

ON WEAKLY NONLINEAR CONTRACTIONS

BY KUN-JEN CHUNG

The purpose of this paper is to generalize some known fixed point theorems to cone-value metric spaces.

(I) Definitions

Let E be a normed space. A set $K \subset E$ is said to be a cone if (i) K is closed (ii) if $u, v \in K$ then $au + bv \in K$ for all $a, b \geq 0$, (iii) $K \cap (-K) = \{\theta\}$ where θ is the zero of the space E , and (iv) $K^\circ \neq \emptyset$, where K° is the interior of K . We say $u \geq v$ if and only if $u - v \in K$, and $u > v$ if and only if $u - v \in K$ and $u \neq v$.

The cone K is said to be strongly normal if there is $\delta > 0$ such that if $z = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i x_i$, $x_i \in K$, $\|x_i\| = 1$, $\sum_{i=1}^n b_i = 1$, $b_i \geq 0$ implies $\|z\| > \delta$. The norm in E is said to be semimonotone if there is a numerical constant M such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq M\|y\|$ (where the constant M does not depend on x and y).

Let X be a set and K a cone. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow K$ is said to be a K -metric on X if and only if (i) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, (ii) $d(x, y) = \theta$ if and only if $x = y$, and (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in a K -metric space X is said to converge to x_0 in X if and only if for each $u \in K^\circ$ there exists a positive integer N such that $d(x_n, x_0) \leq u$ for $n \geq N$. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is Cauchy if and only if for each $u \in K^\circ$ there exists a positive integer N such that $d(x_n, x_m) \leq u$ for $n, m \geq N$. The K -metric space (X, d) is said to be complete if and only if every Cauchy sequence in X converges. Let S be a subset of X ; a point $x \in X$ is adherent to S if there is a sequence of points of S converging to x . The set of the points of X adherent to S is called the closure of S . The set S is closed if and only if it is equal to its closure. A point in X is a boundary point of S if it is adherent to both S and its complement $C(S)$. The boundary of S , denoted by ∂S , is the set of its boundary points.

Throughout the rest of this paper we assume that K is strongly normal, that E is a reflexive Banach space, that (X, d) is a complete K -metric space, that $P(S) = \{d(x, y); x, y \in S\}$ where S is a subset of X , that $\bar{P}(S)$ denotes the weak closure of $P(S)$, and that $P_1(S) = \{z; z \in \bar{P}(S) \text{ and } z \neq \theta\}$.

Many preliminary results and examples which will be used in our theorems, are listed in [4, 8].

Received June 12, 1982

(II) Main results

DEFINITION 1. The mapping $\theta: P_1(S) \rightarrow K$ is said to be upper semicontinuous if $\{u_n\}$ and $\{\theta u_n\}$ are both weakly convergent, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta u_n \leq \theta(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n)$.

DEFINITION 2. Let $S \subset X$. We say that a mapping $T: S \rightarrow X$ satisfies Condition (A) if for each $x \in S$ there exists an element u of S such that $d(x, u) + d(u, Tx) = d(x, Tx)$.

Let $x_0 \in S$. We shall construct two sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{x'_n\}$ as follows: Define $x'_1 = Tx_0$. If $x'_1 \in S$, set $x_1 = x'_1$. If $x'_1 \notin S$, choose $x_1 \in S$ so that $d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x'_1) = d(x_0, x'_1)$. Set $x'_2 = Tx_1$. If $x'_2 \in S$, set $x_2 = x'_2$. If not, choose $x_2 \in S$ so that $d(x_1, x_2) + d(x_2, x'_2) = d(x_1, x'_2)$. Continuing in this manner, we obtain $\{x_n\}, \{x'_n\}$ satisfying

$$(i) \quad x'_{n+1} = Tx_n,$$

$$(ii) \quad x_n = x'_n \text{ if } x'_n \in S, \text{ and}$$

$$(iii) \quad x_n \in S \text{ and } d(x_{n-1}, x_n) + d(x_n, x'_n) = d(x_{n-1}, x'_n) \text{ if } x'_n \notin S.$$

Let $Q(x_0) = \{x_i \in \{x_n\}; x_i \neq x'_i\}$ and $F(x_0) = \{x_i \in \{x_n\}; x_i = x'_i\}$.

The following is our main result which is comparable to Theorem 2.2 of Caristi [9] and Theorem 1 of Park and Yoon [18].

