{r^2}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{1}{Q(r,t)} R_1 \, mt \, h(t) \sin xt \, dt \\ = J_1(x) + J_2(x) + J_8(x).$$

The functions in brackets in the integrands of $J_1(x)$ and $J_2(x)$ are respectively of order $O(t^2)$ and $o(t^2)$. Hence the Riemann-Lebesgue theorem is applicable to $J_1(x)$ and $J_2(x)$, thus obtaining

$$\lim_{x \to \infty} \lim_{r \to 1-0} (J_1(x) + J_2(x)) = 0.$$

Now consider $J_3(x)$.

$$\lim_{r \to 1-0} J_{s}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{R_{1} mt}{Q(1,t)} h(t) \sin xt \, dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi m} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} h(t) \frac{\sin xt}{t} \, dt + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\delta}^{\delta} \frac{1}{t} \left\{ \frac{R_{1} mt^{2}}{Q(1,t)} - \frac{1}{m} \right\} h(t) \sin xt \, dt$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi m} \int_{-c}^{c} h(t) \frac{\sin xt}{t} \, dt + o(1) = \frac{1}{4\pi m} \int_{-x}^{x} d\xi \int_{-c}^{c} h(t) e^{it\xi} dt + o(1)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-x}^{x} H(\xi) d\xi + o(1) = \frac{1}{2m} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} H(x) dx + o(1) = \frac{h(0)}{2m} + o(1)$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, since

$$\frac{1}{t}\left\{\frac{R_1 m t^2}{Q(1,t)}-\frac{1}{m}\right\}$$

is bounded for $|t| < \delta$. Thus (2) holds for H(x) satisfying our regularity conditions.

The theorem will be proved, if we show that (2) holds for the indicator function H(x) of the interval [-h/2, h/2]. The proof of this part, however, has already been given by Maruyama [3].

References

- [1] HATORI, H., AND T. MORI, An improvement of a limit theorem on (J, X)-processes. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 18 (1966), 347-352.
- [2] HATORI, H., T. MORI, AND H. OODAIRA, A renewal theorem on (J, X)-processes. Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 19 (1967), 157-161.
- [3] MARUYAMA, G., Fourier analytic treatment of some problems on the sums of random variables. Natural Sci. Rep., Ochanomizu Univ. 6 (1955), 7-24.

Science University of Tokyo, Chūbu Institute of Technology and Yokohama National University.

192