

# A REMARK ON AN IRREGULAR SINGULAR POINT OF THE SECOND ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION

BY TOSIYA SAITO

1. Preliminaries. Let the system

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{dY}{dx} = Y \sum_{p=-s}^{\infty} T_p x^p$$

be given, where  $Y$  is an unknown  $n$ - $n$  matrix and  $T_p$  are constant  $n$ - $n$  matrices. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that the radius of convergence of the series in the right-hand member of (1.1) is greater than unity.

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the domain in the complex  $x$ -plane such that

$$0 < \rho_1 \leq |x| \leq \rho_2 < 1$$

and  $b$  be an arbitrary point of this domain. Then, after the notation of Lappo-Danilevsky [1], we denote by  $Y(b|x)$  a solution of (1.1) satisfying the initial condition

$$Y = E \quad \text{for} \quad x = b,$$

where, as usual,  $E$  denotes a unit matrix.

Let  $C$  be a contour starting from  $b$  and turning around the origin in positive sense in the domain  $\mathfrak{B}$ . Carrying out the procedure of analytic continuation along this curve,  $Y(b|x)$  will turn into a matrix  $V(b)$  which is, in general, different from  $E$ .

To obtain the analytical expression of the solution of (1.1) valid in  $\mathfrak{B}$ , we must first obtain the eigenvalues of  $V(b)$ . If  $x=0$  is a regular singular point of (1.1), these eigenvalues can easily be calculated by a well-known algebraic method. However, when  $x=0$  is an irregular singular point, this method is inapplicable, and, in most of the cases, we have no means to calculate these eigenvalues as yet.

Lappo-Danilevsky [1] has tried to obtain the explicit form of the matrix  $V(b)$ , and succeeded to express it in power series of  $b$  which can be written in the form

$$(1.2) \quad V(b) = E + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_\nu=-s}^{\infty} T_{p_1} \dots T_{p_\nu} b^{p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu} \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_\mu}^{(0)*} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\nu-\mu} \alpha_{p_{\mu+1}, \dots, p_\nu}^{(\kappa)} (2\pi i)^\kappa,$$

where  $\alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_\nu}^{(\mu)}$  and  $\alpha_{p_1, \dots, p_\nu}^{(\mu)*}$  are defined by following complicated recurrence formulae:

$$\alpha_{p_1}^{(0)} = \frac{1}{p_1 + 1}, \quad \alpha_{p_1}^{(0)*} = -\frac{1}{p_1 + 1} \quad (p_1 + 1 \neq 0),$$

$$\alpha_{p_1}^{(1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & (p_1 + 1 \neq 0), \\ 1 & (p_1 + 1 = 0), \end{cases} \quad \alpha_{p_1}^{(1)*} = \begin{cases} 0 & (p_1 + 1 \neq 0), \\ -1 & (p_1 + 1 = 0), \end{cases}$$

---

Received August 17, 1960.

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\nu}^{(\nu)} &= \alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\nu}^{(\nu)*} = 0 \quad (p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu \neq 0), \\
\alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\nu}^{(\mu)} &= \frac{1}{p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu} \left[ \alpha_{p_1 \dots p_{\nu-1}}^{(\mu)} - \frac{\mu + 1}{p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu} \alpha_{p_1 \dots p_{\nu-1}}^{(\mu+1)} + \dots \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (-1)^{\nu-\mu-1} \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2) \dots (\nu-1)}{(p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu)^{\nu-\mu-1}} \alpha_{p_1 \dots p_{\nu-1}}^{(\nu-1)} \right], \\
\alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\nu}^{(\mu)*} &= \frac{-1}{p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu} \left[ \alpha_{p_2 \dots p_\nu}^{(\mu)*} - \frac{\mu + 1}{p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu} \alpha_{p_2 \dots p_\nu}^{(\mu+1)*} + \dots \right. \\
(1.3) \quad &\quad \left. + (-1)^{\nu-\mu-1} \frac{(\mu+1)(\mu+2) \dots (\nu-1)}{(p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu)^{\nu-\mu-1}} \alpha_{p_2 \dots p_\nu}^{(\nu-1)*} \right] \\
&\quad (\mu = 0, 1, \dots, \nu - 1; p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu \neq 0), \\
\alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\nu}^{(\mu)} &= \frac{1}{\mu} \alpha_{p_1 \dots p_{\nu-1}}^{(\mu-1)}, \quad \alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\nu}^{(\mu)*} = -\frac{1}{\mu} \alpha_{p_2 \dots p_\nu}^{(\mu-1)*}, \\
&\quad (\mu = 1, 2, \dots, \nu; p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu = 0), \\
\alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\nu}^{(0)} &: \text{arbitrary} \quad (p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu = 0), \\
\sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\mu}^{(0)} \alpha_{p_{\mu+1} \dots p_\nu}^{(0)} &= 0 \quad (p_1 + \dots + p_\nu + \nu = 0), \quad \text{where} \\
\alpha_{p_1 \dots p_\mu}^{(0)*} &= 1 \quad \text{for } \mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_{p_{\mu+1} \dots p_\nu}^{(0)*} = 1 \quad \text{for } \mu = \nu.
\end{aligned}$$

