ON SURFACES OF FINITE TYPE IN EUCLIDEAN 3-SPACE

BY FRANKI DILLEN¹⁾, JOHAN PAS¹⁾ AND LEOPOLD VERSTRAELEN

Abstract

We prove an extension of T. Takahashi's result on minimal submanifolds in Euclidean spaces and in spheres, and as a corollary obtain support for B.Y. Chen's conjecture which claims that the round spheres are the only compact surfaces of finite type in Euclidean 3-space.

Let M^n be a (connected) *n*-dimensional submanifold in E^m , the *m*-dimensional Euclidean space. Let x, H and Δ respectively be the *position vector field*, the *mean curvature field* and the *Laplace operator* of the induced metric on M^n . Then, as is well known (see e.g. [2]),

$$\Delta x = -nH,$$

which shows, in particular, that M^n is a minimal submanifold in E^m if and only if its coordinate functions are harmonic (i.e. they are eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalue 0). Moreover, in this context, T. Takahashi [6] proved that the submanifolds M^n for which

(1.2)
$$\Delta x = \lambda x ,$$

i.e. for which all coordinate functions are eigenfunctions of Δ with the same eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, are precisely either the minimal submanifolds of \mathbf{E}^m ($\lambda=0$) or the minimal submanifolds M^n of hyperspheres S^{m-1} in \mathbf{E}^m (the case when $\lambda \neq 0$, actually $\lambda > 0$). In terms of B. Y. Chen's theory of submanifolds in \mathbf{E}^m of finite type, condition (1.2) asserts that M^n is of 1-type in \mathbf{E}^m . In general, a submanifold M^n in \mathbf{E}^m is said to be of finite type if its spectral decomposition of x is finite, i.e. if

$$(1.3) x = x_0 + \sum_{t=p}^{q} x_t$$

where p and q are natural numbers, such that $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a fixed vector and

(1.4)
$$\Delta x_t = \lambda_t x_t,$$

¹⁾ Research Assistant of the National Fund of Scientific Research (Belgium) Received March 3, 1989

where λ_t denotes an eigenvalue of Δ [1] [2]; when there are exactly k nonconstant eigenvectors x_t appearing in (1.3), which all belong to different eigenvalues λ_t , then M^n is said to be of k-type in E^m . Many important submanifolds in Euclidean spaces turn out to be of finite type in this sense. To find out whether or not a compact submanifold M^n in E^m is of finite type, the following result is very useful.

THEOREM A. (B. Y. Chen [2])

(i) M^n is of finite type in E^m if and only if there exists a non-trivial polynomial Q (of one variable) such that $Q(\Delta)H=0$.

(ii) If M^n is of finite type, then there exists a unique monic polynomial P (of one variable), of least degree and such that $P(\Delta)H=0$.

(iii) If M^n is of finite type, then M^n is of k-type if and only if degree P=k. The same results hold if H is replaced by $x-x_0$, x_0 being the center of mass of M^n in E^m .

In [3], B. Y. Chen studies the following problem.

QUESTION. Other than minimal surfaces and ordinary spheres, which surfaces in E^3 are of finite type?

Restricting attention to surfaces in E^3 , the above result on $\Delta x = \lambda x$, $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$, can be stated as follows (which also somewhat clarifies the previous Question).

THEOREM B. (T. Takahashi [6])

A surface in E^3 is of 1-type if and only if it is a sphere or a minimal surface.

With respect to the Question, the following result is quite interesting.

THEOREM C. (B. Y. Chen [3])

A tube in E^3 is of finite type if and only if it is a circular cylinder (which actually is of 2-type).

As a corollary we mention the following,

COROLLARY D. (B. Y. Chen [3]) Every closed tube in E^3 is of infinite type,

Which offers a partial solution to the following

CONJECTURE OF B. Y. CHEN. Ordinary spheres are the only compact finite type surfaces in E^3 .

Of course, since there are no compact minimal surfaces E^3 , Theorem B settles the matter for 1-type surfaces.

