

TORSION AND CRITICAL METRICS ON CONTACT THREE-MANIFOLDS

BY DOMENICO PERRONE¹⁾

1. Introduction. Let M be a compact orientable manifold of class C^∞ . It is well known that a Riemannian metric g on M is a critical point of the functional “integral of the scalar curvature $\int_M r dv$ ” defined on the set of all Riemannian metrics of the same total volume on M , if and only if g is an Einstein metric.

Now let (M, ω) be a compact contact three-manifold. Then there exists a unique vector field X_0 on M such that $\omega(X_0)=1$ and $d\omega(X_0, \cdot)=0$. Consider the following functional

$$\mathcal{F}(g)=\int_M r dv \quad g \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ denotes the space of all associated Riemannian metrics to the contact form ω . This functional was studied by Blair and Ledger [2] in general dimension. However the three-dimensional case has many special features to merit a separate study. Chern and Hamilton [7] introduced the torsion $\tau=L_{X_0}g$, namely the Lie derivative of g with respect to X_0 , in their study of compact contact three-manifolds, and studied the Dirichlet energy

$$\mathcal{E}_c(g)=\int_M c^2 dv \quad g \in \mathcal{M}(\omega), \quad c^2=\frac{1}{2}|\tau|^2$$

over the set of “CR-structures” on M (see also Tanno [15]). Goldberg, the present author and Toth [10] studied the geometry of a compact contact Riemannian three-manifold (M, ω, g) with g critical metric of \mathcal{E}_c .

The main purpose of this paper is to study compact Riemannian three-manifolds (M, ω, g) with g critical metric of the functional \mathcal{F} .

In §3, we show that a point g of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is a critical point of \mathcal{F} , if and only if

$$(1.1) \quad \nabla_{X_0}\tau=0.$$

1980 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 53C15; Secondary 53C20.

Key words and phrases. Contact three-manifolds, torsion, critical metrics, curvature.

¹⁾ Supported by funds of the Ministero Pubblica Istruzione.

Received April 22, 1989; revised July 17, 1989

This condition is related to some interesting geometric properties, for example it is equivalent to the condition that the sectional curvatures of all planes, at a given point, perpendicular to $B=\ker\omega$ are equal to $(1-c^2/4)$. The (1.1) was incorrectly obtained in [7] as the condition for the critical metrics of the functional \mathcal{E}_c . Note that X_0 is a Killing vector field with respect to g , if and only if g is a critical point of \mathcal{E}_c and \mathcal{F} . Besides if g is ω -Einstein or locally symmetric, then it is a critical point of \mathcal{F} .

In §4 we show that a metric g of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is ω -Einstein if, and only if, the following hold: (1) g is a critical point of \mathcal{F} , and (2) the ϕ -torsion $\phi=-\tau\cdot\phi$ is perpendicular to the orbit of g under the group of diffeomorphisms of M . Moreover we give some properties of a tensor S_1 which measures the deviation from the ω -Einstein structure, for example $S_1=-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{X_0}\tau$ if and only if the above condition (2) holds.

In §5, we extend some results of [8] and [10]. Precisely we show that the metric of a compact contact Riemannian three-manifold (M, ω, g, X_0) whose characteristic vector field X_0 is of Killing, may be deformed to a contact metric of positive sectional curvature if either the Ricci curvature is greater than $-2g$ or the ϕ -sectional curvature is greater than -3 . Hence if, in addition, M is simply connected, then by [11] it is diffeomorphic with the three-sphere.

2. Contact manifolds. A $(2n+1)$ -dimensional manifold M is said to be a *contact manifold* if it carries a global 1-form $\omega\neq 0$ with the property that $\omega\wedge(d\omega)^n\neq 0$ everywhere. It has an underlying almost contact structure (X_0, ω, ϕ) , where $\omega(X_0)=1, \phi X_0=0$ and $\phi^2=-I+\omega\otimes X_0$. A metric g , called an *associated metric*, can then be found such that $\omega=g(X_0, \cdot), d\omega(X, Y)=g(\phi X, Y)$ and hence $g(\phi X, Y)=-g(X, \phi Y)$. These metrics are constructed by the polarization of $d\omega$ evaluated on a local orthonormal basis of an arbitrary metric on the sub-bundle B of TM defined by $\ker\omega$. We refer to (ω, g) or (ω, g, X_0, ϕ) as a *contact Riemannian structure*. All metrics g of $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$, namely associated to the contact form ω , have the same volume element $(1/2^n n!)\omega\wedge(d\omega)^n$, and hence we will write dv instead of dv_g . Given a contact metric structure (ω, g, X_0, ϕ) , the torsion $\tau=L_{X_0}g$ satisfies (cf. [9]):

$$(2.1) \quad \tau(X_0, \cdot)=0, \quad \tau(X, Y)=\tau(Y, X)$$

$$(2.2) \quad \tau(\phi X, Y)=\tau(X, \phi Y), \quad \tau(\phi X, \phi Y)=-\tau(X, Y).$$

Moreover (see for example formula (3.1)) $\tau(X, Y)=2g(\phi X, hY)$ where $h=\frac{1}{2}L_{X_0}\phi$.