In what follows, we try to draw some conclusion about the irregular singular point of the second order linear differential equation availing the above stated result of Lappo-Danilevsky.

**2. Matrix  $V(b)$  associated with the second order equation.** The equation we are to consider in this paper is the second order linear equation of the form

$$\frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} = f(x)y, \quad f(x) = \sum_{p=-s}^{\infty} f_p x^p,$$

where the radius of convergence of  $\sum f_p x^p$  is supposed to be greater than unity. Putting

$$y = y_1, \quad \frac{dy}{dx} = y_2, \quad \vec{y} = (y_1, y_2),$$

this equation will be transformed into a linear system

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d\vec{y}}{dx} = \vec{y} \sum_{p=-s}^{\infty} T_p x^p, \quad T_p = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_p \\ \delta_{0p} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $\delta_{0p}$  denotes a Kronecker's symbol. An associated matrix equation will be written as

$$(2.2) \quad \frac{dY}{dx} = Y \sum_{p=-s}^{\infty} T_p x^p.$$

We shall apply to this system the result of Lappo-Danilevsky stated in the preceding section.

Let

$$(y_1^{(i)}, y_2^{(i)}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

be the fundamental system of solutions of (2.1) such that

$$y_1^{(1)}(b) = 1, \quad y_2^{(1)}(b) = 0, \quad y_1^{(2)}(b) = 0, \quad y_2^{(2)}(b) = 1.$$

Then, naturally, the solution  $Y(b|x)$  of (2.2) will be

$$Y(b|x) = \begin{bmatrix} y_1^{(1)} & y_2^{(1)} \\ y_1^{(2)} & y_2^{(2)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d|Y(b|x)|}{dx} &= (y_1^{(1)}y_2^{(2)} - y_1^{(2)}y_2^{(1)})', \\ &= y_1^{(1)'}y_2^{(2)} + y_1^{(1)}y_2^{(2)'} - y_1^{(2)'}y_2^{(1)} - y_1^{(2)}y_2^{(1)'}, \\ &= y_2^{(1)}y_2^{(2)} + fy_1^{(1)}y_1^{(2)} - y_2^{(2)}y_2^{(1)} - fy_1^{(2)}y_1^{(1)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|Y(b|b)| = |E| = 1,$$

we have

$$|Y(b|x)| \equiv 1.$$

Therefore, from the definition of  $V(b)$ , we have

$$|V(b)| = 1,$$

which shows that the product of the eigenvalues of  $V(b)$  is equal to 1. Hence these eigenvalues can be written as

$$e^{2\pi i\lambda}, \quad e^{-2\pi i\lambda}.$$

Thus, to calculate the eigenvalues of  $V(b)$ , it is sufficient to know the value of

$$\text{trace } V(b) = e^{2\pi i\lambda} + e^{-2\pi i\lambda}.$$

**3. Calculation of trace  $V(b)$ .** From formula (1.2), we immediately have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } V(b) &= 2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p_1, \dots, p_\nu = -\nu}^{\infty} \text{trace}(T_{p_1} \cdots T_{p_\nu}) b^{p_1 + \cdots + p_\nu + \nu} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_\mu}^{*(0)} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\nu-\mu} \alpha_{p_{\mu+1} \cdots p_\nu}^{(\kappa)} (2\pi i)^\kappa. \end{aligned}$$