LEMMA 1. Let (X, d) be a complete K -metric space and S a nonempty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ satisfies Condition (A), (1), (2) and (3).

$$(1) \quad d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta(d(x, y)), \quad x \neq y \in S,$$

$$(2) \quad \theta(t) < t \text{ for any } t \in P_1(S), \text{ where } \theta: P_1(S) \rightarrow K \text{ is upper semicontinuous,}$$

$$(3) \quad x_n \in Q(x_0) \text{ implies } x_{n-1}, x_{n+1} \in F(x_0), \text{ where the sequence } \{x_n\} \text{ defined as above. Then } T \text{ has a unique fixed point in } S.$$

Proof. If there exists an integer j such that x_n lies in S for all $n \geq j$, Chung [8] showed that this sequence of iterates converges to a fixed point of T . Hence we may assume that $Q(x_0)$ contains infinitely many points. Let $Q(x_0) = \{x_{n(k)}\}$.

We assert that

$$(B) \quad \{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\} \text{ weakly converges to } \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(C) \quad \{d(T(x_n), x_n)\} \text{ weakly converges to } \theta \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

To prove (B) and (C) we first prove that

$$(G) \quad d(x_{n(k)-1}, x'_{n(k)}) \text{ weakly converges to } \theta \text{ as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

If we put $n(k+1) = s, n(k) = r$, then it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(x_{s-1}, x'_s) &= d(T(x_{s-2}), T(x_{s-1})) \\
 &\leq \theta(d(x_{s-2}, x_{s-1})) \\
 &\leq d(x_{s-2}, x_{s-1}) \leq \dots \leq d(x_r, x_{r+1}) \\
 &\leq d(x_r, x'_r) + d(x'_r, x_{r+1}) \\
 &\leq d(x_r, x'_r) + d(x_{r-1}, x_r) \\
 &= d(x_{r-1}, x'_r).
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x'_{n(k)})\}$ and $\{d(x_{n(k)-2}, x_{n(k)-1})\}$ are decreasing and bounded. Let $\{d(x_{m(i)}, x'_{m(i)+1})\}$ be a subsequence of $\{d(x_{n(k)-1}, x'_{n(k)})\}$. There exist subsequences $\{d(x_{s(i)}, x'_{s(i)+1})\}$ of $\{d(x_{m(i)}, x'_{m(i)+1})\}$ and $\{d(x_{s(i)-1}, x_{s(i)})\}$ of $\{d(x_{m(i)-1}, x_{m(i)})\}$ such that $\{d(x_{s(i)}, x'_{s(i)+1})\}$ weakly converges to $z \in K$ and $\{d(x_{s(i)}, x_{s(i)-1})\}$ to $t \in K$. From the fact $d(x_{s-1}, x'_s) \leq d(x_{s-2}, x_{s-1}) \leq d(x_{r-1}, x'_r)$, we see that $z = t$.

Because $\theta(d(x_{s-2}, x_{s-1})) \geq d(x_{s-1}, x'_s)$ we see that $\{\theta(d(x_{s-1}, x_{s-2}))\}$ is bounded. For convenience, we can assume that $\{\theta(d(x_{s(i)}, x_{s(i)-1}))\}$ has a weak limit. By the upper semicontinuity, we have $\theta(z) \geq z$. Therefore $z = \theta$ and (G) holds.

If $n(k) < n \leq n(k+1)$, we have

$$d(x_{n(k+1)-1}, x'_{n(k+1)}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \leq d(x_{n(k)-1}, x'_{n(k)}).$$

Therefore (B) holds. From (B) and (G), we see that (C) holds, too.

Now we show that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is Cauchy. Suppose not. Then there is an $\varepsilon \in K^\circ$ such that for every integer i , there exist integers $\underline{n}(i), \underline{m}(i)$ with $i \leq \underline{n}(i) < \underline{m}(i)$ such that

$$(4) \quad d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)}) \not\leq \varepsilon.$$

Let, for each integer i , $\underline{m}(i)$ be the least integer exceeding $\underline{n}(i)$ satisfying (4); that is

$$(5) \quad d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)}) \not\leq \varepsilon \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)-1}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since K is semimonotone, the sequence $\{d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)-1})\}$ is bounded. For convenience, we let $\{d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)})\}$ weakly converges to z . Since

$$(E) \quad \begin{cases} d(T(x_{\underline{n}(i)}), T(x_{\underline{m}(i)})) \leq d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, T(x_{\underline{n}(i)})) + d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)}) + d(x_{\underline{m}(i)}, T(x_{\underline{m}(i)})), \\ d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)}) \leq d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, T(x_{\underline{n}(i)})) + d(T(x_{\underline{n}(i)}), T(x_{\underline{m}(i)})) + d(T(x_{\underline{m}(i)}), x_{\underline{m}(i)}), \end{cases}$$

we see that $\{d(T(x_{\underline{n}(i)}), T(x_{\underline{m}(i)}))\}$ weakly converges to z . If $z \neq \theta$, we have

$$(6) \quad d(T(x_{\underline{n}(i)}), T(x_{\underline{m}(i)})) \leq \theta(d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)})) < d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)}).$$

Let $\{\theta(d(x_{\underline{n}(i)}, x_{\underline{m}(i)}))\}$ have a weak limit. Therefore we have $\theta(z) \geq z$. We obtain $z = \theta$. The rest of the proof of the theorem is the same as that of theorem

1 [8]. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. By completeness, there is a $u \in S$ such that $\{x_n\}$ converges to u in S , and $Tu = u$. This completes the proof.

DEFINITION 3. Let $S \subset X$. We say that a mapping $T : S \rightarrow X$ is metrically inward if for each $x \in S$ there exists an element u of S such that $d(x, u) + d(u, Tx) = d(x, Tx)$ where $u = x$ if and only if $x = Tx$.

It is clear that if T is metrically inward, then T satisfies Condition (A).

DEFINITION 4. Let (X, d) be a complete K -metric space. We call (X, d) a complete K -metric convex space if for any real number c , $0 < c < 1$, and any $x, y \in X$, there exists $z \in X$ such that $d(x, z) = cd(x, y)$, and $d(z, y) = (1-c)d(x, y)$.

LEMMA 2. If S is a nonempty closed subset of the complete and convex K -metric space (X, d) and if $p_0 \in S$, and $p_1 \in S$, then there exists a point p in the boundary ∂S of S such that $d(p_0, p) + d(p, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$.

Proof. By Definition 4, we can choose a point $p_2 \in X$ such that

$$d(p_0, p_2) = d(p_2, p_1) = 2^{-1}d(p_0, p_1) \quad \text{and} \quad d(p_0, p_2) + d(p_2, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1).$$

Case 1: If $p_2 \in S$, we choose $p_3 \in X$ such that $d(p_2, p_3) = d(p_3, p_1) = 2^{-2}d(p_0, p_1)$ and $d(p_2, p_3) + d(p_3, p_1) = d(p_2, p_1)$. Since $d(p_0, p_2) + d(p_2, p_3) + d(p_3, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$, and $d(p_0, p_1) \leq d(p_0, p_3) + d(p_3, p_1)$, we have $d(p_0, p_2) + d(p_2, p_3) \leq d(p_0, p_3)$ and $d(p_0, p_2) + d(p_2, p_3) = d(p_0, p_3)$. We get $d(p_0, p_3) + d(p_3, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$.

Case 2: If $p_2 \notin S$, we choose $p_3 \in X$ such that $d(p_0, p_3) = d(p_3, p_2) = 2^{-2}d(p_0, p_1)$ and $d(p_0, p_3) + d(p_3, p_2) = d(p_0, p_2)$. Since $d(p_0, p_3) + d(p_3, p_2) + d(p_2, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$ and $d(p_0, p_1) \leq d(p_0, p_3) + d(p_3, p_1)$, we have $d(p_3, p_2) + d(p_2, p_1) \leq d(p_3, p_1)$, and $d(p_3, p_2) + d(p_2, p_1) = d(p_3, p_1)$. We get $d(p_0, p_3) + d(p_3, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$.

Continuing the above process, we can choose a sequence $\{p_n\} \subset X$ such that $d(p_n, p_{n+1}) = 2^{-n}d(p_0, p_1)$ and $d(p_0, p_n) + d(p_n, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$. Let $p_{k(n)}$ be another point such that $p_{k(n)} \neq p_n$ and $d(p_{k(n)}, p_{n+1}) = 2^{-n}d(p_0, p_1)$. Then either $p_{k(n)} \in S$ and $p_n \notin S$ or $p_{k(n)} \notin S$ and $p_n \in S$. By the construction of $\{p_n\}$, we see that $\{p_n\}$ is Cauchy. There exists a point $p \in X$ such that $\{p_n\}$ converges to p . We also know that $p \in \partial S$. Since $d(p_0, p_n) + d(p_n, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$ for all $n \geq 1$, we have $d(p_0, p_1) \geq d(p_0, p_n)$, $d(p_0, p_1) \geq d(p_n, p_1)$. Sequences $\{d(p_0, p_n)\}$ and $\{d(p_n, p_1)\}$ are bounded. Since E is a reflexive Banach space, for convenience, let

$$\begin{cases} d(p_0, p_n) \text{ weakly converge to } x, \text{ and} \\ d(p_n, p_1) \text{ weakly converge to } y. \end{cases}$$

According to the triangular inequality, we have

$$(7) \quad d(p_0, p_n) \leq d(p_0, p) + d(p, p_n),$$

$$(8) \quad d(p, p_0) \leq d(p_0, p_n) + d(p_n, p),$$

$$(9) \quad d(p_n, p_1) \leq d(p_1, p) + d(p, p_n),$$

$$(10) \quad d(p_1, p) \leq d(p_1, p_n) + d(p_n, p).$$

From (7), (8), (9), and (10), we see that $x \leq d(p_0, p)$, $d(p_0, p) \leq x$, $y \leq d(p_1, p)$, and $d(p_1, p) \leq y$. By (j) [8], we see that $d(p_0, p) + d(p, p_1) = d(p_0, p_1)$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 1. *Let (X, d) be a complete, convex, K -metric space and S a non-empty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ satisfies (1), (2) and (11).*

(11) $Tx \in S$ for every $x \in \partial S$.

Then T has a unique fixed point in S .

Proof. We construct a sequence $\{p_n\}$ in S as follows: Let p_0 be an arbitrary point in S . Let $p'_1 = T(p_0)$. If $p'_1 \in S$, then $p_1 = p'_1$, otherwise, by lemma 2, we choose $p_1 \in \partial S$ so that $d(p_0, p_1) + d(p_1, p'_1) = d(p_0, p'_1)$. Suppose that $\{p_i\}$, $\{p'_i\}$, $i=1, \dots, N$ have been chosen so that

(i) $p'_i = T(p_{i-1})$, $i=1, \dots, N$;

(ii) either $p_i = p'_i \in S$ or $p_i \in \partial S$ and satisfies the relation:

$$d(p_{i-1}, p_i) + d(p_i, p'_i) = d(p_{i-1}, p'_i).$$

Now set $p'_{N+1} = T(p_N)$. If $p'_{N+1} \in S$ we put $p_{N+1} = p'_{N+1}$, otherwise we choose $p_{N+1} \in \partial S$ so that $d(p_N, p'_{N+1}) = d(p_N, p_{N+1}) + d(p_{N+1}, p'_{N+1})$. Thus by induction we are finished.

By the construction of $\{p_n\}$, (11) implies that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ satisfies (3). Lemma 1 is applicable. Hence T has a unique fixed point in S .

THEOREM 2. *Let (X, d) be a complete K -metric space and S a nonempty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ is metrically inward and that T satisfies (1), (2) and (3). Then T has a unique fixed point in S .*

If E is the set of all real numbers and if K is the set of all nonnegative reals, then, from (4) and (6), Theorems 1 and 2 may now be restated in the following forms.

THEOREM 3. *Let (X, d) be a complete, convex K -metric space and S a non-empty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ satisfies (1), (2) and (11). (2) $\emptyset(t) < t$ for any $t \in P_1(S)$, where \emptyset is upper semicontinuous from the right on $P_1(S)$. Then T has a unique fixed point in S .*

THEOREM 4. *Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and S a nonempty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ is metrically inward and that T satisfies (1), (2), and (3). Then T has a unique fixed point in S .*

Utilizing the way of the proof of Lemma 2 [19], we have the following result.

THEOREM 5. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and S a nonempty closed subset of X . Suppose that T is a mapping from S into X . Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) < \varepsilon$ whenever

$$\varepsilon \leq d(x, y) < \varepsilon + \delta(\varepsilon) \quad \text{and} \quad x, y \in S.$$

(ii) There exists a self-mapping θ of $[0, \infty)$ into $[0, \infty]$ such that $\theta(s) < s$ for all $s > 0$, where θ is upper semicontinuous from the right on $[0, \infty)$ and $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \theta(d(x, y))$, $x, y \in S$.

From Theorem 5, we have the following results.

THEOREM 6. Let (X, d) be a complete metrically convex space and S a nonempty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ satisfies (i) in Theorem 5 and (11). Then T has a unique fixed point in S .

Theorem 6 was proved in [1] by Assad, but it is a special case of our Theorem 1.

THEOREM 7. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and S a nonempty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ is a metrically inward mapping satisfying (i) in Theorem 5 and (3). Then T has a unique fixed point in S .

Theorem 7 was proved in [18] by Park and Yoon, but it is a special case of our Theorem 2.

Many related papers can be found in [2], [4], [7], [8], [9], and [18]. In [11, 12, 13], it is required that the mapping $\theta: P_1(S) \rightarrow K$ be monotone but in our paper it isn't.

The mapping $\theta: P_1(S) \rightarrow K$ is said to be lower semicontinuous if $\{u_n\}$ and $\{\theta u_n\}$ are both weakly convergent, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta u_n \geq \theta(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n)$.

The idea of lower semicontinuity is used in many areas. We would like to have the following result.

THEOREM 8. Let (X, d) be a complete K -metric space and S a nonempty closed subset of X . Suppose that $T: S \rightarrow X$ satisfies (12), (13), (3) and Condition (A).

(12) $\theta(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq d(x, y)$, $x \neq y \in S$,

(13) $\theta(t) > t$ for any $t \in P_1(S)$, where $\theta: P_1(S) \rightarrow K$ is lower semicontinuous. Then T has a unique fixed point in S .

Proof. The proof is almost the same as that of Lemma 1. We omit it. The author thanks the referee very much for his valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] N.A. ASSAD, A fixed point theorem for weakly uniformly strict contractions, *Canad. Math. Bull.* 16(1), (1973), 15-18.

- [2] N. A. ASSAD & W. A. KIRK, Fixed point theorems for set-valued mappings of contractive type, *Pacific J. Math.* **43** (1973), No. 3, 553-562.
- [3] L. E. BLUMENTHAL, *Theory and application of distance geometry*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, (1953).
- [4] J. C. BOLEN & B. B. WILLIAMS, On the convergence of successive approximations for quasi-nonexpansive mappings through abstract cones, Technical Report No. 29 (1975) University of Texas at Arlington.
- [5] D. W. BOYD & J. S. WONG, On nonlinear contractions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **20** (1969), 458-464.
- [6] K. J. CHUNG, Common fixed point theorems through abstract cones, *Bull. Polon. Sci. Math.* (1980), 619-626.
- [7] K. J. CHUNG, Remarks on nonlinear contractions, *Pacific J. Math.* **101** (1982), 41-48.
- [8] K. J. CHUNG, Nonlinear contractions in abstract spaces, *Kodai Math. J.* **4** (1981), 288-292.
- [9] J. CARISTI, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **215** (1976), 241-251.
- [10] M. M. DAY, *Normed linear spaces*. p. 23-24.
- [11] J. EISENFELD & V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, Remarks on nonlinear contraction and comparison principle in abstract cones, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **61** (1977), 116-121.
- [12] J. EISENFELD & V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, Comparison principle and nonlinear contractions in abstract spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **49** (1975), 504-511.
- [13] J. EISENFELD & V. LAKSHMIKANTHAM, Fixed point theorems through abstract cones, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **52** (1975), 25-35.
- [14] M. A. KRASNOSELSKII, *Positive solutions of operation equations*, Noordhoff, Groningen, 1964.
- [15] S. MAZUR, Uber konvexe Menger in linearen normierten Raumen, *Studia Math.* **4** (1933), 70-84.
- [16] A. MEIR & E. KEELER, A theorem on contraction mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **28** (1969), 326-329.
- [17] V. M. SEHGAL & S. P. SINGH, On a fixed point theorem of Krasnoselskii for locally convex spaces, *Pacific J. Math.* **62** (1976), No. 2, 561-567.
- [18] S. PARK & J. YOON, Remarks on fixed point theorems on star-shaped sets, *J. Korean Math. Soc.* Vol. **18**, (2) (1982), 135-140.
- [19] T. SZILAGYI & M. HEGEDUS, Equivalent conditions and a new fixed point theorem in the theory of contractive type mappings, *Math. Japon.* **1** (1980), 147-157.
- [20] C. L. YEN & K. J. CHUNG, A theorem on fixed point and periodic point, *Tamkang J. Math.* vol. **5**, 2 (1974), 235-239.
- [21] K. YOSIDA, *Functional analysis*, p. 109.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
CHUNG YUAN UNIVERSITY
CHUNG LI, TAIWAN
R. O. C.
AND
College of Management
GEORGIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30332
U. S. A.