

A REMARK ON HOMOGENEOUS LINEAR EQUATIONS WITH VARIABLE COEFFICIENTS

BY YOSHIKAZU HIRASAWA

1. We use the same notations as in previous papers [1], [2]. Let I be a closed interval $[\alpha, \beta] = \{t \mid \alpha \leq t \leq \beta, t \in \mathbf{R}\}$. We denote by $C^\mu(I, \mathbf{C})$ the totality of complex-valued functions defined and of class C^μ on I ($\mu=0, 1, \dots, \infty$) and hereafter we fix some μ .

For the sake of brevity, we denote $C^\mu(I, \mathbf{C})$ by $K(I)$ and $K(I)^n$ by $M(I)$:

$$M(I) = \{\mathbf{f}(t) = \text{col}(f_1(t), f_2(t), \dots, f_n(t) \mid f_j(t) \in K(I), j=1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Now let $B(t)$ be a square matrix of degree n whose components all belong to $K(I)$:

$$(1) \quad B(t) = \begin{pmatrix} b_{11}(t) & b_{12}(t) \cdots b_{1n}(t) \\ b_{21}(t) & b_{22}(t) \cdots b_{2n}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots \quad \quad \quad \vdots \\ b_{n1}(t) & b_{n2}(t) \cdots b_{nn}(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

and let us assume, throughout this paper, that for a positive integer $s: 1 \leq s \leq n-1$, a condition

$$(2) \quad \text{rank } B(t) = n - s$$

is satisfied on I .

We consider a homogeneous linear equation

$$(3) \quad B(t)\mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{o} \quad \text{on } I; \quad \mathbf{f}(t) \in M(I),$$

and we denote the totality of solutions of equation (3) by $W(I)$:

$$W(I) = \{\mathbf{f}(t) \in M(I) \mid B(t)\mathbf{f}(t) = \mathbf{o} \quad \text{on } I\}.$$

Then, we know that there exist s vectors

$$\mathbf{p}_g(t) = \text{col}(p_{1g}(t), p_{2g}(t), \dots, p_{ng}(t)) \quad (g=1, 2, \dots, s)$$

belonging to $W(I)$, such that

$$(4) \quad \text{rank}(\mathbf{p}_1(t), \mathbf{p}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{p}_s(t)) = s$$

for any $t \in I$.

For a proof of this fact, see, for example, the proof of Theorem in the previous paper [1].

The purpose of this paper is to clarify the relation between the vectors $\mathbf{p}_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) given above and any vector

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \text{col}(u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_n(t))$$

belonging to $W(I)$. A result for this theme will be stated as Theorem in No. 3.

Let $Q(t)$ be an $n \times s$ matrix whose components all belong to $K(I)$:

$$Q(t) = \begin{pmatrix} q_{11}(t) & q_{12}(t) & \dots & q_{1s}(t) \\ q_{21}(t) & q_{22}(t) & \dots & q_{2s}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ q_{n1}(t) & q_{n2}(t) & \dots & q_{ns}(t) \end{pmatrix},$$

where s is an integer such that $1 \leq s \leq n-1$. We denote, in general, a minor of degree s of the matrix $Q(t)$, by

$$Q \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_s \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & s \end{pmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} q_{i_1 1}(t) & q_{i_1 2}(t) & \dots & q_{i_1 s}(t) \\ q_{i_2 1}(t) & q_{i_2 2}(t) & \dots & q_{i_2 s}(t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ q_{i_s 1}(t) & q_{i_s 2}(t) & \dots & q_{i_s s}(t) \end{vmatrix} \\ (1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n).$$

2. We give the following two lemmas:

LEMMA 1. Let $Q(t)$ be an $n \times s$ matrix whose components all belong to $K(I)$, where s is an integer such that $1 \leq s \leq n-1$, and suppose that a condition

$$(5) \quad \text{rank } Q(t) = s$$

is satisfied on I .

Let I_0 be a subinterval of I and let

$$\mathbf{x}(t) = \text{col}(x_1(t), x_2(t), \dots, x_s(t))$$

be an s -dimensional vector such that $x_g(t) \in K(I_0)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) and

$$(6) \quad Q(t)\mathbf{x}(t) = \mathbf{o} \quad \text{on } I_0.$$

Then we have $\mathbf{x}(t) \equiv \mathbf{o}$ on I_0 .

Proof. For any $t_0 \in I_0$, we can choose, by assumption, a minor $Q \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_s \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & s \end{pmatrix}$ of degree s of $Q(t)$, not vanishing at t_0 .