As is well known, the eigenvalues of  $V(b)$  and, consequently,  $\text{trace } V(b)$  must be independent of  $b$ . Therefore, in the expression of  $\text{trace } V(b)$  written above, all the terms containing the positive powers of  $b$  must vanish. Hence we have

$$\text{trace } V(b) = 2 + \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p_1 + \cdots + p_\nu = -\nu} \text{trace}(T_{p_1} \cdots T_{p_\nu}) \sum_{\mu=0}^{\nu} \alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_\mu}^{*(0)} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{\nu-\mu} \alpha_{p_{\mu+1} \cdots p_\nu}^{(\kappa)} (2\pi i)^\kappa.$$

As can easily be shown by induction, product of  $n$  matrices of the form

$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  is of the form

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 \\ 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } n \text{ is even,} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & * \\ * & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ if } n \text{ is odd.}$$

Therefore, if  $\nu$  is an odd number, we have

$$\text{trace}(T_{p_1} \cdots T_{p_\nu}) = 0.$$

So we have only to consider the case when  $\nu = 2n$ .

Since

$$T_{p_j} T_{p_{j+1}} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_{p_j} \\ \delta_{0p_j} & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & f_{p_{j+1}} \\ \delta_{0p_{j+1}} & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{0p_{j+1}} f_{p_j} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{0p_j} f_{p_{j+1}} \end{bmatrix},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{p_1} \cdots T_{p_{2n}} &= (T_{p_1} T_{p_2}) \cdots (T_{p_{2n-1}} T_{p_{2n}}) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{0p_2} f_{p_1} & 0 \\ \delta_{0p_1} & f_{p_2} \end{bmatrix} \cdots \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{0p_{2n}} f_{p_{2n-1}} & 0 \\ \delta_{0p_{2n-1}} & f_{p_{2n}} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \delta_{0p_2} \delta_{0p_4} \cdots \delta_{0p_{2n}} f_{p_1} f_{p_3} \cdots f_{p_{2n-1}} & 0 \\ 0 & \delta_{0p_1} \delta_{0p_3} \cdots \delta_{0p_{2n-1}} f_{p_2} f_{p_4} \cdots f_{p_{2n}} \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{trace}(T_{p_1} \cdots T_{p_{2n}}) \\ &= \delta_{0p_2} \delta_{0p_4} \cdots \delta_{0p_{2n}} f_{p_1} f_{p_3} \cdots f_{p_{2n-1}} + \delta_{0p_1} \delta_{0p_3} \cdots \delta_{0p_{2n-1}} f_{p_2} f_{p_4} \cdots f_{p_{2n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the summation is to be made for the indices  $p_1, \dots, p_{2n}$  for which

$$p_1 + \cdots + p_{2n} = -2n,$$

$p_1, \dots, p_{2n}$  can never vanish simultaneously. In other words, at least one of the indices  $p_1, \dots, p_{2n}$ , say  $p_j$ , is different from zero. Then

$$\text{trace}(T_{p_1} \cdots T_{p_{2n}}) = \begin{cases} \delta_{0p_2} \delta_{0p_4} \cdots \delta_{0p_{2n}} f_{p_1} f_{p_3} \cdots f_{p_{2n-1}} & \text{if } j \text{ is odd,} \\ \delta_{0p_1} \delta_{0p_3} \cdots \delta_{0p_{2n-1}} f_{p_2} f_{p_4} \cdots f_{p_{2n}} & \text{if } j \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Therefore the non-vanishing value of  $\text{trace}(T_{p_1} \cdots T_{p_{2n}})$  is obtained only if one of the following situations is realized:

- 1)  $p_2 = p_4 = \cdots = p_{2n} = 0$ ,
- 2)  $p_1 = p_3 = \cdots = p_{2n-1} = 0$ .

