

ON THE DETERMINATION OF THE REGULARITY-ABSCISSA, (I)

By Chuji TANAKA

(Communicated by Y. Komatu)

(1) FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM. Let $\alpha(t)$ be of bounded variation in any finite interval $0 \leq t \leq T$, T being an arbitrary positive constant. Put

$$(1.1) \quad F(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-sx) d\alpha(x)$$

$$(s = \sigma + it, \alpha(0) = 0).$$

In the previous Note ([1] - See references placed at the end -), we determined three convergence-abscissas of $F(s)$. In this present Note, we determine the regularity-abscissa σ_r of $F(s)$, which is defined as follows: if $\sigma_r < \sigma$, $F(s)$ is regular, but for any given $\varepsilon (> 0)$, $F(s)$ is not regular for $\sigma_r - \varepsilon < \sigma$. The fundamental theorem states as follows:

FUNDAMENTAL THEOREM. Let $F(s)$ have the finite simple convergence-abscissa σ_a . Then, the regularity-abscissa σ_r of $F(s)$ is determined by

$$(1.2) \quad \sigma_r = \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \log \log^+ |\varphi(\sigma + it)| + \sigma \} (\leq \sigma_a),$$

where

$$\varphi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-sx) d\beta(x),$$

$$\beta(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\Gamma(1+t)} d\alpha(t).$$

As immediate consequences, we have

COROLLARY I. Let the Dirichlet-series $F(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \exp(-\lambda_n s)$ ($0 \leq \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_n \rightarrow +\infty$) have the finite simple convergence-abscissa σ_a . Then, the regularity-abscissa σ_r of $F(s)$ is determined by

$$\sigma_r = \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \log \log^+ |\varphi(\sigma + it)| + \sigma \} (\leq \sigma_a)$$

where

$$\varphi(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_n}{\Gamma(1+\lambda_n)} \exp(-\lambda_n s).$$

In fact, putting $\alpha(t) = \sum_{\lambda_n < t} a_n$ in fundamental theorem, we get corollary I.

COROLLARY II. Let the Laplace-transform $F(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-sx) f(x) dx$ have the finite simple convergence-abscissa σ_a , where $f(x)$ is \mathcal{R} -integrable in any finite interval. Then the regularity-abscissa σ_r of $F(s)$ is determined by

$$\sigma_r = \sup_{-\infty < t < +\infty} \lim_{\sigma \rightarrow +\infty} \{ \log \log^+ |\varphi(\sigma + it)| + \sigma \} (\leq \sigma_a),$$

where

$$\varphi(s) = \int_0^{\infty} \exp(-sx) \frac{f(x)}{\Gamma(1+x)} dx.$$

In fundamental theorem, putting $\alpha(t) = \int_0^t f(x) dx$, we obtain easily corollary 2.

(2) PRELIMINARY THEOREM I. In this section, we shall establish the preliminary theorem.

THEOREM I. For $\sigma_a < \sigma'$, we have

$$F(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \exp(u - e^u) \varphi(s - u) du.$$

In the case of Dirichlet series, M. Riesz ([2] p.258, [3] p.185) proved a more general formula than this. For its proof, we need some Lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let the real function $\alpha(t)$ be of bounded variation in $[a, b]$. If $\varphi(t)$ is non-negative, decreasing (increasing) and continuous in $[a, b]$, then

$$\int_a^t \varphi(t) d\alpha(t) = \varphi(a) \lambda \quad (\varphi(t) \lambda),$$

where

$$\inf_{a \leq t \leq b} \int_a^t d\alpha(t) \quad \left(\inf_{a \leq t \leq b} \int_t^b d\alpha(t) \right) < \lambda <$$

$$\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \int_a^t d\alpha(t) \quad \left(\sup_{a \leq t \leq b} \int_t^b d\alpha(t) \right).$$

This Lemma is the second mean-value theorem of Stieltjes integral, whose proof we find in

[6] (p.18)

LEMMA 2. $\varphi(d)$ is simply convergent in the whole plane.

Proof. By T. Ugareri's theorem (41), σ_d is determined by

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_d &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left| \int_{[t]}^t d d(x) \right| \\ &= \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{[t]} \log \left| \int_{[t]}^t d d(x) \right| < +\infty, \end{aligned}$$

$[t]$ denoting the greatest integer contained in t .

Accordingly, for given $\varepsilon (> 0)$, there exists $\tau(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$\left| \int_{[t]}^t d d(x) \right| < \exp((\sigma_d + \varepsilon)[t]) \text{ for } [t] > \tau(\varepsilon),$$

so that

$$(2.1) \quad \left| \int_{[t]}^t d d_i(x) \right| < \exp((\sigma_d + \varepsilon)[t])$$

for $[t] > \tau(\varepsilon)$ ($i=1, 2$),

where $d(t) = d_1(t) + i d_2(t)$. By (2.1) and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{[t]}^t d \beta(x) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{[t]}^t \frac{1}{r(t+x)} d d(x) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{2}{r(t+[t])} \exp((\sigma_d + \varepsilon)[t]) \end{aligned}$$

for $[t] > \tau(\varepsilon)$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left| \int_{[t]}^t d \beta(x) \right| \\ &\leq \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log \left(\frac{1}{r(t+[t])} \right) + \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{t} \log 2 \\ &\quad + (\sigma_d + \varepsilon) \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{[t]}{t} \\ &= -\infty \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, again by T. Ugareri's theorem, $\varphi(d)$ is simply convergent in the whole plane. q.e.d.

LEMMA 3. Let the real function $f(t)$ be continuous and the real function $d(t)$ be of bounded variation in any finite interval $0 \leq t \leq T$. Let $f_\lambda(t)$ be continuous in $0 \leq t < \infty$, and be such that

$$\begin{cases} (a) & 0 < f_\lambda(t) < f_\lambda(t) < 1 \text{ for } t > t, \\ (b) & \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f_\lambda(t) = 1 \text{ for fixed } t. \end{cases}$$

If $\int_0^\infty f(t) d d(t)$ is convergent,

then

$$(c) \quad \int_0^\infty f(t) f_\lambda(t) d d(t) \text{ is convergent,}$$

$$(d) \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^\infty f(t) f_\lambda(t) d d(t) = \int_0^\infty f(t) d d(t).$$

This Lemma is a generalization of a Perron's theorem ([5]) concerning the infinite series.

Proof. Since $\int_0^\infty f(t) d d(t)$ is convergent, for given $\varepsilon (> 0)$, there exists $\tau(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \left| \int_{\omega'}^\omega f(t) d d(t) \right| < \varepsilon \text{ for } \omega' > \omega > \tau(\varepsilon).$$

Hence, by (2.2) and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_{\omega'}^\omega f(t) f_\lambda(t) d d(t) \right| \\ &\leq f_\lambda(\omega) \varepsilon < \varepsilon \text{ for } \omega' > \omega > \tau(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\int_0^\infty f(t) f_\lambda(t) d d(t) \text{ is convergent.}$$

By the convergence of $\int_0^\infty f(t) d d(t)$, there exists K such

$$(2.3) \quad \left| \int_{\omega'}^{\omega_2} f(t) d d(t) \right| < K \text{ for every } \omega_2 \geq \omega_1 \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Put } & \int_0^\infty f(t) \{1 - f_\lambda(t)\} d d(t) \\ &= \int_0^\omega + \int_\omega^\infty \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

By (2.3) and Lemma 1,

$$|I_1| \leq \{1 - f_\lambda(\omega)\} K,$$

so that by (b), for sufficiently large $\lambda > \tau(\varepsilon)$, we have

$$(2.4) \quad |I_1| < \varepsilon \text{ for } \lambda > \tau(\varepsilon).$$

By (2.2) and Lemma 1,

$$\begin{aligned} (2.5) \quad |I_2| &\leq \left| \int_\omega^\infty f(t) d d(t) \right| + \left| \int_\omega^\infty f(t) f_\lambda(t) d d(t) \right| \\ &< \varepsilon + f_\lambda(\omega) \cdot \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon \\ &\text{for } \omega > \tau(\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.4) and (2.5)

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \int_0^\infty f(t) \{1 - f_\lambda(t)\} d d(t) \right| < 3\varepsilon \\ &\text{for } \lambda > \tau(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

which completes our proof.

LEMMA 4. $f_\lambda(t) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} \int_0^\lambda \exp(-\xi) \xi^\lambda d\xi$ satisfies (a) and (b) of Lemma 3.

Proof. Since $\int_0^\infty \exp(-\xi) \xi^\lambda d\xi = \Gamma(\lambda+1)$, we have evidently

$$(2.6) \quad 0 < f_\lambda(t) < 1 \quad \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} f_\lambda(t) = 1.$$

ξ^{t-t} is increasing in $0 \leq \xi < +\infty$ for $t' > t$. Hence, if $\lambda^{t'-t} \leq \Gamma(\lambda+t')/\Gamma(\lambda+t)$, for $0 < \xi < \lambda$,

$$\xi^{t'-t} < \Gamma(\lambda+t')/\Gamma(\lambda+t),$$

i.e.,

$$\frac{\xi^{t'}}{\Gamma(\lambda+t')} < \frac{\xi^t}{\Gamma(\lambda+t)},$$

so that

$$f_\lambda(t') < f_\lambda(t)$$

If $\lambda^{t'-t} > \Gamma(\lambda+t')/\Gamma(\lambda+t)$, for $\xi > \lambda$, $\frac{\xi^{t'}}{\Gamma(\lambda+t')} > \frac{\xi^t}{\Gamma(\lambda+t)}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & 1 - f_\lambda(t') \\ &= \int_\lambda^\infty \exp(-\xi) \cdot \frac{\xi^{t'}}{\Gamma(\lambda+t')} d\xi \\ &> 1 - f_\lambda(t) \\ &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\xi) \frac{\xi^t}{\Gamma(\lambda+t)} d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$f_\lambda(t') < f_\lambda(t).$$

In any case, we have

$$(2.7) \quad f_\lambda(t') < f_\lambda(t)$$

for $t' > t$.

By (2.6) and (2.7), $f_\lambda(t)$ satisfies (a) and (b) of Lemma 3. q.e.d.

Proof of Theorem 1. For $\sigma_2 < \sigma$, $\frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda x) d\lambda(x)$ is simply convergent. Hence, by Lemma 3 and 4,

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda x) d\lambda(x) \\ &= \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\lambda), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda x) \left\{ \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+x)} \int_0^\lambda \exp(-\xi) \xi^\lambda d\xi \right\} d\lambda(x).$$

In $\mathcal{S}_\lambda(\lambda)$, putting $\xi = \exp(u)$, we have

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{S}_\lambda(\lambda) &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda x) \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+x)} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\log \lambda} \exp(u-e^u) e^{ux} du \right\} d\lambda(x) \end{aligned}$$

By the well-known theorem ([6] p.54), $\varphi(\lambda-u)$ $= \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-u-x)}{\Gamma(\lambda+x)} d\lambda(x)$ is uniformly convergent in $-\infty < u \leq \log \lambda$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{-\infty}^{\log \lambda} \exp(u-e^u) \left\{ \int_0^\infty \frac{\exp(-(\lambda-u)x)}{\Gamma(\lambda+x)} d\lambda(x) \right\} du \\ &= \int_0^\infty \exp(-\lambda x) \cdot \frac{1}{\Gamma(\lambda+x)} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\log \lambda} \exp(u-e^u) e^{ux} du \right\} d\lambda(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (2.8) and (2.9),

$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty \exp(u-e^u) \varphi(\lambda-u) du.$$

q.e.d.

(To be continued.)

(*) Received July 28, 1951.

- [1] C. Tanaka: Note on Laplace-transforms. (I) On the determination of three convergence-abscisses. In the press.
- [2] M. Riesz: Sur la representation analytique des fonctions entières par des séries de Dirichlet. Act. Math. t. 35. 1912.
- [3] V. Bernstein: Leçons sur les progrès récents de la théorie des séries de Dirichlet. Paris. 1903.
- [4] T. Ugaheiri: On the abscissa of convergence of Laplace-Stieltjes integral. Annals of the Institute of Statistical mathematics. Vol. II. No. 1. 1950.
- [5] E. Borel: Leçons sur les séries divergentes. 2^e édition.
- [6] D. V. Widder: The Laplace-transform. Princeton. 1946.

Mathematical Institute,
Waseda University, Tokyo.