## **REMARKS ON HOLOMORPHIC AUTOMORPHISMS OF A SIMPLY-CONNECTED NORMAL DOMAIN IN SEVERAL COMPLEX VARIABLES**

## BY HIRAKU ARAI

1. In the theory of several complex variables, a domain is called rigid (" starr") if it admits no holomorphic automorphism other than the identity; see Behnke and Thullen [1], Holomorphic automorphisms and rigidity of a simply-connected normal domain have been studied by several authors. Though some examples of rigid domains were expicitly constructed for the first time by Cartan and Thullen [4], they are not domains of holomorphy. Behnke and Peschl [2] have then succeeded to construct domains of holomorphy which admit only the identical holomorphic automorphism by means of Caratheo dory's metric and Lindelöf's inequality. Rothstein [7] has also shown important properties of holomorphic automorphisms of a normal domain in the case of two variables, but his results do not completely characterize the pro perties of holomorphic automorphisms. Recently, Hedtfeld [5] has given sufficient conditions for rigidity of simply-connected normal domains by means of Rothstein's results together with analytic projection.

In this paper, we shall give a complete characterization of all holomorphic automorphisms of a simply-connected normal domain and then esta blish a necessary and sufficient condition in order that a simply-connected normal domain is to be rigid. By the same method, we can solve the problem how to determine all holomorphic homeomorphisms of a simply-connected normal domain onto another.

The author wishes to thank to Professors Y. Komatu and S. Hitotumatu for help and advice in the improvement of this paper.

2. We first define a normal domain in the space of *n* complex variables, which has been defined by Rothstein [7] and Hedtfeld [5] in case of two variables.

DEFINITION 1.  $A$  domain  $D\subset\mathcal{C}^n$  is said normal when it satisfies the *following conditions:*

1) *D is bounded.*

2) *The boundary of D consists of a finite number of smooth hyper* $surfaces \Phi_i(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) = 0, z_j = x_j + iy_j, i = 1, \dots, p; j = 1, \dots, n; p \geq n.$ 

Received January 22, 1959.

3) Each  $\Phi_i = 0$  is defined by analytic hypersurface  $f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \gamma_i(t)$ *with*  $A \le t \le B$ *, Y<sub>i</sub>(t) being continuous. All*  $f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  *are holomorphic in D, the closure of D.*

4) For every  $f_{i_1}(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$  with  $1 \leq i_1 \leq p$ , there exist at least  $n - 1$ *holomorphic functions*  $f_{i_2}, \dots, f_{i_n}$  with  $1 \leq i_j \leq p, j = 2, \dots, n$ , such that

$$
\frac{\partial (f_{i_1},\,\cdots,\,f_{i_n})}{\partial (z_1,\,\cdots,\,z_n)}\not\equiv 0\ \ in\ \ \bar{D}.
$$

By a work of Sommer [8], we can characterize any normal domain as a domain whose each boundary component  $\Phi_i(x_1, y_1, \dots, x_n, y_n) = 0$  satisfies  $L(\Phi_i) = 0$ ,  $L(\Phi_i)$  being the Levi's symbol, and is locally represented by  $f_i(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \gamma_i(t)$  where  $f_i$  is holomorphic in  $D$ .

Now, we consider a function  $f(z_1, \dots, z_n)$  holomorphic in  $D$  and an ana lytic projection defined by it. The notion of analytic projection has been given precisely by Koch in his Dissertation  $\lceil 6 \rceil$ .

DEFINITION 2. *Analytic projection, or holomorphic basis,* ( $\Re$ ,  $\varphi$ ) *defined by a holomorphic map f of D into an analytic space X is a collection of an analytic space 9t and a holomorphic map ψ of D onto 9t such that for any holomorphic map g which is holomorphically dependent* (" *holomorph abhdngig ") in the sense of Stein* [9, 10, 11] *on f, there exists a holomorphic map*  $\mathfrak{X}(p)$ ,  $p \in \mathbb{R}$ , satisfying  $g(x) = \mathfrak{X}(\varphi(x))$ ,  $x \in D$ . We call  $\varphi$  a projection *map of D onto*  $\Re$ .

