

**SINGULAR VARIATION OF DOMAIN AND SPECTRA
 OF THE LAPLACIAN WITH SMALL ROBIN
 CONDITIONAL BOUNDARY II**

Dedicated to Professor Takeshi Watanabe on his 60th birthday

BY SHIN OZAWA AND SUSUMU ROPPONGI

1. Introduction.

This paper is a continuation of previous paper [6].

Let Ω be a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^2 with smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$. Let \tilde{w} be a fixed point in Ω . Let $B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})$ be the disk of radius ε with the center \tilde{w} . We put $\Omega_\varepsilon = \Omega \setminus \overline{B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})}$. Consider the following eigenvalue problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \lambda u(x) & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ u(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega \\ u(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu_x}(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w}). \end{aligned}$$

Here k denotes the positive constant. And σ is a real number. Here $\partial/\partial \nu_x$ denotes the derivative along the exterior normal direction with respect to Ω_ε .

Let $\mu_j(\varepsilon) > 0$ be the j -th eigenvalue of (1.1). Let μ_j be the j -th eigenvalue of the problem

$$(1.2) \quad \begin{aligned} -\Delta u(x) &= \lambda u(x) & x \in \Omega \\ u(x) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

Let $G(x, y)$ be the Green function of the Laplacian in Ω associated with the boundary condition (1.2).

Main aim of this paper is to show the following Theorems. Let $\varphi_j(x)$ be the L^2 -normalized eigenfunction associated with μ_j . We have the following.

THEOREM 1. *Assume that μ_j is a simple eigenvalue. Then,*

$$\mu_j(\varepsilon) = \mu_j - 2\pi\varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2/(\log \varepsilon) + O(|\log \varepsilon|^{-2}),$$

for $\sigma \geq 1$.

Received March 24, 1992.

THEOREM 2. Assume that μ_j is a simple eigenvalue. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + Q_j \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + R_j \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma}) & (-1 < \sigma < 0) \\ \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + R_j \varepsilon^2 + Q_j \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + O(\varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon|) & (-2 < \sigma \leq -1) \\ \mu_j(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j + R_j \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon|) & (\sigma \leq -2), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} Q_j &= (2\pi/k)\varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \\ R_j &= -\pi(2|\text{grad } \varphi_j(\tilde{w})|^2 - \mu_j \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark. The case $\sigma \in [0, 1)$ is treated in [6]. It is curious to the authors that the asymptotic behaviour of $\mu_j(\varepsilon) - \mu_j$ is the same when $\sigma \leq -2$. For the related papers we have Ozawa [7], [8], [9], Rauch-Taylor [10], Besson [3], Chavel [4] and the references in the above papers.

For other related problems on singular variation of domains the readers may be referred to Anné [1], Arrieta, Hale and Han [2], Jimbo [5].

2. Outline of proof of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

We introduce the following kernel $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$.

$$\begin{aligned} (2.1) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) &= G(x, y) + g(\varepsilon)G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon)\langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon)\langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla_w u(\tilde{w}), \nabla_w v(\tilde{w}) \rangle &= \sum_{n=1}^2 \frac{\partial u}{\partial w_n} \frac{\partial v}{\partial w_n} \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \\ \langle H_w u(\tilde{w}), H_w v(\tilde{w}) \rangle &= \sum_{m,n=1}^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \end{aligned}$$

when $w = (w_1, w_2)$ is an orthonormal frame of \mathbf{R}^2 . Here $g(\varepsilon)$, $h(\varepsilon)$, $i(\varepsilon)$ are determined so that

$$(2.2) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu_x} p_\varepsilon(x, y) \quad x \in \partial B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})$$

is small in some sense.

If we put

$$(2.3) \quad g(\varepsilon) = -(\gamma - (2\pi)^{-1} \log \varepsilon + k(2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-1}$$

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} h(\varepsilon) &= (k\varepsilon^\sigma - \varepsilon) / ((2\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} + k(2\pi)^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-2}) & (\sigma < 0) \\ &= 0 & (\sigma \geq 1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} i(\varepsilon) &= k\varepsilon^{\sigma+1} / (\pi^{-1}\varepsilon^{-2} + 2k\pi^{-1}\varepsilon^{\sigma-3}) & (\sigma < 0) \\ &= 0 & (\sigma \geq 1), \end{aligned}$$

the above aim for (2.2) to be small is attained. Here

$$\gamma = \lim_{x \rightarrow \tilde{w}} (G(x, \tilde{w}) + (2\pi)^{-1} \log |x - \tilde{w}|).$$

Let $G_\varepsilon(x, y)$ be the Green function of the Laplacian in Ω_ε associated with the boundary condition (1.1).

We put

$$\begin{aligned} (Gf)(x) &= \int_{\Omega} G(x, y)f(y)dy \\ (G_\varepsilon f)(x) &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} G_\varepsilon(x, y)f(y)dy \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (P_\varepsilon f)(x) &= \int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} p_\varepsilon(x, y)f(y)dy & (\sigma < 0) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} p_\varepsilon(x, y)f(y)dy & (\sigma \geq 1). \end{aligned}$$

In case of $\sigma < 0$, P_ε cannot operate on $L^p(\Omega)$ because of the existence of $h(\varepsilon)$ -term and $i(\varepsilon)$ -term in (2.1).

Let T and T_ε be operators on Ω and Ω_ε , respectively. Then, $\|T\|_p, \|T_\varepsilon\|_{p,\varepsilon}$ denote the operator norm on $L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, respectively. Let f and f_ε be functions on Ω and Ω_ε , respectively. Then, $\|f\|_p, \|f_\varepsilon\|_{p,\varepsilon}$ denotes the norm on $L^p(\Omega), L^p(\Omega_\varepsilon)$, respectively.

At first we outline the proof of Theorem 1. A crucial part of our proof of Theorem 1 is the following.

THEOREM 3. Fix $\sigma \geq 1$. Then, there exists a constant C such that

$$(2.6) \quad \|\chi_\varepsilon P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}$$

holds. Here χ_ε is the characteristic function of $\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon$.

Since G_ε is approximated by $\chi_\varepsilon P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon$ and the difference between P_ε and $\chi_\varepsilon P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon$ is small in some sense, we know that everything reduces to our investigation of the perturbative analysis of $G \rightarrow P_\varepsilon$. This is the outline of our proof

of Theorem 1.

Next we outline the proof of Theorem 2. One important part of our proof of Theorem 2 is the following.

THEOREM 4. *Fix $\sigma < 0$. Then, there exists a constant C such that*

$$(2.7) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j)\|_{2,\varepsilon} &\leq C\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \quad (-1 < \sigma < 0) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon| \quad (\sigma \leq -1) \end{aligned}$$

holds.

We fix j and put

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} \bar{p}_\varepsilon(x, y) &= G(x, y) - \pi \mu_j \varepsilon^2 \cdot G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ &\quad + g(\varepsilon)G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon)\langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \xi_\varepsilon(x)\xi_\varepsilon(y) \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon)\langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \xi_\varepsilon(x)\xi_\varepsilon(y) \end{aligned}$$

where $\xi_\varepsilon(x) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^2)$ satisfies $|\xi_\varepsilon(x)| \leq 1$, $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = 1$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \overline{B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w})}$, $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in B(\varepsilon/2, \tilde{w})$ and $\xi_\varepsilon(x - \tilde{w})$ is rotationalary invariant. Furthermore we put

$$(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon f)(x) = \int_\Omega \bar{p}_\varepsilon(x, y)f(y)dy.$$

The other important part of our proof of Theorem 2 is the following.

