

SOME TAUBERIAN THEOREMS FOR STOCHASTIC PROCESSES

BY HIROHISA HATORI AND TOSHIO MORI

1. In this paper some Tauberian theorems for a class of stochastic processes will be proved. We shall give the theorems in the form including also an Abelian result.

2. We state first the following

LEMMA. Let $\{\alpha_\lambda(t); \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a class of complex-valued functions of bounded variation in every finite interval, and assume for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ that

$$f_\lambda(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} d\alpha_\lambda(t)$$

converges for $s > 0$. If $\alpha_\lambda(t)$ are uniformly bounded in every finite interval of t and if there exists a positive constant γ such that

$$(1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{-\gamma} \alpha_\lambda(t) = \frac{A_\lambda}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}$$

uniformly in $\lambda \in \Lambda$, where A_λ is bounded on Λ , then

$$(2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} s^\gamma f_\lambda(s) = A_\lambda$$

uniformly in $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Conversely if there exist constants K and $\gamma > 0$ such that for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the functions $\operatorname{Re} \alpha_\lambda(t) + Kt^\gamma$ and $\operatorname{Im} \alpha_\lambda(t) + Kt^\gamma$ are non-decreasing in $0 \leq t < \infty$ and if (2) holds uniformly in $\lambda \in \Lambda$ with A_λ bounded on Λ , then (1) holds uniformly in $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

The proof of this Lemma will not be given here, since it is similar in the main to the proof of well-known Tauberian theorem (see [1]).

3. We shall now prove the following

THEOREM 1. Let $\{X(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a stochastic process such that $\int_0^T X(t) dt$ exists for every finite $T > 0$, and assume that there exist positive constants M and γ such that $\sqrt{E\{|X(t)|^2\}} \leq Mt^{\gamma-1}$ for every $t > 0$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that

$$(3) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-\gamma} \int_0^T X(t) dt = \frac{Y}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}$$

is that

Received May 7, 1966.

$$(4) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{s \rightarrow +0} s^\tau L(s) = Y,$$

where

$$L(s) = \int_0^\infty e^{-st} X(t) dt \quad (s > 0).$$

Proof. Note that for proving our theorem it is sufficient to consider the case $Y=0$. Otherwise, indeed, we may consider the stochastic process $\{X_1(t); t \geq 0\}$, where $X_1(t) = X(t) - \{\Gamma(\gamma)\}^{-1} t^{\gamma-1} Y$. We note further that by the assumption we have

$$(5) \quad E\{|s^\tau L(s)|^2\} = s^{2\tau} \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-st} e^{-s\tau} E\{X(t)\overline{X(\tau)}\} dt d\tau \leq (M\Gamma(\gamma))^2,$$

and

$$(6) \quad E\left\{\left|T^{-\tau} \int_0^T X(t) dt\right|^2\right\} = T^{-2\tau} \int_0^T \int_0^T E\{X(t)\overline{X(\tau)}\} dt d\tau \leq (M\gamma^{-1})^2.$$

Hence by Schwarz's inequality

$$(7) \quad |E\{s^\tau L(s)\overline{X(\tau)}\}| \leq M^2 \Gamma(\gamma) \tau^{\tau-1},$$

$$(8) \quad \left|E\left\{T^{-\tau} \int_0^T X(t) dt \cdot \overline{X(\tau)}\right\}\right| \leq M^2 \gamma^{-1} \tau^{\tau-1}$$

and

$$(9) \quad \left|E\left\{s^\tau L(s) \cdot T^{-\tau} \int_0^T \overline{X(\tau)} dt\right\}\right| \leq \left[E\{|s^\tau L(s)|^2\} \cdot E\left\{\left|T^{-\tau} \int_0^T X(t) dt\right|^2\right\}\right]^{1/2}.$$

First we suppose that (3) holds with $Y=0$ and prove (4) with $Y=0$. It follows from (5) and (9) that

$$(10) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E\left\{s^\tau L(s) T^{-\tau} \int_0^T \overline{X(\tau)} dt\right\} = \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} T^{-\tau} \int_0^T E\{s^\tau L(s)\overline{X(\tau)}\} dt = 0$$

uniformly in $s > 0$. It can be seen from (7) that the class $\{\alpha_s(t); s > 0\}$ of functions $\alpha_s(t) = \int_0^t E\{s^\tau L(s)\overline{X(\tau)}\} d\tau$ satisfies the conditions of the first part of Lemma with $A_1 \equiv 0$. Hence we have that

$$(11) \quad \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} \sigma^\tau \int_0^\infty e^{-\sigma t} E\{s^\tau L(s)\overline{X(\tau)}\} dt = \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +0} E\{s^\tau L(s) \cdot \sigma^\tau \overline{L(\sigma)}\} = 0$$

uniformly in $s > 0$, and therefore we have

$$(12) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} E\{|s^\tau L(s)|^2\} = 0$$

which implies (4) with $Y=0$. Next we suppose that (4) holds with $Y=0$ and prove (3) with $Y=0$. From (6) and (9) we have that

