

# MAXIMAL SUBGROUPS OF A SEMIGROUP

By Naoki KIMURA

The purpose of the present paper is to clarify the structure of two families made up of all unitary subsemigroups and of all subgroups of a semigroup. Above all the most important result is the existence theorem on maximal subgroups of a semigroup.

By a semigroup is meant a set  $M$  of elements  $a, b, c, \dots$  closed under an associative multiplication, i.e.,

$$a, b \in M \text{ implies } ab \in M, \text{ and}$$

$$(ab)c = a(bc).$$

A subset  $S$  of a semigroup  $M$  is called a subsemigroup, if  $S$  is closed under the multiplication. An element  $u$  of  $M$  is called an idempotent element if  $u^2 = u$ , and is called a unit if  $ux = xu = x$  for any element  $x$  of  $M$ . A semigroup with a unit is called unitary. In what follows  $M$  is always to be understood as a semigroup with at least one idempotent element, and the totality of all the idempotent elements of  $M$  is denoted by  $I$ .

## §1. Maximal unitary subsemigroups.

A unit of a semigroup is obviously an idempotent element, and a semigroup can not possess more than one unit.

Lemma 1. If  $u \in I$ , then  $uMu = \{uau; a \in M\}$  is the greatest subsemigroup of  $M$  having  $u$  as a unit.

Proof. An element  $a$  of  $M$  belongs to  $uMu$ , if and only if  $a = uau$ . Thus  $u$  belongs to  $uMu$ , for  $uuu = u^3 = u$ .

For any two elements  $a, b$  of  $uMu$ ,  $ab = (uau)(ubu) = u(auub)u \in uMu$ . From this follows that  $uMu$  is a subsemigroup.

$u$  is clearly a unit of  $uMu$ , for

$$u(uau) = (uau)u = uau.$$

Next let  $S$  be a unitary subsemigroup of  $M$  with  $u$  as a unit. Then for any element  $a$  of  $S$ , we have  $uau = a$ . Therefore  $S$  is a subset of  $uMu$ . This shows that  $uMu$  is the greatest unitary subsemigroup of  $M$  with  $u$  as a unit.

We write  $u \geq v$  for two idempotent elements  $u, v \in I$ , if  $uvu = v$ . By this order  $I$  forms a partly ordered set.

Obviously we have  $uMu \supset vMv$ , if and only if  $u \geq v$ . Therefore we have the following

Theorem 1. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the totality of the maximal unitary subsemigroups of  $M$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}$  forms a partly ordered set by set inclusion which is order-isomorphic with  $I$ .

## §2. The greatest subgroup of a unitary semigroup.

In this §2, let  $M$  be a unitary semigroup, and let  $u$  be the unit.

An element  $a$  of a semigroup  $M$  is called adversible, if  $aM = Ma = M$ . The set of all adversible elements of  $M$  is denoted by  $M^*$ . It is to be noted that  $M^*$  is not empty because  $u$  belongs to  $M^*$ .

Lemma 2.  $M^*$  is a subgroup of  $M$ .

Proof.  $M^*$  includes the unit  $u$ . For any element  $a \in M^*$  we can find two elements  $x, y \in M$  such that

$$ax = ya = u,$$

in view of adversibility of  $a$ .

It follows that such elements  $x, y$  are the same and unique, since we have

$$x = ux = (ya)x \geq y(ax) = yu = y.$$

This element is denoted as  $a'$ .

Next we are going to show that  $a'$  is an adversible element of  $M$ . For any element  $b$  of  $M$ , we have

$$a'(ab) = (a'a)b = ub = b,$$

and similarly

$$(ba)a' = b(aa') = bu = b.$$

Therefore we have

$$a'M = Ma' = M,$$

that is,  $a'$  is an adversible element of  $M$  :  $a' \in M^*$ .

Thus  $M^*$  has  $u$  as a unit, and any element  $a$  of  $M^*$  has its inverse  $a'$  in  $M^*$ , that is  $M^*$  is a subgroup of  $M$  with  $u$  as the unit.

Lemma 3. Any subgroup  $S$  of  $M$  with  $u$  as the unit is included in  $M^*$ .

Proof. For any element  $a$  of  $S$ , we have

$$aM \supset a(a^{-1}M) = (aa^{-1})M = uM = M,$$

and

$$Ma \supset (Ma^{-1})a = M(a^{-1}a) = Mu = M.$$

These implications show that  $a$  is an adversible element of  $M$ , i.e.,  $a \in M^*$ . Thus  $S \subset M^*$ . This completes the proof.

Above two lemmas 2, 3 can be summed up as the following

Theorem 2.  $M^*$  is the greatest subgroup of  $M$  with  $u$  as the unit.

### § 3. Maximal subgroups of a semigroup.

Let  $\mathcal{Q}$  be the family of all subgroups of a semigroup  $M$ . In this case different subgroups may have different units. The totality of the units of all subgroups from  $\mathcal{Q}$  makes up a subset of  $M$  which coincides with  $I$  composed of all idempotent elements of  $M$ . For each  $u \in I$ , the subfamily of  $\mathcal{Q}$  consisting of all subgroups with  $u$  as the unit is denoted as  $\mathcal{Q}_u$ .

An element  $a$  of  $M$  is called relatively adversible with respect to

$u \in I$ , if there exists an element  $a'$  such that

$$aa' = a'a = u.$$

If we consider the unitary subsemigroup  $uMu$ , the notion of the relative adversibility with respect to  $u$  coincides with that of the adversibility in  $uMu$ . And the discussion of § 2 is applicable for the unitary subsemigroup  $uMu$ . The totality of relatively adversible elements with respect to  $u$  which belong to  $uMu$  is denoted as  $(uMu)^*$ .