THEOREM 5. *Fix $\sigma < 0$. Then, there exist a constant C such that*

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(\chi_\varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon)\varphi_j\|_{2,\varepsilon} &\leq C\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \quad (-1 < \sigma < 0) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon| \quad (\sigma \leq -1) \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Since (2.7) and (2.9) are both $o(\varepsilon^2)$, we know that everything reduces to our investigation of the perturbative analysis of $\mathbf{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon$. This is the outline of our proof of Theorem 2.

3. Preliminary Lemmas.

We write $B(\varepsilon, \tilde{w}) = B_\varepsilon$. Next Lemma is proved in Ozawa [6].

LEMMA 3.1. *Fix $\sigma < 1$. Assume that $u_\varepsilon(x) \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}_\varepsilon)$ satisfies*

$$\Delta u_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \quad x \in \Omega_\varepsilon$$

$$u_\varepsilon(x)=0 \quad x \in \partial\Omega$$

$$\text{Max} \left\{ \left| u_\varepsilon(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_x}(x) \right| ; x \in \partial B_\varepsilon \right\} = M_\varepsilon,$$

then

$$(3.1) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_{p,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} M_\varepsilon \quad (1 \leq p < +\infty)$$

holds for a constant C independent of ε .

Remark. In Ozawa [6], $\sigma \geq 0$ is assumed. But this assumption is not required to get the above Lemma.

Now we want to estimate $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{p,\varepsilon}$ for $\sigma \geq 1$ under the same assumption of u_ε as above. We have the following.

LEMMA 3.2. Fix $M \in C^\infty(\partial B_\varepsilon)$, $\sigma \geq 1$ and $q > \sigma$. Then there exists at least one solution of

$$(3.2) \quad \Delta v_\varepsilon(x) = 0 \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon$$

$$(3.3) \quad v_\varepsilon(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_x}(x) = M(\theta) \quad x = \tilde{w} + \varepsilon(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$$

satisfying

$$(3.4) \quad |v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \text{Max} |M(\theta)| (1 + |\log r|) \quad \text{for } r \geq \varepsilon$$

$$(3.5) \quad |v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \text{Max}_\theta |M(\theta)| (|\log r| / |\log \varepsilon| + \varepsilon^{(1/2)(1-\sigma/q)} (r-\varepsilon)^{-1/2q'})$$

for $r > \varepsilon$, where $r = |x - \tilde{w}|$ and q' satisfies $(1/q) + (1/q') = 1$.

Proof. We put $x = \tilde{w} + r(\cos \theta, \sin \theta)$ and

$$v_\varepsilon(x) = a_0 \log r + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (b_j \sin j\theta + c_j \cos j\theta) (-j)^{-1} r^{-j}.$$

Then it satisfies $\Delta v_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \bar{B}_\varepsilon$. We see that

$$v_\varepsilon(x) + k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial \nu_x}(x) \Big|_{x \in \partial B_\varepsilon} = s_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (s_j \sin j\theta + t_j \cos j\theta) = M(\theta)$$

implies

$$a_0(\log \varepsilon - k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) = s_0$$

$$b_j \varepsilon^{-j} (-(1/j) - k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) = s_j$$

$$c_j \varepsilon^{-j} (-(1/j) - k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) = t_j$$

for $j \geq 1$.

Thus we have

$$(3.6) \quad |v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq |s_0 \log r| / (k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1} + |\log \varepsilon|) + \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (s_j^2 + t_j^2) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (\varepsilon/r)^{2j} (1 + j k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2} \right)^{1/2}.$$

Using the Hölder’s inequality, we have

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=1}^\infty (\varepsilon/r)^{2j} (1 + j k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2} \\ & \leq \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (\varepsilon/r)^{2jq'} \right)^{1/q'} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (1 + j k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1})^{-2q} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq (\varepsilon^{2q'} / (r^{2q'} - \varepsilon^{2q'}))^{1/q'} \left(\int_0^\infty (1 + k \varepsilon^{\sigma-1} s)^{-2q} ds \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq C(\varepsilon/(r-\varepsilon))^{1/q'} \varepsilon^{-(\sigma-1)/q} \\ & = C\varepsilon^{1-\sigma/q} (r-\varepsilon)^{-1/q'} \quad \text{for } r > \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.6), (3.7) and the inequality

$$s_0^2 + \sum_{j=1}^\infty (s_j^2 + t_j^2) \leq C \int_0^{2\pi} |M(\theta)|^2 d\theta \leq C' (\text{Max } |M(\theta)|)^2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} |v_\varepsilon(x)| & \leq |s_0| \cdot |\log r| / (k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) \\ & \quad + \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty (s_j^2 + t_j^2) \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty j^{-2} \right)^{1/2} k^{-1} \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \\ & \leq C \text{Max } |M(\theta)| \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} (1 + |\log r|) \quad \text{for } r \geq \varepsilon, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|v_\varepsilon(x)| \leq C \text{Max } |M(\theta)| ((|\log r| / |\log \varepsilon|) + \varepsilon^{(1/2)(1-\sigma/q)} (r-\varepsilon)^{-1/2q'})$$

for $r > \varepsilon$. Thus the proof is now complete. q. e. d.

We have the following.

LEMMA 3.3. Fix $\sigma \geq 1$ and $q > \sigma$. Under the same assumptions of u_ε in Lemma 3.1,

$$(3.10) \quad \|u_\varepsilon\|_{p,\varepsilon} \leq CM_\varepsilon (|\log \varepsilon|^{-1} + \varepsilon^{(1/2)(1-\sigma/q)}) \quad (1 < p < 2q')$$

holds for a constant C independent of ε .

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 and using the same repeating construction of the functions $v_\varepsilon^{(n)}$ in Proposition 1 of Ozawa [7], we have

$$(3.11) \quad |u_\varepsilon(x)| \leq CM_\varepsilon (|\log r| / |\log \varepsilon| + \varepsilon^{(1/2)(1-\sigma/q)} (r-\varepsilon)^{-1/2q'})$$

for $r > \epsilon$.

We fix $R > 0$ such that $\Omega \subset B(R, \tilde{w})$. Then, we have

$$(3.12) \quad \int_{\Omega_\epsilon} (r-\epsilon)^{-p/2q'} dx \leq 2\pi \int_\epsilon^R r(r-\epsilon)^{-p/2q'} dr$$

$$\leq 2\pi R \int_\epsilon^{R+\epsilon} (r-\epsilon)^{-p/2q'} dr \leq C \quad \text{for } 1 < p < 2q'.$$

By (3.11) and (3.12), we get (3.10). q. e. d.

4. Proof of Theorem 3.

From this section to section 7, we assume $\sigma \geq 1$. By (2.3) we know that

$$(4.1) \quad g(\epsilon) = 2\pi(\log \epsilon)^{-1} + O(|\log \epsilon|^{-2}).$$

We take an arbitrary fixed point $x \in \partial B_\epsilon$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tilde{w} = (0, 0)$ and $x = (\epsilon, 0)$.

