

TWO ELEMENTS GENERATIONS ON SEMI-SIMPLE LIE GROUPS

By Masatake KURANISHI

(Communicated by H. Tôyama)

This note is concerned with the problem to determine the class of Lie groups generated by two elements. H. Auerbach showed [1] that compact Lie groups belong to this class. Here it will be shown that connected semi-simple Lie groups belong to this class. The writer is indebted to Morikuni Gotô for his suggestive conversations about the problem.

Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic 0 and let k_1, \dots, k_l be a base of the maximal abelian subalgebra containing a regular element of L . Let \bar{F} be the algebraic closure of F and let $L_{\bar{F}}$ be the Lie algebra obtained from L by extending the field F to \bar{F} . It is well known that $L_{\bar{F}}$ has the following structure. There exists a system of vectors of a l -dimensional euclidean space $\{\alpha, \beta, \dots\}$ called the system of root vectors, and to each α we can correlate an element e_α of $L_{\bar{F}}$ so that $k_1, \dots, k_l, e_\alpha, e_\beta, \dots$ constitute a base of $L_{\bar{F}}$ and the structure formulae of $L_{\bar{F}}$ has the following form.

$$[k_\lambda, e_\alpha] = (\alpha\lambda) e_\alpha,$$

$$\text{where } k_\lambda = \sum_{i=1}^l \lambda^i k_i \quad \text{and} \quad (\alpha\lambda) = \sum_{i=1}^l \alpha_i \lambda^i,$$

$$[e_\alpha, e_\beta] = \begin{cases} 0 & (\alpha + \beta \neq 0 - \text{not a root}), \\ N_{\alpha\beta} e_{\alpha+\beta} & (\alpha + \beta = 0 - \text{a root}), \end{cases}$$

and

$$[e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}] = k_\alpha$$

Root vectors are distinct among each other and if α is a root vector then $-\alpha$ is also a root vector. There exist root vectors $\alpha^{(1)}, \dots, \alpha^{(l)}$ such that $[e_{\alpha^{(1)}}, e_{-\alpha^{(1)}}], \dots, [e_{\alpha^{(l)}}, e_{-\alpha^{(l)}}]$ are linearly independent.

Theorem 1. Let L be a semi-simple Lie algebra over a field F of characteristic 0. Then there exist two elements a and b such that the minimal subalgebra containing a and b is L . (L is generated by a and b).

Proof. Let n be the dimension of L , we first consider $L_{\bar{F}}$. Take k_λ such that $((\alpha - \beta)\lambda) \neq 0$ for each pair of root vectors α and β . Denote $k_\lambda = c$ and $\sum e_\alpha = d$. Then

$$S_1 = [c, d] = \sum (\alpha\lambda) e_\alpha,$$

$$S_2 = [c, [c, d]] = \sum (\alpha\lambda)^2 e_\alpha,$$

$$\vdots$$

$$S_k = [c, \dots [c, d] \dots] = \sum (\alpha\lambda)^k e_\alpha.$$

As $((\alpha - \beta)\lambda) \neq 0$, $d, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{n-l-1}$ are linearly independent and contained in the minimal subalgebra L^* of $L_{\bar{F}}$ containing c and d . Therefore L^* contains each e_α , hence contains also $[e_{\alpha^{(i)}}, e_{-\alpha^{(i)}}], i=1, 2, \dots, l$. Thus $L^* = L_{\bar{F}}$.

To summarize the above results, there exist finite numbers of monomials $P_i(x, y) = [\dots [x, y] \dots], i=1, 2, \dots, k$, such that each element of $L_{\bar{F}}$ is a linear combination of $P_i(c, d)$. Take a base P_1, \dots, P_n of L , and constitute $P_i(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi^j P_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \eta^j P_j)$, where ξ^j, η^j are indeterminate elements.

$$\text{Then } P_i(\sum_{j=1}^n \xi^j P_j, \sum_{j=1}^n \eta^j P_j) = \sum_{k=1}^n \gamma_i^k P_k,$$

where γ_i^k are polynomials with respect to $\xi^j, \eta^j (j=1, \dots, n)$ over F . Put $M(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_n) = (\gamma_i^k)$. We can write

$$c = \sum_{i=1}^n c^i P_i, \quad d = \sum_{i=1}^n d^i P_i, \quad \text{where } c^i, d^i$$

are elements of \bar{F} . Then the rank of the matrix $M(c^1, \dots, c^n; d^1, \dots, d^n)$ is n . Hence there exist $a^1, \dots, a^n, b^1, \dots, b^n$ of \bar{F} such that the rank of $M(a^1, \dots, a^n; b^1, \dots, b^n)$ is also n . Put

$$a = \sum_{i=1}^n a^i P_i, \quad b = \sum_{i=1}^n b^i P_i, \quad \text{then}$$

L is generated by a and b .

Lemma 1. Let L be a Lie algebra and L_1 be an ideal of L contained in the center of L . If L/L_1 is nilpotent, L is also a nilpotent Lie algebra.

Proof. From the assumption, there exists an integer m such that $L^{(m)} = [L, L] \dots [L, L] \subset L_1$. Hence $L^{(m+1)} = 0$.

Let \mathcal{L} be a local Lie group. We say that \mathcal{L} is approximated by discrete subgroups when there exists a sequence of discrete local subgroups H_k of \mathcal{L} such that $H_k \subset H_{k+1}$ and $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k$ covers a neighborhood of the identity e of \mathcal{L} .

Lemma 2. Let \mathcal{L} be a local Lie group approximated by discrete subgroups. Then \mathcal{L} is nilpotent.

Proof. Take a sufficiently small neighborhood U of e satisfying the following conditions

$$(1) \quad U \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} H_k,$$

(2) We can introduce in U the coordinates system such that for every elements x and y of U

$$|xyx^{-1}y^{-1}| < \frac{1}{2} \min(|x|; |y|),$$

where $|x|$ is the euclidean distance between x and e .

Let n be the dimension of \mathcal{L} , then there exists an integer m such that $H_m \cap U$ contains n points p_1, \dots, p_n such that sufficiently small elements of \mathcal{L} can be written as $p_1^{\lambda} p_2^{\lambda_2} \dots p_n^{\lambda_n}$, where p^{λ} is the one-parameter subgroup of \mathcal{L} passing p such as $p = p^1$. Let x be the point of H_m which is not e and whose distance from e is the minimum. Then from the condition (2) x is commutative with every element of $H_m \cap U$ in particular p_1, \dots, p_n .

$$p_i x p_i^{-1} = x \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Since the one-parameter subgroup passing x is unique,

$$p_i x^{\lambda} p_i^{-1} = x^{\lambda} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Hence $x^{\lambda} p_i (x^{\lambda})^{-1} = p_i$. From the same argument $x^{\lambda} p_i^{\mu} (x^{\lambda})^{-1} = p_i^{\mu}$. Therefore x^{λ} is commutative with $p_1^{\mu_1} p_2^{\mu_2} \dots p_n^{\mu_n}$, and this shows that x^{λ} is contained in the center of \mathcal{L} .

Now we prove the Lemma 2 by the induction with respect to the dimension of \mathcal{L} . Since the number of elements of H_k is finite, it is easy to show that \mathcal{L}/x^{λ} is also approximated by discrete subgroups and from the assumption of induction \mathcal{L}/x^{λ} is nilpotent. On the other hand x^{λ} is contained in the center of \mathcal{L} . By Lemma 1, \mathcal{L} is nilpotent.

Theorem 2. Suppose G is a connected semi-simple Lie group. Then there exist two element a and b such that the minimal closed subgroup containing a and b is G . (G

is generated by a and b).

Proof. From Lemma 2 it is known that there exists two one-parameter subgroups a^{λ} and b^{λ} such that G is generated by a^{λ} and b^{λ} . Denote by H_k the closed subgroup generated by $a^{1/2^k}$ and $b^{1/2^k}$. It is easy to verify that

$$(3) \quad H_k \subset H_{k+1} \subset \dots$$

$$(4) \quad \bigcup_{k=0}^{\infty} H_k = G.$$

Let H_k^0 be the component of the identity in H_k . Then $H_k^0 \subset H_{k+1}^0 \subset \dots$. Since H_k^0 is a connected closed subgroup of G , there exists κ such that $H = H_{\kappa}^0 = H_{\kappa+1}^0 = \dots$, and so for every element k of H_k , $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$(5) \quad k^{-1} H_k k \subset H.$$

From (4) and (5) H is a closed normal subgroup of G and G/H is approximated by discrete subgroups [2]

$H_k/H_k^0 = H_k/H$ ($k \geq \kappa$). By Lemma 2 G/H is nilpotent, but on the other hand G is semi-simple, and so G/H is semi-simple also. Hence $G/H = \{e\}$, and $G = H$. This shows that G is generated by

$$a^{1/2^{\kappa}} \quad \text{and} \quad b^{1/2^{\kappa}}.$$

(*) Received Dec. 15, 1949.

[1] H. Auerbach: Sur les groupes linéaires bornés (III), *Studia Math.* V. p.43-49.

[2] H. Tôyama: On discrete subgroups of a Lie group *KODAI MATH. SEMINAR REPORTS* No.2, 1949, p.36-37.

Nagoya University.