In [5], O. Garay studies the hypersurfaces M^n in E^{n+1} for which

 $\Delta x = Ax,$

where A is a diagonal matrix

(1.6)
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & & \\ & \lambda_2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \lambda_{n+1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \ i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\},$$

(see also [4] for the case of surfaces of revolution M^2 in E^3). This means that he imposes the condition that the coordinate functions of M^n are eigenfunctions of their Laplacian Δ with possibly distinct eigenvalues λ_i ; hence, O. Garay's condition ((1.5), (1.6)) can be seen as a generalization of T. Takahashi's condition (1.2), in which case all λ_i are equal. O. Garay proved that if a hypersurface M^n of E^{n+1} satisfies his condition, it is either *minimal* in E^{n+1} or it is a sphere or it is a *spherical cylinder*. In this respect, we want to observe however that his condition is not coordinate-invariant; e.g. in E^3 a circular cylinder satisfies this condition if and only if its axis of symmetry is one of the coordinate axes.

In this paper, we will study the surfaces in E^3 which satisfy

$$\Delta x = Ax + B$$

where $A \in \mathbb{R}^{3 \times 3}$ and $B \in \mathbb{R}^3$. This setting generalizes T. Takahashi's condition, following O. Garay's idea, in a way which is independent of the choice of coordinates. Our main result is the following.

THEOREM. A surface M^2 in E^3 satisfies (*) if and only if it is an open part of a minimal surface, a sphere or a circular cylinder.

In particular, this yields the following

COROLLARY. A compact surface in E^3 satisfies (*) if and only if it is a sphere.

We want to mention that this Corollary supports the above Conjecture of B. Y. Chen. Indeed, the compact surfaces M^2 in E^3 satisfying (*) are particular surfaces of finite type (≤ 3); actually, the following arguments, which will make this clear, also hold more generally for any compact submanifold M^n in E^m which satisfies a condition of the form (*). Namely, integrating (*) over M^2 , and using the divergence theorem, implies that

(1.7)
$$Ax_0 + B = 0$$
.

Using this, then (*) further implies that

(1.8)
$$\Delta(x-x_0) = A(x-x_0),$$

12

and, hence, that

(1.9)
$$P(\Delta)(x-x_0) = P(A)(x-x_0),$$

where P is any polynomial in one variable. In particular, choosing for P the characteristic polynomial of A, by the Cayley-Hamilton theorem P(A)=0, and thus (1.9) shows that

(1.10)
$$P(\Delta)(x-x_0)=0$$
.

Finally, Theorem A then asserts that M^2 is a surface of type ≤ 3 in E^3 .

We first show that the surfaces mentioned in the theorem indeed satisfy condition (*).

Examples.

(1) Minimal surface

In this case we have that the mean curvature is zero, so by (1.1) a minimal surface satisfies (*) with A=0.

(2) Sphere

The sphere $S_0^2(r)$ with center 0 and radius r satisfies (*) with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{r^2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2}{r^2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & \frac{2}{r^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Indeed, the sphere has mean curvature -1/r and (1/r)x is a unit normal on $S_0^2(r)$. So by (1.1)

$$\Delta x = \frac{2}{r^2} x \; .$$

(3) Circular cylinder

We consider the cylinder on the circle of radius r with center 0 lying in the $\{e_1, e_2\}$ -plane. This surface has mean curvature -1/2r. A unit normal is given by $(1/r)\pi(x)$, where π is the projection on the $\{e_1, e_2\}$ -plane. Hence by (1.1)

$$\Delta x = \frac{1}{r^2} \pi(x) \, .$$

So this cylinder satisfies (*) with

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{r^2} & 0 & 0\\ 0 & \frac{1}{r^2} & 0\\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof of the Theorem. We consider two cases.

First case: M^2 is a cylinder.

In this case, the position vector x of M^2 can be given by

 $x = \gamma(s) + t\xi$

where s, t are parameters, ξ is a constant vector and $\gamma(s)$ is a curve, with arclength parametrization, in a plane orthogonal to ξ .

From the definition of the Laplacian, one checks that

 $\Delta x = \gamma''$

where γ'' is the acceleration vector of γ .

Without loss of generality we may suppose that $\xi = (0, 0, 1)$ and that $\gamma(s) = (\gamma_1(s), \gamma_2(s), 0)$. If we write

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

then equation (*) becomes

(2.1)
$$\gamma_{1}^{\prime\prime} = a_{11}\gamma_{1} + a_{12}\gamma_{2} + a_{13}t + b_{1},$$
$$\gamma_{2}^{\prime\prime} = a_{21}\gamma_{1} + a_{22}\gamma_{2} + a_{23}t + b_{2},$$
$$0 = a_{31}\gamma_{1} + a_{32}\gamma_{2} + a_{33}t + b_{2}.$$

Since γ_1'' , γ_2'' do not depend on t, we find that $a_{13}=a_{23}=a_{33}=0$.

If $a_{31} \neq 0$ or $a_{32} \neq 0$, the curve γ is a line, so M^2 will be part of a plane and hence minimal. So we suppose further that $a_{31}=a_{32}=0$ and that γ isn't a line. This implies that $b_3=0$. System (2.1) reduces to

$$\gamma_1'' = a_{11}\gamma_1 + a_{12}\gamma_2 + b_1$$
,
 $\gamma_2'' = a_{21}\gamma_1 + a_{22}\gamma_2 + b_2$,

or, in vector notation

(2.2) $\gamma'' = \widetilde{A}\gamma + \widetilde{B} ,$

where

$$\widetilde{A} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ & & \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \widetilde{B} = \begin{pmatrix} b_1 \\ & \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We now use the Frenet frame $\{T, N\}$ of the curve γ . The curve has arc-length

parametrization, so $T = \gamma'$, the velocity vector of γ .

Equation (2.2) becomes

$$T' = \widetilde{A}\gamma + \widetilde{B}$$
.

Using the Frenet formula $T' = \kappa N$ where κ is the curvature function of γ , we get

$$\kappa N = \widetilde{A} \gamma + \widetilde{B}$$
.

Derivation of this equation gives

$$\kappa' N + \kappa N' = \widetilde{A}T$$
.

From the second Frenet formula $N' = -\kappa T$ we obtain

(2.3)
$$\kappa' N - \kappa^2 T = \widetilde{A} T.$$

We derive again to obtain

$$\kappa''N + \kappa'N' - 2\kappa\kappa'T - \kappa^2T' = \widetilde{A}T'$$

or

(2.4)
$$(\kappa'' - \kappa^3)N - 3\kappa\kappa' T = \kappa \widetilde{A}N.$$

From (2.3) and (2.4) we can compute the entries of the matrix \tilde{A} with respect to the frame $\{T,\,N\}$

$$AT.T = -\kappa^{2},$$

$$\tilde{A}T.N = \kappa',$$

$$\tilde{A}N.T = -3\kappa',$$

$$AN.N = \frac{1}{\kappa} (\kappa'' - \kappa^{3})$$

The determinant $(\tilde{A}T.T)(\tilde{A}N.N) - (\tilde{A}T.N)(\tilde{A}N.T)$ and the trace $(\tilde{A}T.T) + (\tilde{A}N.N)$ of the matrix \tilde{A} are constant, so there exist constants c and d such that

(2.5)
$$-\kappa\kappa'' + \kappa^4 + 3(\kappa')^2 = c$$
,

(2.6)
$$\frac{\kappa''}{\kappa} - 2\kappa^2 = d.$$

Eliminating κ'' from these two equations we find that

$$(\kappa')^2 = \frac{1}{3} (c + d\kappa^2 + \kappa^4).$$

Deriving this last equation gives

$$\kappa'\kappa''=\frac{1}{3}(d\kappa\kappa'+2\kappa^{3}\kappa').$$

If we suppose that $\kappa' \not\equiv 0$, then we have

$$\boldsymbol{\kappa}'' = \frac{1}{3} (d\boldsymbol{\kappa} + 2\boldsymbol{\kappa}^3).$$

Substitution in (2.6) gives

 $\kappa(d+2\kappa^2)=0$

which contradicts the assumption that κ' wasn't identically zero. Hence the only solution to the system (2.2) is that κ is a constant and that γ is a circle. So the only cylinder which satisfies (*) is a circular cylinder.

Second case: M^2 is not a cylinder.

(1) Rank of A is 3.

In this case we may suppose that B=0. Indeed, let $C \in \mathbb{R}^{3\times 1}$ be a solution of A.C+B=0. Define new coordinates x' by x=x'+C. Then equation (*) becomes

$$\Delta x' = Ax'$$
.

Suppose now that M^2 is given locally as the graph of a function f, this is

$$x = (x_1, x_2, f(x_1, x_2)).$$

From (1.1) we see that $\Delta x = Ax$ is normal to the surface, so

4

$$Ax.\left(1, 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right) = 0,$$

(3.1)

$$4x.\left(0,\ 1,\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right)=0,$$

since $(1, 0, \partial f/\partial x_1)$ and $(0, 1, \partial f/\partial x_2)$ are tangent vectors. Let

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} \end{pmatrix};$$

then system (3.1) becomes

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = -\frac{a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}f}{a_{31}x_1 + a_{32}x_2 + a_{33}f},\\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = -\frac{a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}f}{a_{31}x_1 + a_{32}x_2 + a_{33}f}.$$

Since the function f satisfies

16

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1},$$

the two above equations imply that

$$(a_{21}-a_{12})(a_{31}x_1+a_{32}x_2+a_{33}f)$$

+(a_{32}-a_{23})(a_{11}x_1+a_{12}x_2+a_{13}f)
+(a_{13}-a_{31})(a_{21}x_1+a_{22}x_2+a_{23}f)=0

We may suppose that x_1 , x_2 and f are linearly independent, and so we get

$$(a_{21}-a_{12})a_{31}+(a_{32}-a_{23})a_{11}+(a_{13}-a_{31})a_{21}=0,$$

$$(a_{21}-a_{12})a_{32}+(a_{32}-a_{23})a_{12}+(a_{13}-a_{31})a_{22}=0,$$

$$(a_{21}-a_{12})a_{33}+(a_{32}-a_{23})a_{13}+(a_{13}-a_{31})a_{23}=0.$$

If we denote the cofactor of the entry a_{ij} in the matrix A by A_{ij} , this system reduces to

$$A_{23} = A_{32}$$
,
 $A_{13} = A_{31}$,
 $A_{12} = A_{21}$,

i.e. the matrix A^{cof} of cofactors of A is symmetric. Since

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{\det A} \cdot A^{\operatorname{cof}},$$

we find that A^{-1} is symmetric. Hence A is also a symmetric matrix.

After a coordinate transformation we may suppose that A is a diagonal matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \cdot \lambda_3 \neq 0$.

Suppose now that $(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v))$ is a parametrization of the surface. Then, since $Ax = (\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_3 x_3)$ is normal to the surface, we have that

$$(\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_3 x_3) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u}\right) = 0,$$

$$(\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, \lambda_3 x_3) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v}, \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v}, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v}\right) = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3 x_3^2) = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3 x_3^2) = 0.$$

So

$$\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3 x_3^2 = c ,$$

where c is a constant, and we see that M^2 is part of a quadratic surface. For this quadratic surface one computes the mean curvature

$$||H|| = \pm \frac{(\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)\lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\lambda_3^2 x_3^2}{2(\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 x_3^2)^{3/2}}.$$

From (*) and (1.1), we have that the absolute value of the mean curvature equals (1/2)||Ax||, which implies that

$$(3.3) \qquad (\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + \lambda_3^2 x_3^2)^2 \pm ((\lambda_2 + \lambda_3)\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_3)\lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2)\lambda_3^2 x_3^2) = 0.$$

From (3.2) we have that

$$x_{3}^{2} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{3}}(c - \lambda_{1}x_{1}^{2} - \lambda_{2}x_{2}^{2}).$$

If we substitute this in (3.3), we obtain a polynomial in x_1 and x_2 which has to be identically zero, so in particular the coefficients of x_1^4 and x_2^4 , which are $\lambda_1^2(\lambda_1-\lambda_3)^2$ respectively $\lambda_2^2(\lambda_2-\lambda_3)^2$ have to be zero. So we find that $\lambda_1=\lambda_2=\lambda_3$. Hence M^2 is a sphere. The constant term of the polynomial, which is $c\lambda_3(c\lambda_3-\lambda_1-\lambda_2)$, also has to be zero. From this we find that c=2. So if we write r for the radius of the sphere we have

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = \frac{2}{r^2}.$$

(2) Rank of A is 2.

By choosing a basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ with $e_1, e_2 \in \text{Im } A$ and $e_3 \in (\text{Im } A)^{\perp}$, we may suppose that A and B have the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ b_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If B=0, then $\Delta x = -2H$ belongs to Im A which is a plane through the origin. This means that the normal on this plane is a constant tangent direction to M^2 , but this isn't possible since M^2 isn't a cylinder. So we may suppose that $b_3 \neq 0$.

