REAL ZEROS OF SOLUTIONS OF SECOND ORDER LINEAR DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

By Cun-zhi Huang

1. Introduction

We consider the second order linear differential equation

$$f'' + Af = 0$$
, (1.1)

where A is an entire function. For an entire function f, let $\rho(f)$ be its order, $\mu(f)$ its lower order, $\lambda(f)$ the exponent of convergence of its zeros and $\lambda_{NR}(f)$ the exponent of convergence of its non-real zeros. In addition, we assume that the reader is familiar with the standard notation of Nevanlinna theory (see [4]).

When A is a polynomial, the distribution of zeros of solutions of (1.1) has been studied extensively. The following theorem is well-known ([1]).

THEOREM A. If A is a polynomial of degree $n \ge 1$, then every solution $f \equiv 0$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$\rho(f) = (n+2)/2$$
, (1.2)

and if f_1 , f_2 are two linearly independent solutions of (1.1), then

$$\lambda(f_1 f_2) = (n+2)/2. \tag{1.3}$$

Furthermore, G. Gundersen proved the following ([2]).

THEOREM B. Under the hypothesis of Theorem A,

$$\lambda_{NR}(f_1 f_2) = (n+2)/2.$$
(1.4)

When A is transcendental, we apply the lemma on the logarithmic derivative in Nevanlinna theory to (1.1) and can easily deduce that any solution $f \not\equiv 0$ of (1.1) satisfies

$$\rho(f) = +\infty \,. \tag{1.5}$$

By analogy with Theorem A and Theorem B, we may hope that

^{*} Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of P.R. China. Received December 4, 1989; Revised July 5, 1990.

$$\lambda(f_1 f_2) = +\infty \tag{1.6}$$

or

$$\lambda_{NR}(f_1f_2) = +\infty, \qquad (1.7)$$

where f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1.1). However, examples in [1] show that (1.6) and (1.7) may not hold if $\rho(A)$ is infinite or equal to a positive integer. When the growth of A is suitably restricted, (1.6) and (1.7) hold.

Before stating the following results of J. Rossi, we make some definitions. Let $n_+(r, 1/f)$ $(n_-(r, 1/f))$ be the number of zeros of f in $\{z: |z-(1/2)ir| < (1/2)r\}$ $(\{z: |z+(1/2)ir| < (1/2)r\})$, where |z| > 1 and r > 0. Define

$$\lambda_{1}(f) = \overline{\lim_{r \to \infty}} \frac{\log (n_{+}(r, 1/f) + n_{-}(r, 1/f))}{\log r}$$

Obviously $\lambda_1(f) \leq \lambda_{NR}(f)$. The lower exponent of convergence $\lambda_*(f)$ of the zeros of an entire function f is defined by

$$\lambda_*(f) = \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log n(r, 1/f)}{\log r},$$

where n(r, 1/f) is the number of zeros of f in |z| < r.

J. Rossi proved the following ([8]).

THEOREM C. If $\rho(A) \leq 1/2$ and f_1 , f_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1.1), then

$$\lambda_1(f_1f_2) = +\infty,$$

and

 $\lambda_*(f_1f_2) = +\infty.$

In this paper, we prove

THEOREM 1. Let A be a transcendental entire function of order $\rho < +\infty$ with k distinct finite asymptotic values. Suppose that $k=2\rho$. If f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent solutions of (1.1), then

$$\lambda_1(f_1f_2) = +\infty.$$

THEOREM 2. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1,

$$\lambda_*(f_1f_2) = +\infty$$

2. The Tsuji Characteristic

In [9] (c. f. [6] and [7]) M. Tsuji introduced a characteristic for a function f meromorphic in the upper half-plane based on the following Jensen-type formula:

114

REAL ZEROS OF SOLUTIONS OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS

$$\int_{1}^{r} \frac{n_{+}(t, 0)}{t^{2}} dt - \int_{1}^{r} \frac{n_{+}(t, \infty)}{t^{2}} dt$$

= $(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\sin^{-1}(r^{-1})}^{\pi - \sin^{-1}(r^{-1})} \log |f(r(\sin\theta)e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{r\sin^{2}\theta} + O(1).$ (2.1)

Here $n_+(t, 0) (n_+(t, \infty))$ denotes the number of zeros (poles) of f in $\{z : |z-(1/2)it| \le (1/2)t, |z| \ge 1\}$.

He defined

$$m_{+}(r, \infty) = m_{+}(r, f) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_{\sin^{-1}(r^{-1})}^{\pi - \sin^{-1}(r^{-1})} \log^{+} |f(r(\sin\theta)e^{i\theta})| \frac{d\theta}{r\sin^{2}\theta}, \quad (2.2)$$

$$m_{+}(r, a) = m_{+}(r, 1/(f-a)), \quad a \in C,$$
 (2.3)

$$N_{+}(r, \infty) = N_{+}(r, f) = \int_{1}^{r} \frac{n_{+}(t, \infty)}{t^{2}} dt = \sum_{1 \le r \ k \le r \ \sin \phi_{k}} \left[\frac{\sin \phi_{k}}{r_{k}} - \frac{1}{r} \right], \quad (2.4)$$

where $r_k e^{i\phi_k}$ are the poles of f in Im z > 0,

$$N_{+}(r, a) = N_{+}(r, 1/(f-a)), \quad a \in C,$$
 (2.5)

and

$$T_{+}(r, f) = m_{+}(r, f) + N_{+}(r, f). \qquad (2.6)$$

For f meromorphic in Im z > 0, Tsuji proved the following properties.

- (A) $m_+(r, a) + N_+(r, a) = T_+(r, f) + O(1), \quad a \in \mathbb{C}.$
- (B) If f is also meromorphic in a neighborhood of the origin,

 $m_+(r, f'/f) = O(\log T_+(r, f) + \log r)$, n.e.

(C)
$$(q-2)T_{+}(r, f) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{q} N_{+}(r, a_{k}) + O(\log T_{+}(r, f) + \log r) \text{ n.e. } (a_{k} \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}).$$

(n.e. means except on a set of finite linear measure.)

(D) $T_+(r, f)$ is a monotone increasing function of r. In [7, p. 332] it is also proved that

(E)
$$\int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{m_{0,\pi}(r,f)}{r^{3}} dr \leq \int_{R}^{\infty} \frac{m_{+}(r,f)}{r^{2}} dr$$
 $(R \geq 1),$

where

$$m_{0,\pi}(r, f) = (2\pi)^{-1} \int_0^{\pi} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| d\theta.$$

Remark. Properties (A), (B) and (C) are analogues of Nevanlinna's first fundamental theorem, the lemma on the logarithmic derivative and Nevanlinna's second fundamental theorem, respectively.

Similarly, we can introduce the notations T_- , m_- and N_- for the lower halfplane analogues of the Tsuji functionals.

CUN-ZHI HUANG

3. Preliminary Lemmas

We need some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let A be an entire function of order $\rho < +\infty$ with k distinct finite asymptotic values a_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ and L_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ the asymptotic paths corresponding to a_i , which are simple curves from the origin to ∞ and non-intersecting except at the origin and divide the plane C into k disjoint simply connected domains D_i $(1 \le i \le k)$. We may assume that D_i is bounded by L_i and L_{i+1} $(1 \le i \le k;$ $L_{k+1} = L_1$). Suppose that $k = 2\rho$, then

(1) there exists in D_i a path Γ_i going to ∞ such that

$$\lim_{\substack{|z| \to \infty \\ z \in I_{x}}} \frac{\log \log |A(z)|}{\log |z|} = \rho , \qquad (3.1)$$

(2) A(z) has no finite deficient values.

This lemma can be found in [10, p. 324 and p. 353].

LEMMA 2 [8]. Let f be entire with infinite lower order such that

$$\begin{array}{c} m_{+}(r, f) = O(r^{\alpha}) \\ m_{-}(r, f) = O(r^{\alpha}) \end{array} \right\} \qquad (r \longrightarrow \infty), \tag{3.2}$$

where $0 < \alpha < \infty$. Then, given λ , $0 < \lambda < \infty$,

$$m(r, f) = (1+o(1))(2\pi)^{-1} \int_{E(r)} \log^+ |f(re^{i\theta})| \, d\theta, \quad \text{n.e.},$$
(3.3)

where the angular measure is

$$\operatorname{meas}\left(E(r)\right) = O(r^{-\lambda}). \tag{3.4}$$

Applying Wiman-Valiron theory (c.f. [5]), we can deduce that

LEMMA 3. If $\rho(A) < \rho_1 < \infty$ and f is a solution of (1.1), then

$$\log \log M(r, f) \leq r^{\rho_1}, \qquad (r \geq r_0). \tag{3.5}$$

LEMMA 4. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary and E be entire. If there exist μ_1 ($0 < \mu_1 < \infty$) and a sequence $R_n \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log M(R_n, E)}{R_n^{\mu_1}} = 0 , \qquad (3.6)$$

then

(1)
$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} (\log R_n)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{G}(\varepsilon) \cap [1, R_n]} \frac{dr}{r} \leq \varepsilon , \qquad (3.7)$$

where $G(\varepsilon) = \{r : \log M(2r, E) \ge r^{\mu_1/\varepsilon}\}.$

(2) there exists a positive integer $q=q(\varepsilon)$ such that

$$|(E'/E)^{2}(re^{i\theta}) - 2(E''/E)(re^{i\theta})| \leq r^{q} \quad for \ r \geq r_{0} > 1 , \qquad (3.8)$$

 $r \overline{\in} G(\varepsilon^2)$ and $\theta \overline{\in} J_r$, where meas $(J_r) \leq \varepsilon \pi$.

We remark that Lemma 4 is due to J. Rossi [8, Lemma 5 and 6]. But in his paper, he miswrote

$$m(r, (E'/E)^2 - 2E''/E) = O(\log T(r, E) + \log r)$$
 for all $r \in \mathbf{R}$,

it should be written as

$$m(r, (E'/E)^2 - 2E''/E) = O(\log T(2r, E) + \log r) \quad \text{for all } r \in \mathbf{R}.$$

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let f_1 , f_2 be linearly independent solutions of (1.1). Set $E=f_1f_2$, and we note as in [1] that

$$-4A = (c/E)^{2} - (E'/E)^{2} + 2(E''/E), \qquad (4.1)$$

where c is the constant Wronskian of f_1 and f_2 . Applying Nevanlinna theory to (4.1), we have

$$T(r, E) = N(r, 1/E) + \frac{1}{2}T(r, A) + O(\log T(r, E) + \log r), \text{ n.e.}$$
 (4.2)

Suppose that $\mu(E) < +\infty$, then there exist μ_1 such that $\mu(E) < \mu_1 < +\infty$, and $R_n \to \infty$ such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log M(R_n, E)}{R_{\mu^1}^{\mu_1}} = 0.$$
 (4.3)

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Since A has no finite deficient values, it must have infinitely many zeros. Let b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{q+1} be q+1 zeros of A with $q=q(\varepsilon)$ as in Lemma 4. Define

$$H(z) \!=\! A(z) \! \left/ \prod_{i=1}^{q+1} (z \!-\! b_i) \right,$$

then *H* is entire and of order $\rho(H) = \rho(A) = k/2$.

Set

$$\begin{split} D(H) &= \{z : |H(z)| > 1\}, \\ D(E) &= \{z : |E(z)| > 1\}, \\ D(\varepsilon^2) &= \{z = re^{i\theta} : 0 \le \theta \le 2\pi, r \in G(\varepsilon^2)\}, \\ D &= \{z = re^{i\theta} : \theta \in J_r, r \in G(\varepsilon^2)\}, \end{split}$$

with J_r , $G(\varepsilon^2)$ as in Lemma 4.

From (3.8) and (4.1), we deduce that

$$4|A(z)| \leq |c|^2 + |z|^q, \qquad z \in D(E) \cap D(\varepsilon^2) \setminus D, \quad (|z| \geq r_0).$$

$$(4.4)$$

But for $z \in D(H) \cap D(\varepsilon^2) \setminus D$,

$$|A(z)| > \left(\frac{1}{2}|z|\right)^{q+1}, \quad (2|z| \ge \max_{1 \le i \le q+1} |b_i|),$$
(4.5)

From (4.4) and (4.5), we have for r large enough $(r \ge r_* \ge r_0)$

$$\{\theta: re^{i\theta} \in D(H) \cap D(E) \cap D(\varepsilon^2)\} \subseteq J_r .$$
(4.6)

Set

$$L = \bigcup_{i=1}^{k} L_{i}$$

with L_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ as in Lemma 1. It is easy to see that

$$D(H) \cap \{z \colon |z| > r\} \cap L = \phi$$
 ,

if r is large enough. Without loss of generality, we may assume that r=0. By Lemma 1, there exists point $z_i \in D_i$ $(1 \le i \le k)$ such that

 $|H(z_i)| > e$.

Let Ω_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ be the connected component of D(H) containing the point z_i , then $\Omega_i \subset D_i$ $(1 \le i \le k)$. By the maximum modulus principle, we conclude that Ω_i $(1 \le i \le k)$ is unbounded.

Let

$$r_1 = \max\{r_*, |z_1|, |z_2|, \cdots, |z_k|\}$$

and θ_{it} $(1 \le i \le k; r_1 \le t < \infty)$ be the part of the circle |z| = t in $\overline{\Omega}_i$ and $t\theta_i(t)$ the the linear measure of θ_{it} . We have

Lemma 5.

$$\overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} (\log R_n)^{-1} \pi \int_{2r_1}^{(1/2)R_n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\theta_i(t)} \right) \frac{dt}{t} = \frac{k^2}{2}.$$
(4.7)

Proof. By a theorem of Tsuji [9], we have

$$\log |H(z_i)| \leq 9\sqrt{2} \exp\left(-\pi \int_{2r_1}^{(1/2)Rn} \frac{dt}{t\theta_i(t)}\right) \log M(R_n, H), \qquad (4.8)$$

for $R_n > 4r_1$ and $1 \leq i \leq k$.

(4.8) gives

$$\pi \int_{2r_1}^{(1/2)R_n} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{\theta_i(t)} \right) \frac{dt}{t} \leq k \log \log M(R_n, H) + k \log \left(9\sqrt{2}\right).$$
(4.9)

118

Noting that

 $k^{2} \leq \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta_{i}(t)\right) \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\theta_{i}(t)}\right) \leq 2\pi \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\theta_{i}(t)}\right), \qquad (4.10)$

from (4.9), we have

$$k^{2}/2 \int_{2r_{1}}^{(1/2)R_{n}} \frac{dt}{t} \leq \pi \int_{2r_{1}}^{(1/2)R_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\theta_{i}(t)} \right) \frac{dt}{t}$$
$$\leq k \log \log M(R_{n}, H) + k \log (9\sqrt{2}) .$$
(4.11)

The desired conclusion follows from (4.11) and $\rho(H) = k/2$.

Let

$$\Delta(\varepsilon) = \left\{ r: \sum_{i=1}^{k} \theta_i(r) < (2-\varepsilon)\pi \right\}$$

and

$$\beta = \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} (\log R_n)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon) \cap \mathbb{C}^2 r_1, (1/2) R_n} \frac{dt}{t},$$

then we have

Lemma 6.

$$\beta = 0. \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. First we note that

$$\pi \int_{2r_{1}}^{(1/2)R_{n}} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\theta_{i}(t)}\right) \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \pi \int_{\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon) \cap [2r_{1}, 1/2)R_{n}]} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\theta_{i}(t)}\right) \frac{dt}{t} + \pi \int_{[2r_{1}, (1/2)R_{n}] - \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{1}{\theta_{i}(t)}\right) \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$\geq \pi \int_{\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon) \cap [2r_{1}, (1/2)R_{n}]} \frac{k^{2}}{(2-\varepsilon)\pi} \frac{dt}{t} + \pi \int_{[2r_{1}, (1/2)R_{n}] - \mathcal{A}(\varepsilon)} \frac{k^{2}}{2\pi} \frac{dt}{t}$$

$$= \left(\frac{k^{2}}{2-\varepsilon} - \frac{k^{2}}{2}\right) \int_{\mathcal{A}(\varepsilon) \cap [2r_{1}, (1/2)R_{n}]} \frac{dt}{t} + \frac{k^{2}}{2} \int_{2r_{1}}^{(1/2)R_{n}} \frac{dt}{t}.$$
(4.13)

From (4.7) and (4.13), we have

$$\frac{k^2}{2} \ge \frac{k^2}{2} + \left(\frac{k^2}{2-\varepsilon} - \frac{k^2}{2}\right)\beta.$$
 (4.14)

We note that the right-hand side of (4.14) is strictly greater than $k^2/2$ unless $\beta=0$. Hence $\beta=0$.

Let $\Omega(E)$ be a connected component of D(E) and θ_t be the part of the circle |z|=t in $\Omega(E)$ and $t\theta(t)$ the linear measure of θ_t , then again by the theorem of Tsuji [9], we have

CUN-ZHI HUANG

$$\log\log M(R_n, E) \ge \pi \int_{2\tau_1}^{(1/2)R_n} \frac{dt}{t\theta(t)}$$
$$\ge \pi \int_{[2\tau_1, (1/2)R_n] - G(\varepsilon^2) - d(\varepsilon)} \frac{dt}{t\theta(t)}$$
$$\ge \pi \int_{[2\tau_1, (1/2)R_n] - G(\varepsilon^2) - d(\varepsilon)} \frac{dt}{2\varepsilon \pi t}$$
$$\ge \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \left(\int_{2\tau_1}^{(1/2)R_n} \frac{dt}{t} - \int_{[2\tau_1, R_n] \cap G(\varepsilon^2)} \frac{dt}{t} - \int_{[2\tau_1, (1/2)R_n] \cap d(\varepsilon)} \frac{dt}{t} \right).$$
(4.15)

From (3.7), (4.12) and (4.15), we have

$$\underbrace{\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(R_n, E)}{\log R_n}}_{\geq 2\varepsilon} \ge \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} (1 - \varepsilon^2).$$
(4.16)

Since ε is arbitrary, we can make the right-hand side of (4.16) larger than μ_1 , by choosing a small ε at the beginning. This contradicts (4.3). Hence $\mu(E) = +\infty$.

For any $\alpha > 1$, we have by (4.2)

$$1/2T(r, E) \le N(\alpha r, 1/E) + 1/2T(\alpha r, A)$$
, (r large enough). (4.17)

We note that $\rho(A) < +\infty$, then (4.17) and $\mu(E) = +\infty$ give

$$\lim_{r\to\infty}\frac{\log N(r,1/E)}{\log r}=+\infty,$$

which implies $\lambda_*(f_1f_2) = +\infty$. Theorem 2 is proved.

5. Proof of Theorem 1

Properties (A) and (B) together with (4.1) give

$$T_{+}(r, E) = N_{+}\left(r, \frac{1}{E}\right) + \frac{1}{2}T_{+}(r, A) + O(\log T_{+}(r, E) + \log r), \quad \text{n.e.}$$
(5.1)

We assume that

$$\lambda_1(E) < +\infty \tag{5.2}$$

and will arrive at a contradiction from this assumption.

From (5.1) and (5.2), we have

$$T_{+}(r, E) = O(r^{\alpha}), \quad (0 < \alpha < \infty),$$
 (5.3)

similary

$$T_{r}(r, E) = O(r^{\alpha}), \quad (0 < \alpha < \infty).$$
 (5.4)

Since $\rho(A) < +\infty$, we can choose λ such that $\rho(A) < (1/2)\lambda < +\infty$. Theorem 2 gives that $\mu(E) = +\infty$. Applying Lemma 2 to E, we have

120

$$m(r, E) = O(r^{-\lambda} \log M(r, E)) \quad \text{n.e.}$$
(5.5)

By a theorem of Hayman and Stewart [3, Theorem 6], for any constant K>1, we have

$$\log M(r, E) \leq m(r, E) [\log m(r, E)]^{\kappa}, \quad r \in G, \qquad (5.6)$$

where

$$\lim_{\tau \to \infty} (\log R)^{-1} \int_{\mathcal{G} \cap [1, R]} \frac{dt}{t} > 0.$$
(5.7)

From (5.5), (5.6), (5.7) and Lemma 3 with $\rho_1 = (1/2)\lambda$, there exist a sequence $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ and a constant c such that

$$1 \leq c r_n^{-\lambda} [\log \log M(r_n, E) - \lambda \log r_n + \log c]^K \leq c r_n^{-\lambda + (1/2)K\lambda}.$$
(5.8)

If we choose K < 2, (5.8) gives a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Remark. Indeed, we have proved the following slightly stronger results.

THEOREM 3. Let A be the same as em 1 and P a non-constant polynomial. If f_1 and f_2 are linearly independent solutions of the differential equation

then

 $\lambda_1(f_1f_2) = +\infty$.

THEOREM 4. Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3,

 $\lambda_*(f_1f_2) = +\infty$.

Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Professor Chong-ji Dai and Guodong Song for their help. We would also like to thank the referee for his careful reading of my manuscript and making some corrections.

References

- [1] S. BANK AND I. LAINE, On the oscillation theory of f'' + A(z)f = 0 where A(z)is entire, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 273 (1982), 351-363.
- [2] G. GUNDERSEN, On the real zeros of solutions of f''+Af=0 where A is entire, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., 11 (1986), 275-294.
- [3] W.K. HAYMAN AND F. STEWART, Real inequalities with applications to function theory, Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., 50 (1954), 250-260.
- [4] W.K. HAYMAN, Meromorphic functions, University Press, Oxford (1964).
- [5] W.K. HAYMAN, The local growth of power series: A survey of the Wiman-Valiron method, Canad. Math. Bull., 17 (1974), 317-358.
- [6] S. HELLERSTEIN AND C.C. YANG, Half-plane Tumura-Clunie theorems and the

$$f'' + APf = 0$$
,

CUN-ZHI HUANG

real zeros of successive derivatives, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 4 (1972), 469-481.

- [7] B. JA. LEVIN AND I.V. OSTROVSKII, On the dependence of the growth of an entire function on the distribution of the zeros of its derivatives, Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (2), 32 (1963), 323-357.
- [8] J. ROSSI, The Tsuji characteristic and real zeros of solutions of second order ordinary differential equations, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 36 (1987), 490-500.
- [9] M. TSUJI, On Borel's directions of meromorphic functions of finite order. 1, Tôhoku Math. J., 2 (1950), 97-112.
- [10] GUANG-HOU ZHANG, Theory of entire and meromorphic functions, Science Press (Chinese) (1986).

Department of Mathematics East China Normal University Shanghai, 200062 P.R. China