

THE COHOMOLOGY OF $SL_2(F_p)$ AND THE HECKE ALGEBRA ACTIONS

BY MICHISHIGE TAZUKA

Dedicated to the Memory of Dr. Takehiko Miyata

Introduction.

Let p be a prime number and F_p be the prime field with p elements. Our first main purpose of this paper is to calculate $H^n(SL_2(F_p), S(V))$ where $S(V)$ is the symmetric algebra of a two dimensional vector space V over F_p . The cohomology group $H^n(SL_2(F_p), S^t(V))$ is obtained by Y. H. Rhie and G. Whaples [11] under the condition $t=1$ and by M. Kuga, W. Parry and C. H. Sah [7] under the condition $t \leq p-1$, $n=1, 2$, $p \geq 5$. We shall give the complete description of mentioned above without any condition in section 2 and 3 (Theorem 3 and 4).

Our second purpose is to determine the Hecke algebra actions on $H^n(SL_2(F_p), S(V))$ (Theorem 5) and determine $H^n(GL_2(F_p), S(V))$ (Theorem 6) in section 4. These results are also extensions of [7].

The Hecke algebra actions on a cohomology group are defined by Y. H. Rhie and G. Whaples [11] and a brief account on these properties are found in [7] and [4] by E. Cline, B. Parshall and L. Scott. We summarize their results for completeness in section 1.

Furthermore we consider cohomologies with coefficients attached to one-dimensional representations of $GL_2(F_p)$ in section 5.

In section 6, we determine the Hecke algebra actions on $H^*(B)_{(p)}$ by the Weyl group S_3 of $GL_3(F_p)$ where B is a Borel subgroup of $GL_3(F_p)$.

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Professors T. Nakamura, K. Shibata and N. Yagita for their helpful suggestions.

§1. Preliminaries.

In this section, we collect some of the basic definitions and results on homological algebra [2], [3], [9] and Hecke algebra [4], [7], [11].

1.1. Conjugate homomorphisms

Let G and G' be finite groups and $f: G' \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism. When

Received May 20, 1986

A is a G -module, we can regard A as a G' -module by

$$g' \cdot a = f(g')a \quad \text{for } g' \in G, a \in A.$$

We use Eilenberg-MacLane cohomology group and recall a basic fact [2, 31.1, 31.2].

LEMMA 1. *Let $\{C_i, \partial_i\}$ and $\{C'_i, \partial'_i\}$ be a free resolution of G and G' respectively. Then there is a chain map $\{\phi_i\}: \{C'_i, \partial'_i\} \rightarrow \{C_i, \partial_i\}$ such that $\phi_i(g'c') = f(g')\phi_i(c')$ for i -chain $c' \in C'_i$ and $g' \in G'$. Furthermore let $\{\phi_i\}$ and $\{\phi'_i\}$ be any two chain map induced by f . In case f is injective, we have a chain homotopy $\{\Phi_i\}: \{C'_i\} \rightarrow \{C_{i+1}\}$. i. e.*

$$\partial_{i+1}\Phi_i - \Phi_{i-1}\partial_i = \phi_i - \phi'_i \quad \text{for } i > 0$$

and

$$\partial_1\Phi_0 = \phi_0 - \phi'_0.$$

We consider pairs (G, A) where G is a finite group and A is a G -module. We say $(f, \theta): (G, A) \rightarrow (G', A')$ is a morphism if $f: G' \rightarrow G$ is injective and $\theta: A \rightarrow A'$ is a homomorphism such that $\theta(g' \cdot a) = g'\theta(a)$ for $g' \in G'$ and $a \in A$. Given such a morphism (f, θ) , we can define the homomorphism

$$(f, \theta)^*: H^*(G, A) \longrightarrow H^*(G', A')$$

as follows. Take an n -cochain $t \in \text{Hom}_G(C_n, A)$ and put $(f, \theta)t = ft\phi_n$, where ϕ_n is a chain map induced by f . From Lemma 1, we see that $(f, \theta)^*$ is well defined.

We restrict our attention to the following case. Let H be a subgroup of G . Then we define an adjoint homomorphism $ad_g: H \rightarrow H^g$ by $ad_g(h) = ghg^{-1}$ and $H^g = gHg^{-1}$. We also denote by $ad_{g^{-1}}$ an n -th chain map induced by $ad_{g^{-1}}: H^g \rightarrow H$. When we define $\theta: A \rightarrow A$ by $\theta(a) = ga$ and $f: H^g \rightarrow H$ by $f = ad_{g^{-1}}$, we have for $h' \in H^g$

$$\theta(h' \cdot a) = \theta(ad_{g^{-1}}(h')a) = g ad_{g^{-1}}(h')a = h'ga = h'\theta(a).$$

Therefore $(ad_{g^{-1}}, \theta): (H, A) \rightarrow (H^g, A)$ is a morphism and it induces the homomorphism

$$(ad_{g^{-1}}, \theta)^*: H^*(H, A) \longrightarrow H^*(H^g, A).$$

According to [3], we will denote $(ad_{g^{-1}}, \theta)^*$ by c_g hereafter. We call it the conjugate homomorphism associated with $ad_{g^{-1}}$.

LEMMA 2. (1) *Let $\{C_i, \partial_i\}$ be a free resolution of G , that is also a free resolution of H and H^g . Then conjugate homomorphism c_g is given by $c_g t = gtg^{-1}$ for n -cochain $t \in \text{Hom}_G(C_n, A)$.*

(2) [9]. *In terms of the homogeneous resolution, we have*

$$(c_g t)(h'_0, \dots, h'_n) = gt(ad_{g^{-1}}h'_0, \dots, ad_{g^{-1}}h'_n) \quad \text{for } h'_i \in H^g.$$

Proof. For $c \in C_n$ and $h' \in H^s$, we have $g^{-1}(h'c) = ad_{g^{-1}}(h')g^{-1}c$. Therefore we can take $ad_{g^{-1}}(c) = g^{-1}c$ for a chain map induced by $ad_{g^{-1}}$. The results follow from the definition of the conjugate homomorphism. q. e. d.