Lemma 4. Let  $G$  and  $H$  be two subgroups of  $M$ , and let  $u$  and  $v$  be the units of  $G$  and  $H$  respectively. If  $u \neq v$ , then  $G$  and  $H$  are disjoint.

Proof. Let us suppose that  $G$  and  $H$  have a non-empty intersection. Take an element  $a$  from  $G \cap H$ . Let  $x$  be the inverse of  $a$  in  $G$ , and let  $y$  be the inverse of  $a$  in  $H$ . Then we have

$$ax = xa = u \quad \text{in } G,$$

$$\text{and } ay = ya = v \quad \text{in } H.$$

Since

$$(xa)(ay) = (xa)v = x(av) = xa = u,$$

and

$$(xa)(ay) = u(ay) = (ua)y = ay = v,$$

we have  $u = v$ . This contradicts the assumption  $u \neq v$ , and the proof is completed.

By this lemma, if  $u \neq v$ ,  $G \in \mathcal{Q}_u$ ,  $H \in \mathcal{Q}_v$ , then  $G \cap H$  is an empty set. But it is to be noted that there can exist two unitary subsemigroups  $S$  and  $T$  which have  $u$  and  $v$  as their units respectively, such that  $S$  and  $T$  have a non-empty intersection even when  $u \neq v$ .

Theorem 3. For any idempotent  $u \in I$ ,  $(uMu)^*$  is the greatest subgroup of  $M$  with  $u$  as the unit. Thus the family  $\mathcal{M} = \{(uMu)^*; u \in I\}$  is the totality of all maximal subgroups of  $M$ .

Proof. Put  $G = (uMu)^*$ , then by

definition  $G$  is a subset of  $uMu$ , and  $G$  has  $u$  in itself as the unit.

Take an element  $a$  from  $G$ , by the relative adversibility of  $a$  with respect to  $u$ , there exists an element  $a'$ , which belongs to  $uMu$ , such that

$$aa' = a'a = u.$$

This identity shows that  $a'$  is also a relatively adversible element with respect to  $u$ . Hence  $a' \in G$ .

Thus  $G$  has the unit  $u$ , and any element of  $G$  has its inverse in  $G$ . In other word  $G$  is a group with  $u$  as the unit.

Next let  $S$  be a subgroup of  $M$  with  $u$  as the unit. Then any element  $a$  of  $S$  has its inverse  $a^{-1}$ :

$$a a^{-1} = a^{-1} a = u.$$

This identity implies that  $a$  is an element of  $uMu$ , since  $a^{-1}$  as well as  $a$  belong to  $uMu$ . Hence  $S \subset (uMu)^*$ .

Thus  $(uMu)^*$  is the greatest subgroup of  $M$  with  $u$  as the unit.

By this theorem for any  $u \in I$ ,  $\mathcal{Q}_u$  has the greatest member  $(uMu)^*$ , and by Lemma 4 if  $u \neq v$  then  $(uMu)^*$  and  $(vMv)^*$  are mutually disjoint. So the subfamily

$$\mathfrak{M} = \{(uMu)^* ; u \in I\}$$

of the family  $\mathcal{Q}$  is the set of all maximal subgroups of  $M$ . And when we compare  $\mathfrak{M}$  with  $\mathcal{G}$ , we find that the situation is quite different as in the following manner:

In  $\mathfrak{M}$  different members are mutually disjoint, but in  $\mathcal{G}$  there can exist two different members which have a non-empty intersection.

Corollary. In order that the greatest subsemigroup  $uMu$  having  $u$  as a unit should be a group, it is necessary and sufficient that every element of  $uMu$  be relatively adversible with respect to  $u$ .

§ 4. Remarks on adversibility.

The definition of adversibility in § 2 is given when a semigroup is unitary. But the definition itself needs not the notion of unit or idempotency. So the definition of adversibility is applicable when we consider a general semigroup  $S$  with or without idempotent elements, and  $S^*$  denotes the totality of adversible elements. Then follows the

Theorem 4. In order that a semigroup  $S$  should have a unit, it is necessary and sufficient that  $S^*$  be not empty.

Proof. If a semigroup  $S$  has a unit  $u$ , then  $S^* \ni u$ , and so  $S^*$  is not empty.

Conversely let us assume that  $S^*$  is not empty, and take an arbitrary element  $a$  of  $S^*$ . Then by the adversibility of  $a$  there exist two elements  $u, v$  of  $S$  such that

$$au = va = a.$$

For any element  $b$  of  $S$ , there exist two elements  $x, y$  of  $S$  such that

$$ax = ya = b,$$

again by the adversibility of  $a$ .

Therefore we have

$$bu = (ya)u = y(au) = ya = b,$$

and

$$vb = v(ax) = (va)x = ax = b.$$

Since  $b$  can be any element of  $S$ , putting  $b = v$  for the first equality and  $b = u$  for the second, we have

$$u = vu = v.$$

This shows that  $S$  has a unit  $u$ , and the proof is completed.

As an application of the last theorem, we have easily the following

Corollary. In order that a semigroup  $S$  should be a group, it is necessary and sufficient that all element of  $S$  is adversible.

Department of Mathematics,  
Tokyo Institute of Technology.

(\* ) Received October 9, 1954.