So h is a symmetric operator which anticommutes with ϕ . If X_0 is a Killing vector field with respect to g , the contact metric structure is said to be *K-contact*. It is easy to see that a contact metric structure is *K-contact* if and only if $\tau=0$ (or equivalently $h=0$). The reader is referred to [3] for details and other properties of contact manifolds. In the sequel we denote by R, S, r and K , respectively, the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, the scalar curvature

and the sectional curvature of a given contact Riemannian manifold; moreover for tensor fields U and V of the same type, we put

$$\langle U, V \rangle = U^i \dots V_{i, \dots} \quad \text{and} \quad |U|^2 = \langle U, U \rangle.$$

3. Torsion and critical metrics. Let $M(\omega, g, X_0, \phi)$ be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional contact Riemannian manifold and ∇ the Riemannian connection with respect to g . First we give the following.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *The tensor field $\nabla_{X_0}\tau$ satisfies the following properties:*

- (i) $(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(X, Y) = (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(Y, X)$,
- (ii) $(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(X_0, \cdot) = 0$,
- (iii) $(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(\phi X, \phi Y) = -(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(X, Y)$,
- (iv) for E in B , $|E|=1$, the sectional curvature $K(X_0, E)$ is given by

$$K(X_0, E) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E) + 1 - |h(E)|^2,$$

- (v) $\nabla_{X_0}\tau = 0$ if, and only if, $K(X_0, E') - K(X_0, E) = |h(E)|^2 - |h(E')|^2$ for every E, E' in B , $|E|=|E'|=1$,
- (vi) if $n=1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(X, Y) &= S(\phi X, \phi Y) - S(X, Y) + \omega(X)S(X_0, Y) + \omega(Y)S(X_0, X) \\ &\quad - S(X_0, X_0)\omega(X)\omega(Y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. (i) $\nabla_{X_0}\tau$ is symmetric because τ is symmetric.

(ii) $(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(X_0, \cdot) = X_0\tau(X_0, \cdot) - \tau(\nabla_{X_0}X_0, \cdot) - \tau(X_0, \nabla_{X_0}\cdot) = 0$ because $\nabla_{X_0}X_0 = 0$ (cf. [3]) and $\tau(X_0, \cdot) = 0$.

(iii) follows from $\nabla_{X_0}\phi = 0$ (cf. [3]), i.e. $\nabla_{X_0}\phi(X) = \phi(\nabla_{X_0}X)$, and (2.2).

(iv) Since $L_{X_0}d\omega = 0$ (cf. [3]), we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X_0d\omega(X, Y) - d\omega([X_0, X], Y) - d\omega(X, [X_0, Y]) \\ &= X_0g(X, \phi Y) - g([X_0, X], \phi Y) - g(X, \phi[X_0, Y]) \\ &= \tau(X, \phi Y) + g(X, [X_0, \phi Y] - \phi[X_0, Y]) = \tau(X, \phi Y) + 2g(X, hY) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(3.1) \quad \tau(X, Y) = 2g(\phi X, hY).$$

Since $\nabla_{X_0}\phi = 0$, from (3.1) it follows that

$$(3.2) \quad (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(X, Y) = 2g(\phi X, (\nabla_{X_0}h)Y).$$

Moreover we have the following formula (cf. (3.3) of [4])

$$(3.3) \quad \nabla_{X_0}h = \phi - \phi h^2 - \phi R(\cdot, X_0)X_0.$$

From (3.3) and (3.2), since h is symmetric and anticommutes with ϕ , we get

$$\begin{aligned} K(X_0, E) &= g(R(E, X_0)X_0, E) = g(-(\nabla_{X_0}h)E + \phi E - \phi h^2 E, \phi E) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E) + 1 - g(hE, hE). \end{aligned}$$

(v) If $\nabla_{X_0}\tau=0$, from (iv) we have

$$K(X_0, E') - K(X_0, E) = |h(E)|^2 - |h(E')|^2$$

for E, E' in B , $|E|=|E'|=1$. Conversely if this formula holds, then (iv) implies $(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E) = (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E', E')$. Choosing $E' = \phi E$, by (iii), we obtain

$$(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E) = 0 \quad \text{for } E \text{ in } B, |E|=1.$$

So, by (ii), $\nabla_{X_0}\tau=0$.