Remark 1. In [2], [3], the part (1) of the lemma above shows that our definition of c_g agrees with that of [2], [3].

We determine a chain map of the standard resolution of a cyclic group induced by an adjoint homomorphism. Recall that the standard resolution of a cyclic group C of order n generated by x is given by

$$C_i = Z[C]e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_{2i}(e_{2i}) = Ne_{2i-1}, \quad \partial_{2i+1}(e_{2i+1}) = Te_{2i},$$

where we put $T = x - 1$ and $N = 1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1}$, and the augmentation $\varepsilon : C_0 \rightarrow Z$ is $\varepsilon(x^i e_0) = 1$.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let C be a cyclic subgroup of G of order n with generator x . $N_G(C)$ denotes the normalizer of C . Let an element g of $N_G(C)$ act as $ad_{g^{-1}}(x) = x^a$, $(a, n) = 1$. Then a chain map $ad_{g^{-1}}$ on the standard resolution of C is given by*

$$ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j e_{2i}) = a^i ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j) e_{2i}$$

and

$$ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j e_{2i+1}) = a^i \sum_{0 \leq \alpha \leq a-1} x^\alpha ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j) e_{2i+1}.$$

Proof. Since we see that $ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j e_i) = ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j) ad_{g^{-1}}(e_i)$, it is enough to check that $\{ad_{g^{-1}}\}$ commute with the boundary maps. First $ad_{g^{-1}}$ commutes with the augmentation. For $n = 2i, i > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ad_{g^{-1}}(\partial_{2i}(e_{2i})) &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j e_{2i-1}) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a^{i-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} x^\alpha ad_{g^{-1}}(x^j) e_{2i-1} = a^{i-1} \sum_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} x^{\alpha+j} e_{2i-1} \\ &= a^i Ne_{2i-1} = \partial_{2i}(ad_{g^{-1}}(e_{2i})). \end{aligned}$$

For $n = 2i + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} ad_{g^{-1}}(\partial_{2i+1}(e_{2i+1})) &= ad_{g^{-1}}(x e_{2i} - e_{2i}) \\ &= a^i (ad_{g^{-1}}(x) e_{2i} - e_{2i}) = a^i (x^a e_{2i} - e_{2i}) \\ &= a^i (x - 1) \left(\sum_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} x^\alpha e_{2i} \right) = \partial_{2i+1} \left(a^i \sum_{\alpha=0}^{a-1} x^\alpha e_{2i+1} \right) \\ &= \partial_{2i+1}(ad_{g^{-1}}(e_{2i+1})). \end{aligned} \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

1.2. *The Hecke algebra.*

In the following paragraphs, we recall the basic properties of the Hecke algebra defined in [4], [7], [11]. Let H be a subgroup of G . We consider the

free Z -module $H \backslash G / H$ generated by the elements $\frac{1}{|H|}HaH$, where $G = \coprod HaH$ and HaH means $\sum_{s \in HaH} s$. When we regard $H \backslash G / H$ as a submodule of the \mathbf{Q} -group ring $\mathbf{Q}[G]$, $H \backslash G / H$ has a natural ring structure induced from $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ and so is called the Hecke algebra with respect to G and H . We denote by $|^G$ and $|_H$ the corestriction map

$$\text{Cor} : H^n(H, A) \longrightarrow H^n(G, A)$$

and the restriction map

$$\text{Res} : H^n(G, A) \longrightarrow H^n(H, A)$$

defined in [2], [3].

We define the right actions of the Hecke algebra $H \backslash G / H$ on an element $\mu \in H^n(H, A)$ by

$$\mu \left(\frac{1}{|H|} HaH \right) = c_{a^{-1}} \mu |_{Ha^{-1} \cap H} |^H.$$

Particularly, in the case of $H \triangleleft G$, we have

$$\mu \left(\frac{1}{|H|} HaH \right) = c_{a^{-1}} \mu.$$

We can rewrite the stability theorem of Cartan-Eilenberg in term of the Hecke algebra. Recall that an element $\mu \in H^n(H, A)$ is stable if $\mu |_{Ha \cap H} = c_a \mu |_{Ha \cap H}$ for all $a \in G$.

THEOREM 1. [3, Theorem 10, 1. Chap. XII]. *Let H be a p -Sylow subgroup of G . Then the restriction map $H^n(G, A) \rightarrow H^n(H, A)$ is injective on the set of all the stable elements.*

We define the augmentation $\varepsilon : H \backslash G / H \rightarrow Z$ by $\varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{|H|} HaH \right) = [H : Ha^{-1} \cap H]$. We call an element $\mu \in H^n(H, A)$ a fixed point for $H \backslash G / H$ when $\mu \left(\frac{1}{|H|} HaH \right) = \varepsilon \left(\frac{1}{|H|} HaH \right) \mu$ are satisfied for all $a \in G$.

THEOREM 2. [4]. *Let H be a p -Sylow subgroup of G . Then μ is stable if and only if μ is a fixed point for $H \backslash G / H$.*

§2. The cohomology of U .

