

NOTE ON LAPLACE-TRANSFORMS, (V)

ON THE DETERMINATION OF THE REGULARITY-ABSCISSA, (II)

By Chuji TANAKA

(Communicated by Y. Komatu)

(3) PRELIMINARY THEOREM II.

In this section, we shall prove next preliminary theorem.

THEOREM II

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{1}{e^{-\sigma}} \log^+ M(\sigma, \alpha, \beta) \leq e^{\sigma_1},$$

where

$$M(\sigma; \alpha, \beta) = \max_{-\infty < \alpha \leq t \leq \beta < +\infty} |\varphi(\sigma + it)|,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\sigma + it) &= \varphi(\beta) \\ &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} \frac{1}{f(t+i)} d\alpha(t). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2 of (2), $\varphi(\beta)$ is simply convergent in the whole plane, so that $M(\sigma; \alpha, \beta)$ has the meaning in $-\infty < \sigma < +\infty$. For its proof, we need some Lemmas.

LEMMA 1.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log M_1(t; \alpha, \beta) = \sigma_1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(t; \alpha, \beta) &= \max_{\alpha \leq \tau \leq \beta} \left| \int_{it_1}^t e^{-i\tau} d\alpha(\tau) \right|. \end{aligned}$$

Proof Put $F(\beta)$

$$= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} d\alpha(t, \beta) \quad (\alpha \leq \beta < +\infty),$$

where $\alpha_1(t, \beta) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-i\tau t} d\alpha(\tau)$. Since $F(\beta + i\epsilon) = F(\beta)$, the simple convergence-abscissa of $F(\beta)$ is equal to σ_1 , so that, by T. Ugheri's theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left| \int_{it_1}^t d\alpha(t, \beta) \right| &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left| \int_{it_1}^t e^{-st} d\alpha(t) \right| \\ &= \sigma_1 \end{aligned}$$

By (3.1) and $M_1(t; \alpha, \beta) \approx \left| \int_{it_1}^t d\alpha(t, \beta) \right|$,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \gamma &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log M_1(t; \alpha, \beta) \\ &\geq \sigma_1. \end{aligned}$$

By the well-known theorem ([6.] p.54), $F(\beta)$ is uniformly convergent in $\alpha \leq t \leq \beta$, $\sigma_1 + \epsilon$

$\leq \sigma$, ϵ being an arbitrary positive constant. Hence, there exists a constant K independent of β ($\alpha \leq \beta$) such that

$$(3.3) \quad \left| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \exp(-(\sigma_1 + \epsilon + i\beta)t) d\alpha(t) \right| < K$$

for every $T_2 > T_1 \geq 0$

By (3.3) and Lemma 1 of (2),

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_{it_1}^t e^{-i\tau t} d\alpha(\tau) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{it_1}^t \exp((\sigma_1 + \epsilon)t) \cdot \exp(-(\sigma_1 + \epsilon + i\beta)t) d\alpha(\tau) \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$< 2K \exp((\sigma_1 + \epsilon)t) \quad \text{if } \sigma_1 \geq 0,$$

$$< 2K \exp((\sigma_1 + \epsilon)Nt) \quad \text{if } \sigma_1 < 0,$$

so that

$$M_1(t; \alpha, \beta)$$

$$\leq 2K \exp\left\{ \max((\sigma_1 + \epsilon)t, (\sigma_1 + \epsilon)Nt) \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log M_1(t; \alpha, \beta) \\ &\leq \sigma_1 + \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$(3.4) \quad \gamma \leq \sigma_1.$$

By (3.2) and (3.4), $\gamma = \sigma_1$ q.e.d.

LEMMA 2

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \log M_2(t; \alpha, \beta) + \log t \right\} = \log(e^{\gamma})$$

where

$$(i) \quad 0 \leq \beta \leq e^{\sigma_1},$$

$$(ii) \quad M_2(t; \alpha, \beta)$$

$$= \max_{\alpha \leq \tau \leq \beta} \left| \int_{it_1}^t e^{-i\tau} \frac{1}{f(\tau)} d\alpha(\tau) \right|$$

Proof By Lemma 1, for given $\epsilon (> 0)$, there exists $T(\epsilon)$

such that

$$(3.5) \quad \left| \int_{it_1}^t e^{-i\beta\tau} d\alpha(\tau) \right| \\ \leq M_1(t; \alpha, \beta) \\ < \exp\{(\sigma_2 + \varepsilon)t_1\}$$

for $it_1 > T(\varepsilon)$, $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \beta$.
By (3.5) and Lemma 1 of (2),

$$\left| \int_{it_1}^t e^{-i\beta\tau} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\tau)} d\alpha(\tau) \right| \\ \leq \frac{2}{\Gamma(1+it_1)} \exp\{(\sigma_2 + \varepsilon)t_1\}$$

for $it_1 > T(\varepsilon)$, $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \beta$, so that

$$M_2(t; \alpha, \beta) \\ \leq \frac{2}{\Gamma(1+it_1)} \exp\{(\sigma_2 + \varepsilon)t_1\}.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \log M_2(t; \alpha, \beta) + \log t \right\} \\ = \log(e\kappa) \leq \sigma_2 + \varepsilon.$$

Accordingly, $0 \leq \kappa \leq e^{\sigma_2}$.
q.e.d.

We are now in a position to prove theorem 2.

Proof of Theorem 2 Since $\log(e\kappa)$

$$= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{t} \log M_2(t; \alpha, \beta) + \log t \right\} \\ = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{1+it_1} \log M_2(t; \alpha, \beta) + \log(1+it_1) \right\},$$

for any given $\varepsilon (> 0)$, there exists a constant $T(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$M_2(t; \alpha, \beta) \\ < \exp\{-(it_1+1) \log\left(\frac{it_1+1}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right)\} \quad \text{for } it_1 \\ > T(\varepsilon),$$

so that

$$\left| \int_{it_1}^t e^{-i\beta\tau} \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\tau)} d\alpha(\tau) \right| \\ \leq M_2(t; \alpha, \beta) \\ < \exp\{-(it_1+1) \log\left(\frac{it_1+1}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right)\}$$

for $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \beta$, $it_1 > T(\varepsilon)$.

Letting $t \rightarrow it_1+1$,

$$\left| \int_{it_1}^{it_1+1} \right| \\ \leq \exp\left\{- (it_1+1) \log\left(\frac{it_1+1}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right)\right\}$$

Hence,

$$(3.6) \quad \left| \int_{it_1}^{it_1+1} \right| \leq \left| \int_{it_1}^{it_1+1} - \int_{it_1}^t \right| \\ \leq 2 \exp\left\{- (it_1+1) \log\left(\frac{it_1+1}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right)\right\}$$

Putting $[N_1] = N_1$, $[N_2] = N_2$, we have

$$\left| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \exp(-(\sigma+i\beta)\tau) \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\tau)} d\alpha(\tau) \right| \\ = \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_2-N_1} \int_{N_1+\nu}^{N_1+\nu+1} \right\} - \int_{T_2}^{N_2+1} + \int_{T_1}^{N_1+1}.$$

Accordingly, by Lemma 1 of (2) and (3.6), for $\sigma < 0$, $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \beta$, $N_1 > T(\varepsilon)$,

$$\left| \int_{T_1}^{T_2} \exp(-(\sigma+i\beta)\tau) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\tau)} d\alpha(\tau) \right| \\ \leq \sum_{\nu=1}^{N_2-N_1} 4 \exp\left\{-(N_1+\nu+1)\sigma - (N_1+\nu+1) \log\left(\frac{N_1+\nu+1}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right)\right\} \\ + 4 \exp\left\{-(N_2+1)\sigma - (N_2+1) \log\left(\frac{N_2+1}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right)\right\} \\ + 4 \exp\left\{-(N_1+1)\sigma - (N_1+1) \log\left(\frac{N_1+1}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right)\right\} \\ < 8 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-i \log\left(\frac{i}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right) - \sigma i\right\}.$$

Letting $T_2 \rightarrow +\infty$,

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \int_{T_1}^{\infty} \exp(-(\sigma+i\beta)\tau) \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+\tau)} d\alpha(\tau) \right| \\ < 8 \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\left\{-i \log\left(\frac{i}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right) - \sigma i\right\}$$

for $N_1 > T(\varepsilon)$.

We have easily,

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq \infty} \exp\left\{-i \log\left(\frac{i}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right) - \sigma i\right\} \\ \leq \exp\{(\kappa+\varepsilon)e^{-\sigma}\} \quad \text{for } \sigma < 0;$$

$$\exp\left\{-i \log\left(\frac{i}{e(\kappa+\varepsilon)}\right) - \sigma i\right\} \\ < \exp(-i) \quad \text{for } \sigma < 0,$$

$$i > N(\sigma) = e^{\kappa+\varepsilon} e^{-\sigma}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \exp\{-i \log(\frac{i}{e^{k+\varepsilon}})\} e^{-\sigma i} \\ &= \sum_1^{N(\sigma)} + \sum_{N(\sigma)+1}^{\infty} \\ &< \exp\{(k+\varepsilon)e^{-\sigma}\} N(\sigma) + \frac{1}{1-e^{-1}} \\ &< 2e^{\varepsilon(k+\varepsilon)} e^{-\sigma} \exp\{(k+\varepsilon)e^{-\sigma}\} \end{aligned}$$

for sufficiently large $|\sigma|$.

By (3.7),

$$(3.8) \quad \left| \int_{\pi}^{\infty} \exp(-(\sigma+i\tau)\tau) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau)} d\tau \right| < 16e^{\varepsilon(k+\varepsilon)} \cdot \exp\{-\sigma + (k+\varepsilon)e^{-\sigma}\}$$

for $|\tau| > T(\varepsilon)$ and sufficiently large $|\sigma|$.

$$\left| \int_0^{\pi} \exp(-(\sigma+i\tau)\tau) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau)} d\tau \right| < \exp(-\sigma T) C \int_0^{\pi} |d\tau|,$$

where $C = \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq \pi} \Gamma(1+\tau)$. Therefore, for sufficiently large $|\sigma|$,

$$(3.9) \quad \left| \int_0^{\pi} \right| < \exp\{-\sigma + (k+\varepsilon)e^{-\sigma}\}.$$

By (3.8) and (3.9),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \varphi(\sigma+i\beta) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-(\sigma+i\beta)\tau\} \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\tau)} d\tau \right| \\ &< \{16e^{\varepsilon(k+\varepsilon)+1}\} \cdot \exp\{-\sigma + (k+\varepsilon)e^{-\sigma}\} \end{aligned}$$

for $d \cong \beta \cong \beta$ and sufficiently large $|\sigma|$. Thus, we have finally

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{e^{-\sigma}} \cdot \log^+ \Gamma(\sigma, \beta) \\ \leq k + \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Letting $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \leq k \leq e^{\sigma d} \\ & \text{q.e.d.} \end{aligned}$$

(4) Proof of the fundamental theorem.

In Theorem 1, putting $\lambda = \sigma + it$, $x = e^{\lambda}$, $\xi = e^{k-\lambda}$, $\Phi(\xi) = \varphi(\log \xi / \xi)$ and $\mathcal{F}(x) = \frac{1}{x} \mathcal{F}(\log x)$, we have easily

$$\mathcal{F}(x) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-x\xi) \Phi(\xi) d\xi \quad \text{for } \sigma_d < \sigma$$

$\arg \xi = -t$

Hence, putting $\xi = \exp(u - \sigma - it) = \rho e^{-it}$,

$$(4.1) \quad \mathcal{F}(x) = e^{-it} \cdot \int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-(x e^{-it})\rho\} \Phi(\rho e^{-it}) d\rho$$

for $x e^{-it} = e^{\sigma} > e^{\sigma_d}$.

On account of $\Phi(\rho e^{-it}) = \varphi(\sigma + it)$ ($\sigma = \log \frac{x}{\rho}$),

(4.2) $\Phi(\rho e^{-it})$ is regular for $t - \alpha \cong \beta \cong t + \alpha$ ($\alpha > 0$), $0 < \rho < \infty$.

By Theorem 2,

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{aligned} & \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta} \log \Gamma(\beta, \theta) \\ & \leq \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta - \sigma} \log^+ \Gamma(\sigma, t - \theta, t + \theta) \\ & \leq e^{\sigma d}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\beta, \theta)$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max_{t - \theta \leq \beta \leq t + \theta} |\Phi(\rho e^{-it})| \\ &= \max_{t - \theta \leq \beta \leq t + \theta, \sigma = \log \frac{x}{\rho}} |\varphi(\sigma + i\beta)| \end{aligned}$$

By a theorem on Laplace-transform ([6], [7], p.49)

$$(4.4) \quad \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \Phi(\rho e^{-it}) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\sigma + i\beta) = 0$$

uniformly in $t - \alpha \cong \beta \cong t + \alpha$.

Taking account of (4.2), (4.3), (4.4) and a theorem of Laplace-transform ([8], [3], p.298), (4.1) is absolutely convergent for $\Re(x e^{-it}) > h(t)$, and it is divergent for $\Re(x e^{-it}) < h(t)$, and there exists at least one singular point with finite coordinates on $\Re(x e^{-it}) = h(t)$, where

$$h(t) = \lim_{\beta \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\beta} \log |\Phi(\rho e^{-it})|.$$

Let us put

$$\sigma(t) = \max\{0, h(t)\}.$$

Putting $e^{\lambda} = \exp(\sigma' + it')$ ($|t - t'| \cong \pi/2$), we have

$$\Re(x e^{-it}) = e^{\sigma'} \cos(t - t').$$

If $h(t) > 0$, $\sigma(t) = h(t)$ and (4.1) is absolutely convergent in $\sigma' > \log \sigma(t) - \log \cos(t - t')$. Moreover, on $\sigma' = \log \sigma(t) - \log \cos(t - t')$, there exists at least one singular point of $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ since $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$ is regular for $\sigma_d < \sigma$, this singular point lies on

$$\begin{cases} \sigma' = \log \sigma(t) - \log \cos(t-t'), \\ \sigma' \leq \sigma_r \end{cases}$$

Hence,

$$(4.5) \quad \log \sigma(t) \leq \sigma_r \quad (\leq \sigma).$$

If $h(t) \leq 0$, (4.5) is evidently valid. Thus we have

$$(4.6) \quad \Delta = \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \{ \log \sigma(t) \} \leq \sigma_r.$$

If $\Delta < \sigma_r$, there would exist at least one singular point $\lambda_0 = \bar{\sigma} + i\bar{t}$, such that

$$(4.7) \quad \Delta < \bar{\sigma} \leq \sigma_r, \quad \log \sigma(\bar{t}) \leq \Delta.$$

On the other hand, $F(\lambda)$ ($\lambda = \sigma' + it'$) is regular in $\sigma' > \log \sigma(\bar{t}) - \log \cos(\bar{t} - t')$, $|t' - \bar{t}| \leq \pi/2$, which contradicts (4.7). Hence, by (4.6),

$$(4.8) \quad \Delta = \sigma_r.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(t) \\ &= \max \{ 0, h(t) \} \\ &= \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \log^+ | \Xi(\rho e^{-it}) |, \end{aligned}$$

by (4.8)

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma_r \\ &= \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \{ \log \sigma(t) \} \\ &= \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \log \left\{ \lim_{\rho \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\rho} \cdot \log^+ | \Xi(\rho e^{-it}) | \right\} \\ & \quad \quad \quad (\sigma = \log \frac{1}{\rho}) \\ &= \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \log \log^+ | \varphi(\sigma + it) | + \sigma \}, \end{aligned}$$

which is to be proved.

(5) APPLICATIONS: By what has been proved above, immediately follows

THEOREM III. Let (1.1) have the finite simple convergence-abscissa σ_A . The necessary and sufficient condition for $\lambda = \sigma + it$ to be singular for (1.1) is

$$\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \log \log^+ | \varphi(\sigma + it) | + \sigma \} = \sigma_A$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} F(\lambda) &= \frac{1}{S(\lambda)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^\lambda} \quad (\lambda = \sigma + it), \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu(n)$ is the Möbius's function. Since $1/S(\lambda)$ is evidently absolutely convergent for $\lambda < \sigma$, $F(\lambda)$ has the finite simple convergence-abscissa σ_A . Hence, by corollary 1 of (1), we have

THEOREM IV. The well-known Riemann's conjecture on $S(\lambda)$ is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{2} = \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \log \log^+ | \varphi(\sigma + it) | + \sigma \},$$

where

$$\varphi(\lambda) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^{1+\log n}} \cdot \frac{1}{n^\lambda}$$

(*) Received July 28, 1951.

- [7] G. Doetsh: Theorie und Anwendung der Laplace-Transformation. 1937.
 [8] C. Tanaka: Note on Laplace-transforms. (III) On some class of Laplace-transforms. (II). These Rep.

Mathematical Institute,
Waseda University, Tokyo.