Putting

$$\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_g(t) = \text{col}(q_{i_1 g}(t), q_{i_2 g}(t), \dots, q_{i_s g}(t)) \quad (g=1, 2, \dots, s),$$

we have

$$(7) \quad \det(\tilde{\mathbf{q}}_1(t_0), \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_2(t_0), \dots, \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_s(t_0)) \neq 0$$

and in virtue of the relation (6), we get

$$\sum_{g=1}^s x_g(t_0) \tilde{\mathbf{q}}_g(t_0) = \mathbf{o}.$$

Hence the condition (7) implies $\mathbf{x}(t_0) = \mathbf{o}$ and since t_0 is any point in I_0 , we see $\mathbf{x}(t) \equiv \mathbf{o}$ on I_0 .

LEMMA 2. Let $\mathbf{p}_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) be s vectors belonging to $W(I)$ and satisfying the condition (4) on I , and let $\mathbf{u}(t)$ be any vector belonging to $W(I)$.

Then we have

$$(8) \quad \text{rank}(\mathbf{p}_1(t), \dots, \mathbf{p}_s(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) = s \quad \text{on } I.$$

Proof. We put $P(t) = (\mathbf{p}_1(t), \mathbf{p}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{p}_s(t))$ and then we have always

$$s \leq \text{rank}(P(t), \mathbf{u}(t)) \leq s+1 \quad \text{on } I.$$

If there were a point $t_0 \in I$ such that

$$\text{rank}(P(t_0), \mathbf{u}(t_0)) = s+1,$$

then, since

$$B(t_0)(P(t_0), \mathbf{u}(t_0)) = O,$$

we should obtain

$$\text{rank } B(t_0) \leq n - (s+1);$$

this, however, contradicts the condition (2). Therefore we have always the equality (8) on I .

3. We shall prove the following theorem:

THEOREM. Let

$$\mathbf{p}_g(t) = \text{col}(p_{1g}(t), p_{2g}(t), \dots, p_{ng}(t)) \quad (g=1, 2, \dots, s)$$

be s vectors belonging to $W(I)$ and satisfying the condition (4) on I , and let

$$\mathbf{u}(t) = \text{col}(u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_n(t))$$

be any vector belonging to $W(I)$.

Then we can represent $\mathbf{u}(t)$ uniquely as a linear combination of the vectors $\mathbf{p}_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) with coefficients $\zeta_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) belonging to $K(I)$:

$$(9) \quad \mathbf{u}(t) = \sum_{g=1}^s \zeta_g(t) \mathbf{p}_g(t)$$

on I .

Proof. We put $P(t)=(\mathbf{p}_1(t), \mathbf{p}_2(t), \dots, \mathbf{p}_s(t))$.

There exists, in virtue of the condition (4), a set $\{I_\kappa\}_{\kappa=1}^{\kappa_0}$ of subintervals of I , possessing the following properties:

- (i) $I = \bigcup_{\kappa=1}^{\kappa_0} I_\kappa$;
- (ii) $I_1 = [\alpha_1, \beta_1]$, $I_{\kappa_0} = (\alpha_{\kappa_0}, \beta_{\kappa_0}]$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha$, $\beta_{\kappa_0} = \beta$,
 $I_\kappa = (\alpha_\kappa, \beta_\kappa)$ ($\kappa = 2, 3, \dots, \kappa_0 - 1$);
- (iii) $I_\kappa \cap I_{\kappa+1} \neq \emptyset$ ($\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa_0 - 1$), $I_\kappa \cap I_{\kappa'} = \emptyset$ ($\kappa + 1 < \kappa'$, $\kappa = 1, 2, \dots, \kappa_0 - 2$),
 that is, $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 < \beta_1 < \dots < \alpha_\kappa < \beta_{\kappa-1} < \alpha_{\kappa+1} < \beta_\kappa < \dots < \beta_{\kappa_0-2} < \alpha_{\kappa_0} < \beta_{\kappa_0-1} < \beta_{\kappa_0}$
 ($\kappa = 2, 3, \dots, \kappa_0 - 1$);
- (iv) For each I_κ , there exists a minor of degree s of $P(t)$, which does not vanish on I_κ .

We consider first the interval I_1 and choose a minor $P \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_s \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & s \end{pmatrix}$ of degree s of $P(t)$ such that a condition

$$(10) \quad P \begin{pmatrix} i_1 & i_2 & \dots & i_s \\ 1 & 2 & \dots & s \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$$

is satisfied on I_1 .