We say that four points  $P_1$ ,  $P_2$ ,  $Q_1$ ,  $Q_2$  in *D* satisfy the relation:

(1)  $A(\text{P}_1, \text{P}_2; \text{Q}_1, \text{Q}_2)/(\Re, \varphi)$ 

if the following conditions hold:

1) There exist two Jordan curves  $C_1(t)$  and  $C_2(t)$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ , connecting  $P_1, Q_1 \text{ and } P_2, Q_2, \text{ respectively, and } C_1(0) = P_1, C_1(1) = Q_1, C_2(0) = P_2, C_2(1) = Q_2.$ 2) All points on  $C_1(t)$  and  $C_2(t)$  are ordinary points of  $\varphi$  except their end

 $\rm points$   $\rm Q_1, \; Q_2.$ 

3) For all  $t$ ,  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $\varphi$  $[C_1(t)] = \varphi$  $[C_2(t)]$ .

In the construction of a holomorphic basis, the equivalent classes of points in *D* (see Koch [6], Stein [9, 10, 11]) have been considered and it is known that two points  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  are equivalent, if  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  are equivalent and  $A(\mathrm{P}_1, \mathrm{P}_2; \mathrm{Q}_1, \mathrm{Q}_2) / (\Re, \varphi)$  holds.

Now, we consider analytic projections  $(\Re_i, \varphi_i)$  defined by  $f_i(z_1, \, \cdots, \, z_n)$  ( $i{=}1$ ,  $\cdots$ , p), where  $f_i$  are given in 3) of Definition 1, and a holomorphic automorphism *F* of a simply-connected normal domain *D.* We then have the follow ing Rothstein's theorem.

LEMMA 1. (Rothstein [7]) *Let F be a holomorphic automorphism of*

*a simply-connected normal domain Ό. Then there exist holomorphic maps*  $h_{i\tau(i)}$  of  $\Re_i$  onto  $\Re_{\tau(i)}$  such that

$$
f_{\tau(i)}(z_1,\,\cdots,\,z_n)=h_{\iota\tau(i)}(f_i(z_1,\,\cdots,\,z_n)).
$$

For a precise characterization of all holomorphic automorphisms of a sim  $\mathbf{p}$ ly-connected normal domain, we consider a holomorphic map  $\varPhi_{1...n} = (\varphi_1, \, \cdots, \, \varphi_n)$ of *D* into a product space of Riemann surfaces  $\Re_1, \cdots, \Re_n$  defined by projection maps  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_n$ , and we denote the image of  $\varPhi_1 \dots n$  by  $M_1 \dots n = \varPhi_1 \dots n(D)$ .

We now define a notion of an admissible system of *n* holomorphic func tions.

DEFINITION 3. *A system of n functions*  $f_1(z_1, \ldots, z_n), \ldots, f_n(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ *holomorphic in D is said to be admissible when*

$$
J(f_1(z_1, \,\cdot\cdot\cdot, \,z_n), \cdot\cdot\cdot, f_n(z_1, \,\cdot\cdot\cdot, \,z_n))=\frac{\partial (f_1, \,\cdot\cdot\cdot, \,f_n)}{\partial (z_1, \,\cdot\cdot\cdot, \,z_n)}\not\equiv 0 \,\, \hbox{ in }\,\, \bar{D}.
$$

*If*  $(j_1, \cdots, j_n)$  is a permutation of  $(i_1, \cdots, i_n)$ , then  $(f_{j_1}, \cdots, f_{j_n})$  and  $(f_{i_1},$ *fι n ) are regarded as the same admissible system.*

