

AN INTRINSIC FIBRE METRIC ON THE n -TH SYMMETRIC TENSOR POWER OF THE TANGENT BUNDLE

BY KAZUO AZUKAWA

0. Introduction. Let $H(M)$ be the Hilbert space consisting of all square-integrable holomorphic m -forms on an m -dimensional complex manifold M . The Bergman form K is defined as a specific holomorphic $2m$ -form on the product manifold $M \times \bar{M}$, where \bar{M} is the conjugate complex manifold of M . Let $z = (z^1, \dots, z^m)$ be a coordinate system with defining domain U_z , and k_z be the Bergman function relative to z , i. e. $K(p, \bar{p}) = k_z(p)(dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^m)_p \wedge (d\bar{z}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge d\bar{z}^m)_{\bar{p}}$, $p \in U_z$. In general, $k_z \geq 0$. In Kobayashi [4], the following conditions are considered:

(A.1) For every $p \in M$, there exists $\alpha \in H(M)$ such that $\alpha(p) \neq 0$.

(A.2) For every non-zero tangent vector X at $p \in M$, there exists $\alpha \in H(M)$ such that $\alpha(p) = 0$ and $X.\alpha(p) \neq 0$.

Suppose (A.1) holds. Then $k_z > 0$ for every z , and the Bergman pseudo-metric g , with components $g_{a\bar{b}} = \partial_a \bar{\partial}_{\bar{b}} \log k_z$, is defined. Furthermore, the following is known ([4]):

(K₁) g is a metric if and only if (A.2) holds.

If M satisfies (A.1) and (A.2), and if $R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}}$ are the components of the hermitian curvature tensor of the Bergman metric, then the following are known ([4]):

(K₂) Set $\hat{R}_{ac\bar{b}\bar{d}} = R_{a\bar{b}c\bar{d}} + g_{a\bar{b}}g_{c\bar{d}} + g_{a\bar{d}}g_{c\bar{b}}$. Then $\sum \bar{R}_{ac\bar{b}\bar{d}} v^a v^c \bar{v}^b \bar{v}^d \geq 0$ for every $(v^1, \dots, v^m) \in \mathbb{C}^m$.

(K₃) $\hat{R}_{ac\bar{b}\bar{d}} = k^{-1}(k_{ac\bar{b}\bar{d}} - k^{-1}k_{ac}k_{\bar{b}\bar{d}}) - k^{-2} \sum g^{i\bar{s}}(k_{ac\bar{i}} - k^{-1}k_{ac}k_{\bar{i}})(k_{s\bar{b}\bar{d}} - k^{-1}k_{\bar{b}\bar{d}}k_s)$, where $k = k_z$, $k_{ac} = \partial_a \partial_{\bar{c}} k$, etc., and $(g^{i\bar{s}}) = (g_{a\bar{b}})^{-1}$.

In the preceding joint paper [2] with Burbea, conditions (C_n) are defined so that (C_0) (resp. (C_1)) coincides with (A.1) (resp. (A.2)). Furthermore, under assumption (C_0) , non-negative functions $\mu_{0,n}$, which are biholomorphic invariants, on the tangent bundle are introduced.

In the present paper, we first note (Proposition 1.2) that the functions $\mu_{0,n}$ on the tangent bundle are, in general, upper semi-continuous, and show (Theorem 2.1) that when M satisfies condition (C_0) there exists a unique fibre pseudo-metric $g^{(n)}$ on the n -th symmetric tensor power $S^n T(M)$ of the tangent bundle

$T(M)$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$ such that

$$(n!)^{-2} \mu_{0,n}(X) = g^{(n)}(X^n, \bar{X}^n), \quad X \in T(M);$$

in particular, the pseudo-metric $g^{(1)}$ coincides with the Bergman one stated before. In addition, if M satisfies also $(C_1), \dots, (C_{n-1})$, then $g^{(n)}$ is differentiable (Theorem 2.5), and assertion (K_1) is generalized as follows (Theorem 2.6): $g^{(n)}$ is a metric if and only if (C_n) holds. Finally, we consider the curvature of the hermitian connection of the hermitian vector bundle $(S^n T(M), g^{(n)})$ in the sense of Kobayashi and Nomizu [6]. In view of Fuks [3], the component $g_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{a}}^{(2)}$ coincides with $\hat{R}_{\bar{a}\bar{b}\bar{c}\bar{a}}/4$ given in (K_2) , and (K_2) gives a relationship between the curvature of $g^{(1)}$ and the metric $g^{(2)}$. We generalize this relationship to the one between the curvature of $g^{(n)}$ and the metric $g^{(n+1)}$ (Theorem 3.1). The proof of Theorem 3.1 is done by observing formula (K_3) and by the use of a recurrence formula (Proposition 3.5) for the components of $g^{(n)}$.