(vi) For E in B , $|E|=1$, since $h\phi = -\phi h$, we have $|hE| = |h\phi E|$ and hence (iv) implies

$$(3.4) \quad K(X_0, E) - K(X_0, \phi E) = -(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E)$$

(see also Lemma 7.1 of [15]). Since $\dim M=3$, from (3.4) it follows that

$$S(\phi E, \phi E) - S(E, E) = (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E).$$

Consequently for E, E' in B ,

$$S(\phi(E+E'), \phi(E+E')) - S(E+E', E+E') = (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E+E', E+E')$$

implies

$$(3.5) \quad S(\phi E, \phi E') - S(E, E') = (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E').$$

Finally for X and Y in TM , ϕX and ϕY are in B and $\phi^2 X = -X + \omega(X)X_0$, $\phi^2 Y = -Y + \omega(Y)X_0$, therefore by (iii) and (3.5) we get the property (vi).

THEOREM 3.2. *Let (M, ω) be a compact contact three-manifold. Then a metric g in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is a critical point of the functional \mathcal{F} if and only if*

$$\nabla_{X_0}\tau=0.$$

Proof. Let $g(t)$ be a smooth curve in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ such that $g(0)=g$. We calculate $d\mathcal{F}/dt$ at $t=0$, where

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \mathcal{F}(g(t)) = \int_M r(t) dv.$$

We put

$$g(t) = g + tk + [t^2]$$

where $[t^2]$ denotes a set of terms of higher order (≥ 2) in t , and k is a second order symmetric tensor that satisfies (see [6] p. 304)

$$k(X_0, \cdot) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad k(\phi X, \phi Y) = -k(X, Y).$$

Moreover the scalar curvature $r(t)$ is given (see [15] § 13) by

$$r(t) = r + t\{\operatorname{div} - \langle k, S \rangle\} + [t^2]$$

where div denotes a term which is a divergence. So, by Green's Theorem, we get

$$\frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt}(0) = \left\{ \int_M \frac{dr(t)}{dt} dv \right\}(0) = - \int_M \langle k, S \rangle dv.$$

Since $k(X_0, \cdot) = 0$, we can write $\langle k, S \rangle = \langle k, T \rangle$ where

$$T = S - S(X_0, \cdot) \otimes \omega - \omega \otimes S(X_0, \cdot) + S(X_0, X_0) \omega \otimes \omega$$

Moreover, since $k(\phi E, \phi E) = -k(E, E)$ for E in B , $\langle k, T \rangle = \langle k, V \rangle$ where $V = \frac{1}{2}T - \frac{1}{2}S(\phi \cdot, \phi \cdot)$. On the other hand by Proposition 3.1 property (vi),

$$\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{X_0} \tau = \frac{1}{2} \{S(\phi \cdot, \phi \cdot) - T\} = -V.$$

Therefore

$$(3.6) \quad \frac{d\mathcal{F}}{dt}(0) = \frac{1}{2} \int_M \langle k, \nabla_{X_0} \tau \rangle dv.$$

So if $\nabla_{X_0} \tau = 0$, then g is a critical point of \mathcal{F} . Conversely assume that g is critical for \mathcal{F} . We put $k = \nabla_{X_0} \tau$, then by Proposition 3.1 k is symmetric, $k(X_0, \cdot) = 0$, and $k(\phi X, Y) = -k(X, \phi Y)$. Consequently, by [6] p. 304 (see also [15]), $g(t) = g e^{tk^*}$, $-\varepsilon < t < \varepsilon$, is a smooth curve in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ such that $g(0) = g$ where $k^* = (k^i_j)$ and $g(t)(X, Y) = g(X, e^{tk^*} Y)$. Applying (3.6) to this deformation, we get $\nabla_{X_0} \tau = 0$.

Remark 3.1. (i) Blair and Ledger [2] proved, in general dimension, that a metric g in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is a critical point of \mathcal{F} if and only if the Ricci operator and ϕ when restricted to the contact distribution, commute.

(ii) $\nabla_{X_0} \tau = 0$ is the condition incorrectly obtained in [7] (cf. Theorem 5.4) for a metric \mathcal{E}_c -critical. Therefore, by our Theorem 3.2, the main result of [9] holds replacing the assumption g \mathcal{E}_c -critical by g \mathcal{F} -critical.