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{trace } V(b) \\ (3.1) \quad &= 2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{p_1+p_3+\cdots+p_{2n-1}=-2n} f_{p_1} f_{p_3} \cdots f_{p_{2n-1}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{2n} \alpha_{p_1 0 p_3 \cdots p_{2n-1}}^{(0)*} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{2n-\mu} \alpha_{p_{\mu+1} \cdots p_{2n-1} 0}^{(\kappa)} (2\pi i)^{\kappa} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{p_2+p_4+\cdots+p_{2n}=-2n} f_{p_2} f_{p_4} \cdots f_{p_{2n}} \sum_{\mu=0}^{2n} \alpha_{0 p_2 0 \cdots p_{\mu}}^{(0)*} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{2n-\mu} \alpha_{p_{\mu+1} \cdots p_{2n}}^{(\kappa)} (2\pi i)^{\kappa} \right]. \end{aligned}$$

4. Further reduction. Written in detail, the term

$$\sum_{\mu=0}^{2n} \alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_{\mu}}^{(0)*} \sum_{\kappa=0}^{2n-\mu} \alpha_{p_{\mu+1} \cdots p_{2n}}^{(\kappa)} (2\pi i)^{\kappa}$$

in the expression of  $V(b)$  will be

$$\begin{aligned} &(\alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(0)} + \alpha_{p_1}^{(0)} \alpha_{p_2 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(0)} + \alpha_{p_1 p_2}^{(0)} \alpha_{p_3 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(0)} + \cdots + \alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(0)}) \\ &+ (2\pi i) (\alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(1)} + \alpha_{p_1}^{(0)} \alpha_{p_2 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(1)} + \alpha_{p_1 p_2}^{(0)} \alpha_{p_3 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(1)} + \cdots + \alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_{2n-1}}^{(0)} \alpha_{p_{2n}}^{(1)}) \\ &+ \cdots \\ &+ (2\pi i)^{2n-1} (\alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(2n-1)} + \alpha_{p_1}^{(0)} \alpha_{p_2 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(2n-1)}) \\ &+ (2\pi i)^{2n} \alpha_{p_1 \cdots p_{2n}}^{(2n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $p_1 + \cdots + p_n = -2n$ , we have



we have, by taking the relation (4.2) into account,

$$(4.3) \quad \text{trace } V(b) = 2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{p_1 + \dots + p_n = -2n} \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} a_{p_1 \dots p_n}^{(2r)} (2\pi i)^{2r} \right] f_{p_1} \dots f_{p_n}.$$

From (4.3) we can immediately see that, if

1)  $p_j \geq -2$ , i.e.  $x = 0$  is a regular singular point,

or if

2)  $p_j \leq -2$ , i.e.  $x = \infty$  is a regular singular point,

trace  $V(b)$  is a function of  $f_{-2}$  only, because, in these cases, the relation

$$p_1 + \dots + p_n = -2n$$

can be realized when and only when

$$p_1 = \dots = p_n = -2.$$

Except these well-known cases, eigenvalues of  $V(b)$  seem to depend upon all the coefficients  $f_p$ . Explicit calculation shows

$$\begin{aligned} \text{trace } V(b) &= 2 + (2\pi i)^2 (f_{-2}^2 - f_{-1} f_{-3}) \\ &+ (2\pi i)^2 \left( -2f_{-2}^3 + 3f_{-1} f_{-2} f_{-3} - \sum_{j \neq -2, -3} \frac{2}{(j+2)(j+3)} f_{-1} f_j f_{-5-j} \right. \\ &\left. + \sum_{j \neq -1, -2, -3} \frac{4}{(j+3)(j+1)} f_{-2} f_j f_{-4-j} - \sum_{j \neq -1, -2} \frac{2}{(j+1)(j+2)} f_{-3} f_j f_{-3-j} \right) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

In the forthcoming paper, we are intending to treat the simplest case

$$f(x) = \frac{f_{-3}}{x^3} + \frac{f_{-2}}{x^2} + \frac{f_{-1}}{x}$$

in further detail.

#### REFERENCE

- [ 1 ] LAPPO-DANILEVSKY, J. A., Mémoires sur la théorie des systèmes des équations différentielles linéaires. Chelsea, 1953; Mém. III.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.