We put

$$S(x, y) = G(x, y) + (1/2\pi) \log |x - y|.$$

Then, $S(x, y) \in C^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega)$.

We put $p_\epsilon(x, y)$ as before. Then, we have

$$p_\epsilon(x, y) - k\epsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\epsilon(x, y) \Big|_{x=(\epsilon, 0)}$$

$$= G(x, y) - k\epsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) - g(\epsilon)k\epsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y)$$

$$+ g(\epsilon)(-2\pi)^{-1} \log \epsilon + S(x, \tilde{w}) + k(2\pi)^{-1}\epsilon^{\sigma-1}G(\tilde{w}, y).$$

Let $\gamma = S(\tilde{w}, \tilde{w})$. Then, $S(x, \tilde{w}) = \gamma + O(\epsilon)$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. Since

$$g(\epsilon)(-2\pi)^{-1} \log \epsilon + \gamma + k(2\pi)^{-1}\epsilon^{\sigma-1} = -1,$$

we get the following.

$$(4.2) \quad p_\epsilon(x, y) - k\epsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\epsilon(x, y) \Big|_{x=(\epsilon, 0)}$$

$$= G(x, y) - G(\tilde{w}, y) - k\epsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y)$$

$$+ g(\epsilon) \left(O(\epsilon) - k\epsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) \right) G(\tilde{w}, y).$$

We take an arbitrary $f \in L^p(\Omega_\epsilon)$ and put $\tilde{f} = \chi_\epsilon f$. From (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.3) \quad & (\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \\
& = (\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(x) - (\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(x) \\
& \quad + g(\varepsilon) \left(O(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, w) \right) (\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(\tilde{w}).
\end{aligned}$$

By the Sobolev embedding theorem

$$\|\mathbf{G} \tilde{f}\|_{C^{1+\tau}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\tilde{f}\|_p = C \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon}$$

if $\tau=1-2/p$, $2 < p < \infty$. Therefore we get

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.4) \quad & |(\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(x) - (\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(\tilde{w})| \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
& |(\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(\tilde{w})| \leq C \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
& \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{G} \tilde{f})(x) \right| \leq C \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

for $p > 2$, $x=(\varepsilon, 0)$ and $\tilde{w}=(0, 0)$.

From (4.1), (4.3) and (4.4) we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left| (\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \right| \\
& \leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^\sigma + |g(\varepsilon)|(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^\sigma)) \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq C\varepsilon \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon}.
\end{aligned}$$

We put $(\chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)f = v$. Then, $v = \chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \tilde{f} - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon f$ and v satisfies the assumptions in Lemma 3.3 with $M_\varepsilon = C\varepsilon \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon}$, because $\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon f$ satisfies the given Robin condition on ∂B_ε . By Lemma 3.3 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v\|_{p, \varepsilon} & \leq C(|\log \varepsilon|^{-1} + \varepsilon^{(1/2)(1-\sigma/q)}) \varepsilon \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
& \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \|f\|_{p, \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

for $p > 2$ and $q > \sigma$. Therefore,

$$\|\chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{p, \varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}$$

for $p > 2$.

By the duality argument

$$\|\chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{p', \varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-1}$$

for p' satisfying $(1/p) + (1/p') = 1$. Now by the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem we get Theorem 3.

5. Convergence of eigenvalues for $\sigma \geq 1$.

At first we want to estimate $\|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}\|_2$. We take an arbitrary $v \in L^2(\Omega)$. Then, by the definition and the Sobolev embedding theorem we have

$$(5.1) \quad (\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon v)(x) = (\mathbf{G}v)(x) + g(\varepsilon)G(x, \tilde{w})(\mathbf{G}v)(\tilde{w})$$

$$(5.2) \quad \|\mathbf{G}v\|_\infty \leq C\|v\|_2.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G})v\|_2 &\leq C|g(\varepsilon)|\|G(\cdot, \tilde{w})\|_2\|v\|_2 \\ &\leq C|g(\varepsilon)|\|v\|_2 \leq C|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}\|v\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the following.

LEMMA 5.1. *There exists a constants C independent of ε such that*

$$(5.3) \quad \|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}\|_2 \leq C|\log \varepsilon|^{-1}$$

holds.

Next we want to estimate $\|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2$. Since

$$\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon = (1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon + \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon (1 - \chi_\varepsilon),$$

we have

$$(5.4) \quad \|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2 \leq \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2 + \|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon (1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\|_2.$$

By (5.1) and (5.2) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon v)\|_2 &\leq \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)(\mathbf{G}v)\|_2 + |g(\varepsilon)|\|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)G(\cdot, \tilde{w})(\mathbf{G}v)(\tilde{w})\|_2 \\ &\leq C|B_\varepsilon|^{1/2}\|v\|_2 + C|g(\varepsilon)|\left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |G(x, \tilde{w})|^2 dx\right)^{1/2}\|v\|_2 \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon + |g(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon|\log \varepsilon|)\|v\|_2 \\ &\leq C\varepsilon\|v\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon\|_2 &\leq C\varepsilon \\ \|(1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2 &\leq C\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Since we have the duality

$$((1 - \chi_\varepsilon) \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon)^* = \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon (1 - \chi_\varepsilon),$$

we get

$$(5.6) \quad \|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon (1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon.$$

By (5.4), (5.5), (5.6) we get the following.

LEMMA 5.2. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|P_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon$$

holds.

By virtue of Theorem 3, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 5.2, we see that there exists a constant C independent of j such that

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_j^{-1}| &\leq C(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} + |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} + \varepsilon) \\ &\leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

holds.

We need more precise estimate for the left hand side of (5.7) to get Theorem 1. By (5.7) we know that the multiplicity of $\mu_j(\varepsilon)$ is one for small ε when the multiplicity of μ_j is one.

6. Perturbational Calculus for P_ε .

In this section we consider the behaviour of eigenvalues of P_ε as ε tends to 0.

We put $A_0 = G$ and

$$(A_1 f)(x) = G(x, \tilde{w})(Gf)(\tilde{w}).$$

Then,

$$P_\varepsilon = A_0 + g(\varepsilon)A_1.$$

It is easy to see

$$\|A_1\|_p \leq C \quad (1 < p < \infty).$$

Furthermore we put

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\varepsilon) &= \lambda_0 + g(\varepsilon)\lambda_1 \\ \phi(\varepsilon) &= \phi_0 + g(\varepsilon)\phi_1 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ and $\phi(\varepsilon)$ is an approximate eigenvalue of P_ε and an approximate eigenfunction of P_ε , respectively.

As the standard techniques of perturbation theory, we solve the following equations.

Let λ_0 be a simple eigenvalue of A_0 . At first

$$(6.1) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\phi_0 = 0, \quad \|\phi_0\|_2 = 1.$$

Next we solve the following equations;

$$(6.2) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\phi_1 = (\lambda_1 - A_1)\phi_0$$

$$(6.3) \quad (\phi_0, \phi_1)_2 = 0,$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$.

By the Fredholm alternative theory, we see that

$$(6.4) \quad \lambda_1 = (A_1 \phi_0, \phi_0)_2$$

is the condition such that the unique solution ϕ_1 of (6.2), (6.3) exists.