(iii) The condition $\nabla_{X_0} \tau = 0$, in general dimension, was studied by Tanno (see [15] § 7) because it is related to some interesting properties. For example he proved that the conditions: $\nabla_{X_0} \tau = 0$, $\nabla_{X_0} \nabla X_0 = 0$ and $\nabla_{X_0} T^* = 0$, are equivalent, where T^* is the torsion tensor of the generalized Tanaka connection. From Proposition 3.1 we obtain

$$\nabla_{X_0} \tau = 0 \text{ iff } K(X_0, E') - K(X_0, E) = |hE|^2 - |hE'|^2 \text{ for } E, E' \text{ in } B, |E| = |E'| = 1.$$

Hence, when $\nabla_{X_0} \tau = 0$, we have at a given point

$K(X_0, E') > K(X_0, E)$ (resp. $=$) iff $|hE| > |hE'|$ (resp. $=$).

In particular if E' is a unit vector of the plane generated by $\{E, \phi E\}$, we have $|hE'| = |hE|$. So, for three-dimensional manifolds, the condition $\nabla_{X_0}\tau = 0$ is equivalent to the condition that the sectional curvatures of all planes at a given point perpendicular to B be equal.

Remark 3.2. Let (M, ω) be a compact contact three-manifold. Chern and Hamilton [7] studied also the following energy

$$\mathcal{E}_w(g) = \int_M W dv \quad g \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$$

where $W = \frac{1}{8} \left(r + \frac{c^2}{2} + 2 \right)$ is the Webster scalar curvature (see [7] p. 284). If $g(t)$ is a smooth curve in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ with $g(0) = g$, then $8\mathcal{E}_w(g(t)) = \mathcal{F}(g(t)) + \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{E}_c(g(t)) + 2\text{vol}(M, g)$ and hence

$$(3.7) \quad 8(d\mathcal{E}_w/dt)(0) = (d\mathcal{F}/dt)(0) + \frac{1}{2}(d\mathcal{E}_c/dt)(0).$$

Tanno proved (see [15] § 5) that

$$(3.8) \quad (d\mathcal{E}_c/dt)(0) = - \int_M \langle k, \nabla_{X_0}\tau - 2\tau \cdot \phi \rangle dv$$

From (3.6), (3.7) and (3.8), we get

$$(3.9) \quad (d\mathcal{E}_w/dt)(0) = \frac{1}{8} \int_M \langle k, \tau \cdot \phi \rangle dv.$$

If $\tau = 0$, then g is a critical point of \mathcal{E}_w . Conversely assume that g is a critical point of \mathcal{E}_w , defining $k = \tau \cdot \phi$, $g(t) = g e^{tk}$ is a smooth curve in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ (see [6] p. 304 or [15]) with $g(0) = g$. Applying (3.9) to this deformation we have $\tau = 0$. Therefore we obtain the following.

THEOREM 3.3 (Chern-Hamilton). *Let (M, ω) be a compact contact three-manifold. Then a metric g in $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ is a critical point of \mathcal{E}_w if and only if the characteristic vector field X_0 is of Killing with respect to g .*

This Theorem was obtained in [7] (see Theorem 5.2) where \mathcal{E}_w was studied as a functional on $\mathcal{M}(\omega)$ regarded as the set of “CR-structures” on M .

Examples of critical metrics. Let (ω, g) be a contact Riemannian structure on a compact three-manifold M .

(i) Note that: M is K -contact if and only if g is a critical metric for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{E}_c .

(ii) If g is ω -Einstein (in particular if g is of constant sectional curvature),

then g is critical for \mathcal{F} (see Theorem 4.3). If g is of constant sectional curvature $K=0$, then it is not K -contact and so this metric is critical for \mathcal{F} but not for \mathcal{E}_c .

(iii) If g is locally symmetric, then g is a critical metric for \mathcal{F} . In fact, since g is locally symmetric, by Lemma 1 of [5] we have $\nabla_{X_0}h=0$, and so by formula (3.2) we get $\nabla_{X_0}\tau=0$.

(iv) The natural contact Riemannian structure of the tangent sphere bundle of a compact Riemannian 2-manifold with constant curvature -1 is critical for \mathcal{E}_c but not for \mathcal{F} (combine (i), Theorem of [4] and a result of Tashiro [3] p. 136).

(v) Let N be a compact orientable surface of constant negative curvature -1 . Let (θ^1, θ^2) be an orthonormal coframe and Ω_2^1 the connection 1-form. Chern and Hamilton [7] defined on the unit tangent bundle T_1N a contact Riemannian structure (ω, g') by

$$\omega = \frac{1}{2}\Omega_2^1 \quad \text{and} \quad g' = \frac{1}{4}\{\theta^1 \otimes \theta^1 + \theta^2 \otimes \theta^2 + 4\omega \otimes \omega\}.$$

It is not difficult to see that the Ricci curvature in the direction of X_0 and the scalar curvature of (T_1N, ω, g') are given by

$$S(X_0, X_0)=2 \quad \text{and} \quad r=\text{const.}=-10.$$

Recall that a contact Riemannian three-manifold is K -contact iff $S(X_0, X_0)=2$ (see [3] p. 65). Also recall the result of Tanno [14] that a locally symmetric K -contact manifold is of constant curvature. So the metric g' is critical for \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{E}_c but is not locally symmetric.

4. Contact ω -Einstein spaces of dimension three. Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional manifold with contact metric structure (ω, g, X_0, ϕ) . M is said to be ω -Einstein if the Ricci tensor S is of the form

$$(4.1) \quad S = ag + b\omega \otimes \omega$$

where a and b are functions on M . It is known that if M is a K -contact ω -Einstein $(2n+1)$ -manifold, with $n>1$, then the functions a and b are constant. Moreover every K -contact three-manifold is ω -Einstein and the Ricci tensor is given by

$$S = \left(\frac{r}{2}-1\right)g + \left(-\frac{r}{2}+3\right)\omega \otimes \omega.$$

However, we know nothing about the geometry of contact ω -Einstein three-manifolds. Note that the connected sum of two non-simply connected closed three-manifolds has never K -contact structure (see [13]), while every compact orientable three-manifold has a contact structure (see [12]). In this section we give a characterization of contact ω -Einstein three-manifolds in terms of critical metrics of \mathcal{F} . Moreover we give some properties of a tensor S_1 which measures

the deviation from the ω -Einstein structure.

PROPOSITION 4.1. *Let M be a $(2n+1)$ -dimensional manifold with contact metric structure (ω, g, X_0, ϕ) . If M is ω -Einstein, then the Ricci tensor is given by*

$$(4.2) \quad S = \left\{ \frac{r}{2n} - \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{4n}\right) \right\} g + \left\{ -\frac{r}{2n} + (2n+1) \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{4n}\right) \right\} \omega \otimes \omega$$

If, in addition, $n=1$, then the curvature tensor is given by

$$(4.3) \quad R(X, Y)Z = \left\{ \frac{r}{2} - 2 \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{4}\right) \right\} \cdot \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\} + \left\{ 3 \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{4}\right) - \frac{r}{2} \right\} \cdot \{g(Y, Z)\omega(X)X_0 + \omega(Y)\omega(Z)X - g(X, Z)\omega(Y)X_0 - \omega(X)\omega(Z)Y\}.$$

Proof. Let $(X_0, E_i, \phi E_i)$ be an orthonormal ϕ -basis. From (4.1), $S(X_0, X_0) = a + b$. Besides (see [3])

$$\begin{aligned} S(X_0, X_0) &= 2n - \text{trace} \left(\frac{1}{2} L_{X_0} \phi \right)^2 = 2n - \frac{1}{4} |L_{X_0} \phi|^2 \\ &= 2n - \frac{1}{4} |L_{X_0} g|^2 = 2n \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{4n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$(4.4) \quad a + b = 2n - c^2/2.$$

Moreover (4.1) implies

$$(4.5) \quad r = S(X_0, X_0) + 2 \sum_1^n S(E_i, E_i) = (2n+1)a + b.$$

From (4.4) and (4.5) we get

$$a = \frac{r}{2n} - 1 + \frac{c^2}{4n} \quad \text{and} \quad b = -\frac{r}{2n} + (2n+1) \left(1 - \frac{c^2}{4n}\right).$$

Finally, when $n=1$, the curvature tensor is given by

$$(4.6) \quad R(X, Y)Z = S(Y, Z)X + g(Y, Z)Q(X) - S(X, Z)Y - g(X, Z)Q(Y) - \frac{r}{2} \{g(Y, Z)X - g(X, Z)Y\}$$

where $Q = S^* = (S^j)$ is the Ricci curvature operator. So (4.3) follows from (4.2) and (4.6).

Remark 4.1. If M is an Einstein contact manifold, by (4.2) the scalar curvature $r \leq 2n(2n+1)$ where the equality holds if and only if M is a K -contact Einstein manifold.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let M be a three-manifold with contact metric structure (ω, g, X_0, ϕ) . Let S_1 be the tensor defined by*

$$(4.7) \quad S_1 = S - ag - b\omega \otimes \omega,$$

where

$$a = \left(\frac{r}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{4}c^2 \right) \quad \text{and} \quad b = \left(-\frac{r}{2} + 3 - \frac{3}{4}c^2 \right).$$

Then

- (j) $|S_1|^2 = 2|\sigma|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\nabla_{X_0}\tau|^2$ where $\sigma = S(X_0, \cdot)_{1B}$;
- (jj) $\langle S_1, \tau \rangle = \langle S, \tau \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla_{X_0}\tau, \tau \rangle$;
- (jjj) if $\nabla_{X_0}\tau = 0$ or $\nabla_{X_0}\tau = 2\tau \cdot \phi$ holds, then S and S_1 are perpendicular to τ ;
- (jv) $\langle S_1, \nabla_{X_0}\tau \rangle = \langle S, \nabla_{X_0}\tau \rangle = -\frac{1}{2}|\nabla_{X_0}\tau|^2$.

Proof. (j) By a direct computation we get

$$|S_1|^2 = |S|^2 + 3a^2 + b^2 - 2ar - 4b\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2\right) + 2ab$$

and hence

$$(4.8) \quad |S_1|^2 = |S|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\left(r - 2 + \frac{1}{2}c^2\right)^2 - 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2\right)^2.$$

If $(E, \phi E, X_0)$ is an arbitrary ϕ -basis, from (3.4) we get

$$(4.9) \quad S(\phi E, \phi E) - S(E, E) = (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E).$$

From (3.5), by putting $E' = \phi E$, we obtain

$$(4.10) \quad S(E, \phi E) = -\frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, \phi E)$$

Moreover the scalar curvature is given by

$$r = S(E, E) + S(\phi E, \phi E) + S(X_0, X_0) = 2S(E, E) + (\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E) + S(X_0, X_0),$$

from which

$$S(E, E) = \frac{r}{2} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2\right) - \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E)$$

$$S(\phi E, \phi E) = \frac{r}{2} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2\right) + \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E).$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} |S|^2 &= S(X_0, X_0)^2 + S(E, E)^2 + S(\phi E, \phi E)^2 + 2S(E, \phi E)^2 + 2S(X_0, E)^2 + 2S(X_0, \phi E)^2 \\ &= 4\left(1 - \frac{1}{4}c^2\right)^2 + \frac{1}{2}\left(r - 2 + \frac{1}{2}c^2\right)^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\nabla_{X_0}\tau|^2 + 2|\sigma|^2. \end{aligned}$$

So, by (4.8) we obtain (j).

(jj) Let $(X_0, E, \phi E)$ be an arbitrary ϕ -basis. Using (2.1), (2.2), (4.7), (4.9) and (4.10) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_1, \tau \rangle &= \langle S, \tau \rangle - a\langle g, \tau \rangle - b\langle \omega \otimes \omega, \tau \rangle = \langle S, \tau \rangle \\ &= S(E, E)\tau(E, E) + 2S(E, \phi E)\tau(E, \phi E) + S(\phi E, \phi E)\tau(\phi E, \phi E) \\ &= -\tau(E, E)\{S(\phi E, \phi E) - S(E, E)\} + 2S(E, \phi E)\tau(E, \phi E) \\ &= -\tau(E, E)(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, E) - \tau(E, \phi E)(\nabla_{X_0}\tau)(E, \phi E) = -\frac{1}{2}\langle \nabla_{X_0}\tau, \tau \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

(jjj) is a consequence of (jj) and (2.2).

(jv) is obtained like (jj) by using (i)–(iii) of Proposition 3.1.

Combining Theorem 3.2, Proposition 4.1 and (j) of Proposition 4.2 we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 4.3. *Let M be a compact three-manifold with contact metric structure (ω, g) . Then g is ω -Einstein if, and only if, g is a critical point of \mathcal{F} and $\sigma = 0$.*

Remark 4.2. The condition $\sigma = 0$ means (see [9] p. 372) that in the space \mathcal{R} of all Riemannian metrics on M , the tangent vector $\phi \in T_g(\mathcal{R})$, $\phi(X, Y) = -\tau(X, \phi Y)$, is perpendicular to the orbit of g under the group of diffeomorphisms of M .

THEOREM 4.4. *Let (M, ω, g) be a contact Riemannian three-manifold. Then $\sigma = 0$ if and only if $S_1 = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{X_0}\tau$, that is, the Ricci tensor is given by*

$$(4.11) \quad S = -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_{X_0}\tau + \left(\frac{r}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{4}c^2\right)g + \left(-\frac{r}{2} + 3 - \frac{3}{4}c^2\right)\omega \otimes \omega.$$

Proof. Let T be the tensor defined by

$$T = S_1 + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{X_0}\tau.$$

Then

$$|T|^2 = |S_1|^2 + \frac{1}{4}|\nabla_{X_0}\tau|^2 + \langle \nabla_{X_0}\tau, S_1 \rangle,$$

and hence (j) and (jv) of Proposition 4.2 imply

$$|T|^2 = 2|\sigma|^2.$$

So Theorem 4.4 follows from (4.7).

THEOREM 4.5. *Let (M, ω, g) be a compact contact Riemannian three-manifold. Then g is a critical metric for \mathcal{E}_c and $\sigma=0$ if and only if $S_1=\phi$, that is, the Ricci tensor is given by*

$$(4.12) \quad S = \phi + \left(\frac{r}{2} - 1 + \frac{1}{4}c^2\right)g + \left(-\frac{r}{2} + 3 - \frac{3}{4}c^2\right)\omega \otimes \omega.$$

Proof. If g is a critical metric for \mathcal{E}_c and $\sigma=0$, from Theorem 4.4 above and Theorem 5.1 of [15] we get (4.12). Conversely assume (4.12), since $\phi(X_0, \cdot) = 0$, we have $\sigma=0$. So (4.11), (4.12) and Theorem 5.1 of [15] imply that g is critical for \mathcal{E}_c .

5. Curvature of K -contact three-manifolds. In [10] the following was proved.

THEOREM 5.1. *Let M be a compact three-manifold with K -contact metric structure (ω, g) . If the scalar curvature $r > -2$ or the Webster curvature $W > 0$, then M admits a K -contact metric structure $(\tilde{\omega} = a\omega, \tilde{g} = ag + (a^2 - a)\omega \otimes \omega)$ of positive sectional curvature for some $a, 0 < a \leq 1$.*

This result is relative to the question posed by S.S. Chern (cf. appendix of [7]) of determining those compact three-manifolds admitting a contact metric structure (ω, g) for which the torsion invariant $|\tau|$ is identically zero (i. e. the contact metric structure is K -contact). In this section we extend Theorem 5.1; precisely we give the following.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let M be a compact three-manifold with K -contact metric structure (ω, g) . If one of the following four conditions holds: (a) $W > 0$, (b) $r > -2$, (c) $S + 2g > 0$, (d) the ϕ -sectional curvature $H > -3$, then M admits a K -contact metric structure $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g})$ of positive sectional curvature.*

This Theorem is a consequence of Theorem 5.1 and of the following Proposition.

PROPOSITION 5.3. *Let M be a contact ω -Einstein three-manifold with contact metric structure (ω, g, X_0, ϕ) . Then, if $c < 2$, the following five conditions are equivalent:*

- (a) $W > c^2/8$, (b) $r + 2 > c^2/2$, (c) $S + 2g > (c^2/2)g$,
- (d) the sectional curvature $K > -3(1 - c^2/4)$,
- (e) the ϕ -sectional curvature $H > -3(1 - c^2/4)$.

Proof. Since $8W = r + 2 + c^2/2$, (a) and (b) are equivalent. If X is vertical,

that is, if $X=tX_0$, then

$$\{S+2(1-c^2/4)g\}(X, X)=4t^2(1-c^2/4)>0.$$

If X is horizontal, that is, if $\omega(X)=0$, then by (4.2)

$$\{S+2(1-c^2/4)g\}(X, X)=(r/2+1-c^2/4)g(X, X)>0.$$

On the other hand $S(X_0, \cdot)_{|B}=\sigma=0$, so (b) and (c) are equivalent. For each point $x \in M$, we consider an arbitrary plane P of $T_x(M)$ and an orthonormal basis (X, Y) of P with $Y=P \cap B$. Then, by (4.3), the sectional curvature $K(P)$ at x is given by

$$\begin{aligned} K(P) &= g(R(X, Y)Y, X) = (r/2 - 2 + c^2/2) + (-r/2 + 3 - 3c^2/4)g(X_0, X)^2 \\ &= (r/2 - 2 + c^2/2)\sin^2(X, X_0) + (1 - c^2/4)\cos^2(X, X_0) \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(5.1) \quad K(P) = (r/2 - 2 + c^2/2)\cos^2\alpha + (1 - c^2/4)\sin^2\alpha$$

where α is the angle between P and B . By (5.1) we get that (b) implies (d). The converse is trivial. Moreover the scalar curvature at x is given by

$$r = \text{trace } S = 2S(X_0, X_0) + 2g(R(E, \phi E)\phi E, E),$$

where E is an unit vector of B , that is

$$(5.2) \quad r = 4(1 - c^2/4) + 2H.$$

Therefore (b) and (e) are equivalent.

Remark 5.1. (i) The main result of [7] says that every contact structure on a compact orientable three-manifold has a contact metric whose Webster curvature W is either >0 or $W = \text{const.} \leq 0$.

(ii) Hamilton [11] showed that a metric g of positive Ricci curvature on a compact three-manifold can be deformed to a metric of (positive) constant curvature. Hence in Theorem 5.2 if, in addition, M is simply-connected, then it is diffeomorphic with the three-sphere. This extends Corollary of [8] (cf. p. 654).

(iii) The formula (5.2) holds for every metric $g \in \mathcal{M}(\omega)$. So the conditions on the scalar curvature given in [10] can be replaced by conditions on the ϕ -sectional curvature H .

(iv) Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold and \mathcal{S}^2 the space of all symmetric tensor fields of type $(0, 2)$. Berger and Ebin (cf. [1] §6) introduced a zero-order differential operator $\mathcal{K}: \mathcal{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^2$ which is related to the rough Laplacian and to the Lichnerowicz operator. They proved that the operator \mathcal{K} is positive definite on $TZ = \{D \in \mathcal{S}^2 : \text{trace } D = 0\}$ if (M, g) is of strictly positive

sectional curvature. Now observe that if (M, ω, g) is a compact three-manifold as in Theorem 5.2, then M admits a contact metric structure $(\tilde{\omega}, \tilde{g})$ for which the corresponding operator \tilde{K} is positive definite on $T_{\tilde{g}}(\mathcal{N}(\tilde{\omega}))=TZ$, where $\mathcal{N}(\tilde{\omega})$ is the set of all Riemannian metrics on M which have the same volume element of the metrics of $\mathcal{M}(\tilde{\omega})$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. BERGER AND D. EBIN, Some decomposition of the space of symmetric tensors on a Riemannian manifold, *J. Diff. Geom.* **3** (1969), 379-392.
- [2] D.E. BLAIR AND A. J. LEDGER, Critical associated metrics on contact manifolds II, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **41** (1986), 404-410.
- [3] D.E. BLAIR, Contact manifolds in Riemannian Geometry, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, 509, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1976.
- [4] D.E. BLAIR, Critical associated metrics on contact manifolds III, preprint.
- [5] D.E. BLAIR, When is the tangent sphere bundle locally symmetric ?, preprint.
- [6] D.E. BLAIR, On the set of metrics associated to a symplectic or contact form, *Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica* **11** (1983), 297-308.
- [7] S.S. CHERN AND R.S. HAMILTON, On Riemannian metrics adapted to three-dimensional contact manifolds, *Lect. Notes in Math.*, 1111, Springer-Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1985, 279-305.
- [8] S.I. GOLDBERG, Nonnegatively curved contact manifolds, *Proc. A. M. S.* **96** (1986), 651-656.
- [9] S.I. GOLDBERG AND G. TOTH, Torsion and deformation of contact metric structures on 3-manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* **39** (1987), 365-372.
- [10] S.I. GOLDBERG, D. PERRONE AND G. TOTH, Contact three-manifolds with positive generalized Tanaka-Webster scalar curvature, *C.R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada* vol. X, **6** (1988), 255-260.
- [11] R.S. HAMILTON, Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature, *J. Diff. Geom.* **17** (1982), 255-306.
- [12] J. MARTINET, Formes de contact sur les variétés de dimension 3, *Proc. Liverpool Singularities Sympos. II*, Springer Lect. Notes in Math. **209** (1971), 142-163.
- [13] H. SATO, Remarks concerning contact manifolds, *Tohoku Math. J.* **29** (1977), 577-584.
- [14] S. TANNO, Locally symmetric K -contact Riemannian manifolds, *Proc. Japan Acad.* **43** (1968), 581-583.
- [15] S. TANNO, Variational problems on contact Riemannian manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **314** (1989), 349-379.

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA
 FACOLTÀ DI SCIENZE
 UNIVERSITÀ DEGLI STUDI DI LECCE
 VIA ARNESANO
 73100 LECCE, ITALY