1. Preliminaries. Throughout this paper, we are concerned with a fixed paracompact connected complex manifold M of dimension m . The term "coordinate z " stands for a local holomorphic coordinate system $z = (z^1, \dots, z^m)$ of M with defining domain U_z . For simplicity, we set $\partial_{\bar{a}}^z = \partial / \partial z^a$ ($a = 1, \dots, m$), and $dz = dz^1 \wedge \dots \wedge dz^m$. For a multi-index $A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{MI}(n) = \{1, \dots, m\}^n$, set $\partial_A^z = \partial_{a_1}^{z_1} \dots \partial_{a_n}^{z_n}$. In particular, $\text{MI}(0) = \{\phi\}$, and ∂_{ϕ}^z means the identity operator acting on functions on U_z . For a constant vector $v = (v^1, \dots, v^m)$ in \mathbf{C}^m , set $\partial_v^z = \sum_{a=1}^m v^a \partial_{\bar{a}}^z$. The powers $(\partial_v^z)^n$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$) are naturally defined. We denote by \bar{M} the conjugate complex manifold of M , and denote by $\rho: M \ni p \mapsto \bar{p} \in \bar{M}$ the conjugation. For a coordinate z with defining domain U_z , we denote by \bar{z} the conjugate coordinate of z with defining domain \bar{U}_z , i.e. $\bar{z}(\bar{p}) = \overline{z(p)}$ for $p \in U_z$.

We denote by $H(M)$ the separable Hilbert space consisting of all holomorphic m -forms α on M which satisfy $\|\alpha\|^2 = (\sqrt{-1} m^2 / 2^m) \int_M \alpha \wedge \bar{\alpha} < +\infty$, and denote by (\cdot, \cdot) the hermitian inner product on $H(M)$ corresponding to the norm $\|\cdot\|$. There exists a unique $(2m, 0)$ -form K , called the *Bergman form*, on the product manifold $M \times \bar{M}$ such that $K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d\bar{z}_{\bar{p}} \in H(M)$ and $\alpha(p) / dz_p = (\alpha, K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d\bar{z}_{\bar{p}})$ for every $p \in M$ and $\alpha \in H(M)$, where z is a coordinate around p (cf., e.g., [2; Corollary 2.6]). Thus, $(1_M, \rho)^* K$ is an (m, m) -form on M . For every coordinate z , we call the function $k_z = (1_M, \rho)^* K / dz \wedge \bar{d}z$ on U_z the *Bergman function* of M relative to z . That is

$$K(p, \bar{p}) = k_z(p) dz_p \wedge d\bar{z}_{\bar{p}}, \quad p \in U_z.$$

The Bergman functions are non-negative (cf., e.g., [2; Proposition 2.7]). It holds (cf., e.g., [2; Proposition 2.5]) that for every multi-index A , the m -form $K_A^z(p) = \partial_A^z \cdot K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d\bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$ belongs to $H(M)$, and that for every $\alpha \in H(M)$,

$$(1.1) \quad \partial_A^z \cdot \alpha(p) = (\alpha, K_A^z(p)) dz_p.$$

In particular, if A and B are multi-indices, then

$$(1.2) \quad (K_A^z(p), K_B^z(p)) = \partial_{\bar{B}}^z \overline{\partial_A^z} \cdot k_z(p).$$

Let $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ be a non-negative integer. For every $p \in M$, set

$$H_n(p) = \{K_A^z(p); A \in \bigcup_{j=0}^{n-1} \text{MI}(j)\}^\perp \subset H(M),$$

where z is a coordinate around p . The subspace $H_n(p)$ does not depend on the choice of z . Let $X \in T_p(M)$ be a tangent vector at p . For a coordinate z around p , represent X as $(\partial_{\bar{v}}^z)_p$ for some $v \in \mathbf{C}^m$. Then $(\partial_{\bar{v}}^z)^n$ is a differential operator on $U_{\bar{z}} = \overline{U}_z$, and $K_{\bar{v}^n}^z(p) = (\partial_{\bar{v}}^z)^n \cdot K(\cdot, \bar{p}) / d\bar{z}_{\bar{p}}$ belongs to $H(M)$. Set

$$\mu_n(X) = \max \{ |(K_{\bar{v}^n}^z(p), \alpha)|^2; \alpha \in H_n(p), \|\alpha\| = 1 \} (dz \wedge \overline{d\bar{z}})_p.$$

Then the (m, m) -form $\mu_n(X)$ does not depend on the representation of $X = (\partial_{\bar{v}}^z)_p$ in terms of z ([2; Proposition 3.7]).

We recall a lemma on a pre-Hilbert space H over \mathbf{C} . We denote by $G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ the Gramian of a system (x_1, \dots, x_n) in H (especially $G(\phi) = 1$).

LEMMA 1.1 ([2; Lemma 3.9]). *Let (x_1, \dots, x_n) ($n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$) be a linearly independent system in H , and let $x_{n+1} \in H$. Then the maximum of the set $\{|(y, x_{n+1})|^2; y \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}^\perp, \|y\| = 1\}$ coincides with $G(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) / G(x_1, \dots, x_n)$.*

Set $\text{MII}(n) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{MI}(n); a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \dots \leq a_n\}$. We denote by $\varphi_n = \binom{m+n}{n}$ the cardinality of the set $\bigcup_{j=0}^n \text{MII}(j)$, and fix a numbering Φ of $\bigcup_{j=0}^n \text{MII}(j)$ such that $\text{MII}(n) = \{\Phi(\varphi_{n-1} + 1), \dots, \Phi(\varphi_n)\}$. For a sequence (j_1, \dots, j_u, s, t) of positive integers, set

$$(1.3) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}_z(j_1, \dots, j_u) = [\partial_{\bar{\Phi}(i)}^z \overline{\partial_{\Phi(i)}^z} \cdot k_z]_{i=j_1, \dots, j_u}^{i=j_1, \dots, j_u} \\ L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u) = \det \mathcal{L}_z(j_1, \dots, j_u) \quad (L_z(\phi) = 1) \\ L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u; s, t) = \det [\partial_{\bar{\Phi}(i)}^z \overline{\partial_{\Phi(i)}^z} \cdot k_z]_{i=j_1, \dots, j_u, s, t}^{i=j_1, \dots, j_u, s, t}. \end{cases}$$

By (1.2), $\mathcal{L}_z(j_1, \dots, j_u)(p)$ is the transpose of the Gram matrix of the system $(K_{\bar{\Phi}(j_1)}^z(p), \dots, K_{\bar{\Phi}(j_u)}^z(p))$, and $L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u)(p)$ is its Gramian.

Now, let $f_{n,z}$ be the function on $U_z \times \mathbf{C}^m$ defined by

$$\mu_n((\partial_{\bar{v}}^z)_p) = f_{n,z}(p, v)(dz \wedge \overline{d\bar{z}})_p, \quad (p, v) \in U_z \times \mathbf{C}^m.$$

If $\{K_{\bar{\Phi}(j_1)}^z(p), \dots, K_{\bar{\Phi}(j_u)}^z(p)\}$ is a maximal linearly independent subset of $\{K_A^z(p); A \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{MII}(j)\}$, then Lemma 1.1, together with (1.2), implies that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{n,z}(p, v) &= L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u)(p)^{-1} \\ &\times \sum_{\varphi_{n-1} < s, t \leq \varphi_n} C_{\Phi(s)} C_{\Phi(t)} v^{\Phi(s)} \bar{v}^{\Phi(t)} L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u; s, t)(p). \end{aligned}$$

Here $C_A = n!/n_1! \cdots n_m!$ and $v^A = v^{a_1} \cdots v^{a_n}$ ($A = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in \text{MII}(n)$, $v = (v^1, \dots, v^m) \in \mathbf{C}^m$), where n_ν is the cardinality of the set $\{j \in \{1, \dots, n\}; a_j = \nu\}$ ($\nu = 1, \dots, m$).

PROPOSITION 1.2. *The function $f_{n,z}$ is upper semi-continuous on $U_z \times \mathbf{C}^m$.*

Proof. The proof is reduced to the following lemma.

LEMMA 1.3. *Let f be the function on the power H^{n+1} of a pre-Hilbert space H over \mathbf{C} given by*

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_{n+1}) = \max \{ |(y, x_{n+1})|^2; y \in \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}^\perp, \|y\| = 1 \}.$$

Then f is upper semi-continuous on H^{n+1} .

Proof. Let $x^0 = (x_1^0, \dots, x_{n+1}^0) \in H^{n+1}$ be fixed, and let $\{x_{\sigma(1)}^0, \dots, x_{\sigma(u)}^0\}$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of $\{x_1^0, \dots, x_n^0\}$. Then $G(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(u)})$ is positive in a neighborhood of x^0 . So, by Lemma 1.1 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{x \rightarrow x^0} f(x) &\leq \limsup_{x \rightarrow x^0} \max \{ |(y, x_{n+1})|^2; y \in \{x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(u)}\}^\perp, \|y\| = 1 \} \\ &= \limsup_{x \rightarrow x^0} G(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(u)}, x_{n+1}) / G(x_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, x_{\sigma(u)}) \\ &= f(x^0), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

2. An intrinsic fibre pseudo-metric on the holomorphic vector bundle $S^n T(M)$. For $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ and $p \in M$, we consider the following condition:

$(C_n)_p$ For every non-zero vector $(\xi^A)_{A \in \text{MII}(n)}$ of dimension $\binom{m+n-1}{n}$, there exists $\alpha \in H_n(p)$ such that $\sum_A \xi^A \partial_A^z \alpha(p) \neq 0$.

Condition (C_n) stands for that $(C_n)_p$ hold for all $p \in M$. From (1.1), we reduce the following ([2; Lemma 3.4]):

$$(2.1) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{Conditions } (C_j)_p \text{ (} j=0, \dots, n \text{) hold if and only if the} \\ \text{set } \{K_A^z(p); A \in \bigcup_{j=0}^n \text{MII}(j)\} \text{ is linearly independent,} \\ \text{or } \mathcal{L}_z(1, \dots, \varphi_n)(p) \text{ is positive definite.} \end{array} \right.$$

Now, suppose M satisfies condition (C_0) . Then (1.4) implies that $\mu_0(X) = k_z(p)(dz \wedge \bar{d}z)_p$ for every $X \in T_p(M)$, and that $k_z > 0$ on U_z . So, $[0, +\infty)$ -valued functions $\mu_{0,n} = \mu_n / \mu_0$ ($n \in \mathbf{N}$) on the holomorphic tangent bundle $T(M)$ are well defined. Every function $\mu_{0,n}$ is upper semi-continuous on $T(M)$ (by Proposition 1.2) and satisfies the following: $\mu_{0,n}(\xi X) = |\xi|^{2n} \mu_{0,n}(X)$ for $X \in T(M)$ and $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$; therefore $(\mu_{0,n})^{1/2n}$ is an upper semi-continuous Finsler pseudo-metric on M . Moreover, $\mu_{0,n}$ are biholomorphic invariants, i.e. $\mu_{0,n}(X) = \mu_{0,n}(f_* X)$, $X \in T(M)$ for every biholomorphic mapping f from M onto another complex manifold ([2;

Proposition 3.2]).

We denote by $S^n T_p(M)$ (resp. $S^n T(M)$) the n -th symmetric tensor power of $T_p(M)$ (resp. $T(M)$). $S^n T(M)$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over M , and $\{\partial_A^z; A \in \text{MII}(n)\}$ forms its local frame on U_z .

We shall show the following assertion.

THEOREM 2.1. *If a complex manifold M satisfies condition (C_0) , then for every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and $p \in M$ there exists a unique hermitian pseudo-inner-product $g^{(n)}(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$ on the space $S^n T_p(M)$ such that*

$$(2.2) \quad (n!)^{-2} \mu_{0,n}(X) = g^{(n)}(X^n, \overline{X^n}), \quad X \in T_p(M),$$

where $X^1 = X$, $X^j = X \cdot X^{j-1}$ (the symmetric tensor product). Furthermore, the fibre pseudo-metric $g^{(n)}$ on $S^n T(M)$ is biholomorphic invariant, i.e. $g^{(n)}(Y, \bar{Y}) = g^{(n)}(f_* Y, \overline{f_* Y})$ for $Y \in S^n T(M)$ and for any biholomorphic mapping f from M onto another complex manifold.

Remark 2.2. The constant $(n!)^{-2}$ in the formula (2.2) is chosen so that when M is the unit disk $\{\xi \in \mathbf{C}; |\xi| < 1\}$ in \mathbf{C} the inner product $g^{(n)}(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$ on $S^n T_0(M)$ at the origin $0 \in M$ has the simplest form, $g^{(n)}(X^n, \overline{X^n}) = n+1$ for $X = (\partial/\partial \xi)_0 \in T_0(M)$ (cf. [1]).

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (Existence). Let $\{K_{\phi(j_1)}^z(p), \dots, K_{\phi(j_u)}^z(p)\}$ be a maximal linearly independent subset of $\{K_A^z(p); A \in \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{MII}(j)\}$. By (1.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{0,n}((\partial_{\phi}^z)_p) &= L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u)(p)^{-1} k_z(p)^{-1} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\varphi_{n-1} < s, t \leq \varphi_n} C_{\phi(s)} C_{\phi(t)} v^{\phi(s)} \bar{v}^{\phi(t)} L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u; s, t)(p). \end{aligned}$$

So, the function $g^{(n)}(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$ defined by sesqui-bilinearity and by the requirement

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{aligned} g^{(n)}((\partial_{\phi(s)}^z)_p, \overline{(\partial_{\phi(t)}^z)_p}) \\ = (n!)^{-2} L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u)(p)^{-1} k_z(p)^{-1} L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u; s, t)(p) \end{aligned}$$

has the desired property. Thus, the existence is proved.

To complete the proof, we prepare two lemmas.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let $R = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} R_n$ be a commutative, associative, graded algebra over \mathbf{C} . For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$, there exists a linear form $F_n(t_0, t_1, \dots, t_{3n-1})$ on \mathbf{C}^{3n} such that*

$$(x^n, y^n)_n = F_n(f(1), f(\rho), \dots, f(\rho^{3n-1}))$$

for $x, y \in R_1$ and for any sesqui-bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)_n$ on R_n , where $\rho = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3n}$ and $f(\xi) = f_{x,y}(\xi) = ((x + \xi y)^n, (x + \xi y)^n)_n$, $\xi \in \mathbf{C}$.

Proof. Since

$$f(\rho^l) = \sum_{i,j=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \binom{n}{j} (x^{n-i}y^i, x^{n-j}y^j)_n \rho^{l(i-j)},$$

and since

$$\sum_{l=0}^{n-1} \rho^{3jl} = \begin{cases} n, & n \mid j \\ 0, & n \nmid j \end{cases}$$

for every $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, it follows that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} f(\rho^{3l}) = n(\bar{\eta} + \eta + \zeta) \\ \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} f(\rho^{3l+1}) = n(\bar{\eta}\rho^n + \eta\rho^{-n} + \zeta) \\ \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} f(\rho^{3l+2}) = n(\bar{\eta}\rho^{-n} + \eta\rho^n + \zeta), \end{cases}$$

where $\eta = (x^n, y^n)_n$, $\zeta = \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j}^2 (x^{n-j}y^j, x^{n-j}y^j)_n$. So, if $F^{(i)}(t_0, \dots, t_{3n-1}) = \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} t_{3l+i}$ ($i=0, 1, 2$), and $\omega = \rho^n = e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3}$, then the form $F_n = (F^{(0)} + \omega F^{(1)} + \omega^2 F^{(2)})/3n$ has the desired property.

Given $n, j \in \mathbf{N}$ with $j \leq n$, denote by P_j^n the linear operator from $\mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_j]$ into $\mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_n]$, given by $P_j^n(f(t_1, \dots, t_j)) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(j, n)} f(t_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, t_{\sigma(j)})$, $f(t_1, \dots, t_j) \in \mathbf{C}[t_1, \dots, t_j]$, where $\Sigma(j, n)$ means the family of all strictly increasing mappings from $\{1, \dots, j\}$ into $\{1, \dots, n\}$.

LEMMA 2.4. *For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ it holds that*

$$n! t_1 \cdots t_n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j P_{n-j}^n((t_1 + \cdots + t_{n-j})^n).$$

Proof. Let $f(t_1, \dots, t_n)$ be the right hand side of the desired formula, and set

$$f_j(t_1, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n-j, n)} (t_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + t_{\sigma(n-j)})^n$$

for $j=0, 1, \dots, n-1$; thus $f = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j f_j$. For every j ,

$$f_j(0, t_2, \dots, t_n) = g_j(t_2, \dots, t_n) + h_j(t_2, \dots, t_n),$$

where

$$\begin{cases} g_j(t_2, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n-j, n), \sigma(1)=1} (t_{\sigma(2)} + \cdots + t_{\sigma(n-j)})^n \\ h_j(t_2, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Sigma(n-j, n), \sigma(1) \geq 2} (t_{\sigma(1)} + \cdots + t_{\sigma(n-j)})^n. \end{cases}$$

It is easily seen that $g_{n-1}=0$, $h_0=0$, and $g_j=h_{j+1}$ ($j=0, 1, \dots, n-2$). From these we get $f(0, t_2, \dots, t_n)=0$; therefore, the symmetry of f implies $f(t_1, \dots, t_{j-1}, 0, t_{j+1}, \dots, t_n)=0$ for any j . It follows from the remainder theorem that $f(t_1, \dots, t_n) = c t_1 \cdots t_n$ for some constant c . Among expansions of f_j into monomials the term $t_1 \cdots t_n$ appears only in $f_0 = (t_1 + \cdots + t_n)^n$, for which the coefficient of $t_1 \cdots t_n$ is $n!$. So, the above constant must be $n!$, as desired.

Proof of Theorem 2.1 (Uniqueness). Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4 imply that every $g^{(n)}((\partial_A^z)_p, (\partial_B^z)_p)$ ($A, B \in \text{MI}(n)$) can be written as a linear combination of terms

$g^{(n)}(X^n, \bar{X}^n)$ ($X \in T_p(M)$). From this we obtain the uniqueness of $g^{(n)}$. The invariant property of $g^{(n)}$ follows from the uniqueness and the invariant property of $\mu_{0,n}$ stated before. The proof is now complete.

THEOREM 2.5. *Suppose M satisfies conditions $(C_0), \dots, (C_{n-1})$ with $n \geq 1$. Then $g^{(n)}$ is a differential pseudo-metric, and its components $g_{z, \bar{A}\bar{B}}^{(n)} = g^{(n)}(\partial_A^z, \bar{\partial}_{\bar{B}}^z)$ ($A, B \in \text{MI}(n)$) relative to a coordinate z satisfy*

$$g_{z, \bar{\phi}(s)\bar{\phi}(t)}^{(n)} = L_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}; s, t) / \{(n!)^2 k_z L_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})\}$$

on U_z for $s, t \in \{\varphi_{n-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_n\}$. In particular, $g_{z, \bar{a}\bar{b}}^{(1)} = \partial_a^z \bar{\partial}_b^z \cdot \log k_z$, i.e. $g^{(1)}$ is the usual Bergman pseudo-metric on M ([4; pp. 271-272]).

Proof. By (2.1) the hypothesis implies that the system $\{K_{\bar{\phi}(1)}^z(p), \dots, K_{\bar{\phi}(\varphi_{n-1})}^z(p)\}$ itself is linearly independent for every $p \in U_z$. So, all the assertions follow from (2.3).

THEOREM 2.6. *Suppose M satisfies conditions $(C_0), \dots, (C_{n-1})$ with $n \geq 1$. Then the pseudo-inner-product $g^{(n)}(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$ on $S^n T_p(M)$ is an inner product if and only if condition $(C_n)_p$ holds. In particular, the fibre pseudo-metric $g^{(n)}$ is a metric if and only if condition (C_n) holds.*

Proof. Let z be a coordinate around p . It follows from Theorem 2.5 that $g^{(n)}(\cdot, \bar{\cdot})$ is an inner product if and only if the following holds:

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{cases} \text{The matrix } [L_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}; s, t)]_{i=\varphi_{n-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_n}^{j=\varphi_{n-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_n}(p) \text{ is} \\ \text{positive definite.} \end{cases}$$

If $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $j > \varphi_{n-1}$, applying Sylvester's theorem to the (j, j) -matrix $\mathcal{L}_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}, \dots, j)$ and its minor determinants $L_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}; s, t)$ ($\varphi_{n-1} < s, t \leq j$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \det [L_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}; s, t)]_{i=\varphi_{n-1}+1, \dots, j}^{j=\varphi_{n-1}+1, \dots, j} \\ = L_z(1, \dots, j) L_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1})^{j-\varphi_{n-1}-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thereby, employing (2.1), one can see that the following four statements are mutually equivalent:

- (i) Condition $(C_n)_p$ holds.
- (ii) $L_z(1, \dots, j)(p) > 0$ for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $\varphi_{n-1} < j \leq \varphi_n$.
- (iii) $\det [L_z(1, \dots, \varphi_{n-1}; s, t)]_{i=\varphi_{n-1}+1, \dots, j}^{j=\varphi_{n-1}+1, \dots, j}(p) > 0$ for any $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $\varphi_{n-1} < j \leq \varphi_n$.
- (iv) Condition (2.4) holds.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.6.

3. Connection of the hermitian vector bundle $(S^n T(M), g^{(n)})$. If M satisfies conditions $(C_0), \dots, (C_n)$ for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$, then, as we have seen in Theorems 2.5 and 2.6, $g^{(n)}$ is a usual hermitian fibre metric on the holomorphic vector

bundle $S^n T(M)$. We shall investigate the curvature of the hermitian connection of the hermitian vector bundle $(S^n T(M), g^{(n)})$ in the sense of Kobayashi and Nomizu [6; pp. 178-185] (also cf. [5; pp. 37-39]). Let z be a coordinate in $U_z \subset M$. We denote by $(g_z^{(n) \bar{B}A})_{A, B \in \text{MII}(n)}$ the inverse matrix of $(g_z^{(n) AB})_{A, B \in \text{MII}(n)}$ in the sense that

$$(3.1) \quad \sum_{B \in \text{MII}(n)} g_{z, A\bar{B}}^{(n)} g_z^{(n) \bar{B}C} = \delta_A^C, \quad A, C \in \text{MII}(n).$$

Let $R^{(n)}$ be the curvature of the hermitian connection of $(S^n T(M), g^{(n)})$, and let $R_{z, A\bar{B} | c \bar{d}}^{(n)} = g^{(n)}(R_c^z, \bar{\partial}_{\bar{d}}^z) \bar{\partial}_{\bar{B}}^z, \partial_A^z$ for $A, B \in \text{MI}(n)$ and $c, d \in \{1, \dots, m\} = \text{MI}(1)$. It is known ([5, 6, 7]) that

$$(3.2) \quad R_{z, A\bar{B} | c \bar{d}}^{(n)} = \partial_c^z \bar{\partial}_{\bar{d}}^z \cdot g_{z, A\bar{B}}^{(n)} - \sum_{P, Q \in \text{MII}(n)} g_z^{(n) \bar{Q}P} (\partial_c^z \cdot g_{z, A\bar{Q}}^{(n)}) (\bar{\partial}_{\bar{d}}^z \cdot g_{z, P\bar{B}}^{(n)}).$$

We shall show the following.

THEOREM 3.1. *Suppose M satisfies conditions $(C_0), \dots, (C_n)$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} R_{z, A\bar{B} | c \bar{d}}^{(n)} &= (n+1)^2 g_{z, AcB\bar{d}}^{(n+1)} - g_{z, c\bar{d}}^{(1)} g_{z, A\bar{B}}^{(n)} \\ &\quad - n^2 \sum_{P, Q \in \text{MII}(n-1)} g_z^{(n-1) \bar{Q}P} g_{z, P\bar{c}}^{(n)} g_{z, A\bar{Q}\bar{d}}^{(n)} \end{aligned}$$

on U_z for $A, B \in \text{MI}(n)$ and $c, d \in \text{MI}(1)$, where $g_z^{(0) \phi \bar{\phi}} = 1$.

Taking $n=1$ in the above theorem we obtain the following result of Fuks [3; p. 525].

COROLLARY 3.2. *Suppose M satisfies conditions (C_0) and (C_1) . Let $HSC(X)$ be the holomorphic sectional curvature of the Bergman metric $g^{(1)}$ on M in the direction $X \in T_p(M) - \{0\}$, i. e.*

$$HSC(X) = - \sum_{a, b, c, d} R_{z, a\bar{b} | c \bar{d}}^{(1)}(p) v^a \bar{v}^b v^c \bar{v}^d / g^{(1)}(X, \bar{X})^2,$$

where z is a coordinate around p and $X = (\partial_{\bar{v}}^z)_p$. Then it holds that

$$\mu_{0, z} = (2 - HSC)(\mu_{0, 1})^2 \text{ on } T(M) - \{\text{the zero section}\}.$$

Remark 3.3. Theorem 3.1, combined with (3.2), says that when M satisfies conditions $(C_0), \dots, (C_n)$ with $n \in \mathbf{N}$ every component of the fibre (pseudo-) metrics $g^{(2)}, \dots, g^{(n+1)}$ is written as a rational function of the derivatives of the components of the Bergman metric $g^{(1)}$.

The remainder of this section is devoted to prove Theorem 3.1. From now on, we suppose that M satisfies conditions $(C_0), \dots, (C_n)$ for some fixed $n \in \mathbf{N}$. We also fix a coordinate z in $U \subset M$, and suppress the dependence on z , i. e. $\partial_A = \partial_A^z$, $k = k_z$, $L(j_1, \dots, j_u) = L_z(j_1, \dots, j_u)$, $g_{A\bar{B}}^{(n)} = g_{z, A\bar{B}}^{(n)}$, etc.

For every pair of multi-indices A and B , we shall inductively define functions $L_{A\bar{B}}^{(j)}$ on U ($j=0, 1, \dots, n+1$) as follows:

$$\begin{cases} L_{AB}^{(0)} = \partial_A \overline{\partial_B} \cdot k \\ L_{AB}^{(j+1)} = L_{AB}^{(j)} - \sum_{C, D \in \text{MII}(j)} L^{(j) \overline{DC}} L_{CB}^{(j)} L_{AD}^{(j)}, \end{cases}$$

where $(L^{(j) \overline{BC}})$ is the inverse matrix of $(L_{AB}^{(j)})_{A, B \in \text{MII}(j)}$ in the same sense as in (3.1). Non-singularity of the latter matrix is guaranteed by Lemma 3.4 below. Notice that

$$(3.3) \quad L_{AB}^{(j+1)} = 0 \text{ when } A \text{ or } B \text{ belongs to } \text{MI}(j).$$

For a sequence (j_1, \dots, j_u, s, t) of positive integers, set

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^{(j)}(j_1, \dots, j_u) = [L_{\phi(i) \overline{\phi(i)}}^{(j)}]_{i=j_1, \dots, j_u} \\ \mathcal{L}^{(j)}(j_1, \dots, j_u) = \det \mathcal{L}^{(j)}(j_1, \dots, j_u) \quad (\mathcal{L}^{(j)}(\phi) = 1) \\ \mathcal{L}^{(j)}(j_1, \dots, j_u; s, t) = \det [L_{\phi(i) \overline{\phi(i)}}^{(j)}]_{i=j_1, \dots, j_u, s, t}, \end{cases}$$

where Φ is the numbering of $\cup_{j=0}^{\infty} \text{MII}(j)$ given in § 1. By (1.3) we have

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \mathcal{L}^{(0)}(j_1, \dots, j_u) = \mathcal{L}(j_1, \dots, j_u) \\ L^{(0)}(j_1, \dots, j_u) = L(j_1, \dots, j_u) \\ L^{(0)}(j_1, \dots, j_u; s, t) = L(j_1, \dots, j_u; s, t). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3.4. *If $l \in \{1, \dots, n+1\}$; $s, t \in \{\varphi_{l-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_l\}$ and $\varphi_{-1} = 0$, the n th following hold:*

- (i) $\mathcal{L}^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_j)$ is positive-definite for every $j \in \{0, \dots, l-1\}$.
- (ii) $L(1, 2, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}) = \prod_{j=0}^{l-1} L^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_j)$.
- (iii) $L(1, 2, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}; s, t) = L(1, 2, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}) L_{\phi(s) \overline{\phi(t)}}^{(l)}$.

Proof. We first recall the following well-known fact: If A, B, C , and D are complex matrices of type (i, i) , (i, j) , (j, i) , and (j, j) , respectively, and if A is non-singular, then it holds that

$$(3.5) \quad \det \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{bmatrix} = \det A \det (D - CA^{-1}B).$$

By induction on $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, l-1\}$, we can show the triple assertions

$$(3.6)_j \quad L^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, r) > 0 \quad \text{for every } r \in \{\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}\},$$

$$(3.7)_j \quad L(1, 2, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}) = L^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}) \\ \times \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} L^{(i)}(\varphi_{i-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_i), \quad \text{and}$$

$$(3.8)_j \quad L(1, 2, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}; s, t) = L^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}; s, t) \\ \times \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} L^{(i)}(\varphi_{i-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_i).$$

In fact, assertions (3.6)₀, (3.7)₀, and (3.8)₀ follow from (3.4). Next, assume (3.6)_j,

(3.7)_j, and (3.8)_j hold for some $j \in \{0, \dots, l-2\}$. Assumption (3.6)_j implies that $L^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_j) > 0$; therefore $L_{A\bar{B}}^{(j+1)}$ can be defined. So, by (3.5) we have

$$L^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, r) = L^{(j)}(\varphi_{j-1}+1, \dots, \varphi_j) L^{(j+1)}(\varphi_j+1, \dots, r).$$

Thus, (3.6)_{j+1} and (3.7)_{j+1} hold. Furthermore, if we apply (3.5) to the first matrix in the right hand side of (3.8)_j, we obtain (3.8)_{j+1}. The assertion (i) of Lemma 3.4 follows from (3.6)_j for $j=0, 1, \dots, l-1$, while the assertion (ii) coincides with (3.7)_{l-1}. Since $L^{(l-1)}(\varphi_{l-2}+1, \dots, \varphi_{l-1}) > 0$, the assertion (iii) follows from (3.8)_{l-1} and (3.5).

PROPOSITION 3.5. For $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$, and $A, B \in \text{MI}(j)$, it holds that

$$g_{A\bar{B}}^{(j)} = L_{A\bar{B}}^{(j)} / \{(j!)^2 k\}.$$

Proof. Lemma 3.4 (iii) and Theorem 2.5 imply the assertion.

LEMMA 3.6. If $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, A, B are multi-indices, and $c \in \text{MI}(1)$, then the following identities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad \partial_c \cdot L_{A\bar{B}}^{(j)} &= L_{A\bar{c}\bar{B}}^{(j)} - \sum_{P, Q \in \text{MI}(j-1)} L^{(j-1)} \bar{Q}^P L_{A\bar{Q}}^{(j-1)} L_{P\bar{c}\bar{B}}^{(j)}, \\ \text{(ii)} \quad \bar{\partial}_c \cdot L_{A\bar{B}}^{(j)} &= L_{A\bar{B}\bar{c}}^{(j)} - \sum_{P, Q \in \text{MI}(j-1)} L^{(j-1)} \bar{Q}^P L_{A\bar{Q}\bar{c}}^{(j-1)} L_{P\bar{B}}^{(j-1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Identity (i) is easily shown by the definition and by induction on j . By taking the complex conjugation of (i), we get (ii).

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $A, B \in \text{MI}(n)$ and $c, d \in \text{MI}(1)$. By applying Proposition 3.5 to the right hand side of (3.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n!)^2 R_{A\bar{B}\bar{c}\bar{d}}^{(n)} &= -\frac{1}{k^2} L_{A\bar{B}}^{(n)} L_{c\bar{d}}^{(1)} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k} \{ \bar{\partial}_c \bar{\partial}_d \cdot L_{A\bar{B}}^{(n)} - \sum_{P, Q \in \text{MI}(n)} L^{(n)} \bar{Q}^P (\partial_c \cdot L_{A\bar{Q}}^{(n)}) (\bar{\partial}_d \cdot L_{P\bar{B}}^{(n)}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6, together with (3.3), implies that the term in the braces coincides with

$$L_{A\bar{c}\bar{B}\bar{d}}^{(n+1)} - \sum_{P, Q \in \text{MI}(n-1)} L^{(n-1)} \bar{Q}^P L_{A\bar{Q}\bar{d}}^{(n)} L_{P\bar{c}\bar{B}}^{(n)}.$$

So, the desired formula follows again from Proposition 3.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] AZUKAWA, K., Square-integrable holomorphic functions on a circular domain in \mathbf{C}^n , Tôhoku Math. J., **39** (1985), 15-26.
- [2] AZUKAWA, K. AND J. BURBEA, Hessian quartic forms and the Bergman metric, Kodai Math. J., **7** (1984), 133-152.
- [3] FUKS, B. A., Ricci curvature of a Bergman metric invariant under biholomorphic mappings, Soviet Math. Dokl., **7** (1966), 525-529.

- [4] KOBAYASHI, S., Geometry of bounded domains, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **92** (1959), 267-290.
- [5] KOBAYASHI, S., Hyperbolic Manifolds and Holomorphic Mappings, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1970.
- [6] KOBAYASHI, S. AND K. NOMIZU, Foundations of Differential Geometry (vol. 2), Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [7] MATSUURA, S., On the theory of pseudo-conformal mappings, Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku (A), **7** (1963), 231-253.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOYAMA UNIVERSITY
TOYAMA, 930 JAPAN