Let us define $(n-s)$ -tuple $(i'_{s+1}, i'_{s+2}, \dots, i'_n)$ for $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_s \leq n$ in such a way that $1 \leq i'_{s+1} < i'_{s+2} < \dots < i'_n \leq n$ and $\{i_1, \dots, i_s, i'_{s+1}, \dots, i'_n\} = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ and put

$$\begin{aligned} \check{\mathbf{p}}_g(t) &= \text{col}(p_{i_1g}(t), p_{i_2g}(t), \dots, p_{i_sg}(t)) \quad (g=1, 2, \dots, s), \\ \check{\mathbf{p}}'_g(t) &= \text{col}(p_{i'_{s+1}g}(t), p_{i'_{s+2}g}(t), \dots, p_{i'_ng}(t)) \quad (g=1, 2, \dots, s), \\ \check{\mathbf{u}}(t) &= \text{col}(u_{i_1}(t), u_{i_2}(t), \dots, u_{i_s}(t)), \\ \check{\mathbf{u}}'(t) &= \text{col}(u_{i'_{s+1}}(t), u_{i'_{s+2}}(t), \dots, u_{i'_n}(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since, in virtue of the fact that the condition (10) is satisfied on I_1 , we know

$$\det(\check{\mathbf{p}}_1(t), \check{\mathbf{p}}_2(t), \dots, \check{\mathbf{p}}_s(t)) \neq 0 \quad \text{on } I_1,$$

we can represent the vector $\check{\mathbf{u}}(t)$ as a linear combination of the vectors $\check{\mathbf{p}}_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) with coefficients $\zeta_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) belonging to $K(I_1)$:

$$(11) \quad \check{\mathbf{u}}(t) = \sum_{g=1}^s \zeta_g(t) \check{\mathbf{p}}_g(t)$$

on I_1 .

Furthermore, we wish to show that the vector $\mathbf{u}(t)$ can be represented on I_1 , as a linear combination (9) of the vectors $\mathbf{p}_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) with the same coefficients $\zeta_g(t)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) as in the representation (11). To this end, we have only to prove that a representation

$$(12) \quad \dot{\mathbf{u}}'(t) = \sum_{g=1}^s \zeta_g(t) \dot{\mathbf{p}}'_g(t)$$

holds on I_1 .

If there were a point $t_0 \in I_1$ and an index ρ ($s+1 \leq \rho \leq n$) such that

$$u_{i'_\rho}(t_0) \neq \sum_{g=1}^s \zeta_g(t_0) p_{i'_\rho g}(t_0),$$

then we should have

$$\text{rank}(P(t_0), \mathbf{u}(t_0)) = s+1.$$

This equality contradicts the result which was proved in Lemma 2. Therefore we have the representation (12) on I_1 .

Next we consider the second interval I_2 and then, in the same way as for the interval I_1 , we get a representation

$$(13) \quad \mathbf{u}(t) = \sum_{g=1}^s \theta_g(t) \mathbf{p}_g(t)$$

on I_2 , where $\theta_g(t) \in K(I_2)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$).

It follows from the representations (9) on I_1 and (13) on I_2 , that

$$\sum_{g=1}^s (\zeta_g(t) - \theta_g(t)) \mathbf{p}_g(t) \equiv \mathbf{o}$$

on $I_1 \cap I_2$, and hence by Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\zeta_g(t) \equiv \theta_g(t) \quad (g=1, 2, \dots, s) \quad \text{on } I_1 \cap I_2.$$

Therefore, by defining functions $\zeta_g(t) \in K(I_1 \cup I_2)$ ($g=1, 2, \dots, s$) as follows:

$$\zeta_g(t) = \begin{cases} \zeta_g(t) & \text{on } I_1, \\ \theta_g(t) & \text{on } I_2, \end{cases}$$

we have the representation (9) on $I_1 \cup I_2$.

By repeating the process mentioned above for the intervals I_κ ($\kappa=1, 2, \dots, \kappa_0$) successively, we obtain the representation (9) on the whole interval I .

Remark. The fact stated in the above theorem was used by Y. Sibuya without proof in his paper [3].

REFERENCES

- [1] HIRASAWA, Y., On solutions of a homogeneous linear matrix equation with variable components, *Kodai Math. J.*, 6 (1983), 70-79.
- [2] HIRASAWA, Y., On the construction of linearly independent vectors with variable components, *Kodai Math. J.*, 7 (1984), 34-55.

- [3] SIBUYA, Y., Some global properties of matrices of functions of one variable,
Math. Ann. **161** (1965), 66-77.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY