

## BLOCH CONSTANT AND VARIATION OF BRANCH POINTS

BY AKIRA YAMADA

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $F$  be the set of functions  $f$  regular in the unit disc  $\Delta$  normalized by the conditions  $f(0)=0$  and  $f'(0)=1$ . For  $z \in \Delta$ , let  $B(f)$  denote the least upper bound of the radii of all unramified disc centered at  $f(z)$  which is contained in the Riemann surface of  $f$ . The Bloch constant  $B$  is defined by

$$B = \inf_{f \in F} B(f).$$

Although, the precise value of  $B$  is not known, we have the estimate [4]  $B > \frac{\sqrt{3}}{4}$ . In 1937 Ahlfors-Grunsky [1] obtained an upper bound  $B \leq B(g) = 0.47 \dots$  by constructing a function  $g \in F$  called the Ahlfors-Grunsky function. Also, they conjectured that  $B = B(g)$ . The function  $g: \Delta \rightarrow C$  is obtained as follows. Let  $S$  be the interior of the N. E. (non-Euclidian) triangle in  $\Delta$  with the angles  $\frac{\pi}{6}$ ,  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  and  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  and the vertices at  $\sigma$ ,  $\omega\sigma$  and  $\omega^2\sigma$  where  $\sigma = (\sqrt{3}+1)^{-1/2}$  and  $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}$ . Let  $T$  be the interior of the regular triangle with the vertices at  $\tau$ ,  $\omega\tau$ ,  $\omega^2\tau$  where

$$\tau = \sigma \cdot \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{3}\right) \Gamma\left(\frac{11}{12}\right) / \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) = 0.47 \dots.$$

By Schwarz's reflection the analytic function mapping  $S$  conformally onto  $T$  with  $g(\omega^k\sigma) = \omega^k\tau$  ( $k=0, 1, 2$ ) is continued analytically to a function  $g \in F$  defined on  $\Delta$ , which is the Ahlfors-Grunsky function. It is well known that  $g$  is a normal branched covering of the complex plane  $C$  which is simply branched at every point of the regular triangular lattice  $\{(n + \omega m)\tau \mid n, m \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  but is not branched elsewhere.

A. W. Goodman [3] introduced a variation of branch points for an analytic function in  $\Delta$ . We denote by  $f_{c, \lambda}$  Goodman's branch variation of  $f$ .

The aim of this paper is to prove

**THEOREM.** *For every branch point  $c \in \Delta$  and sufficiently small  $\lambda \in C$  ( $\lambda \neq 0$ ), the Ahlfors-Grunsky function  $g$  satisfies*

---

Received February 17, 1986

$$B(g) < B(g_{c,\lambda}/g'_{c,\lambda}(0)).$$

Note that  $g_{c,\lambda}/g'_{c,\lambda}(0) \in F$ . The above Theorem asserts that the Bloch radius  $B(f)$  attains a local minimum at the Ahlfors-Grunsky function  $g$  when we vary its branch points slightly. For related results the reader is referred to H. Yanagihara's recent paper [7].

## 2. Proof of Theorem.

Goodman's branch variation [3] is described as follows. Let  $f(z) : \Delta \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  be regular in  $\Delta$  with  $f'(0) > 0$ , and map  $\Delta$  onto a Riemann surface, having a simple branch point at  $f(c)$ . For  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  sufficiently small we form a new Riemann surface  $R^*$ , from  $R$ , by moving the branch point at  $f(c)$  to  $f(c) + \lambda$ , while holding the boundary and all other branch points of  $R$  fixed. Since  $R^*$  is simply-connected, there is a unique function  $f_{c,\lambda}$  mapping  $\Delta$  conformally onto  $R^*$  such that  $f'_{c,\lambda}(0) > 0$ . The function  $f_{c,\lambda}$  is called a Goodman's branch variation of  $f$ .

LEMMA 1. For sufficiently small  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$ , we have

$$f_{c,\lambda}(z) = f(z) - \frac{1}{2} z f'(z) \left[ A \cdot \frac{c+z}{c-z} + \bar{A} \cdot \frac{1+\bar{c}z}{1-\bar{c}z} \right] + O(\lambda^2),$$

where  $A = \frac{\lambda}{c^2 f''(c)}$  and the estimate is uniform for  $z$  in compact subsets of  $\Delta$ .

*Proof.* See [3]. For another derivation of the above formula using *q. c.* variation, see [6]. *q. e. d.*

In particular, for Ahlfors-Grunsky function  $g$ , Lemma 1 shows

$$g'_{c,\lambda}(0) = 1 - Re \frac{\lambda}{c^2 f''(c)} + O(\lambda^2). \quad (1.1)$$

Let  $r(x, y, z)$  denote the (Euclidian) radius of the circle passing through the points  $x, y$  and  $z$  ( $\in \mathbf{C}$ ). Since, if  $\gamma z = az + b$  ( $a, b \in \mathbf{C}$ ),

$$r(\gamma x, \gamma y, \gamma z) = |a| r(x, y, z),$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x}(\gamma x, \gamma y, \gamma z) = \frac{|a|}{a} \frac{\partial r}{\partial x}(x, y, z). \quad (1.2)$$

On the other hand, an elementary calculation gives

$$\frac{\partial r}{\partial x}(1, \omega, \omega^2) = \frac{1}{6}. \quad (1.3)$$

Thus, if we move the branch point  $g(c)$  to  $g(c) + \lambda$ , the maximal radius  $\rho(\lambda)$  of

all unramified disks in  $R^*$  is given by

$$\rho(\lambda) = \tau + \max_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} 2 \operatorname{Re} \left[ \lambda \cdot \frac{1}{6} e^{k\pi i/3} \right] + O(\lambda^2). \tag{1.4}$$

This follows from the fact that the branch points of  $g$  forms a regular triangular lattice and from the identities (1.2) and (1.3).

LEMMA 2. *If the inequality*

$$|c^2 g''(c)| > 2\sqrt{3} \tau \tag{1.5}$$

holds, then we have

$$B(g) < B(g_c, \lambda/g'_c, \lambda(0))$$

for sufficiently small  $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$  ( $\lambda \neq 0$ ).

*Proof.* Since  $B(g_c, \lambda) = \rho(\lambda)$  and  $B(g) = \tau$ , the identities (1.1) and (1.4) give

$$\begin{aligned} B(g_c, \lambda/g'_c, \lambda(0)) &= B(g_c, \lambda)/g'_c, \lambda(0) \\ &= \tau + \max_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Re} \frac{\lambda}{3} \left[ \frac{3\tau}{c^2 g''(c)} + e^{k\pi i/3} \right] + O(\lambda^2) \\ &\geq B(g) + \frac{|\lambda|}{3} \left[ \max_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Re} e^{(k\pi i/3) + \theta} - \left| \frac{3\tau}{c^2 g''(c)} \right| \right] + O(\lambda^2) \end{aligned}$$

with  $\theta = \arg \lambda$ . Since

$$\max_{k \in \mathbf{Z}} \operatorname{Re} e^{(k\pi i/3) + \theta} \geq \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \quad \text{for any } \theta \in \mathbf{R},$$

(1.5) easily implies the desired inequality. *q. e. d.*

Let  $\Gamma$  be the group of *N.E.* isometries of  $\Delta$  generated by  $l_1, l_2$  and  $l_3$  where  $l_i$  ( $i=1, 2, 3$ ) are the reflections in each side of *N.E.* triangle  $S$ . We denote by  $\Gamma_0$  the conformal subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Poincaré's polygon theorem [5] implies that the group  $\Gamma$  is discontinuous and that the triangle  $S$  is a fundamental polygon for  $\Gamma$ .

LEMMA 3. *For any  $c \in \Delta$  with  $g'(c) = 0$ , we have*

$$|c^2 g''(c)| \geq |\sigma^2 g''(\sigma)|. \tag{1.6}$$

*Proof.* Observing that  $c$  is of the form  $\gamma(\omega^k \sigma)$  for some  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$  and  $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ , we may assume by symmetry that  $c = \gamma \sigma$  ( $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ ). Next, note that, for  $\gamma \in \Gamma_0$ ,

$$g(\gamma z) = \omega^k g(z) + \text{const.}, \quad k \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

Differentiating the above identity, we have

$$g''(\gamma z)(\gamma' z)^2 + g'(\gamma z)\gamma'' z = \omega^k g''(z),$$

so that, by  $g'(c)=0$ ,

$$g''(c)(\gamma'\sigma)^2 = \omega^k g''(\sigma).$$

Hence,

$$|c^2 g''(c)| = \frac{|c|^2}{(1-|c|^2)^2} \cdot (1-\sigma^2)^2 |g''(\sigma)|.$$

The Lemma will be proved if we show that  $|c| \geq \sigma$ . This is easy. Let  $z = \gamma_0 \sigma$  ( $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$ ) be a point in  $\Delta$  such that

$$|z| = \min_{\gamma \in \Gamma} |\gamma \sigma|.$$

The existence of such a point  $z$  is clear from the discontinuity of the group  $\Gamma$ . Then we have

$$d(z, 0) \leq d(l_i \gamma_0 \sigma, 0), \quad i=1, 2, 3,$$

where  $d(\cdot, \cdot)$  denotes the hyperbolic distance. Hence,

$$d(z, 0) \leq d(z, l_i(0)), \quad i=1, 2, 3,$$

so that  $z \in \bar{S}$ . Since  $S$  is a fundamental polygon, we conclude that  $z = \sigma$ , as desired. *q. e. d.*