Let $S(V) = \bigoplus_{t \geq 0} S^t(V)$ be the symmetric algebra of a two-dimensional vector space V over F_p and $S^t(V)$ be the homogeneous part of degree t . Hereafter we fix a basis of V and identify $S(V)$ with the polynomial algebra $F_p[x_1, x_2]$. We define the action of $SL_2(F_p)$ on V by $(Ax_1, Ax_2) = (x_1, x_2)A$ for $A \in SL_2(F_p)$ and

extend this action on $S(V)$ as a ring homomorphism. Let U be the cyclic subgroup of $SL_2(F_p)$ which consists of the upper triangular matrices with diagonals one.

PROPOSITION 2.

- (1) $H^0(U, S(V)) \cong F_p[x_1, v]$ where $v = \prod_{\lambda \in F_p} (x_2 + \lambda x_1)$.
- (2) The multiplication by v induces the isomorphism

$$H^n(U, S^t(V)) \cong H^n(U, S^{t+p}(V)) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

$$(3) \quad H^n\left(U, \bigoplus_{i=0}^{p-1} S^i(V)\right) \cong \begin{cases} \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} F_p x_1^j & \text{if } n=2i, i > 0 \\ \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} F_p x_2^j & \text{if } n=2i+1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. (1) It is easy to see that $v = \prod (x_2 + \lambda x_1)$ is invariant under the action of U and so $S(V)^U$ contains $F_p[x_1, v]$. Let $F \in S(V)$ be invariant. Then we can suppose that x_1 does not divide F and that F does not contain the monomial x_1^k . Regard F as a polynomial with one variable x_2 , and we can write

$$F = vL + R, \quad \deg_{x_2}(R) < p \quad \text{and} \quad L, R \in S(V).$$

Since R is invariant and is divided by x_2 , R must be divided by v . Therefore R must be zero. Repeating this argument, F is shown to be a power of v . This implies that $S(V)^U$ is exactly the polynomial ring $F_p[x_1, v]$.

(2), (3) We put $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $N = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} y^i, T = y - 1$ in the group ring $Z[U]$.

We consider N or T as a homomorphism on $S(V)$ and set $N(S(V)) = \text{Im } N, {}_N S(V) = \text{Ker } N$ and $T(S(V)) = \text{Im } T, S(V)^U = \text{Ker } T$ respectively. From the standard resolution, we have

$$H^n(U, S(V)) = \begin{cases} S(V)^U / N(S(V)) & \text{if } n=2i, i > 0 \\ {}_N S(V) / T(S(V)) & \text{if } n=2i+1. \end{cases}$$

From (1), we can write $f \in S(V)$ in the form $f(x_1, x_2) = \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} g_j(x_1, v)x_2^j + h(x_1, v)$.

Since $N(g_j x_2^j) = g_j N(x_2^j)$ and $N(h) = 0$, we only need to calculate $N(x_2^j), 1 \leq j \leq p-1$. Then we calculate $N(x_2^j) = \sum_{0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1} (x_2 + \lambda x_1)^j = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq j} \binom{j}{k} \sum_{0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1} \lambda^k x_1^k x_2^{j-k}$ and use the formulas

$$\sum_{0 \leq \lambda \leq p-1} \lambda^k = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1} \\ -1 & \text{if } k \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$N(x_2^j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } 1 \leq j \leq p-2 \\ -x_1^{p-1} & \text{if } j = p-1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore we obtain $N(S(V)) = x_1^{p-1} S(V)^U$ and ${}_N S(V) = \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} x_2^j S(V)^U$.

Similarly we obtain $T(S(V))=x_1(S(V)^U)$. The results are immediate.

q. e. d.

Remark 3. The invariant algebras of $S(V)^U$ is well known. See [13].

§ 3. The cohomology of $SL_2(F_p)$.

Let B the subgroup of $SL_2(F_p)$ which is generated by the matrices (a_{ij}) $1 \leq i, j \leq 2$ with $a_{12}=0$ and M be a $F_p[SL_2(F_p)]$ -module.

LEMMA 4. *The inclusion map from B to $SL_2(F_p)$ induces an isomorphism $H^n(SL_2(F_p), M) \simeq H^n(B, M)$ for $n > 0$.*

Proof. Since B contains a p -Sylow subgroup U , the induced map is injective from Theorem 1. We have the decomposition: $SL_2(F_p) = B \amalg BwB$, where w is $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Then we see $B \cap wBw = T$, where T is the group of the diagonal matrices in $SL_2(F_p)$. Since p does not divide the order of T , we have $H^n(T, M) = 0$ for $n > 0$. Therefore the Hecke algebra acts on $\mu \in H^n(B, M)$ trivially, i. e.

$\mu\left(\frac{1}{|B|}BwB\right) = 0$. From Theorem 2, any element of $H^n(B, M)$ is stable.

q. e. d.

LEMMA 5. *The inclusion map from U to B induces an isomorphism $H^n(B, M) \simeq H^n(U, M)^B$.*

Proof. Since U is the normal p -Sylow subgroup of B , the stable elements of $H^n(U, M)$ are just the fixed parts for B .

q. e. d.

THEOREM 3. $H^0(SL_2(F_2), S(V)) \cong F_2[x_1v, x_1^2+v]$

and

$$H^n(SL_2(F_2), S(V)) \cong H^n(U, S(V)) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

THEOREM 4. *Let p be an odd prime. Then we have*

(1) $H^0(SL_2(F_p), S(V)) \cong F_p[x_1v, x^{p(p-1)}+v^{p-1}]$.

(2) $H^n(SL_2(F_p), S(V))$ has the p -period $p-1$ within the positive degrees with respect to the homological degree n .

(3) *The multiplication $(x_1^p+v)^{p-1}$ induces the isomorphism*

$$H^n(SL_2(F_p), S^t(V)) \cong H^n(SL_2(F_p), S^{t+p(p-1)}) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

(4) $H^n(SL_2(F_p), \bigoplus_{0 \leq t < p(p-1)} S^t(V))$

$$\cong \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{0 \leq s < p-1-2i} F_p x_1^{s+2i} v^s \oplus \bigoplus_{0 \leq s < 2i} F_p x_1^s v^{p-1-2i+s}, & \text{if } n=2i, 0 < i < \frac{p-1}{2} \\ \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq p-3-2i} F_p x_2^s v^{p-3-2i-s} \oplus \bigoplus_{p-3-2i < s \leq p-2} F_p x_2^s v^{2p-4-2i-s}, & \text{if } n=2i+1, 0 \leq i \leq \frac{p-3}{2} \\ \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq p-2} F_p (x_1 v)^s, & \text{if } n=p-1. \end{cases}$$

To prove the theorems, we prepare a lemma.

LEMMA 6. *If we put $t = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, $\lambda \in F_p^\times$, the action by c_t on $H^n(U, S(V))$ is given by*

$$(1) \quad c_t(x_1^{s_1} v^{s_2}) = \lambda^{-2i+s_1-s_2} x_1^{s_1} v^{s_2}, \quad \text{if } n=2i, i > 0$$

and

$$(2) \quad c_t(x_2^{s_1} v^{s_2}) = \lambda^{-2(i+1)-s_1-s_2} x_2^{s_1} v^{s_2}, \quad \text{if } n=2i+1,$$

where we identify $x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2} \in S(V)$ with a cochain of $\text{Hom}_U(Z[U]e_n, S(V))$ under the correspondence $\theta : S(V) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}_U(Z[U]e_n, S(V))$ given by

$$\theta(x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2})(e_n) = x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}.$$

Proof. (1) From Proposition 1, the action by c_t induced by $ad_{t^{-1}}$ on the cochain group is

$$\begin{aligned} (*) \quad c_t(x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}) &= t(x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2})(ad_{t^{-1}}(e_{2i})) \\ &= ((tx_1)^{s_1} (tx_2)^{s_2})(ad_{t^{-1}}(e_{2i})) \\ &= ((tx_1)^{s_1} (tx_2)^{s_2})(\lambda^{-2i} e_{2i}) \\ &= \lambda^{-2i+s_1-s_2} x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}(e_{2i}). \end{aligned}$$

Reducing to the cohomology $H^{\text{even}}(U, S(V))$, we have

$$c_t(x_1^{s_1} v^{s_2}) = \lambda^{-2i+s_1-s_2} x_1^{s_1} v^{s_2}.$$

(2) We take $y = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ for a generator of U . Then we note that a cochain $x_1^{s_1}, x_2^{s_2} \in \text{Hom}_U(Z[U]e_{2i+1}, S(V))$ has the following property :

$$x_1^s(y e_{2i+1}) = (y x_1)^s(e_{2i+1}) = x_1^s(e_{2i+1})$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x_2^s(y e_{2i+1}) &= (y x_2)^s(e_{2i+1}) = x_2^s(e_{2i+1}) - (y-1)x_2^s(e_{2i+1}) \\ &\equiv x_2^s(e_{2i+1}) \pmod{\text{coboundaries}}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (*) \quad (c_t(x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2}))_{(e_{2i+1})} &= t(x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2})(ad_{t^{-1}}(e_{2i+1})) \\
 &= ((tx_1)^{s_1} (tx_2)^{s_2})(ad_{t^{-1}}(e_{2i+1})) \\
 &= \lambda^{s_1-s_2} x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2} \left(\lambda^{-2i} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-2-1} y^j e_{2i+1} \right) \\
 &= \lambda^{-2i+s_1-s_2} \sum_{j=0}^{\lambda-2-1} (y^j x_1)^{s_1} (y^j x_2)^{s_2} (e_{2i+1}) \\
 &\equiv \lambda^{-2(i+1)+s_1-s_2} (x_1^{s_1} x_2^{s_2})_{(e_{2i+1})} \pmod{\text{coboundaries}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Reducing to the cohomology, we obtain

$$c_t(x_2^{s_2} t^{s_2}) = \lambda^{-2(i+1)-s_1-s_2} x_2^{s_2} t^{s_2}. \quad \text{q. e. d.}$$

Remark 3. Y. H. Rhie and G. Whaples have proved this lemma in the case of $s_1=1$ and $s_2=0$.

Proof of the theorem.

The 0-dimensional cohomology is the subring of $S(V)$ which is invariant by $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. The generators of this ring are determined by Dickson [5]. In the case of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$, we note that $B=U$ in $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_2)$. From Lemma 4 and 5, we have the isomorphism

$$H^n(SL_2(\mathbb{F}_2), S(V)) \cong H^n(U, S(V)) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

Let p be an odd prime. From Lemma 4 and 5, it is enough to determine the elements of $H^n(U, S(V))$ invariant under the actions by the diagonal matrices. These explicit formulas are given in Lemma 6. Immediately we find that the p -period is $p-1$.

If $x_1^{s_1} t^{s_2} \in H^{2i}(U, S(V))$ is invariant under B , the relations between s_1 and s_2 are $-2i + s_1 - s_2 \equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$ and $0 \leq s_1 \leq p-2, 0 \leq s_2, 0 < i < \frac{p-1}{2}$. We solve this congruence equation. And the solutions are

$$\begin{cases} s_1 = s + 2i, & 0 \leq s < p-1-2i \\ s_2 \equiv s \pmod{p-1}, & s_2 > 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\begin{cases} s_1 = s, & 0 \leq s < 2i \\ s_2 = p-1-2i \pmod{p-1}, & s_2 \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

We can do the same arguments on $H^n(U, S(V))$ and $H^{p-1}(U, S(V))$. q. e. d.

Remark 4. In [10], R. G. Swan shows that the p -period of cohomology group whose p -Sylow subgroup is cyclic is given by $2|N_G(U)|/|C_G(U)|$. Then Theorem 4, (2) is straightforward.

§ 4. The Hecke algebra actions and the cohomology of $GL_2(F_p)$.

In this section, we suppose that p is an odd prime. We denote $SL_2(F_p)$, $GL_2(F_p)$ by Γ , $\tilde{\Gamma}$ respectively. We define two distinct $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -actions on $S(V)$. The one, $\rho = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \rho_m$ of $\tilde{\Gamma}$ on $S(V) = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} S^m(V)$, is defined by $(\rho_1(A)x_1, \rho_1(A)x_2) = (x_1, x_2)A$ for $A \in \tilde{\Gamma}$ and $\rho_m(A)f(x_1, x_2) = f(\rho_1(A)x_1, \rho_1(A)x_2)$. The other, $\rho^* = \bigoplus_{m \geq 0} \rho_m^*$, is defined as $\rho_m^*(g) = \det(g)^{-m} \rho_m(g)$. $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho)$ (resp. $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho^*)$) denote the cohomology with the coefficient $S(V)$ through the action ρ (resp. ρ^*).

Since Γ is a normal subgroup of $\tilde{\Gamma}$, we can write $\Gamma\alpha\Gamma = \Gamma\alpha = \alpha\Gamma$ and $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{\Gamma} / \Gamma = \{ \sum a_\alpha \Gamma \alpha \Gamma : \alpha = \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, a \in F_p^\times, a_\alpha \in \mathbb{Z} \} \cong \mathbb{Z}[F_p^\times]$. From Lemma 3, the Hecke algebra action by $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{\Gamma} / \Gamma$ on $\mu \in H^n(\Gamma, \rho_m)$ (resp. $H^n(\Gamma, \rho^*)$) is given by $\mu \left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \right) = c_{\alpha^{-1}} \mu$ (resp. $\mu \left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \right) = \det(\alpha)^m c_{\alpha^{-1}} \mu$), taking account of the asterisque in the proof of Lemma 6. By definition, we see that $\rho|_\Gamma$ and $\rho^*|_\Gamma$ and so $H^*(\Gamma, \rho) = H^*(\Gamma, \rho^*)$. But, as the next theorem shows, the corresponding Hecke algebra actions are not equivalent.

THEOREM 5. *The Hecke algebra actions $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{\Gamma} / \Gamma$ on $H^n(\Gamma, \rho)$ (resp. $H^n(\Gamma, \rho^*)$) are as follows:*

(1) *If $n = 2i + 1$, $\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma$ is a scalar multiplication by $\det(\alpha)^{i+1}$ (resp. $\det(\alpha)^{-i+1}$).*

(2) *If $n = k(p-1) + 2i$, $0 < i < \frac{p-1}{2}$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^{s+2i} v^s) \left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \right) &= (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^{-(s+i)} x_1^{s+2i} v^s \\ &\text{(resp. } (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^{s+i} x_1^{s+2i} v^s \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1^s v^{p-1-2i+s}) \left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \right) &= (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^{i-s} x_1^s v^{p-1-2i+s} \\ &\text{(resp. } (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^{s-1} x_1^s v^{p-1-2i+s} \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) *If $n = k(p-1)$ and $k > 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1 v)^s \left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \right) &= (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^{-s} (x_1 v)^s \\ &\text{(resp. } (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^s (x_1 v)^s \text{)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We prove in the case of the coefficient ρ^* . Since $p \nmid |\tilde{\Gamma}|$ and Γ acts trivially on $H^n(\Gamma, \rho^*)$, it is sufficient to consider the action of $\Delta = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} : a \in F_p^\times \right\}$ on $H^n(\Gamma, S(V))$.

From Proposition 1 and the remark preceding Theorem 5, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_1^{t_1} v^{s_2}) \left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \right))_{(e_{2i})} &= \det(\alpha)^{s_1 + ps_2} (c_{\alpha^{-1} x_1^{s_1} v^{s_2}})_{(e_{2i})} \\ &= \det(\alpha)^{s_1 + s_2} (\alpha^{-1} x_1)^{s_1} (\alpha v)^{s_2} (\det(\alpha)^t e_{2i}) \\ &= \det(\alpha)^{s_2 + t} (x_1^{s_1} v^{s_2})_{(e_{2i})} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((x_2^{t_2} v^{s_2}) \left(\frac{1}{|\Gamma|} \Gamma \alpha \Gamma \right))_{(e_{2i+1})} &= \det(\alpha)^{s_1 + ps_2} (c_{\alpha^{-1} x_2^{s_1} v^{s_2}})_{(e_{2i+1})} \\ &= \det(\alpha)^{s_1 + s_2} (\alpha^{-1} x_2)^{s_1} (\alpha^{-1} v)^{s_2} \left(\det(\alpha)^t \sum_{j=0}^{\det(\alpha)-1} y^j e_{2i+1} \right) \\ &= \det(\alpha)^{s_1 + s_2 + t + 1} (x_2^{s_1} v^{s_2})_{(e_{2i+1})} \pmod{\text{coboundaries}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the theorem follows from Theorems 3 and 4. q. e. d.

Remark 5. M. Kuga, W. Parry and C.H. Sah [7, Theorem 1.5.4] have shown the theorem in the case of $n=1, 2$ and $t \leq p-1$.

We can calculate the cohomotogy of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ with coefficients ρ and ρ^* .

THEOREM 6.

- (1) $H^0(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho) \cong F_p[(x_1 v)^{p-1}, x_1^{p(p-1)} + v^{p-1}]$ and $H^0(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho^*) \cong H^0(\Gamma, S(V)) \cong F_p[x_1 v, x_1^{p(p-1)} + v^{p-1}]$.
- (2) $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho) \cong H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho^*)$ for $n > 0$.
- (3) $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho)$ has the p -period $2(p-1)$ within the positive degrees.
- (4) The multiplication by $x_1^{p(p-1)} + v^{p-1}$ induces the isomorphism

$$H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, S^t(V)) \cong H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, S^{t+p(p-1)}(V)) \quad \text{for } n > 0.$$

- (5) $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \bigoplus_{0 \leq m < p(p-1)} \rho_m)$

$$\cong \begin{cases} F_p x_1^t v^{p-1-2t} & \text{if } n=2t, 0 < t < p-1 \\ 0 & \text{if } n=2t+1, n \neq 2p-3 \\ F_p \bigoplus_{1 \leq s \leq p-2} \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq p-2} F_p x_2^s v^{p-2-s} & \text{if } n=2p-3 \\ \bigoplus_{0 \leq s \leq p-2} F_p (x_1 v)^s & \text{if } n=2(p-1). \end{cases}$$

Proof. From Theorem 1, we have $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \rho) = H^n(\Gamma, \rho)^d$. For example in the case of $n = k(p-1) + 2i, 0 < i < \frac{p-1}{2}$, the invariant elements of $H^n(\Gamma, S(V))$ under the action ρ satisfy the conditions by Theorems 4 and 5.

- (1) $x_1^{s+2i} v^s = (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^{-(s+i)} x_1^{s+2i} v^s$ for any $\alpha \in \Delta, 0 \leq s < p-1-2i$

and

$$(2) \quad x_1^i v^{p-1-2i+s} = (-1)^k \det(\alpha)^{i-s} x_1^i v^{p-1-2i+s} \quad \text{for any } \alpha \in \Delta, 0 \leq s < 2i.$$

We can determine the values s from the above equations and obtain the solutions from (1) and (2): If $k \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$, $s = \frac{p+1}{2} - i$ and, if $k \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, $s = i$ respectively. Therefore we have shown that

$$H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, \bigoplus_{0 \leq m < p(p-1)} \rho_m) = F_p x_1^i v^{p-1-2i}, \quad \text{if } n = 2i, 0 < i < \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

We can prove the others similarly.

q. e. d.

§ 5. The cohomology of one-dimensional representations.

In section 4, we determined the cohomology of the polynomial representation of $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Here we consider the cohomology derived from one-dimensional representations, and use the some notations as in section 4.

Let M and M' be $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -module. Then we define $M \otimes M'$ as follows.

$$M \otimes M' \cong M \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M' \quad \text{as an abelian group}$$

and the left $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -action is defined by

$$\sigma(m_1 \otimes m_2) = \sigma(m_1) \otimes \sigma(m_2).$$

If M and M' are as above, we have a pairing called the cup product

$$H^p(\tilde{\Gamma}, M) \otimes H^q(\tilde{\Gamma}, M') \longrightarrow H^{p+q}(\tilde{\Gamma}, M \otimes M').$$

We consider one-dimensional representations $\phi^i: \tilde{\Gamma} \rightarrow F_p^\times$ where $\phi^i(g) = \det(g)^i$. We regard Z/pZ as a $\tilde{\Gamma}$ -module $Z/pZ(i)$ through ϕ^i . Then we have isomorphisms

$$Z/pZ(i) \cong Z/pZ(x_1^i v^i)$$

$$Z/pZ(i) \otimes Z/pZ(j) \cong Z/pZ(i+j)$$

and

$$Z/pZ(i+p-1) \cong Z/pZ(i).$$

PROPOSITION 3. (Aguadé [1]). $H^*(\Gamma, Z/pZ) \cong Z[u_1] \otimes A(v_1)$, $|u_1| = p-1$, $|v_1| = p-2$ and $H^*(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ) \cong Z/pZ[u_2] \otimes A(v_2)$, $|u_2| = 2(p-1)$, $|v_2| = 2p-3$.

Proof. We only show the cohomology of Γ . Use the split exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow F_p \longrightarrow S(V) \longrightarrow S(V)^+ \longrightarrow 0$$

and we have $H^*(\Gamma, S(V)) = H^*(\Gamma, Z/pZ) \oplus H^*(\Gamma, S(V)^+)$. From Theorem 4, we have an additive isomorphism

$$H^*(\Gamma, Z/pZ) \cong Z/pZ[u_1] \otimes A(v_1), \quad |u_1| = p-1 \quad \text{and} \quad |v_1| = p-2.$$

Since $i^* : H^n(\Gamma, Z/pZ) \rightarrow H^n(U, Z/pZ)$ is injective and $H^*(U, Z) \cong F_p[x] \otimes A(y)$, $|x|=2$ and $|y|=1$, as a ring isomorphism, we can choose u_1 (resp. v_1) so as to satisfy $i^*(u_1) = x^{p-1/2}$ (resp. $i^*(v_1) = x^{p-3/2}y$). q. e. d.

THEOREM 7.

(1) $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ(i)) = 0$, if $i \not\equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{p-1}$ and $i \not\equiv 0 \pmod{p-1}$, and

$$H^n\left(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\right) \cong \begin{cases} Z/pZ & \text{if } n \equiv p-2 \text{ or } p-1 \pmod{2(p-1)} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(2) If we put α (resp. β) for a generator of $H^{p-1}\left(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\right)$ (resp. $H^{p-2}\left(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ\left(\frac{p-1}{2}\right)\right)$), the cup product of $\bigoplus_i H^*(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ(i))$ is given by

$$\alpha^2 = u_2, \alpha\beta = v_2 \text{ and } \beta^2 = 0.$$

Proof. We have $H^n(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ(i)) \rightarrow H^n(\Gamma, Z/pZ(i))^T$, where T is the group of the diagonal matrices. Because $Z/pZ(i) = Z/pZ$ on U , non zero cohomology groups can appear only when $n \equiv p-2$ or $p-1 \pmod{2(p-1)}$. Then the conjugate actions $c_t, t \in T$ on a n -cochain $y^i v^j$ are

$$c_t(y^i v^j) = \det(t)^{i-(p-1/2)} y^i v^j.$$

We see that there is a non zero invariant space for the actions if and only if $i \equiv 0$ or $i \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{2(p-1)}$.

(2) From [3, Chap. XII, 7], the restriction map

$$i^* ; \bigoplus_i H^*(\tilde{\Gamma}, Z/pZ(i)) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_i H^*(U, Z/pZ)$$

preserves the cup products. Noticing that

$$i^*(u_2) = x^{p-1}, i^*(v_2) = x^{p-2}y$$

and

$$i^*(\alpha) = x^{p-1/2}, \quad i^*(\beta) = x^{p-3/2}y,$$

we get the formulas of the Theorem. q. e. d.

§ 6. The Hecke algebra $B \backslash GL_3(F_p) / B$ actions.

In this section, we adapt the notations to those of [12] so as to simplify the computations. Let U be a p -Sylow subgroup of $GL_3(F_p)$ which is generated by

$$a = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, b = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, c = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

THEOREM 8. [6], [8].

(1) If $p=2$, the algebra $H^*(U, Z)$ are generated by elements y_1, y_2, e, v , where $|y_i|=2, 1 \leq i \leq 2, |e|=3$ and $|v|=4$. The relations are submitted to the relations

$$2y_1=2y_2=2e=4v=0 \text{ and } y_1y_2=0, e^2=(y_1+y_2)v.$$

(2) If p is an odd prime, the algebra $H^*(U, Z)/\sqrt{0}$ are generated by elements y_1, y_2, b_{p-2}, v where $|y_i|=2, 1 \leq i \leq 2, |b_{p-2}|=2(p-1)$ and $|v|=2p$. The relations are submitted to the relations

$$py_1=py_2=pb_{p-2}=p^2v=0 \text{ and } b_{p-2}^2=y_1^{p-1}y_2^{p-1},$$

$$y_ib_{p-2}=y_iy_j^{p-1}, y_1^py_2=y_1y_2^p.$$

Next we recall some facts about the elements of $H^*(U, Z)$ which are in the images by the correstriction map. If p is an odd prime, we have the ring isomorphism

$$H(\langle a, c \rangle, Z) \cong Z/pZ[y_1, u] \otimes A(\beta(xz))$$

$$\text{for } * > 0, |y_1|=|u|=2, |\beta(xz)|=3$$

and $y_1=\beta(x_1), u=\beta(z)$, where x_1 and z are the dual elements of a and b with the identification $H^1(\langle a, c \rangle, Z/pZ) \cong \text{Hom}(\langle a, c \rangle, Z/pZ)$ and β is the mod p Bockstein operation. If $p=2$, the above isomorphism is only additive. Let $i: \langle a, c \rangle \rightarrow U$ be the inclusion map and i_* and i^* be the correstriction and the restriction maps respectively. Then we define

$$b_0=i_*(u), \text{ if } p=2$$

and

$$b_i=i_*(u^{i+1}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq p-3 \text{ and } b_{p-2}=i_*(u^{p-1})+y_1^{p-1}, \text{ if } p \text{ odd.}$$

PROPOSITION 4. In case of $p=2$, we have

$$b_0=y_1 \text{ and } i^*(u)=u^2+uy_1, i^*(y_1)=y_1, i^*(e)=\beta(xz).$$

Proof. The formulas above are proved in [6] except $b_0=y_1$. To prove this, we apply the cor-res exact sequence of Lewis [8] to $H=\langle a, c \rangle$ and $G=U$. Then we have

$$0 \longrightarrow H^3(U, Z) \xrightarrow{\rho} T^3 \xrightarrow{\tau} H^2(U, Z) \xrightarrow{\cup y_2} H^4(U, Z)$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow H^2(\langle a, c \rangle, Z)_{\langle b \rangle} \xrightarrow{\mu} T^3 \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} H^3(\langle a, c \rangle, Z)_{\langle b \rangle} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $\varepsilon \cdot \rho = \text{Res}$ and $\tau \cdot \mu = \text{Cor}$. We see that $H^2(\langle a, c \rangle, Z)_{\langle b \rangle} = Z/2Zu$ and $H^3(\langle a, c \rangle, Z)_{\langle b \rangle} = Z/2Z\beta(xz)$. From the cohomology of U and $\text{Res}(e) = \beta(xz)$, we see that $i_*(u)$ is y_1 . q. e. d.

THEOREM 8. [8]. *Let p be an odd prime. Then the elements b_i defined above are non-zero and they are submitted to the relations in $H^*(U, Z)$*

$$y_i b_j = 0, \quad \text{if } 1 \leq i \leq 2, \quad 1 \leq j \leq p-3$$

and

$$y_i b_{p-2} = y_i y_j^{p-1}, \quad i \neq j, \quad b_{p-2}^2 = y_1^{p-1} y_2^{p-1}.$$

Furthermore we have

$$i^*(v) = u^p - u y_1^{p-1} \quad \text{and} \quad i^*(y_1) = y_1.$$

PROPOSITION 5. *Let $n = kp + r$, $0 \leq r < p$ be a positive integer. Then we have*

$$i_!(u^n) = \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} v^{k-i} y_1^{i(p-1)} i_!(u^{r+i}), \quad i_!(0) = p.$$

Proof. We write u^{n+p} as $u^n(u^p - u y_1^{p-1}) + u^{n+1} y_1^{p-1}$. From the formulas $i_!(a \cdot i^*(b)) = a \cdot b$ and $i_! i^*(a) = pa$, we have

$$i_!(u^{n+p}) = v i_!(u^n) + y_1^{p-1} i_!(u^{n+1}).$$

Repeating this argument, we obtain the proposition. q. e. d.

COROLLARY 1. $i_!(u^{p(p-1)}) = p v^{p-1} + y_1^{(p-1)^2} y_2^{p-1} - y_1^{p(p-1)}$.

Proof. Since $\binom{p-1}{i} \equiv (-1)^i \pmod{p}$, it is immediate from the proposition.

THEOREM 9. [12].

(1) *In the case of $p=2$, we have*

$$H^*(B, Z)_{(2)} = H^*(U, Z)_{(2)}.$$

(2) *If p is an odd prime, the cohomology ring $H^*(B, Z)_{(p)}/\sqrt{0}$ are isomorphic to the subalgebra of $H^*(U, Z)_{(p)}/\sqrt{0}$ which is generated by*

$$y_1^{p-1}, y_2^{p-1}, v^{p-1}, b_{p-2} \quad \text{and} \quad (y_1 y_2)^i v^{p-1-i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq p-1.$$

Since $GL_3(\mathbb{F}_p) = \coprod_{w \in S_3} BwB$, we have $B \backslash GL_3(\mathbb{F}_p) / B = Z \left[\frac{1}{|B|} BwB \right]$. We can identify BwB with $w \in S_3$.

THEOREM 10. *The Hecke algebra actions on the generators of $H^*(B, Z)_{(p)}/\sqrt{0}$ are written as in the following tables.*

(1) *In the case of $p=2$,*

w	(12)	(23)	the others
y_1	0	y_1	0
y_2	y_2	0	0
e	0	0	0
v	$2v$	$2v$	0

(2) In the case of an odd prime p ,

w	(12)	(23)	the others
y_1^{p-1}	0	$b_{p-2} - y_1^{p-1}$	0
y_2^{p-1}	$b_{p-1} - y_2^{p-1}$	0	0
v^{p-1}	$y_2^{p(p-1)} - y_1^{p-1} y_2^{(p-1)^2}$	$y_1^{p(p-1)} - y_1^{(p-1)^2} y_2^{p-1}$	0
the others	0	0	0

Proof. We prove the theorem for $w=(23)$ and $v^{p-1} \in H^*(B, Z)_{(p)}$. It is sufficient to consider the Hecke algebra actions on $H^*(U, Z)$ instead of $H^*(B, Z)_{(p)}$. So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 v^{p-1} \left(\frac{1}{|B|} BwB \right) &= i_*(i^* c_w(v^{p-1})) = i_*(c_w(i^* v^{p-1})) \\
 &= i_*(\prod_{\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_p} (y_1 - \lambda u)^{p-1}) = i_*(y_1^{p(p-1)} + \prod (u - \lambda y_1)^{p-1} - u^{p(p-1)}) \\
 &= i_*(i^* y_1^{p(p-1)} + i^* v^{p-1} - u^{p(p-1)}) \\
 &= p v^{p-1} - p v^{p-1} + y_1^{p(p-1)} - y_1^{(p-1)^2} y_2^{p-1} \\
 &= y_1^{p(p-1)} - y_1^{(p-1)^2} y_2^{p-1}. \qquad \text{q. e. d.}
 \end{aligned}$$

Remark 6. This shows that the Hecke algebra $B \backslash GL_3(F_p) / B$ does not act as a scalar multiplication different from [7] and [11]. It seems to be occurred in the action of the Hecke algebra $B \backslash GL_n(F_q) / B$ on $H^*(B)$ generally. In the sence, the tables would give us a non trivial example of a theorem [4].

REFERENCES

[1] J. AGUADÉ, The cohomology of the GL_2 of a finite field, Arch. Math., 34 (1980), 509-516.
 [2] A. BABAKHANIAN, Cohomological methods in group theory, Marcel Dekker, Inc. 1972.

- [3] H. CARTAN AND S. EILENBERG, Homological algebra, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1956.
- [4] E. CLINE, B. PARSHALL AND L. SCOTT, Cohomology of finite groups of Lie type, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., 45 (1975), 169-191.
- [5] L. DICKSON, A fundamental system of invariants of the general linear group with a solution of the form problem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 12 (1911), 75-98.
- [6] L. EVENS, On the Chern classes of representation of finite group, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 115 (1965), 180-193.
- [7] M. KUGA, W. PARRY AND C.H. SAH, Group cohomology and Hecke operators, Manifolds and Lie groups, Birkhauser (1981), 223-266.
- [8] G. LEWIS, The integral cohomology rings of groups of order p^3 , Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 132 (1968), 501-529.
- [9] S. MAC LANE, Homology, Springer 1963.
- [10] R.G. SWAN, The p -period of a finite group, Illinois J. Math., 4 (1960), 341-346.
- [11] Y.H. RHIE AND G. WHAPLES, Hecke operators in cohomology of groups, J. Math. Japan, 22 (1970), 431-442.
- [12] M. TEZUKA AND N. YAGITA, The mod p cohomology ring of $GL_3(F_p)$, J. Algebra, 81 (1983), 295-303.
- [13] M.-J. DUMAS, Notes des membres et correspondants et notes présentées ou transmises par leurs soins, C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, 260 (1965), 5655-5658.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
OHOKAYAMA, MEGURO-KU, TOKYO