Consider the set

$$U = \{ p \in M^2 | (e_3)_p \notin T_p M^2 \}.$$

Since

$$U = \left\{ p \in M^2 \middle| \begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u} \middle|_p & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u} \middle|_p \\ \frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v} \middle|_p & \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v} \middle|_p \end{array} \middle| \neq 0 \right\},$$

this is an open set, and by the assumption that M^2 is not a cylinder, U cannot be empty. By the inverse function theorem, on U the surface is locally given as the graph of a function f in the following way

$$x = (x_1, x_2, f(x_1, x_2)).$$

From (1.1) we see that $\Delta x = Ax + B$ is normal to the surface, so

$$(Ax+B)\cdot \left(1, 0, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}\right) = 0,$$
$$(Ax+B)\cdot \left(0, 1, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2}\right) = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = \frac{1}{b_3} (a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + a_{13}f),$$
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = \frac{1}{b_3} (a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + a_{23}f).$$

Since f satisfies

$$\frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} = \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1},$$

we have

$$(a_{12}-a_{21})b_3+(a_{13}a_{21}-a_{11}a_{23})x_1+(a_{13}a_{22}-a_{12}a_{23})x_2=0$$
,

or

(3.4)
$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{11} & a_{13} \\ a_{21} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

(3.5)
$$\begin{vmatrix} a_{12} & a_{13} \\ a_{22} & a_{23} \end{vmatrix} = 0,$$

$$(3.6) a_{12} = a_{21}.$$

Since A has rank 2, expressions (3.4) and (3.5) imply that

$$a_{13} = a_{23} = 0$$

Equation (3.6) shows that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} \end{pmatrix}$ is symmetric. By a coordinate transformation we may suppose that A has the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \neq 0$.

Suppose now that $(x_1(u, v), x_2(u, v), x_3(u, v))$ is a parametrization of the surface. Then, since $Ax+B=(\lambda_1x_1, \lambda_2x_2, b_3)$ is normal to the surface, we have that

$$(\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, b_3) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial u}, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial u}\right) = 0,$$

$$(\lambda_1 x_1, \lambda_2 x_2, b_3) \cdot \left(\frac{\partial x_1}{\partial v}, \frac{\partial x_2}{\partial v}, \frac{\partial x_3}{\partial v}\right) = 0,$$

or

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial u}(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + 2b_3 x_3) = 0,$$
$$\frac{\partial}{\partial v}(\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + 2b_3 x_3) = 0.$$

So

 $\lambda_1 x_1^2 + \lambda_2 x_2^2 + 2b_3 x_3 = c$,

where c is a constant, and we see that M^2 should be part of a quadratic surface. However, for this quadratic surface one computes the mean curvature

$$\|H\| = \pm \frac{\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 x_1^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) b_3^2}{2(\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + b_3^2)^{3/2}}.$$

The absolute value of the mean curvature equals (1/2)||Ax+B|| by (1.1). This implies that the polynomial

$$(\lambda_1^2 x_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + b_3^2)^2 \pm (\lambda_1^2 \lambda_2 x_1^2 + \lambda_1 \lambda_2^2 x_2^2 + (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2) b_3^2)$$

should be identically zero, which contradicts $\lambda_1 \cdot \lambda_2 \neq 0$.

(3) Rank of A is 1.

Since $\Delta x = -2H$, equation (*) implies that -2H lies on Im A+B which is a line. So a vector orthogonal to a plane which contains the line Im A+B and the origin, is everywhere tangent to the surface M^2 . This contradicts our assumption that M^2 isn't a cylinder.

(4) Rank of A is 0. In this case (*) becomes

 $\Delta x = B$.

If B=0, then we have by (1.1) that H=0, so the surface is minimal. If $B\neq 0$, equation (1.1) implies that B is a constant vector normal to M^2 , so M^2 is a plane. However for a plane we have that H=0, which contradicts $B\neq 0$.

References

- [1] B.Y. CHEN, On the total curvature of immersed manifolds, VI: Submanifolds of finite type and their applications, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica 11 (1983), 309-328.
- [2] B.Y. CHEN, "Total mean curvature and submanifolds of finite type," World Scientific, Singapore, 1984.
- [3] B.Y. CHEN, Surfaces of finite type in Euclidean 3-space, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. Sér. B 39 (1987), 243-254.
- [4] O. GARAY, On a certain class of finite type surfaces of revolution, Kodai Math. J. 11 (1988), 25-31.
- [5] O. GARAY, An extension of Takahashi's theorem, preprint.
- [6] T. TAKAHASHI, Minimal immersions of Riemannian manifolds, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 380-385.

1980 Mathematics subject classifications: 53A05

Wiskundig Instituut, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200-B, 3030 Heverlee, Belgium