Hereafter we put $\lambda_0 = \mu_j^{-1}$. Then $\phi_0 = \varphi_j$. We see that

$$(6.5) \quad \lambda_1 = |(G\phi_0)(\tilde{w})|^2 = \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2$$

$$(6.6) \quad (P_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\phi(\varepsilon) = g(\varepsilon)^2 (A_1 - \lambda_1)\phi_1.$$

By the Fredholm theory, we see that

$$(6.7) \quad \|\phi_1\|_2 \leq C \|\lambda_1 - A_1\|_2 \|\phi_0\|_2 \leq C.$$

By (6.6), (6.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(P_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\phi(\varepsilon)\|_2 &\leq |g(\varepsilon)|^2 \|A_1 - \lambda_1\|_2 \|\phi_1\|_2 \\ &\leq C |g(\varepsilon)|^2 \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the following.

LEMMA 6.1. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(6.9) \quad \|(P_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\phi(\varepsilon)\|_2 \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}$$

holds.

Next we want to estimate $\|(P_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2, \varepsilon}$. We put $\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon = 1 - \chi_\varepsilon$. Then, we have

$$(6.10) \quad (P_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\phi(\varepsilon) = \sum_{h=1}^4 T_h,$$

where

$$T_1 = G\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\phi_0$$

$$T_2 = g(\varepsilon)G\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\phi_1$$

$$T_3 = g(\varepsilon)A_1\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\phi_0$$

$$T_4 = g(\varepsilon)^2 A_1\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\phi_1$$

on Ω_ε , since $\lambda(\varepsilon)\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\phi(\varepsilon) = 0$ on Ω_ε .

We get

$$(6.11) \quad \|T_1\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq \|T_1\|_\infty \leq C \cdot \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\varphi_j\|_2 \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Also,

$$\|T_2\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C |g(\varepsilon)| \cdot \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \phi_1\|_2.$$

Notice that

$$\phi_1 = (-\lambda_0)^{-1}((\lambda_1 - A_1)\phi_0 - A_0\phi_1).$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \phi_1\|_2 &\leq C(\|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \phi_0\|_2 + \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon A_1 \phi_0\|_2 + \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon A_0 \phi_1\|_2) \\ &\leq C\left(\|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\|_2 + \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |G(x, \tilde{w})|^2 dx\right)^{1/2} + \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\|_2\right) \\ &\leq G(\varepsilon + \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| + \varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$(6.12) \quad \|T_2\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C |g(\varepsilon)| \varepsilon |\log \varepsilon| \leq C\varepsilon.$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (6.13) \quad \|T_3 + T_4\|_{2,\varepsilon} &\leq |g(\varepsilon)| \|A_1 \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \phi_0\|_2 + |g(\varepsilon)|^2 \|A_1 \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon \phi_1\|_2 \\ &\leq C(|g(\varepsilon)| \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon\|_2 + |g(\varepsilon)|^2) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} + |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}) \\ &\leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Summing up (6.10), (6.11), (6.12) and (6.13), we have the following inequality.

$$\|(6.10)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon + |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}) \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}.$$

Therefore, we get the following.

LEMMA 6.2. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}$$

holds.

7. Proof of Theorem 1.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 1. By Theorem 3, Lemma 6.1 and 6.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))(\chi_\varepsilon \phi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} &\leq \|\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon \mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \|\phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} + \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ &\quad + \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|^{-1} \|\phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} + |\log \varepsilon|^{-2} + |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}) \\ &\leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the fact that $\|\phi(\varepsilon)\|_{2,\varepsilon} \in (1/2, 2)$ for small ε . Therefore, there exists at least one eigenvalue $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ of G_ε satisfying

$$(7.1) \quad |\lambda^*(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)| \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}.$$

We here represent $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ explicitly as follows:

$$(7.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j^{-1} + g(\varepsilon)\mu_j^{-2}\varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2 \\ &= \mu_j^{-1} + 2\pi\mu_j^{-2}\varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2(\log \varepsilon)^{-1} + O(|\log \varepsilon|^{-2}). \end{aligned}$$

By (7.1), (7.2) and the fact (5.7), we see that $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ must be $\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. Then, we get

$$|\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - (\mu_j^{-1} + 2\pi\mu_j^{-2}\varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2(\log \varepsilon)^{-1})| \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{-2}.$$

Therefore, we get the desired Theorem 1.

8. Proof of Theorem 4.

From this section we assume $\sigma < 0$. By (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5), we see that

$$(8.1) \quad \begin{aligned} g(\varepsilon) &= -(2\pi/k)\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + O(\varepsilon^{2-2\sigma} |\log \varepsilon|) \\ h(\varepsilon) &= 2\pi\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^{3-\sigma}) \\ i(\varepsilon) &= (\pi/2)\varepsilon^4 + O(\varepsilon^{5-\sigma}). \end{aligned}$$

At first we want to estimate $\|P_\varepsilon - G_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon}$. We take an arbitrary fixed point $x \in \partial B_\varepsilon$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $\tilde{w} = (0, 0)$ and $x = (\varepsilon, 0)$.

We put $S(x, y)$ as before. Then, we have the following formulas (8.2), (8.3) in p. 263 and (8.4) in p. 264 of Ozawa [7], respectively.

$$(8.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &= (2\pi\varepsilon)^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $x = (\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w} = (0, 0)$.

$$(8.3) \quad \begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &= -(2\pi)^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $x = (\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w} = (0, 0)$.

$$(8.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &= -2\pi^{-1} \varepsilon^{-3} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $x=(\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w}=(0, 0)$.

The same calculation yields

$$(8.5) \quad \begin{aligned} & \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &= \pi^{-1} \varepsilon^{-2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) + \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $x=(\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w}=(0, 0)$.

We put $p_\varepsilon(x, y)$ as before. By (8.2), (8.3), (8.4) and (8.5), we have

$$(8.6) \quad p_\varepsilon(x, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\varepsilon(x, y) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} = \sum_{j=1}^7 L_j,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_1 &= G(x, y) \\ L_2 &= g(\varepsilon) \left(-(2\pi)^{-1} \log \varepsilon + \gamma + (2\pi)^{-1} k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1} \right) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_3 &= g(\varepsilon) \left(O(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) \right) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_4 &= (2\pi)^{-1} (\varepsilon^{-1} + k\varepsilon^{\sigma-1}) h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) \\ L_5 &= \pi^{-1} (\varepsilon^{-2} + 2k\varepsilon^{\sigma-3}) i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ L_6 &= h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ L_7 &= i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $x=(\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w}=(0, 0)$.

Here we used the fact that

$$S(x, \tilde{w}) = \gamma + O(\varepsilon) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

By (2.3), (2.4), (2.5) and (8.6), we get the following.

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.7) \quad & p_\varepsilon(x, y) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} p_\varepsilon(x, y)|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \\
& = G(x, y) - G(\tilde{w}, y) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) \\
& \quad + g(\varepsilon) \left(O(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) \right) G(\tilde{w}, y) \\
& \quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, y) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} G(\tilde{w}, y) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} G(\tilde{w}, y) \right) \\
& \quad + L_\varepsilon + L_\tau.
\end{aligned}$$

We take an arbitrary $\tilde{f} \in L^p(\Omega)$ which is zero on B_ε . By (8.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.8) \quad & P_\varepsilon \tilde{f}(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (P_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x)|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \\
& = (G\tilde{f})(x) - (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \\
& \quad + g(\varepsilon) \left(O(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) \right) (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \\
& \quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\tilde{f})(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right) \\
& \quad + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\
& \quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\
& \quad + i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\
& \quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We want to estimate (8.8). By the Sobolev embedding theorem,

$$\|G\tilde{f}\|_{C^{1+\tau}(\Omega)} \leq C \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}$$

for $p > 2$, $\tau = 1 - 2/p$.

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(8.9) \quad & |(G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w})| \leq C \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
& \left| (G\tilde{f})(x) - (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^{1+\tau} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
& \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\tilde{f})(x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| \leq C \varepsilon^\tau \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}
\end{aligned}$$

for $p > 2$, $x = (\varepsilon, 0)$.

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8.10) \quad \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} (\mathbf{G}\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
 &\leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2} \|\tilde{f}\|_{2, \varepsilon} \quad (p=2) \\
 &\leq C \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \quad (p > 2)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2$, where p' satisfies $(1/p) + (1/p') = 1$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8.11) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} (\mathbf{G}\tilde{f})(\tilde{w}) \right| &\leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-2p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
 &\leq C \varepsilon^{-2/p} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \quad (p > 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq m, n \leq 2$.

Summing up (8.8), (8.9), (8.10) and (8.11), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left| (\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x) - k \varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \tilde{f})(x) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \right| \\
 &\leq C (\varepsilon^{1+\tau} + \varepsilon^{1-\sigma+\sigma} + \varepsilon^\sigma (\varepsilon^\tau + \varepsilon^{1-2/p}) + \varepsilon^{\sigma+2} + \varepsilon^{4+\sigma-2/p}) \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\
 &\leq C \varepsilon^{\sigma+1-2/p} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}
 \end{aligned}$$

for $p > 2$.

We put $(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)\tilde{f} = v$. Then, v satisfies the assumption in Lemma 3.1 with $M_\varepsilon = C \varepsilon^{\sigma+1-2/p} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}$, because $\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon \tilde{f}$ satisfies the given Robin condition on ∂B_ε . By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|v\|_{p, \varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} \varepsilon^{1+\sigma-2/p} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-2/p} \|\tilde{f}\|_{p, \varepsilon}$$

for $p > 2$. Therefore,

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{p, \varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-2/p} \quad (p > 2).$$

By the duality argument and the Riesz-Thorin interpolation theorem, we get

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{2-2/p} \quad (p > 2).$$

We take an arbitrary $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and put $p = 2/(1-\beta)$. Then, we have the following.

PROPOSITION 8.1. *Fix $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then, there exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq C \varepsilon^{1+\beta}$$

holds.

Next we estimate $\|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j)\|_{2, \varepsilon}$. We put $(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\chi_\varepsilon \varphi_j) = v_\varepsilon$. As we

get (8.8), we have

$$(8.12) \quad v_\varepsilon(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_1}(x) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} = I_0(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^\sigma(I_1(\varepsilon) - I_2(\varepsilon)) + I_3(\varepsilon),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_0(\varepsilon) &= (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(x) - (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_1(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{G}\varphi_j)(x) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \right) (\mathbf{G}\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_2(\varepsilon) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (\mathbf{G}\tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(x) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1^2} \right) (\mathbf{G}\tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_3(\varepsilon) &= g(\varepsilon) \left(O(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) \right) (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (\mathbf{G}\lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for $x=(\varepsilon, 0)$, $\tilde{w}=(0, 0)$.

Here we put $\tilde{\lambda}_\varepsilon = 1 - \lambda_\varepsilon$. Using (8.9), (8.10), (8.11) with $\tilde{f} = \lambda_\varepsilon\varphi_j$, we have

$$(8.13) \quad |I_0(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon \|\varphi_j\|_{p, \varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon$$

$$(8.14) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_3(\varepsilon)| &\leq C(|g(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^\sigma + |h(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^\sigma + |i(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^\sigma\varepsilon^{-2/p}) \|\varphi_j\|_{p, \varepsilon} \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} + \varepsilon^{4+\sigma-2/p}) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma}) \quad \text{for } p > 2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathbf{G}\varphi_j(x) = \mu_j^{-1}\varphi_j(x)$, we have

$$(8.15) \quad |I_1(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^2.$$

Furthermore, we have the following estimation (8.16) in p. 267 of Ozawa [7].

$$(8.16) \quad |I_2(\varepsilon)| \leq C\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|.$$

Summing up (8.12), (8.13), (8.14), (8.15) and (8.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| v_\varepsilon(x) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial v_\varepsilon}{\partial x_1}(x) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \right| &\leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^\sigma(\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma}) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} |\log \varepsilon|). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|v_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\sigma}(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} |\log \varepsilon|) = C \cdot H(\varepsilon).$$

Here,

$$(8.17) \quad \begin{aligned} H(\varepsilon) &= \varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon| \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} \quad (-1 < \sigma < 0) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon| \quad (\sigma \leq -1). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get Theorem 4.

9. Convergence of eigenvalues for $\sigma < 0$.

We introduce the following kernel $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon(x, y)$.

$$(9.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{p}_\varepsilon(x, y) &= G(x, y) + g(\varepsilon)G(x, \tilde{w})G(\tilde{w}, y) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon)\langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \chi_\varepsilon(x)\chi_\varepsilon(y) \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon)\langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w G(\tilde{w}, y) \rangle \chi_\varepsilon(x)\chi_\varepsilon(y) \end{aligned}$$

And we put

$$(\tilde{P}_\varepsilon f)(x) = \int_{\Omega} \tilde{p}_\varepsilon(x, y)f(y)dy.$$

Notice that $(1 - \chi_\varepsilon)\chi_\varepsilon = 0$ in $h(\varepsilon)$ -term and $i(\varepsilon)$ -term of (9.1). Therefore, as we get Lemma 5.1, we get the following.

LEMMA 9.1. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(9.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|P_\varepsilon - \chi_\varepsilon \tilde{P}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon\|_2 &\leq C(\varepsilon + |g(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon |\log \varepsilon|) \\ &\leq C\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

holds.

Next we want to estimate $\|\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G\|_2$. We take an arbitrary $v \in L^p(\Omega)$. Then, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} ((\tilde{P}_\varepsilon - G)v)(x) &= g(\varepsilon)G(x, \tilde{w})(Gv)(\tilde{w}) \\ &\quad + h(\varepsilon)\langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G\chi_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \chi_\varepsilon(x) \\ &\quad + i(\varepsilon)\langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G\chi_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \chi_\varepsilon(y). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9.3) \quad & \|(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G})v\|_p \\
 & \leq |g(\varepsilon)| \|G(\cdot, w)\|_p \|\mathbf{G}v\|_\infty \\
 & \quad + |h(\varepsilon)| \sum_{n=1}^2 \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} G(x, \tilde{w}) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} (\mathbf{G}\chi_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \right| \\
 & \quad + |i(\varepsilon)| \sum_{m, n=1}^2 \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} G(x, \tilde{w}) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} (\mathbf{G}\chi_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \right|
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for $p < 1$.

We have

$$(9.4) \quad \|\mathbf{G}v\|_\infty \leq C \|v\|_p \quad (p > 1),$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9.5) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} G(x, \tilde{w}) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x - \tilde{w}|^{-p} dx \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq C |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2} \quad (p = 2) \\
 & \leq C \varepsilon^{2/p-1} \quad (p > 2),
 \end{aligned}$$

for $n = 1, 2$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
 (9.6) \quad & \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} G(x, \tilde{w}) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{\Omega_\varepsilon} |x - \tilde{w}|^{-2p} dx \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \leq C \varepsilon^{2/p-2} \quad (p > 1)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $1 \leq m, n \leq 2$.

By (9.3), (9.4), (9.5), (9.6) and using the estimation (8.10), (8.11) with $\tilde{f} = \chi_\varepsilon v$, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|(\tilde{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G})v\|_2 & \leq C(|g(\varepsilon)| \|v\|_2 + |h(\varepsilon)| |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2} |\log \varepsilon|^{1/2} \|v\|_{2, \varepsilon} \\
 & \quad + |i(\varepsilon)| \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} \|v\|_{2, \varepsilon}) \\
 & \leq C(\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon| + \varepsilon^2) \|v\|_2 \\
 & \leq C(\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) \|v\|_2
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for an arbitrary $v \in L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore, we get the following.

LEMMA 9.2. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}\|_2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|)$$

holds.

Notice that the j -th eigenvalue of \mathbf{P}_ε is equal to the j -th eigenvalue of $\chi_\varepsilon \tilde{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon$. We fix $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then, by virtue of Proposition 8.1, Lemma 9.1 and 9.2, we see that there exists a constant C independent of j such that

$$(9.7) \quad |\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - \mu_j^{-1}| \leq C(\varepsilon^{1+\beta} + \varepsilon + \varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) \leq C\varepsilon$$

holds.

We need more precise estimate estimate for the left hand side of (9.7) to get Theorem 2. By (9.7), we know that the multiplicity of $\mu_j(\varepsilon)$ is one for small ε when the multiplicity of μ_j is one.

10. Perturbational Calculus for P_ε .

In this section we consider the behaviour of eigenvalues of P_ε as ε tends to 0. We put A_0, A_1 as before. And we put

$$\begin{aligned} (A_2f)(x) &= \langle \nabla_w G(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w(G\xi_\varepsilon f)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \xi_\varepsilon(x) \\ (A_3f)(x) &= \langle H_w G(x, \tilde{w}), H_w(G\xi_\varepsilon f)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \xi_\varepsilon(x). \end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\bar{P}_\varepsilon = A_0 + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)A_1 + h(\varepsilon)A_2 + i(\varepsilon)A_3 .$$

where

$$(10.1) \quad \bar{g}(\varepsilon) = g(\varepsilon) - \pi \mu_j \varepsilon^2 .$$

Furthermore, we put

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda(\varepsilon) &= \lambda_0 + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)\lambda_1 + h(\varepsilon)\lambda_2 + i(\varepsilon)\lambda_3 \\ \phi(\varepsilon) &= \phi_0 + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)\phi_1 + h(\varepsilon)\phi_2 + i(\varepsilon)\phi_3 \end{aligned}$$

so that $\lambda(\varepsilon)$ and $\phi(\varepsilon)$ is an approximate eigenvalue of \bar{P}_ε and an approximate eigenfunction of \bar{P}_ε , respectively.

Let λ_0 be a simple eigenvalue of A_0 . At first we set

$$(10.2) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\phi_0 = 0, \quad \|\phi_0\|_2 = 1$$

Next we solve the following equations :

$$(10.3) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\phi_1 = (\lambda_1 - A_1)\phi_0, \quad (\phi_0, \phi_1)_2 = 0$$

$$(10.4) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\phi_2 = (\lambda_2 - A_2)\phi_0, \quad (\phi_0, \phi_2)_2 = 0$$

$$(10.5) \quad (A_0 - \lambda_0)\phi_3 = (\lambda_3 - A_3)\phi_0, \quad (\phi_0, \phi_3)_2 = 0$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_2$ denotes the inner product on $L^2(\Omega)$. By the Fredholm alternative theory we see that

$$(10.6) \quad \lambda_n = (A_n \phi_0, \phi_0)_2 \quad (n=1, 2, 3)$$

is the condition such that the unique solution ϕ_1, ϕ_2, ϕ_3 of (10.3), (10.4), (10.5) exists, respectively.

Hereafter we put $\lambda_0 = \mu_j^{-1}$. Then $\phi_0 = \varphi_j$.

We have the following :

LEMMA 10.1. For a constant C independent of ε ,

$$\|A_1\|_p \leq C \quad (p > 1)$$

$$\|A_2\|_p \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \quad (p = 2)$$

$$\leq C \xi^{2/p-1} \quad (p > 2)$$

$$\|A_3\|_p \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \quad (p > 1)$$

hold.

Proof. The same estimate as (9.4), (9.5) and (9.6) yields

$$\|A_1 f\|_p \leq C \|f\|_p \quad (p > 1)$$

$$\|A_2 f\|_p \leq \sum_{n=1}^2 \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} G(x, \tilde{w}) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} (G \xi_\varepsilon f)(\tilde{w}) \right|$$

$$\leq C |\log \varepsilon| \|f\|_2 \quad (p = 2)$$

$$\leq C \xi^{2/p-1} \|f\|_p \quad (p > 2)$$

$$\|A_3 f\|_p \leq \sum_{m,n=1}^2 \left(\int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} G(x, \tilde{w}) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} (G \xi_\varepsilon f)(\tilde{w}) \right|$$

$$\leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \|f\|_p \quad (p > 1),$$

because $\xi_\varepsilon(x) = 0$ for $x \in B_{\varepsilon/2}$. Therefore, we get the desired result. q.e.d..

By (10.6) we see that

$$(10.6) \quad |\lambda_n| \leq |(A_n \psi_0, \psi_0)_2| \leq C \|A_n\|_p \quad (n = 1, 2, 3)$$

for $p > 1$.

Then, by the Fredholm theory and the estimate of the $L^p(\Omega)$ norm of the right hand side of (10.3), (10.4) and (10.5), we get the following.

LEMMA 10.2. For a constant C independent of ε ,

$$\|\psi_1\|_p \leq C \quad (p > 1)$$

$$\|\psi_2\|_p \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \quad (p = 2)$$

$$\leq C \xi^{2/p-1} \quad (p > 2)$$

$$\|\psi_3\|_p \leq C \varepsilon^{-2} \quad (p > 1)$$

hold.

In view of (10.2), (10.3), (10.4) and (10.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(10.8) \quad (\bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon) &= \bar{g}(\varepsilon)^2(A_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_1 + h(\varepsilon)^2(A_2 - \lambda_2)\psi_2 + i(\varepsilon)^2(A_3 - \lambda_3)\psi_3 \\
&\quad + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)h(\varepsilon)((A_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_2 + (A_2 - \lambda_2)\psi_1) \\
&\quad + h(\varepsilon)i(\varepsilon)((A_2 - \lambda_2)\psi_3 + (A_3 - \lambda_3)\psi_2) \\
&\quad + i(\varepsilon)\bar{g}(\varepsilon)((A_3 - \lambda_3)\psi_1 + (A_1 - \lambda_1)\psi_3).
\end{aligned}$$

By (10.7), (10.8), Lemmas 10.1 and 10.2, we see that

$$\begin{aligned}
(10.9) \quad \|(\bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon)\|_2 &\leq C(\bar{g}(\varepsilon)^2 + \varepsilon^4 |\log \varepsilon|^2 + |\bar{g}(\varepsilon)| \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|) \\
&\leq C(|\bar{g}(\varepsilon)| + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|)^2.
\end{aligned}$$

By (10.1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(|\bar{g}(\varepsilon)| + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|)^2 \\
\leq C(\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|)^2 \leq C(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon|) = C \cdot H(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the following.

PROPOSITION 10.3. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(10.10) \quad \|(\bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))\psi(\varepsilon)\|_2 \leq C \cdot H(\varepsilon)$$

holds.

Furthermore we want to estimate $\|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon}$. We fix $\beta \in (0, 1)$. Then, by Proposition 8.1, Lemma 10.2, Theorem 4 and (10.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon))\|_2 \\
&\leq \|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon\varphi_j)\|_2, \\
&\quad + \|\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon\|_{2,\varepsilon} (|\bar{g}(\varepsilon)| \|\psi_1\|_2 + |h(\varepsilon)| \|\psi_2\|_2 + |i(\varepsilon)| \|\psi_3\|_2) \\
&\leq C(H(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon^{1+\beta}(\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} + \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|)) \\
&= C(1 + \varepsilon^\beta)H(\varepsilon) \leq C \cdot H(\varepsilon).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the following.

PROPOSITION 10.4. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon - \mathbf{G}_\varepsilon)(\mathcal{X}_\varepsilon\psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2,\varepsilon} \leq C \cdot H(\varepsilon)$$

holds.

11. Proof of Theorem 5.

We put

$$(11.1) \quad J_\varepsilon(x; v) = (\chi_\varepsilon \bar{P}_\varepsilon v - P_\varepsilon \chi_\varepsilon v)(x) \quad \text{for } v \in L^p(\Omega).$$

Then, we see that

$$(11.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \Delta J_\varepsilon(x; v) &= 0 & x \in \Omega_\varepsilon \\ J_\varepsilon(x; v) &= 0 & x \in \partial\Omega. \end{aligned}$$

As we get (8.8), we have

$$(11.3) \quad \begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon(x; v) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} J_\varepsilon(x; v) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \\ = \sum_{n=4}^6 I_n(\varepsilon; v) + \sum_{n=8}^9 I_n(\varepsilon; v) - k\varepsilon^\sigma (I_7(\varepsilon; v) + I_{10}(\varepsilon; v)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_4(\varepsilon; v) &= (G\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(x) - (G\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) - \varepsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} (G\xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_5(\varepsilon; v) &= g(\varepsilon) \left(O(\varepsilon) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} S(x, \tilde{w}) \right) (G\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_6(\varepsilon; v) &= -\pi\mu_j \varepsilon^2 G(x, \tilde{w})(Gv)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_7(\varepsilon; v) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} (G\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(x) - \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_1} + \varepsilon \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_1^2} \right) (G\xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \\ I_8(\varepsilon; v) &= h(\varepsilon) \langle \nabla_w S(x, \tilde{w}), \nabla_w (G\xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma h(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle \nabla_w S(x, w), \nabla_w (G\xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ I_9(\varepsilon; v) &= i(\varepsilon) \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G\xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &\quad - k\varepsilon^\sigma i(\varepsilon) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \langle H_w S(x, \tilde{w}), H_w (G\xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ I_{10}(\varepsilon; v) &= -\pi\mu_j \varepsilon^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} G(x, \tilde{w})(Gv)(\tilde{w}) \end{aligned}$$

for $x=(\varepsilon; 0), \tilde{w}=(0, 0)$.

By the Sobolev embedding theorem, we have

$$(11.4) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_4(\varepsilon; v)| &\leq C\varepsilon \|\hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v\|_p + C\varepsilon \|\xi_\varepsilon \hat{\chi}_\varepsilon v\|_p \\ &\leq C\varepsilon \|v\|_p \quad (p > 2). \end{aligned}$$

Also,

$$(11.5) \quad \begin{aligned} |I_5(\varepsilon; v)| &\leq C |g(\varepsilon)| \varepsilon^\sigma \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon} |\log |y-w||^{p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ &\leq C\varepsilon^{3-2/p} |\log \varepsilon| \|v\|_p \quad (p > 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$(11.6) \quad |I_6(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon| \|v\|_p \quad (p > 1)$$

$$(11.7) \quad |I_{10}(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C\varepsilon \|v\|_p \quad (p > 1)$$

$$(11.8) \quad |I_8(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C|h(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^\sigma \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |y-w|^{-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ \leq C\varepsilon^{3+\sigma-2/p} \|v\|_p \quad (p > 2)$$

$$(11.9) \quad |I_9(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C|i(\varepsilon)|\varepsilon^\sigma \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |y-w|^{-2p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ \leq C\varepsilon^{4+\sigma-2/p} \|v\|_p \quad (p > 1),$$

where p' satisfies $(1/p) + (1/p') = 1$.

Since $B(\varepsilon, w) \subset B(2\varepsilon, x)$ for $x = (\varepsilon, 0)$ and $\tilde{w} = (0, 0)$,

$$(11.10) \quad |I_7(\varepsilon; v)| \leq C \left(\int_{B(2\varepsilon, x)} |x-y|^{-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ + C \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |y-w|^{-p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ + C\varepsilon \left(\int_{B_\varepsilon \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |y-w|^{-2p'} dy \right)^{1/p'} \|v\|_p \\ \leq C\varepsilon^{1-2/p} \|v\|_p \quad (p > 2).$$

Summing up these facts, we have

$$(11.11) \quad \left| J_\varepsilon(x; v) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} J_\varepsilon(x; v) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \right| \\ \leq C\varepsilon^{1+\sigma-2/p} \|v\|_p \quad (p > 2).$$

By (11.2), (11.11) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; v)\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{2-2/p} \|v\|_p \quad (p > 2).$$

Therefore we get the following.

LEMMA 11.1. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$(11.12) \quad \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; v)\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{2-2/p} \|v\|_p$$

holds for any $v \in L^p(\Omega)$ ($p > 2$).

By the way, we have the following formula (11.13) in p. 271 of Ozawa [7].

$$(11.13) \quad I_7(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = -(\varepsilon/2)\varphi_j(w) + O(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|)$$

It is easy to see

$$(11.14) \quad I_{10}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) = (\varepsilon/2)\varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + O(\varepsilon^2).$$

Thus, we have

$$(11.15) \quad |I_7(\varepsilon; \varphi_j) + I_{10}(\varepsilon; \varphi_j)| \leq C\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|.$$

Summing up (11.3), (11.4), (11.5), (11.6), (11.8), (11.9) and (11.15), we have

$$(11.16) \quad \left| J_\varepsilon(x; \varphi_j) - k\varepsilon^\sigma \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} J_\varepsilon(x; \varphi_j) \Big|_{x=(\varepsilon, 0)} \right| \leq C(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} |\log \varepsilon|).$$

By (11.16) and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$(11.17) \quad \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \varphi_j)\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq C\varepsilon^{1-\sigma}(\varepsilon + \varepsilon^{2+\sigma} |\log \varepsilon|) = C \cdot H(\varepsilon).$$

Therefore we get Theorem 5.

Furthermore we want to estimate $\|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2, \varepsilon}$. By (11.17), Lemmas 10.2 and 11.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2, \varepsilon} &\leq \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \varphi_j)\|_{2, \varepsilon} + |\bar{g}(\varepsilon)| \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \psi_1)\|_{2, \varepsilon} \\ &\quad + |h(\varepsilon)| \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \psi_2)\|_{2, \varepsilon} + |i(\varepsilon)| \|J_\varepsilon(\cdot; \psi_3)\|_{2, \varepsilon} \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon| + \varepsilon^{3-\sigma-2/p} + \varepsilon^3 + \varepsilon^{4-2/p}) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^3 |\log \varepsilon|) = C \cdot H(\varepsilon) \quad \text{for } p > 2. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we get the following.

PROPOSITION 11.2. *There exists a constant C independent of ε such that*

$$\|(\mathbf{P}_\varepsilon \boldsymbol{\chi}_\varepsilon - \boldsymbol{\chi}_\varepsilon \bar{\mathbf{P}}_\varepsilon) \psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq C \cdot H(\varepsilon)$$

holds.

12. Proof of Theorem 2.

Now we are in a position to prove Theorem 2. By Propositions 10.3, 10.4 and 11.2, we have

$$\|(\mathbf{G}_\varepsilon - \lambda(\varepsilon))(\boldsymbol{\chi}_\varepsilon \psi(\varepsilon))\|_{2, \varepsilon} \leq C \cdot H(\varepsilon).$$

Notice that $\|\psi(\varepsilon)\|_{2, \varepsilon} \in (1/2, 2)$ for small ε .

Therefore, there exists at least one eigenvalue $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ of \mathbf{G}_ε satisfying

$$(12.1) \quad |\lambda^*(\varepsilon) - \lambda(\varepsilon)| \leq C \cdot H(\varepsilon).$$

We here represent $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ as follows:

$$(12.2) \quad \lambda_1 = |(\mathbf{G}\phi_0(\tilde{w}))|^2 = \mu_j^{-2} \varphi_j(\tilde{w})^2$$

$$(12.3) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_2 &= \langle \nabla_w(\mathbf{G}\xi_\varepsilon\phi_0)(\tilde{w}), \nabla_w(\mathbf{G}\xi_\varepsilon\phi_0)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right)^2 \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \end{aligned}$$

$$(12.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda_3 &= \langle H_w(\mathbf{G}\xi_\varepsilon\phi_0)(\tilde{w}), H_w(\mathbf{G}\xi_\varepsilon\phi_0)(\tilde{w}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{m, n=1}^2 \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \right)^2 \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \end{aligned}$$

We see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \frac{\partial^2}{\partial w_m \partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \right| \\ & \leq C \int_{\Omega \setminus B_{\varepsilon/2}} |y - \tilde{w}|^{-2} dy \leq C |\log \varepsilon| \quad (1 \leq m, n \leq 2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$(12.5) \quad \lambda_3 = O(|\log \varepsilon|^2).$$

Also,

$$(12.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} G(w, y) \xi_\varepsilon(y) \varphi_j(y) dy \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \\ & = \mu_j^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \varphi_j(\tilde{w}) + I_{11}^{(n)}(\varepsilon) + I_{12}^{(n)}(\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_{11}^{(n)}(\varepsilon) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} S(w, y) (1 - \xi_\varepsilon(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \\ I_{12}^{(n)}(\varepsilon) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial w_n} \int_{\Omega} L(w, y) (1 - \xi_\varepsilon(y)) \varphi_j(y) dy \Big|_{w=\tilde{w}} \end{aligned}$$

for $n=1, 2$.

Here, we put

$$L(w, y) = G(w, y) - S(w, y) = -(2\pi)^{-1} \log |w - y|.$$

We see that

$$(12.7) \quad |I_{11}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)| \leq C \int_{B_\varepsilon} 1 dy \leq C \varepsilon^2 \quad (n=1, 2).$$

Furthermore, we have the following formula (12.8) in p. 271 of Ozawa [7].

$$(12.8) \quad |I_{12}^{(n)}(\varepsilon)| \leq C \varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon| \quad (n=1, 2).$$

Summing up (12.3), (12.6), (12.7) and (12.8), we have

$$(12.9) \quad \lambda_2 = \mu_j^{-2} |\text{grad } \varphi_j(\tilde{w})|^2 + O(\varepsilon^2 |\log \varepsilon|).$$

By (12.2), (12.5) and (12.9), we see that

$$(12.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \lambda(\varepsilon) &= \mu_j^{-1} + \bar{g}(\varepsilon)\lambda_1 + h(\varepsilon)\lambda_2 + i(\varepsilon)\lambda_3 \\ &= \mu_j^{-1} - \mu_j^{-2}Q_j\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} - \mu_j^{-2}R_j\varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^4|\log \varepsilon|^2) + O(\varepsilon^{2-2\sigma}|\log \varepsilon|), \end{aligned}$$

where Q_j and R_j are as mentioned before.

By (12.1), (12.10) and the fact (9.7), we see that $\lambda^*(\varepsilon)$ must be $\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|\mu_j(\varepsilon)^{-1} - (\mu_j^{-1} - \mu_j^{-2}Q_j\varepsilon^{1-\sigma} - \mu_j^{-2}R_j\varepsilon^2)| \\ &\leq C \cdot H(\varepsilon) + C(\varepsilon^4|\log \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^{2-2\sigma}|\log \varepsilon|) \\ &= C(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^3|\log \varepsilon| + \varepsilon^4|\log \varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^{2-2\sigma}|\log \varepsilon|) \\ &\leq C(\varepsilon^{2-\sigma} + \varepsilon^3|\log \varepsilon|). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get the desired Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

- [1] C. ANNÉ, Spectre du laplacien et écrasement d'ansens, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, **20** (1987), 271-280.
- [2] J.M. ARRIETA, J. HALE AND Q. HAN, Eigenvalue problems for nonsmoothly perturbed domains, *J. Diff. Equations.*, **91** (1991), 24-52.
- [3] G. BESSON, Comportement asymptotique des valeurs propres du laplacien dans un domaine avec un trou, *Bull. Soc. Math. France.*, **113** (1985), 211-239.
- [4] I. CHAVEL, *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, Academic Press (1984).
- [5] S. JIMBO, The singularly perturbed domain and the characterization for the eigenfunctions with Neumann boundary condition, *J. of Diff. Equations.*, **77** (1989), 322-350.
- [6] S. OZAWA, Singular variation of domain and spectra of the Laplacian with small Robin conditional boundary I to appear in *Osaka J. Math.* 1992.
- [7] S. OZAWA, Spectra of domains with small spherical Neumann boundary, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo SecIA.*, **30** (1983), 259-277.
- [8] S. OZAWA, Asymptotic property of an eigenfunction of the Laplacian under singular variation of domains —the Neumann condition—, *Osaka J. Math.*, **22** (1985), 639-655.
- [9] S. OZAWA, Electrostatic capacity and eigenvalues of the Laplacian, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo SecIA.*, **30** (1983), 53-62.
- [10] J. RAUCH AND M. TAYLOR, Potential and scattering theory on wildly perturbed domains, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **18** (1975), 27-59.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
FACULTY OF SCIENCES
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
OH-OKYAMA, MEGURO-KU,
TOKYO 152
JAPAN