$$(13) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} E\left\{s^\tau L(s) \cdot T^{-\tau} \int_0^T \overline{X(\tau)} d\tau\right\} = \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} s^\tau \int_0^\infty e^{-st} E\left\{X(t) \cdot T^{-\tau} \int_0^T \overline{X(\tau)} d\tau\right\} dt = 0$$

uniformly in $T > 0$. From (8) we see that the conditions of the second part of Lemma are satisfied with $A_1 \equiv 0$ by the class $\{\alpha_T(t); T > 0\}$ of functions

$$\alpha_T(t) = \int_0^t E \left\{ X(u) \cdot T^{-r} \int_0^T \overline{X(\tau)} d\tau \right\} du.$$

Hence

$$(14) \quad \lim_{T' \rightarrow \infty} T'^{-r} \int_0^{T'} E \left\{ X(t) \cdot T^{-r} \int_0^T \overline{X(\tau)} d\tau \right\} dt = \lim_{T' \rightarrow \infty} E \left\{ T'^{-r} \int_0^{T'} X(t) dt \cdot T^{-r} \int_0^T \overline{X(\tau)} d\tau \right\} = 0$$

uniformly in $T > 0$, and therefore we have

$$(15) \quad \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} E \left[\left| T^{-r} \int_0^T X(t) dt \right|^2 \right] = 0,$$

which implies (3) with $Y = 0$. Thus our theorem is proved.

It follows immediately the following

COROLLARY 1. *Let $\{X(t); t > 0\}$ be a stochastic process such that $\int_0^T X(t) dt$ exists for every finite $T > 0$, and let $E\{|X(t)|^2\}$ be bounded for $t \geq 0$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$(16) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X(t) dt = 0$$

is that

$$(17) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow +0} s^2 \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-st} e^{-s\tau} \rho(t, \tau) dt d\tau = 0,$$

where

$$\rho(t, \tau) = E\{X(t) \overline{X(\tau)}\}.$$

We state the discrete analogue of Theorem 1 in the following

THEOREM 2. *Let $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables and assume that there exists a constant $\gamma > 0$ such that $n^{2-2\gamma} E\{|X_n|^2\}$ is bounded for $n \geq 1$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$(18) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} n^{-\gamma} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k = \frac{Y}{\Gamma(\gamma+1)}$$

is that

$$(19) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{s \rightarrow 1-0} (1-s)^\gamma \sum_{k=1}^\infty s^k X_k = Y.$$

Proof. Define a stochastic process $\{X(t); t \geq 0\}$ by $X(t) = X_n$ for $n \leq t < n+1$, where $X_0 \equiv 0$, and apply Theorem 1.

COROLLARY 2. *Let $\{X_n; n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of random variables. Suppose that $E\{|X_n|^2\}$ is bounded for $n \geq 1$. Then a necessary and sufficient condition that*

$$(20) \quad \text{l.i.m.}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n X_k = 0$$

is that

$$(21) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 1-0} (1-s)^2 \sum_{k,l=1}^{\infty} \rho_{k,l} s^{k+l} = 0,$$

where

$$\rho_{k,l} = E\{X_k \bar{X}_l\}.$$

REMARK 1. When $\gamma > 1$, the assumption in Theorem 1 that $\sqrt{E\{|\bar{X}(t)|^2\}} \leq Mt^{\gamma-1}$ for every t may be weakened. In fact, we have the result of Theorem 1 under the assumption that $\sqrt{E\{|\bar{X}(t)|^2\}} \leq M(1+t^{\gamma-1})$ for every t .

REMARK 2. In the case $\gamma = 0$, we have also theorems analogous to Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

REMARK 3. The weak law of large numbers for the class of weakly stationary processes follows from our theorems. In fact, let $\{X(t); t \geq 0\}$ be a weakly stationary process, and let $\rho(t) = E\{X(t+\tau)\bar{X}(\tau)\}$ be its covariance function with spectral representation

$$\rho(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda t} dF(\lambda),$$

where $F(\lambda)$ is the spectral distribution function of $\{X(t); t \geq 0\}$. Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & s^2 \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} e^{-s\tau} \rho(t-\tau) dt d\tau \\ &= s^2 \int_0^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} e^{-s\tau} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\lambda(t-\tau)} dF(\lambda) \right\} dt d\tau \\ &= s^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(s-i\lambda)t} dt \right|^2 dF(\lambda) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{s^2}{s^2 + \lambda^2} dF(\lambda) \end{aligned}$$

converges to zero as $s \rightarrow +0$ if and only if $F(\lambda)$ is continuous at $\lambda = 0$. Hence by Corollary 1, (16) holds if and only if $F(\lambda)$ is continuous at $\lambda = 0$. The discrete analogue is obtained in a similar way.

REFERENCE

- [1] WIDDER, D. V., The Laplace Transform, Princeton (1946).

SCIENCE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO,
CHŪBU INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.