We consider for all admissible systems taken from  $(f_1, \dots, f_p)$  the maps  $\varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$  defined by  $\varphi_{i_1}, \cdots, \varphi_{i_n}$ . We have a set of all  $M_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$  and the number of  $M_{i_1...i_n}$  is at most  $\begin{pmatrix} p \\ n \end{pmatrix}$ . If F is a holomorphic automorphism of D, then by Lemma 1 *F* induces a holomorphic automorphism  $h_{1r(1)} \times \cdots \times h_{pr(p)}$  of  $\Re_1 \times \cdots \times \Re_p$  onto itself such that

$$
f_{j_k} = h_{i_l \tau(i_l)}(f_{i_l}) \qquad (j_k = \tau(i_l)).
$$

Hence, if  $(f_{i_1}(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, f_{i_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n))$  is an admissible system, then  $(f_{j1}(z_1, \ldots, z_n), \ldots, f_{j_n}(z_1, \ldots, z_n))$  is also admissible, and

$$
M_{j_1...j_n} = h_{i_1(i)}(M_{i_1...i_n}), \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M_{i_1...i_n} = \varphi_{i_1...i_n}(D), \\ M_{j_1...j_n} = \varphi_{j_1...j_n}(D). \end{array} \right.
$$

Thus we have:

LEMMA 2.  $\{M_{i_1\cdots i_n}\}$  is a set of  $M_{i_1\cdots i_n}$  defined by admissible systms. *Let F be a holomorphic automorphism of D, then F induces a holomorphic*  $\bm{a}$ utomorphism  $\{h_{\iota \tau(i)}\}$  of the product space  $\Re_1 \times \cdots \times \Re_p$  such that each  $h_{\iota_1 \tau(i_1)}$  $\times \cdots \times h_{i_n \tau(i_n)}$  is a holomorphic map of  $M_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$  onto  $M_{j_1 \cdots j_n}$ .

For a characterization of holomorphic automorphisms of a simply-con nected normal domain *D,* the following Lemma 3 is essential.

LEMMA 3. Let  $\Phi_{i_1\cdots i_n} = (\varphi_{i_1}, \cdots, \varphi_{i_n})$  be a holomorphic map of D into  $\mathfrak{R}_{i_1} \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{R}_{i_n}$ , then  $(M_{i_1 \cdots i_n}, \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_n})$  is a holomorphic basis for the map  $\Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ .

*Proof.* We first remark that, if *H* is a holomorphic automorphism of

 $\mathfrak{R}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathfrak{R}_n$  such that

$$
H(M_{i_1\cdots i_n})=M_{j_1\cdots j_n}
$$

holds for admissible systems  $(f_{i_1}, \dots, f_{i_n})$  and  $(f_{j_1}, \dots, f_{j_n})$ , then *H* induces a locally one-to-one holomorphic map of  $D - \{z; J(f_{i_1}, \ldots, f_{i_n}) = 0, J(f_{j_1}, \ldots, f_{j_n})\}$  $f_{j_n}$  $=$  0} onto itself.

The global rank of  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}$  is *n*, and therefore the existence of a holo morphic basis has been proved by Stein [10]. Let *Φ* be a holomorphic map of *D* onto an analytic space *X* and *(X, Φ)* be a holomorphic basis for the map  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}$ . Then  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}$  and  $\Phi$  are holomorphically dependent on each other, that is,

Rank 
$$
(\Phi_{i_1...i_n}) =
$$
Rank  $(\Phi, \ \Phi_{i_1...i_n}) =$ Rank  $(\Phi)$ .

On the other hand, from Definition 2 of a holomorphic basis, there exists a holomorphic map  $\chi$  of  $X$  onto  $M_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$  such that

$$
\varPhi_{i_1\ldots i_n} = \chi \circ \varPhi.
$$

Therefore

Rank *x = n*

at every point (*z*) with Rank  $(\varPhi_{i_1...i_n}(z)) = n$ . Now  $f_{i_1} \cdots f_{i_n}$  are holomorphic in  $\overline{D}$ , therefore the fibres of  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}$  over a point p of  $M_{i_1...i_n}$  consist of only a finite number of analytic sets. This shows that the set  $\chi^{-1}(p)$  consists of a finite number of points in X and  $\chi$  is a proper map. By a theorem on holomorphic maps (see Cartan [4], Theorem 1) *X* is locally homeomorphic except the set

$$
\{p; p \in \Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_n} [J(\Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}(z)) = 0]\}.
$$

Hence we have local holomorphic inverses  $\chi_{U(p)}^{-1}$  of  $\chi$  in a neighborhood  $U(p)$ of  $p \in M_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ . The aggregate of all  $\chi_{U(p)}^{-1}$  with  $p \in M_{i_1 \cdots i_n} - [\varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \{ J(\varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}) = 0 \} ]$  $\text{defines a many-valued holomorphic map of } M_{i_1 \cdots i_n} - [\varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \{ J(\varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}) = 0 \} ] \text{ onto }$  $X - [\Phi\{J(\Phi) = 0\}]$ .

Now we prove that  $M_{i_1...i_n}$  is simply-connected. Consider any closed curve C on  $M_{i_1\cdots i_n}$ . We may suppose that C consists of the image of ordinary points of the holomorphic map *Φi<sup>1</sup> ...ι n ,* since the dimension of singularities of *M*<sub>*l*</sub><sup>*i...n*</sup><sub>*n*</sub> is at most 2*n* - 3. Then the inverse image of *C* by  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}$  consists of a finite number of curves  $C_1, \dots, C_m$ . Two end points  $(z^1)$  and  $(z^2)$  of  $C_1$ are equivalent with respect to the functions  $f_{i_1}(z_1, \dots, z_n), \dots, f_{i_n}(z_1, \dots, z_n)$ , i.e.,

$$
f_{i_1}(z^1) = f_{i_1}(z^2), \cdots, f_{i_n}(z^1) = f_{i_n}(z^2)
$$

and there exist *n* points  $(z^{i_1}), \dots, (z^{i_n})$  in *D* and 2*n* curves  $C_{i_1}, \dots, C_{i_n}, C_{i'_1}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $C_{i_n}$ ' such that  $C_{i_k}$  and  $C_{i_k}$ ' ( $k = 1, \cdots, n$ ) satisfy the relation (1) of a holomorphic basis. Therefore we have a closed curve  $C_{i_1}^{-1}C_1C_{i_1}$ , which is retractible to a point in *D*. Now we consider the image of  $C_{i_1}^{-1}C_1C_{i_1}$ ' by  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}$ . It is retractible to a point by a deformation  $F(p, t)$  ( $0 \le t \le 1$ ). The restriction of  $F(p, t)$  on C is a deformation of C. Thus  $M_{i_1 \ldots i_n}$  is simply-connected.

Therefore the aggregate  $\{ \chi_{\sigma(p)}^{-1} \}$  defines a one-valued holomorphic function  $\chi^{-1}$ on  $M_{i_1 \cdots i_n} - [\varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \{ J(\varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}) = 0 \} ]$  with its value in X.

Now we prove that  $\chi^{-1}$  is a continuous map of  $M_{i_1...i_n}$  onto X. For this it is sufficient to prove that  $\chi^{-1}$  is continuous on  $\{\Phi_{i_1\cdots i_n}(J(\Phi_{i_1\cdots i_n})=0)\}$ . Suppose contrarily that  $\chi^{-1}$  is discontinuous at a point  $p_0 \in {\varphi_{i_1...i_n}}(J(\varPhi_{i_1...i_n})=0)$ . Then there exist two distinct sequences of points  ${p_r}$  and  ${p_s}$  both converg ing to *po* such that

$$
x=\lim (\chi^{-1}(p_r))\neq \lim (\chi^{-1}(p_s))=\widetilde{x},\qquad x,\ \widetilde{x}\in X.
$$

 $\chi$  is holomorphic at x and  $\tilde{x}$ , we get

$$
\chi(x)=\chi(\lim \chi^{-1}(p_r))=\chi(\lim \chi^{-1}(p_s))=\chi(\widetilde{x})=p_0.
$$

Therefore for disjoint neighborhoods  $U(x)$  and  $U(\tilde{x})$ , there exist two neighborhoods  $U_1(p_0)$  and  $U_2(p_0)$  such that

$$
\chi_{U_{1}(p_{0})}^{-1}(U_{1}(p_{0}) - [\Phi_{i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}\{J(\Phi_{i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}) = 0\}]) \subset U(x),
$$
  

$$
\chi_{U_{2}(p_{0})}^{-1}(U_{2}(p_{0}) - [\Phi_{i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}\{J(\Phi_{i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}) = 0\}]) \subset U(\tilde{x}).
$$

In the intersection  $U_1(p_0) - [D_{i_1...i_n} \{ J(\Phi_{i_1...i_n}) = 0 \}]$  and  $U_2(p_0) = 0$ }] there exists at least a point p for which  $\chi^{-1}$  is one-valued in a neigh borhood of *p.* On the other hand, we have

$$
\chi_{U_{1}(p_0)}^{-1}(p) \in U(x), \qquad \chi_{U_{2}(p_0)}^{-1}(p) \in U(\tilde{x})
$$

This contradicts the one-valuedness of  $\chi^{-1}$  proved above. Thus we have a continuous map of  $M_{i_1\cdots i_n}$  onto X. By a theorem of removable singularities, we have a holomorphic map  $\chi^{-1}$  of  $M_{i_1...i_n}$  onto X such that

$$
\varPhi = \varPhi_{i_1 \cdots i_n} \circ \chi^{-1}.
$$

Thus  $(X, \Phi)$  and  $(M_{i_1...i_n}, \Phi_{i_1...i_n})$  are equivalent and our Lemma 3 has been proved.

## 3. Now we can state the following

THEOREM 1. *Let D be a simply-connected normal domain. Then for each holomorphic automorphism of D there exists a holomorphic automorphism of*  $\{M_{i_1...i_n}\}$ *. Conversely, any holomorphic automorphism H of*  $\Re_1 \times \cdots \times \Re_p$ :

$$
w_j = h_{i \tau(i)}(w_i), \quad w_i \in \Re_i, \quad w_j \in \Re_j,
$$

*which is also a holomorphic homeomorphism of*  $\{M_{i_1...i_n}\}$ , *induces a holomorphic automorphism of D.*

*Proof.* The first assertion is the same as Lemma 2. Therefore we prove the second. We first prove that a holomorphic map  $\Phi_{i_1\cdots i_n}$ :  $D \rightarrow M_{i_1\cdots i_n}$  is a holomorphic homeomorphism. Suppose contrarily that there exist two dis tinct points ( $z^0$ ), ( $z^1$ )  $\in D$  such that  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}(z^0) = \Phi_{i_1...i_n}(z^1)$ , then ( $z^0$ ) and ( $z^1$ ) are

equivalent. By Lemma 3,  $(M_{i_1\cdots i_n}, \Phi_{i_1\cdots i_n})$  is a holomorphic basis, therefore there exist a point (*z*) and two curves  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  such that  $C_0$  connects the point (z<sup>0</sup>) to (z) and  $C_1$  does the point (z<sup>1</sup>) to (z) and  $A((z), (z); (z^0), (z^1))/(M_{i_1...i_n}$ ,  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}$  holds. Now in a neighborhood  $U(z)$  of (z) there exist two points  $(z^2)$ and (z<sup>3</sup>) such that (z<sup>2</sup>) lies on  $C_0$ , (z<sup>3</sup>) on  $C_1$  and  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}(z^2) = \Phi_{i_1...i_n}(z^3)$  and therefore  $J(\Phi_{i_1...i_n}(z)) = 0$ . On the other hand, since (z) is an ordinary point of  $\Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}$ , we have  $J(\Phi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}(z)) \neq 0$ . This is a contradiction.

Now we consider a holomorphic map of *D* defined by

$$
{F}_{{\imath \jmath}}\,{=}\,\varPhi^{-1}_{{\jmath_{1}}\cdots{\jmath_{n}}}\!\circ\!{H}\!\circ\varPhi_{i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}
$$

which is a holomorphic automorphism induced by *H.* It is necessary to prove that  $F_{ij}$  and  $F_{kl} = \phi_{i_1 \cdots i_n}^{-1} \circ H \circ \phi_{k_1 \cdots k_n}$  are the same holomorphic automorphism of *D.* But we can see this easily by considering two systems *{htτco}* and  ${h_{k\tau(k)}}$  defined by  $F_{ij}$  and  $F_{kl}$ , respectively. Thus our theorem has been proved.

Theorem 1 gives us a complete characterization of all holomorphic auto morphisms of a simply-connected normal domain, and can be used for con struction and determination of rigid simply-connected normal domains.

By using the same terminologies as in theorem 1, we have

COROLLARY 1. *A necessary and sufficient condition that a simply-connected normal domain is rigid is that all holomorphic automorphisms H in theorem* 1 *are identical maps.*

4. We consider a holomorphic homeomorphism of a normal domain onto another. Let *D* and *D<sup>r</sup>* be simply-connected normal domains defined by  $f_i(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = r_i(t)$   $(i = 1, \ldots, p)$  and  $f_j'(z_1, \ldots, z_n) = r_j'(t)$   $(j = 1, \ldots, q)$ , respectively tively, then we have two sets  $\{M_{i_1\cdots i_n}\}$  and  $\{M_{j_1}\cdots j_n\}$  and two sets of maps  $\Phi_{i_1...i_n}: D \to M_{i_1...i_n}$  and  $\Phi'_{j_1...j_n}: D' \to M_{j_1...j_n}$ . If F is a holomorphic homeomorphism of *D* onto *D'*, then we have a holomorphic homeomorphism of  $M_{i_1...i_n}$ onto  $M'_{j_1...j_n}$  defined by

$$
\varPhi_{j_{1}\cdots j_{n}}^{\ \prime}\circ F\circ\varPhi_{i_{1}\cdots i_{n}}^{-1}
$$

and  $p = q$  (see Rothstein [7]).

Conversely, we can state the following

THEOREM 2. Any holomorphic homeomorphism H of  $\Re_1 \times \cdots \times \Re_p$  onto

$$
w_j' = h_{i\tau(i)}(w_i), \quad j = \tau(i), \quad w_i \in \Re_i, \quad w_j' \in \Re_j',
$$

*which is also a holomorphic homeomorphism of*  $\{M_{\iota_1\cdots \iota_n}\}$  *onto*  $\{M'_{\iota_1\cdots \iota_n}\}$ *induces a holomorphic homeomorphism*  $\Phi_{j_1\cdots j_n}^{f^{-1}} \circ H \circ \Phi_{i_1\cdots i_n}$  of D onto D'.

The proof of this theorem is obtained by the same method as that of

theorem 1.

By use of this theorem, we have a necessary and sufficient condition for the possibility of a holomorphic homeomorphism between two simply-connected normal domains.

COROLLARY 2. *A necessary and sufficient condition that a simply-connected normal domain D can be mapped one-to-one holomorphically onto another D<sup>r</sup> is that there exists at least a holomorphic homeomorphism H in theorem* 2.

## **REFERENCES**

- [ I] BEHNKE, H., AND P. THULLEN, Theorie der Funktionen mehrerer komplexer Veränderlichen. Ergebn. d. Math. Bd. III. 3 (1934).
- [ 2 ] BEHNKE, H., AND E. PESCHL, Starre Regularitatsbereiche. Monatsh. fur Math, und Phys. Wien. 43 (1936), 493-502.
- [3] CARTAN, H., Prolongement des espaces analytiques normaux. Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 97-110.
- $\lceil 4 \rceil$  CARTAN, H., AND P. THULLEN, Zur Theorie der Singularitäten der Funktionen mehrerer komplexen Veränderlichen. Regularitäts- und Konvergenzbereiche. Math. Ann. **106** (1932), 617-647.
- [5] HEDTFELD, K., Starre einfach zusammenhängende Holomorphiegebiete. Diss. Münster. (1954).
- [ 6 ] KOCH, K., Die analytische Projektion. Diss. Münster (1953).
- [ 7 ] ROTHSTEIN, W., Das Verhalten der Abbildung auf glatten analytischen Randhyperflächen. Diss. Münster (1935).
- [ 8 ] SOMMER, F., Komplex-analytische Blatterung reeller Mannigfaltigkeiten im *C n .* Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 111-133.
- [ 9 ] STEIN, K., Analytische Projektion komplexer Mannigfaltigkeiten. Colloque sur les fonct. plus. var. Bruxelles (1953), 97-107.
- [10] STEIN, K., Analytische Zerlegungen komplexer Raume. Math. Ann. **132** (1957), 63-93.
- [II] STEIN, K., Die Existenz komplexer Basen zu holomorphen Abbildungen. Math. Ann. **136** (1958), 1-8.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY.