

## MINIMAL IMMERSIONS OF $S^2$ INTO $S^{2m}(1)$ WITH DEGREE $2m+2$

XINGXIAO LI

### 1. Introduction

In a previous paper [1], by solving completely the totally isotropic condition, we obtained explicit representations for all full minimal immersions with area  $2\pi[m(m+1)+2]$  of the 2- sphere  $S^2$  into the  $2m$ -dimensional Euclidean sphere  $S^{2m}(1)$  of radius 1, from which we get a classification theorem. It turned out that all those immersions are of degree  $2m+2$  (for definition, see Section 2 below). Naturally one asks: Are there any more full minimal immersions  $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$  of degree  $2m+2$  other than those of area  $2\pi[m(m+1)+2]$ ? How would they be like?

To answer these questions, it needs further analysis. Using the method developed in [1] we first give, in Section 4 of the present paper, much more concrete examples, and then obtain the corresponding explicit representations in terms of independent parameters. At the same time we complete, in Section 5, the classification of the minimal immersions of degree  $2m+2$ .

### 2. Preliminaries

Barbosa [2] established a bijection between the set of all full, generalized minimal immersions  $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$  and that of all linearly full, totally isotropic curves  $\bar{E}: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{2m}$ , where  $CP^{2m}$  is the  $2m$ -dimensional complex projective space of constant holomorphic curvature 4, with such immersions corresponding to their directrices.

Therefore, we can naturally define the degree of a minimal immersion  $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$  to be the degree of its directrix  $\bar{E}$  as a holomorphic curve in  $CP^{2m}$ , and denote it by  $deg(x) = deg \bar{E}$ .

Denote by  $C$  the field of complex numbers, and fix a stereo-graphic projection of  $S^2(1)$  onto  $C$  to get a local complex coordinate  $z$ ,  $z \in C$ , such that the induced metric by  $x$  can be written as  $ds^2 = 2F|dz|^2$ . It is well known that each holomorphic curve  $\bar{E}: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{2m}$  has a local representation (or lift) as

$$\xi = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i, \quad z \in C,$$

---

Received April 12, 1994.

where  $a_i, i=0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are vectors in  $C^{2m+1}$ , and if  $\xi$  has no zero on  $C$ , then  $n=deg \mathcal{E}$ . In what follows we always agree to this.

If  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the canonical symmetric product of  $C^{2m+1}$ , then the total isotropy of  $\mathcal{E}$  is equivalent to that [2]

$$(\xi^i, \xi^j) \equiv 0, 0 \leq i+j \leq 2m-1, \tag{2.1}$$

where  $\xi^i := \xi^{(i)} = d^i \xi / dz^i$ .

Let  $\mathcal{E}_i: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{N_i}, N_i = \binom{2m+1}{i+1}, i=0, 1, 2, \dots, 2m-1$ , be the associated curves of  $\mathcal{E}$  [3], which are determined by

$$\xi \wedge \xi' \wedge \dots \wedge \xi^i: S^2 \longrightarrow C^{N_i+1}, i=0, 1, 2, \dots, 2m-1.$$

Obviously  $\mathcal{E}_0 = \mathcal{E}$ .

In the remains of this paper, we denote for each  $i, 0 \leq i \leq 2m-1$ , by  $\sigma_i$  the stationary index of  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , and  $\delta_i$  the stationary multiplicity of  $\mathcal{E}$  at any fixed point of  $S^2$ . Then we have (one can see [2] for details):

$$deg \mathcal{E} = 2m + \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sigma_i, deg \mathcal{E} \geq 2m + 2 \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \delta_i. \tag{2.2}$$

LEMMA 2.1 [1]. *Let  $\mathcal{E}: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{2m}$  be a linearly full curve of degree  $2m+2$ . If  $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^{2m+2} a_i z^i$  is a local lift of  $\mathcal{E}$ , then  $\mathcal{E}$  is totally isotropic if and only if the following hold:*

$$(1) (a_i, a_j) = 0, \text{ except } i+j=2m+p, 0 \leq p \leq 4, \tag{2.3}$$

$$(2) (a_{m-r}, a_{m+r}) = (-1)^r \frac{(m!)^2}{(m-r)!(m+r)!} (a_m, a_m), \tag{2.4}$$

$$(3) (a_{m-r}, a_{m+r+1}) = (-1)^r \frac{(2r+1)m!(m+1)!}{(m-r)!(m+1+r)!} (a_m, a_{m+1}), \tag{2.5}$$

$$(4) (a_{m+1-s}, a_{m+1+s}) = (-1)^{s-1} \frac{1}{(m+1-s)!(m+1+s)!} \{s^2 m!(m+2)!(a_m, a_{m+2}) + (s^2-1)[(m+1)!]^2 (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1})\}, s=1, 2, \dots, m+1, \tag{2.6}$$

$$(5) (a_{m+1-r}, a_{m+2+r}) = (-1)^r \frac{(2r+1)m!(m+1)!}{(m-r)!(m+1+r)!} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+2}), \tag{2.7}$$

$$(6) (a_{m+2-r}, a_{m+2+r}) = (-1)^r \frac{(m!)^2}{(m-r)!(m+r)!} (a_{m+2}, a_{m+2}), \tag{2.8}$$

where  $r=1, 2, \dots, m$ .

LEMMA 2.2 [1]. *Let  $\xi = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i z^i$  be a  $C^{2m+1}$ -valued polynomial,  $N$  a non-negative integer. If we denote by  $p$  the multi-indices  $(p_0, p_1, \dots, p_N)$  such that  $0 \leq p_0 < p < \dots < p_N \leq n$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \xi \wedge \xi' \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi^N &= \sum_p \prod_{i>j} (p_i - p_j) z^{l(p)} a_{p_0} \wedge a_{p_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{p_N} \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 0} \left[ \sum_{l(p)=k} \prod_{i>j} (p_i - p_j) a_{p_0} \wedge a_{p_1} \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{p_N} \right] z^k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $l(p) = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq N} p_i - N(N+1)/2$ .

LEMMA 2.3 [1] *Let  $N \geq 2$  be an integer, and  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{N+1}$  be vectors in a complex space  $C^n$ . Then,  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{N+1}$  are linearly related if and only if the exterior vectors  $a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_N$  and  $a_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge a_{N-1} \wedge a_{N+1}$  are parallel in  $\wedge^N C^n$ .*

### 3. Fundamental lemmas

This section will be devoted to proving some lemmas that are essential in the present paper.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let  $E: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{2m}$  be a linearly full, totally isotropic curve with degree  $2m+2$ . If  $z=0$  is one stationary point of an associated curve  $E_i$  for some  $i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , and  $\xi = \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} a_j z^j$  is a local lift of  $E$ , then there are numbers  $\lambda_j, \mu_l \in C, 0 \leq j \leq i, 0 \leq l \leq i$  or  $i+2 \leq l \leq 2m-i$ , such that*

$$a_{i+1} = \sum_{j=0}^i \lambda_j a_j, \tag{3.1}$$

$$a_{2m+1-i} = \sum_{j=0}^i \mu_j a_j + \sum_{j=i+2}^{2m-i} \mu_j a_j. \tag{3.2}$$

Also we have,

$$(1) \{a_j, j \neq i, 2m+1-i\} \text{ is a basis for } C^{2m+1},$$

$$(2) (a_j, a_k) = 0, \text{ except } j+k = 2m+2, 2m+3, 2m+4, \tag{3.3}$$

$$(3) (a_{m+1-s}, a_{m+1+s}) = (-1)^{s-1} \frac{[(m+1)!]^2 [s^2 - (m-i)^2]}{(m+1-s)!(m+1+s)!(m-i)^2} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}),$$

$$s = 1, 2, \dots, m+1, \tag{3.4}$$

$$(4) (a_{m+1-r}, a_{m+1+r}) = (-1)^r \frac{(2r+1)[(m+1)!]^2 \lambda}{(m-i)^2 (2m+2-i)(m-r)!(m+1+r)!} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}), \tag{3.5}$$

$$(5) (a_{m+2-r}, a_{m+2+r}) = (-1)^{r+1} \frac{[(m+1)!]^2}{(m-i)^2 (i+2)(m-r)!(m+r)!}$$

$$\times \left[ \frac{2m-3-2i}{2m+2-i} \lambda \mu_{2m-i} + \frac{4(m-1-i)}{i+3} \mu_{2m-1-i} \right] (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}), \tag{3.6}$$

where  $r=0, 1, \dots, m$ , and  $\lambda = \lambda_i$ .

*Proof.* By Barbosa [2], for any  $j, 0 \leq j \leq m-1$ , the associated curves,  $\mathcal{E}_j$ , and  $\mathcal{E}_{2m-1-j}$  have the same stationary points and the same multiplicity at each point. So  $z=0$  is a stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_{2m-1-i}$ . On the other hand, it is easily seen from the inequality in (2.2) that  $z=0$  is not the stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_j$ , if  $j \neq i, 2m-1-i$  and that  $\delta_i = \delta_{2m-i} = 1$  at  $z=0$ .

First, the fact that  $z=0$  is not the stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$  and Lemma 2.3 give

$$\xi \wedge \xi' \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi^i(0) \neq 0. \tag{3.7}$$

Second, the fact that  $z=0$  is the stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  gives

$$\xi \wedge \xi' \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi^{i+1}(0) = 0. \tag{3.8}$$

(3.7) and (3.8) are equivalent to that  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i$  are linearly independent and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+1}$  are linearly related. Thus we get (3.1).

Now using (3.1) and Lemma 2.2 we can obtain

$$\xi \wedge \xi' \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi^j \equiv 0 \pmod{(z^{j-i})}, \quad i \leq j \leq 2m-1-i. \tag{3.9}$$

So,

$$\xi_j := z^{j-i} \xi \wedge \xi' \wedge \cdots \wedge \xi^j$$

is a nonzero local lift of  $\mathcal{E}_i$  around  $z=0, i \leq j \leq 2m-1-i$ .

Since  $z=0$  is not the stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_{2m-2-i}$ . We see that

$$\xi_{2m-2-i} \wedge \xi'_{2m-2-i}(0) \neq 0. \tag{3.10}$$

On the other hand,  $\mathcal{E}_{2m-1-i}$  has  $z=0$  as one of its stationary points, so

$$\xi_{2m-1-i} \wedge \xi'_{2m-1-i}(0) = 0. \tag{3.11}$$

(3.10) and (3.11) imply, in view of Lemma 2.3, that  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{2m-i}$  are linearly independent and  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{2m-i}, a_{2m+1-i}$  are linearly related, and hence we get (3.2).

Now the fullness of  $\mathcal{E}$  implies that  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{2m-i}, a_{2m-i+2}, \dots, a_{2m+2}$  must hull  $C^{2m+1}$  and so they form a basis for  $C^{2m+1}$ .

Finally, it is not hard to see, by direct calculations, that (3.3) follows from (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (3.1); (3.4) from (2.6), (3.1) and (3.3); (3.5) from (2.7), (3.1), (3.3) and (3.4); (3.6) from (2.8) and (3.2)-(3.5). For example, we derive (3.6) as follows:

From (3.2)-(3.5) we find

$$\begin{aligned} & (a_{i+3}, a_{2m+1-i}) \\ &= \mu_{2m-i}(a_{i+3}, a_{2m-i}) + \mu_{2m-1-i}(a_{i+3}, a_{2m-1-i}) \\ &= \mu_{2m-i}(-1)^{m-i} \frac{[2(m-i-2)+1][m+1]!^2 \lambda}{(m-i)^2(2m+2-i)(i+2)!(2m-i-1)!} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \mu_{2m-1-i}(-1)^{m-i-1} \frac{[(m+1)!]^2 [(m-i-2)^2 - (m-i)^2]}{(m-i)^2(i+3)(2m-1-i)!} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}) \\
 = & \frac{(-1)^{m-i} [(m+1)!]^2}{(m-i)^2(i+2)!(2m-i-1)!} \left[ \frac{2m-2i-3}{2m+2-i} \mu_{2m-i} \lambda \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{4(m-i-1)}{i+3} \mu_{2m-1-i} \right] (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}). \tag{3.12}
 \end{aligned}$$

But from (2.8) we know that

$$(a_{i+3}, a_{2m+1-i}) = (-1)^{m-1-i} \frac{(m!)^2}{(i+1)!(2m-1-i)!} (a_{m+2}, a_{m+2}). \tag{3.13}$$

Comparing the right hand sides of (3.12) and (3.13) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (m!)^2(a_{m+2}, a_{m+2}) = & - \frac{[(m+1)!]^2}{(m-i)^2(i+2)} \left[ \frac{2m-2i-3}{2m+2-i} \mu_{2m-i} \lambda \right. \\
 & \left. + \frac{4(m-i-1)}{i+3} \mu_{2m-1-i} \right] (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}). \tag{3.14}
 \end{aligned}$$

Insert (3.14) into (2.8) one can obtain (3.6). Q.E.D.

LEMMA 3.2. Let  $E: S^2 \rightarrow CP^{2m}$  be a linearly full curve of degree  $2m+2$ . If  $E$  is totally isotropic, then there exists some integer  $i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , such that  $\sigma_i=2$ , and other  $\sigma_j=0$  for  $0 \leq j \leq m-1, j \neq i$ . That is, all associated curves  $E_j, 0 \leq j \leq m-1$ , except some  $E_i$ , are immersions.

*Proof.* By the assumption and (2.2), we have

$$\sigma_0 + \sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_{m-1} = 2. \tag{3.15}$$

So only two cases can occur:

CASE 1. For some  $i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1, \sigma_i=2$ , and so  $\sigma_j=0$  for other  $j, 0 \leq j \leq m-1$ ;

CASE 2. For some  $i, j, 0 \leq i < j \leq m-1, \sigma_i=\sigma_j=1, \sigma_l=0$  for other  $l, 0 \leq l \leq m-1$ .

Thus to prove Lemma 3.2, we need only to show that Case 2 is impossible. To this end, we suppose the contrary. Let  $p, q$  be the stationary points of  $E_i$  and  $E_j$  respectively. Then the inequality in (2.2) implies that  $p \neq q$ . Change the complex coordinate  $z$  if necessary, we can make  $p, q$  be the points  $z=0$  and  $z=\infty$  respectively. By Lemma 3.1,  $E$  has a local lift as

$$\xi = \sum_{l=0}^{2m+2} a_l z^l,$$

satisfying (3.1) and (3.2) for some complex numbers  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_i, \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i, \mu_{i+2}, \dots, \mu_{2m-i}$ .

On the other hand, if we set  $w=1/z$ , then  $w$  is a new complex coordinate

such that  $w=0$  is the stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_j$ . So applying Lemma 3.1 again to the local lift of  $\mathcal{E}$ :

$$\eta = \sum_{l=0}^{2m+2} a_{2m+2-l} w^l,$$

we know that there are numbers  $\lambda'_0, \dots, \lambda'_j, \mu'_0, \dots, \mu'_j, \mu'_{j+2}, \dots, \mu'_{2m-j}$  such that

$$a_{2m+1-j} = \sum_{l=0}^j \lambda'_l a_{2m+2-l}, \tag{3.16}$$

$$a_{j+1} = \sum_{l=0}^j \mu'_l a_{2m+2-l} + \sum_{l=j+2}^{2m-j} \mu'_l a_{2m+2-l}. \tag{3.17}$$

If  $\lambda'_{i+1}=0$ , then (3.16) indicates that  $a_{2m+1-j}, a_{2m+2-j}, \dots, a_{2m-i}, a_{2m+2-i}, \dots, a_{2m+2}$  are linearly related, contradicting (1) in Lemma 3.1.

So,  $\lambda'_{i+1} \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we can assume  $\lambda'_{i+1} = -1$ . Then (3.16) says

$$a_{2m+1-i} = -a_{2m+1-j} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq j \\ l \neq i+1}} \lambda'_l a_{2m+2-l},$$

which with (3.2) gives

$$a_{2m+1-i} = \sum_{l=2m+2-j}^{2m-i} \mu_l a_l - a_{2m+1-j}. \tag{3.18}$$

If  $\mu'_{i+1}=0$ , then  $a_{j+1}, a_{j+2}, \dots, a_{2m-j}, a_{2m+2-j}, \dots, a_{2m-i}, a_{2m+2-i}, \dots, a_{2m+2}$  are linearly related, contradicting (1) in Lemma 3.1.

So  $\mu'_{i+1} \neq 0$ . Take, for example,  $\mu'_{i+1} = -1$ . Then (3.17) can be rewritten as

$$a_{2m+1-i} = -a_{j+1} + \sum_{\substack{0 \leq l \leq j \\ l \neq i+1}} \mu'_l a_{2m+2-l} + \sum_{l=j+2}^{2m-j} \mu'_l a_{2m+2-l},$$

which compared with (3.2) implies

$$a_{2m+1-i} = -a_{j+1} + \sum_{l=2m+2-j}^{2m-i} \mu_l a_l + \sum_{l=j+2}^{2m-j} \mu_l a_l. \tag{3.19}$$

(3.18) and (3.19) give us that

$$a_{j+1} - a_{2m+1-j} = \sum_{l=j+2}^{2m-j} \mu_l a_l,$$

that is,  $a_{j+1}, \dots, a_{2m-j}, a_{2m+1-j}$  are linearly related, which also contradicts (1) in Lemma 3.1. This completes the proof. Q.E.D.

**LEMMA 3.3.** *Under the conditions of Lemma 3.1, the complex numbers  $\lambda_r, \mu_r, 0 \leq r \leq i$ , and  $\mu_j, i+2 \leq j \leq 2m-i$  are uniquely determined by the number  $\lambda = \lambda_i$ . In fact we have*

$$\lambda_{i-r} = (-1)^r \frac{(2m+2-i+r)! \lambda^{r+1}}{(r+1)!(2m+2-i)^r (2m+2-i)!}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq i, \tag{3.20}$$

$$\mu_r = (-1)^{i+r} \frac{(2m+2-r)!}{(i+1)!} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2m+2-i} \right)^{2m+1-i-r} \left[ \frac{1}{(2m+1-i-r)!} - \frac{1}{(2m-2i)!(i-r+1)!} \right], \quad 0 \leq r \leq i, \tag{3.21}$$

$$\mu_s = (-1)^{i+s} \frac{(2m+2-s)!}{(i+1)!(2m+1-i-s)!} \left( \frac{\lambda}{2m+2-i} \right)^{2m+1-i-s}, \quad i+2 \leq s \leq 2m-i. \tag{3.22}$$

*Proof.* First we calculate  $\mu_{2m-i}$ . By (3.2)-(3.4),

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{i+2}, a_{2m+1-i}) &= \mu_{2m-i}(a_{i+2}, a_{2m-i}) \\ &= \mu_{2m-i}(-1)^{m-i+1} \frac{[(m+1)!]^2(2m-2i-1)}{(i+2)!(2m-i)!(m-i)^2} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

But by (3.5),

$$(a_{i+2}, a_{2m+1-i}) = (-1)^{m-i-1} \frac{[2(m-i-1)+1][(m+1)!]^2 \lambda}{(m-i)^2(i+1)!(2m+2-i)(2m-i)!} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}). \tag{3.24}$$

If  $(a_{m+1}, a_{m+1})=0$ , then the  $(m+1)$ -dimensional subspace spanned by  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{m+1}$  is totally isotropic by (3.3), contradicting the general fact that the totally isotropic subspace in  $C^{2m+1}$  is at most  $m$ -dimensional. Thus  $(a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}) \neq 0$ . Comparing (3.23) and (3.24) we find

$$\mu_{2m-i} = \frac{(i+2)\lambda}{2m+2-i}. \tag{3.25}$$

Second, we turn to the other complex numbers. By Lemma 3.2, the stationary index  $\sigma_i=2$ . But it is obvious from the inequality in (2.2) that  $\delta_i=1$  at  $z=0$ . So  $\mathcal{E}_i$  also has a stationary point  $z_0 \neq 0$ . Since  $z_0$  is possibly  $\infty$ , we would rather change the coordinate  $z$  to  $w=1/z$ , and consider

$$\eta = \sum_{l=0}^{2m+2} a_{2m+2-l} w^l,$$

the local lift of  $\mathcal{E}$  around  $w=0$  ( $z=\infty$ ). Let  $w_0=1/z_0$ . Since  $w_0$  is not a stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_{i-1}$ , but a stationary point of  $\mathcal{E}_i$ , it is not hard to see that

$$\eta \wedge \eta' \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^i(w_0) \neq 0, \quad \eta \wedge \eta' \wedge \dots \wedge \eta^{i+1}(w_0) = 0. \tag{3.26}$$

(3.26) implies that there are numbers  $c_0, c_1, \dots, c_i$ , such that

$$\eta^{i+1}(w_0) = \sum_{l=0}^i c_l \eta^l(w_0). \tag{3.27}$$

Now using (3.1) and (3.2) we can express  $\eta'(w_0)$ ,  $0 \leq l \leq i+1$ , in terms of  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{2m-i}, a_{2m+2-i}, \dots, a_{2m+2}$  as:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \eta^l(w_0) = & a_0 \left[ \frac{(2m+2)!}{(2m+2-l)!} w_0^{2m+2-l} + \lambda_0 \frac{(2m+1-i)!}{(2m+1-i-l)!} w_0^{2m+1-i-l} \right. \\
 & + \mu_0 \frac{(i+1)!}{(i+1-l)!} w_0^{i+1-l} \left. \right] + \dots + a_i \left[ \frac{(2m+2-i)!}{(2m+2-i-l)!} w_0^{2m+2-i-l} \right. \\
 & + \lambda_i \frac{(2m+1-i)!}{(2m+1-i-l)!} w_0^{2m+1-i-l} + \mu_i \frac{(i+1)!}{(i+1-l)!} w_0^{i+1-l} \left. \right] \\
 & + a_{i+2} \left[ \frac{(2m-i)!}{(2m-i-l)!} w_0^{2m-i-l} + \mu_{i+2} \frac{(i+1)!}{(i+1-l)!} w_0^{i+1-l} \right] + \dots \\
 & + a_{2m-i} \left[ \frac{(i+2)!}{(i+2-l)!} w_0^{i+2-l} + \mu_{2m-i} \frac{(i+1)!}{(i+1-l)!} w_0^{i+1-l} \right] \\
 & + a_{2m+2-i} \frac{i!}{(i-l)!} w_0^{i-l} \\
 & + \dots + a_{2m+2} \frac{0!}{(0-l)!} w_0^{0-l}, \quad 0 \leq l \leq i+1, \tag{3.28}
 \end{aligned}$$

where we put  $p!/q! = 0$  in case  $q < 0$ .

From (3.27) and (3.28) we see that  $c_l = 0$  for  $0 \leq l \leq i$ , so

$$\eta^{i+1}(w_0) = 0,$$

which, by (3.28) with  $l = i + 1$ , is equivalent to the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \frac{(2m+2-l)!}{(2m+1-i-l)!} w_0^{2m+1-i-l} + \lambda_l \frac{(2m+1-i)!}{(2m-2i)!} w_0^{2m-2i} \\
 & + \mu_l (i+1)! = 0, \quad 0 \leq l \leq i, \tag{3.29}
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{(2m+2-l)!}{(2m+1-i-l)!} w_0^{2m+1-i-l} + \mu_l (i+1)! = 0, \quad i+2 \leq l \leq 2m-i. \tag{3.30}$$

Set  $l = 2m - i$  in (3.30) and use (3.25) we get

$$w_0 = -\frac{\mu_{2m-i}}{i+2} = -\frac{\lambda}{2m+2-i}. \tag{3.31}$$

Thus (3.30) gives (3.22).

To prove (3.20), we first note from (3.3) that for each  $r$ ,  $0 \leq r \leq i-2$ ,

$$(a_{i+1}, a_{2m+4+r-i}) = 0,$$

which, with (3.1), implies

$$\lambda(a_i, a_{2m+4+r-i}) + \lambda_{i-1}(a_{i-1}, a_{2m+4+r-i}) + \dots + \lambda_{i-r-2}(a_{i-r-2}, a_{2m+4+r-i}) = 0.$$

This identity and Lemma 3.1 give us



$$\frac{\lambda_{i-r}\lambda^2}{(2m+2-i)^2(2m+2-i+r)!} + \frac{(2m+5-2i+2r)\lambda_{i-r-1}\lambda}{(2m+2-i)(2m+3-i+r)!} + \frac{(r+3)(r+3+2m-2i)\lambda_{i-r-2}}{(2m+4-i+r)!} = 0. \tag{3.32}$$

On the other hand, we know from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} (a_{i+1}, a_{2m+3-i}) &= \lambda_i(a_i, a_{2m+3-i}) + \lambda_{i-1}(a_{i-1}, a_{2m+3-i}) \\ &= (-1)^{m+1-i} \frac{[(m+1)!]^2}{(m-i)^2(2m+2-i)!(i-1)!} \left[ \frac{2m+3-2i}{2m+2-i} \lambda^2 \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{4(m+1-i)}{2m+3-i} \lambda_{i-1} \right] (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}), \\ (a_{i+1}, a_{2m+3-i}) &= (-1)^{m-i} \frac{[(m+1)!]^2}{(m-i)^2(i+2)(i-1)!(2m+1-i)!} \\ &\quad \times \left[ \frac{(2m-3-2i)(i+2)\lambda^2}{(2m+2-i)^2} + \frac{4(m-1-i)}{i+3} \mu_{2m-i-1} \right] (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two identities and using (3.22) we get

$$\lambda_{i-1} = - \frac{(2m+3-i)\lambda^2}{2(2m+2-i)}. \tag{3.33}$$

(3.33) indicates that (3.20) holds for  $r=1$ . An induction using (3.32) then proves (3.20) for all  $r, 0 \leq r \leq i$ .

Finally, we can derive (3.21) readily from (3.29), (3.31) and (3.20), thus complete the proof. Q.E.D.

By (3.22), (3.6) in Lemma 3.3 can be rewritten as

$$(a_{m+2-r}, a_{m+2+r}) = (-1)^r \frac{[(m+1)!]^2 \lambda^2}{(2m+2-i)^2(m-i)^2(m-r)!(m+r)!} (a_{m+1}, a_{m+1}). \tag{3.34}$$

#### 4. Examples

This section devotes itself to constructing concrete examples. For this, we need the following result:

**PROPOSITION 4.1.** *For each integer  $i$  satisfying  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$  and each complex number  $\lambda$ , let numbers  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_i$  and  $\mu_0, \dots, \mu_i, \mu_{i+2}, \dots, \mu_{2m+2}$  be determined by (3.20)-(3.22). Then the holomorphic polynomial*

$$\xi = \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} a_j z^j$$

*satisfying (3.1)-(3.5) and (3.34) is totally isotropic, and thus defines a totally iso-*

tropic curve in  $CP^{2m}$ .

*Proof.* It is readily verified that, under the restrictions of the proposition, all the conditions (2.3)-(2.8) are satisfied, and so Lemma 2.1 proves our conclusion.

*Examples 4.2.* Let  $e = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m, e_0, e_m, e_{m+1}, \dots, e_{2m}\}^t$  be an orthonormal basis for  $R^{2m+1}$ , and set

$$E_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(e_j + \sqrt{-1}e_{m+j}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq m, \quad E_0 = e_0. \tag{4.1}$$

Then  $E = \{E_1, \dots, E_m, E_0, \bar{E}_1, \dots, \bar{E}_m\}^t$  is a unitary basis for  $C^{2m+1}$ , the complexification of  $R^{2m+1}$ . Fix one integer  $i, 0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , and a complex number  $\lambda$ . Let the numbers  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_i, \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i, \mu_{i+2}, \dots, \mu_{2m-i}$  be as in Section 3. To construct an example of totally isotropic curves in  $CP^{2m}$ , we need to find a suitable set of  $C^{2m+1}$ -valued vectors  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2m+2}$  satisfying the conditions of Proposition 4.1. In terms of the basis  $E$ , we can write  $Q = \{a_0, a_1, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{2m-i}, a_{2m+2-i}, \dots, a_{2m+2}\}^t$  as

$$Q = T \cdot E, \tag{4.2}$$

where  $T$  is a nonsingular matrix of order  $2m+1$ . Thus we need only to find a special value of  $T$ .

Write  $T$  in a block form as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & *_1 & *_2 \\ V & *_3 & *_4 \\ T_2 & *_5 & *_6 \end{pmatrix} \tag{4.3}$$

with  $T_1, T_2, *_2$  and  $*_6$  being  $m \times m$ -matrices,  $*_3$  a number. Set

$$\alpha_{m+1-s} = (-1)^{s-1} \frac{[(m+1)!]^2 [s^2 - (m-i)^2]}{(m+1-s)!(m+1+s)!(m-i)^2}, \quad s=1, 2, \dots, m+1,$$

$$\beta_{m+1-r} = (-1)^r \frac{(2r+1)[(m+1)!]^2 \lambda}{(m-i)^2(2m+2-i)(m-r)!(m+1+r)!}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq m,$$

$$\gamma_{m+2-r} = (-1)^r \frac{[(m+1)!]^2 \lambda^2}{(2m+2-i)^2(m-i)^2(m-r)!(m+r)!}, \quad 0 \leq r \leq m.$$

In order that the conditions in Proposition 4.1 be satisfied, we put

$$(Q, Q^t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & D_1 \\ 0 & 1 & D_2 \\ D_3 & D_4 & D_5 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$D_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \cdots & \cdots & & & & & & & & 0 & \alpha_0 \\ 0 & \cdots & & & & & & & & & 0 & \alpha_1 & \beta_1 \\ 0 & \cdots & & & & & & & & & 0 & \alpha_2 & \beta_2 & \gamma_2 \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & & & & & 0 & \alpha_i & \beta_i & \gamma_i & \cdots & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & & & & & 0 & \alpha_{i+2} & \gamma_{i+2} & 0 & \cdots & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & & & 0 & \alpha_{i+3} & \beta_{i+3} & 0 & \cdots & & & & & \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & \alpha_{i+4} & \beta_{i+4} & \gamma_{i+4} & 0 & \cdots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & & & & & & & & & & & & & \vdots \\ 0 & \alpha_{m-1} & \beta_{m-1} & \gamma_{m-1} & 0 & \cdots & & & & & & & & 0 \\ \alpha_m & \beta_m & \gamma_m & 0 & \cdots & & & & & & & & & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$D_2 = (\beta_{m+1}, \gamma_{m+1}, 0, \dots, 0), \quad D_3 = D_1^t, \quad D_4 = D_2^t,$$

and

$$D_5 = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{m+2} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E}^t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & I_m \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ I_m & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $I_m$  is the identity matrix of order  $m$ , we see from (4.2) and (4.3) that

$$\begin{cases} *_{2} \cdot T_1^t + *_{1} \cdot *_{1}^t + T_1 \cdot *_{2}^t = 0, & *_{2} \cdot V^t + *_{1} \cdot *_{3} + T_1 \cdot T_4^t = 0, \\ *_{2} \cdot T_2^t + *_{1} \cdot *_{5}^t + T_1 \cdot *_{6}^t = D_1, & *_{4} \cdot V^t + *_{3}^2 + V \cdot *_{4}^t = 1, \\ *_{4} \cdot T_2^t + *_{3} \cdot *_{5}^t + V \cdot *_{6}^t = D_2, & *_{6} \cdot T_2^t + *_{5} \cdot *_{5}^t + T_2 \cdot *_{6}^t = D_5. \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

To simplify  $\mathbf{Q}$ , we put  $V = *_{1}^t = *_{4} = 0$ ,  $*_{2} = 0$ , and  $*_{3} = 1$ . Then (4.5) becomes

$$T_1 \cdot *_{6}^t = D_1, \quad *_{6} \cdot T_2^t + *_{5} \cdot *_{5}^t + T_2 \cdot *_{6}^t = D_5, \quad *_{5} = D_2^t. \quad (4.6)$$

Suppose also that  $*_{6} = I_m$  and that  $T_2$  is symmetric, then

$$T_1 = D_1, \quad T_2 = \frac{1}{2}(D_5 - D_2^t \cdot D_2). \quad (4.7)$$

Thus we obtain a value  $T(i, \lambda)$  of the matrix  $T$ , or, a special solution  $\mathbf{Q}(i, \lambda)$  of  $\mathbf{Q}$  as

$$\begin{aligned} a_0 &= \alpha_0 E_m, & a_1 &= \alpha_1 E_{m-1} + \beta_1 E_m, & a_2 &= \alpha_2 E_{m-2} + \beta_2 E_{m-1} + \gamma_2 E_m, \cdots, \\ a_i &= \alpha_i E_{m-i} + \beta_i E_{m-i+1} + \gamma_i E_{m-i+2}, & a_{i+2} &= \alpha_{i+2} E_{m-i-1} + \gamma_{i+2} E_{m-i}, \\ a_{i+3} &= \alpha_{i+3} E_{m-i-2} + \beta_{i+3} E_{m-i-1}, & a_{i+4} &= \alpha_{i+4} E_{m-i-3} + \beta_{i+4} E_{m-i-2} + \gamma_{i+4} E_{m-i-1}, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \cdots, \quad a_m &= \alpha_m E_1 + \beta_m E_2 + \gamma_m E_3, \quad a_{m+1} = E_0, \\ a_{m+2} &= \bar{E}_1 + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma_{m+2} - \beta_{m+1}^2)E_1 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_{m+1}\gamma_{m+1}E_2 + \beta_{m+1}E_0, \\ a_{m+3} &= \bar{E}_2 - \frac{1}{2}\beta_{m+1}\gamma_{m+1}E_1 - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_{m+1}^2 E_2 + \gamma_{m+1}E_0, \\ a_{m+4} &= \bar{E}_3, \cdots, \quad a_{2m-i} = \bar{E}_{m-i-1}, \quad a_{2m+2-i} = \bar{E}_{m-i}, \cdots, \quad a_{2m+2} = \bar{E}_m. \end{aligned}$$

Now we define

$$\begin{aligned} a_{i+1} &= \lambda_0 a_0 + \cdots + \lambda_i a_i, \\ a_{2m+1-i} &= \mu_0 a_0 + \cdots + \mu_i a_i + \mu_{i+2} a_{i+2} + \cdots + \mu_{2m-i} a_{2m-i}. \end{aligned}$$

Then it is directly verified that the polynomial

$$\xi = \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} a_j z^j$$

satisfies all conditions of Proposition 4.1, therefore defines a totally isotropic curve  $\mathcal{E}(i, \lambda)$ . Also, when  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ ,  $\mathcal{E}(i, \lambda)$  corresponds to a minimal immersion  $x(i, \lambda): S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$ , the degree of which is, by definition,  $2m+2$ .

*Remark 4.3.* By a change of order of  $E_0, \dots, E_m$  as  $E_m, \dots, E_1$ , it is easily seen that the special curve  $\mathcal{E}(0, \lambda)$  is nothing but the curve  $\mathcal{E}_\lambda$  given in [1].

### 5. Explicit representations and the classification theorem

By the methods used in [1], we can obtain explicit representations for all full minimal immersions  $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$  of degree  $2m+2$ , which we state briefly as follows:

For any of such immersion  $x$ , let  $\mathcal{E}$  be the directrix of it. Then  $\mathcal{E}$  is a totally isotropic curve in  $CP^{2m}$  of degree  $2m+2$ . Recall the discussions in Section 3, there exist an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , a complex coordinate  $z$  on  $S^2$ , and a complex number  $\lambda$ , such that  $\mathcal{E}$  has a local lift

$$\xi = \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} a_j z^j,$$

in which,  $(a_{m+1}, a_{m+1})=1$ ,  $Q = \{a_0, \dots, a_i, a_{i+2}, \dots, a_{2m-i}, a_{2m+2-i}, \dots, a_{2m+2}\}^t$  is a basis for  $C^{2m+1}$ , and (3.1)-(3.5), (3.34) hold for numbers  $\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_i, \mu_0, \dots, \mu_i, \mu_{i+2}, \dots, \mu_{2m-i}$  given by (3.20)-(3.22). Let  $A$  be in  $GL(2m+1, C)$ , such that

$$Q = A(Q(i, \lambda)),$$

and  $A$  the matrix of  $A$  with respect to  $E$ . Then

$$Q = A(Q(i, \lambda)) = T(i, \lambda)A(E) = [T(i, \lambda) \cdot A]E. \tag{5.1}$$

On the other hand, by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.3, we know that

$$(Q(i, \lambda), Q^t(i, \lambda)) = (Q, Q^t) = (A(Q(i, \lambda)), A(Q^t(i, \lambda))),$$

which is equivalent to

$$(A^t \cdot A(Q(i, \lambda), Q^t(i, \lambda))) = (Q(i, \lambda), Q^t(i, \lambda))$$

or,

$$((A^t \cdot A - I)(Q(i, \lambda)), Q(i, \lambda)) = 0,$$

with  $I$  the identity of  $GL(2m+1, C)$ . Since  $Q(i, \lambda)$  is a basis for  $C^{2m+1}$ , we can get easily that

$$A^t \cdot A = I. \tag{5.2}$$

Using (5.2) and suitably choosing  $E$ , one finds (for details, see [1]):

$$A = \pm \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ V & 1 & 0 \\ A_2 & (A_1^{-1})^t \cdot V^t & (A_1^{-1})^t \end{pmatrix} \tag{5.3}$$

with  $A_1$  a lower triangular matrix of order  $m$ ,  $V$  a row vector of dimension  $m$ , and  $A_2$  determined by

$$A_2 = -\frac{1}{2}(A_1^{-1})^t \cdot V^t \cdot V + C \cdot A_1, \tag{5.4}$$

where  $C$  is an antisymmetric  $(m \times m)$ -matrix.

Conversely, given arbitrarily an integer  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq m-1$ , a complex number  $\lambda$ , a nonsingular, lower triangular matrix  $A_1$  of order  $m$ , an  $m$ -dimensional vector  $V$  and an antisymmetric  $(m \times m)$ -matrix  $C$ , we obtain by (5.3) and (5.4) a matrix  $A$  of order  $2m+1$ , and then a basis for  $C^{2m+1}$  by (5.1). A direct verification using Proposition 4.1 shows that the polynomial

$$\xi = \sum_{j=0}^{2m+2} a_j z^j$$

defined by  $Q$ , (3.1), (3.2) and (3.20)-(3.22) is totally isotropic, therefore gives a minimal immersion  $x : S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$  of degree  $2m+2$ .

Thus (5.1), (5.3) and (5.4) define an explicit representation of full minimal immersions  $x : S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$  of degree  $2m+2$ , in terms of independent parameters.

Finally, for each  $i=0, 1, \dots, m-1$ , we can use the same arguments as in Remark 5.8 of [1] to obtain the following result :

**PROPOSITION 5.1.** *Up to isometries, the set of all totally isotropic, linearly full curves  $E : S^2 \rightarrow CP^2$  of degree  $2m+2$ , of which only the  $i$ -th associated curve fails to be an immersion, has a natural structure diffeomorphic to the trivial bundle*

$$GB = \&_2 \times \frac{SO(2m+1, \mathbf{C})}{SO(2m+1, \mathbf{R})},$$

with  $\&_2$  the quotient space of  $\& = S^2 \times C$  by an action of the modulo group  $Z_2 = \{-1, 1\}$ .

As for the directrices of the full minimal immersions  $x$  of  $S^2$  into  $S^{2m}(1)$ , the case  $i=m-1$  can not occur. Hence, combining Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 5.1, we complete our classification. The conclusion is,

**THEOREM 5.2.** *The set of full minimal immersions  $x: S^2 \rightarrow S^{2m}(1)$  of degree  $2m+2$  is, modulo isometries, diffeomorphic to a disjoint union of  $m-1$  copies of the trivial bundle  $GB$ .*

*Remark 5.3.* The area formula (See [1, 2]) gives the area of immersions  $x$  in Theorem 5.3 as

$$A(x) = 2\pi[m(m+1) + 2(i+1)], \quad 0 \leq i \leq m-2. \quad (5.5)$$

So the case  $i=0$  is just that discussed in [1].

*Acknowledgement.* The author is grateful to Professors An-Min Li and Guosong Zhao for their valuable suggestions and constant encouragement in the preparation of this paper.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] XINGXIAO LI AND AN-MIN LI, Explicit representations of minimal immersions of the 2-sphere into  $S^{2m}$ , preprint.
- [2] J. L. BARBOSA, Minimal immersions of  $S^2$  into  $S^{2m}$ , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 210 (1975), 75-106.
- [3] P. GRIFFITHS AND J. HARRIS, Principles of Algebraic Geometry, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1978.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
SICHUAN UNIVERSITY  
CHENGDU 610064, SICHUAN  
P. R. CHINA

Current Address

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
HENAN NORMAL UNIVERSITY, XINXIANG 453002  
HENAN, P. R. CHINA