LEMMA 4.

$$g''(\sigma) = -(\sqrt{3}+1)^2 \cdot 2^{-37/12} \cdot 3^{-5/8} \cdot \pi^{-5/2} \cdot \Gamma^2\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \Gamma^3\left(\frac{1}{3}\right).$$

*Proof.* Here, our basic reference is [2]. Let  $S_1$  be the interior of the *N.E.* triangle in  $\Delta$  with the angles  $\frac{\pi}{6}$ ,  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  and  $\frac{\pi}{6}$  that has its vertices at  $0$ ,  $\sigma_1$ ,  $e^{\pi i/6} \sigma_1$  with some positive constant  $\sigma_1$ , and let  $T_1$  be the interior of the regular triangle with the vertices at  $0$ ,  $\tau$ ,  $e^{\pi i/3} \tau$ . We denote by  $g_1$  the function mapping  $S_1$  conformally onto  $T_1$  with  $g_1(0)=0$ ,  $g_1(\sigma_1)=\tau$  and  $g_1(e^{\pi i/6} \sigma_1)=e^{\pi i/3} \tau$ . Then it is easy to see that there exists a Möbius transformation  $\varphi$  with  $\varphi(0)=\sigma$  satisfying the identity

$$g_1(z) = e^{i\theta} (g \circ \varphi(z) - \tau)$$

with some real constant  $\theta$ . Differentiation shows

$$g''(\sigma) = -(1-\sigma^2)^{-2} g_1''(0), \quad (1.7)$$

since geometrically it is clear that  $g''(\sigma) < 0$  and  $g_1''(0) > 0$ . On the other hand, we can express  $g_1$  as a composition  $v \circ u^{-1}$  where  $u$  (resp.  $v$ ) is the function mapping the upper half-plane  $\{Im z > 0\}$  onto  $S_1$  (resp.  $T_1$ ) in such a way that the origin is kept fixed and the other vertices of the triangle correspond to 1 and  $\infty$ . By [2, Vol. II, pp. 162-163],  $u(z)$  and  $v(z)$  have the expansion at the origin,

and  $u(z)=C_1z^{1/6}+\text{higher order terms,}$   
 $v(z)=C_2z^{1/8}+\text{higher order terms,}$

where the coefficients  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are given explicitly by

$$C_1 = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{3}+1}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(5/6)\Gamma(3/4)}{\Gamma(5/12)\Gamma(7/6)} \tag{1.8}$$

and

$$C_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\sqrt{\sqrt{3}+1}} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(2/3)\Gamma(11/12)}{\Gamma(1/4)\Gamma(4/3)} \tag{1.9}$$

Hence,

$$g_1(z)=v \cdot u^{-1}(z)=C_2C_1^{-2} \cdot z^2+\text{higher order terms,}$$

so that

$$g_1''(0)=2C_2C_1^{-2}. \tag{1.10}$$

By applying standard formulas for the function  $\Gamma(z)$ , the identities (1.7)-(1.10) yield the value of  $g''(\sigma)$ , as desired. *q. e. d.*

Lemmas 2 and 3 imply that to conclude our THEOREM it is only necessary to show

$$|\sigma^2g''(\sigma)| > 2\sqrt{3}\tau.$$

However, a computation using Lemma 4 gives

$$|\sigma^2g''(\sigma)| = 2.34 \dots > 2\sqrt{3}\tau = 1.63 \dots.$$

This completes the proof of the THEOREM.

REFERENCES

[ 1 ] L. V. AHLFORS AND H. GRUNSKY, Über die Blochschen Konstante, Math. Z., 42 (1937), 671-673.  
 [ 2 ] C. CARATHÉODORY, Theory of functions, I, II, Chelsea, New York, 1954.  
 [ 3 ] A. W. GOODMAN, Variation of the branch points for an analytic function, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 89 (1958), 277-284.  
 [ 4 ] M. HEINS, On a class of conformal metrics, Nagoya Math. J., 21 (1962), 1-60.  
 [ 5 ] B. MASKIT, On Poincaré's theorem for fundamental polygons, Adv. in Math., 7 (1971), 219-230.  
 [ 6 ] A. YAMADA, Q. c. variations for analytic functions, to appear.  
 [ 7 ] H. YANAGIHARA, Local minimality of Ahlfors-Grunsky functions for affine variations, to appear.