L.O. CHUNG, L. SARIO AND C. WANG KODAI MATH. J. 3 (1980), 407—414

QUASIHARMONIC *L^p* **FUNCTIONS ON THE POINCARÉ N-BALL**

BY LUNG OCK CHUNG, LEO SARIO AND CECILIA WANG

By definition, a function *q* on a Riemannian manifold *R* is quasiharmonic if $\Delta q=1$, with $\Delta=d\delta+\delta d$ the Laplace-Beltrami operator. There is fairly extensive literature on the existence of quasiharmonic functions in the classes *P, B, D* or *C* of functions which are positive, bounded, Dirichlet finite, or bounded Dirichlet finite, respectively. In contrast, very little is known about the existence of quasi harmonic functions in L^p .

Let *Q* be the class of quasiharmonic functions and set $QX = Q \cap X$ for $X = P$, *B, D, C, L^p.* For any function class *F,* denote by O_F the class of Riemannian manifolds which do not carry any nonconstant functions in F , and O_F its com plement. The purpose of the present study is to give a criterion for $R \in O_{QLP}$ and to relate the class O_{QL} ^{*p*} to some harmonic and quasiharmonic null classes. We also discuss interrelations between the classes O_{QL^p} for various p. For explicit results, we consider these problems on the Poincaré N -ball B_{α}^{N} , that is, the unit ball of *N*-space, $N \ge 2$, endowed with the metric $ds_{\alpha} = (1-r^2)^{\alpha} ds_0$, $r = |x|$, with $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and ds_0 the Euclidean metric.

We start by stating, with or without proofs, some auxiliary results, mostly known, to be called Propositions. The new results will be given in Lemmas 1-6 and Theorems 1-3.

§ 1. Preliminaries

Propositions 1 and 2 on the general behavior of quasiharmonic functions will greatly simplify earlier proofs on characterizing quasiharmonic null classes. Let $(r, \theta) = (r, \theta^1, \dots, \theta^{N-1})$ be the polar coordinates in \mathbb{R}^N .

PROPOSITION 1. *Every quasiharmonic function q(r, θ) on B% can be represented as*

$$
q(r, \theta) = q(r) + h(r, \theta) = q_0(r) + c + h(r, \theta),
$$

where h(r, θ) is a harmonic function, c a constant, and

$$
q_0(r) = -\int_0^r (1-t^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} t^{-(N-1)} \int_0^t (1-s^2)^{N\alpha} s^{N-1} ds \ dt.
$$

Received August 6, 1979

Proof. By direct computation, $dq_0 = 1$. Thus, $q_0(r) + c + h(r, \theta)$ is a quasihar monic function. For any quasiharmonic function $q_1(r, \theta)$, the difference $q_1(r, \theta)$ $-q_0(r)$ satisfies $\Delta(q_1-q_0)=\Delta q_1-\Delta q_0=0$ and is, therefore, harmonic.

PROPOSITION 2. *Let N>2.* (a) For $\alpha > 0$, as $r \rightarrow 1$,

$$
q_0(r)\!\!\sim\!c\!\int_0^r(1\!-\!t)^{-(N-2)\,\alpha}d\,s\,.
$$

- (b) For $-1/N < \alpha < 0$, if c_0 is a constant such that $q_0(r) + c_0 \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow 1$, then $q_o(r) + c_o \sim c(1-r)^{c-(N-2)}$
- (c) $For -1 < \alpha < -1/N$, and c_0 as in (b),

$$
q_0(r) + c_0 \sim c(1-r)^{2(\alpha+1)}
$$

(d) For $\alpha \leq -1$, $|q_0(r)| \rightarrow \infty$.

(e) For
$$
\alpha = -1/N
$$
,

$$
q_0(r) = O\left(\int_0^r (1-t^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} t \log(1-t^2) dt\right).
$$

Proof. As an illustration, we compute (c):

$$
q_0(r) + c_0 = -\int_0^r (1-t^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} t^{-(N-1)} \left(\int_0^t (1-s^2)^{N\alpha} s^{N-1} ds \right) dt + c_0
$$

$$
\sim \int_r^1 (1-t^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} t^{-(N-1)} \left(\int_0^t (1-s^2)^{N\alpha} s^{N-1} ds \right) dt
$$

$$
\sim c \int_r^1 (1-t^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} (1-t^2)^{N\alpha+1} dt
$$

$$
\sim c \int_r^1 (1-t)^{1+2\alpha} = c(1-r)^{2(1+\alpha)}.
$$

We will also make use of the following well-known results in the classifica tion theory for quasiharmonic and harmonic functions.

PROPOSITION 3 (Nakai-Sario [2], Sario [3]). *The quasiharmonic null classes satisfy the strict inclusion relations*

whereas there is no inclusion between O_{QB} and O_{QD} .

QUASIHARMONIC L^p FUNCTIONS ON THE POINCARÉ N-BALL 409

PROPOSITION 4 (Sario-Wang [4]). *For X=P, C,*

 $B^N_\alpha \in \tilde{O}_{\mathcal{Q}X}$ *if and only if* $\alpha \in (-1, 1/(N-2))$.

For Y=D, C,

$$
B^N_\alpha \in \tilde{O}_{\mathbf{Q}Y} \qquad \text{if and only if } \alpha \in (-3/(N+2), 1/(N-2)).
$$

PROPOSITION 5 (Hada-Sario-Wang [1]). $B_{\alpha}^{N} \in \tilde{O}_{HD}$ *if and only if* $|\alpha| < 1/(N-2)$.

§ **2. Characterization of quasiharmonic** *L^p* **null classes**

In this section, we will give a criterion for $B_{\alpha}^N \in O_{OL}$ *p*. Then we briefly consider applications to harmonic and quasiharmonic classifications. On occasion, we make the assumption $N>2$, usually necessitated by the factor $(N-2)^{-1}$.

LEMMA 1. For $1 \leq p < \infty$, $B^N_\alpha \in O_{QL^p}$ if and only if for each constant c, $q_o(r)$ *L p , with q^o (r) as in Proposition* 1.

Proof. The necessity is immediate. Since $B_{\alpha}^N \in O_{QL}^p$, it is clear that $q_0(r) + c$, as a quasiharmonic function, cannot belong to L^p .

To show the sufficiency, assume $q_0(r) + c$ is not in L^p for any constant c. By Proposition 1, a general quasiharmonic function *q(r, θ)* can be represented as $q_0(r) + c + h(r, \theta)$ where $h(r, \theta)$ is a harmonic function of the form $\sum f_n S_n$ with the S_n spherical harmonics of degree *n*. We can absorb the constant term of *h(r, θ)* into *c* and thus assume that *h(r, θ)* contains no constant term. Since by assumption $q_o(r)+c$ is not in L^p , we choose $g(r)\in L^q$ such that $p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1$ and $\left| \int g(r)(q_o(r)+c) dV \right| = \infty$. We have

$$
\left| \int g(r) [q_0(r) + c + h(r, \theta)] dV \right| = \left| \int g(r) [q_0(r) + c] dV \right| = \infty,
$$

since $h(r, \theta)$ is assumed to be a sum of terms $f_n(r)S_n(\theta)$, $n>0$, and since $\int g f_n S_n dV=0$ for $n>0$ by a well-known property of spherical harmonics. Thus $q(r, \theta) \notin L^p$ for any quasiharmonic function, as claimed.

Next we characterize the B_{α}^N which belong to O_{QL^p} . We separate the cases *N>2* and *N=2.*

LEMMA 2. *Suppose N>2.*

- (a) A necessary and sufficient condition for $B^N_\alpha \in O_{QL^p}$ is one of the following:
- (i) $1/(N-2)$ and $\alpha[(N-2)p-N] \geq p+1$; or
- (ii) $-1 < \alpha < -1/N$ and $2p(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1 \leq 0$; or
- (iii) $\alpha \leq -1$.
- (b) $For -1/N \le \alpha \le 1/(N-2)$, $B^N_\alpha \in \tilde{O}_{QL}$ *p*.

Proof. First we prove (b). The case $\alpha = 0$ is immediate, because B_0^N is the Euclidean ball.

Suppose $-1/N < \alpha < 0$. By Proposition 2(b), there is a c_0 such that as $r \rightarrow 1$, $q_0(r)+c_0\to 0$ and $|q_0(r)+c_0|^p$ $\sim c(1-r)^{[-(N-2)\alpha+1]}p$.

In order to prove $B_{\alpha}^N \in \tilde{O}_{QL^p}$, it suffices to show that $(1-r)^{[-(N-2)\alpha+1]p}$ is integrable. We have

$$
\int (1-r)^{[\zeta-(N-2)\alpha+1]p} dV = c \int_0^1 (1-r)^{[\zeta-(N-2)\alpha+1]p} (1-r)^{N\alpha} (1+r)^{N\alpha} r^{N-1} dr
$$

$$
\leq 2c \int_0^1 (1-r)^{[\zeta-(N-2)\alpha+1]p+N\alpha} dr.
$$

The last integral is finite if and only if $[-(N-2)\alpha+1]\hat{p}+N\alpha>1$. Since $\alpha>-1/N$ is negative, we obtain $[-(N-2)\alpha+1]p+N\alpha>p+N\alpha-p-1\geq 0>-1$. Thus B_{α}^N $\in O_{oL}$ ^p.

Suppose $0 < \alpha \leq 1/(N-2)$. By Proposition 2(a),

$$
|q_0(r)|^p \sim c \left| \int_0^r (1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha} dr \right|^p \leq |\log(1-r)|^p \quad \text{as } r \to 1.
$$

Thus,

$$
\int |q_0(r)|^p dV \sim \int |(1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha} dr|^p dV \leq c \int |\log(1-r)|^p dV
$$

= $c \int |\log(1-r)|^p (1-r)^{N\alpha} (1+r)^{N\alpha} r^{N-1} dr < \infty$

and $q_0(r) \in B^N_\alpha$.

Suppose $\alpha = -1/N$. By Proposition 2(e),

$$
q_0(r) = O\left(\int_0^r (1-t^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} t \log(1-t^2) dt\right),\,
$$

which is bounded. There is a constant *c* such that

$$
q_0(r) - c = O\left(\int_r^1 (1-t^2)^{-(N-2)\alpha} t \log(1-t^2) dt\right),
$$

which goes to 0 faster than $(1-r)^{\epsilon}$ as $r \rightarrow 1$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. Thus $q_0(r) - c$ is a QL^p function.

To prove (a), we consider three cases:

Case 1. $\alpha > 1/(N-2)$. By Lemma 1, $B^N_\alpha \in O_{QL^p}$ if and only if $q_o(r) + c \notin L^p$ for any constant *c.* By Proposition 2(a),

$$
\int |q_0(r) + c|^p dV \sim c \int \left| \int_0^r (1-r)^{-(N-2)\alpha} dr \right|^p dV
$$

= $c \int (1-r)^{[-(N-2)\alpha+1]p} (1-r)^{N\alpha} (1+r)^{N\alpha} r^{N-1} dr$,

which is ∞ if and only if $[-(N-2)\alpha+1]p+N\alpha \leq -1$, that is, $\alpha[(N-2)p-N]$ $\geq p+1$.

Case 2. $-1 < \alpha < -1/N$. By Proposition 2(c), there is a constant c_0 such that, as $r \rightarrow 1$, $q_0(r) + c_0 \rightarrow 0$ and $|q_0(r) + c_0|^p \sim c(1-r)^{2(\alpha+1)p}$. Thus if $B_\alpha^N \in O_{QL^p}$, then |

$$
\infty = \|q_0(r) + c_0\|_p^p \sim c \int (1-r)^{2(\alpha+1)p} dV
$$

$$
\sim c \int (1-r)^{2(\alpha+1)p} (1-r)^{N\alpha} (1+r)^{N\alpha} r^{N-1} dr
$$

or equivalently, $2p(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1\leq 0$. Conversely, since $q_o(r)+x_o\in L^p$ implies $q_0(r) + c \in L^p$ for any c, we obtain (ii).

Case 3. $\alpha \leq -1$.

By Proposition 2(d), $|q_0(r)|^{p} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, also $|q_0(r)+c|^{p} \rightarrow \infty$ for any *c*. Thus

$$
\int |q_0(r)+c|^p dV \geq \int dV = c \int (1-r)^{N\alpha} (1+r)^{N\alpha} r^{N-1} dr = \infty,
$$

as $\alpha \leq -1$. This completes the proof of the lemma.

It remains to consider the case *N=2.* We omit the proof.

LEMMA 3. (a) $B_{\alpha}^2 \in O_{QL^p}$ *if and only if* (i) $-1 < \alpha < -1/2$ and $2p(\alpha+1)+2\alpha+1 \leq 0$; or (ii) $\alpha \leq -1$. (b) For $\alpha \geq -1/2$, $B_{\alpha}^2 \in \tilde{O}_{\alpha L}$.

§ 3. **Application to harmonic classification**

We will briefly indicate an application of the characterization of $B_{\alpha}^N \in O_{QL}p$ to the harmonic classification theory. The classes to be considered are $O_{QL} p \cap O_{HX}^{\bullet}$ O_{QL} *p* \cap \tilde{O}_{HX} *, O*_{*QLP*} \cap O_{HX} *,* and \tilde{O}_{QL} *p* \cap \tilde{O}_{HX} *,* where *X*=*G, P, B,* or *D,* with *G* the class of Green's functions.

We start with $O_{QL} p \cap \tilde{O}_{HX}$. By Proposition 5, $|\alpha| < 1/(N-2)$ assures that $B_{\alpha}^{N} \in \tilde{O}_{HD}$. Lemma 2(a) entails that $-1/(N-2)<\alpha<-1/N$ and $2p(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1\leq0$ are necessary and sufficient for B^N_α to belong to $O_{QL^p} \cap \tilde{O}_{HD}$. Since $2p(\alpha+1)+1$ $N\alpha+1\leq 0$ is equivalent to $\alpha \leq -(2p+1)/(2p+N)=1-(N-1)/(2p+n)$, we obtain $\alpha \leq 1-(N-1)/(2+N)$. In view of $-1/(N-2)<\alpha$, we must have $-1/(N-2)<\alpha$ $1 - (N-2)/(2+N)$, which gives $N<4$. Thus $N=3$ is the only possible candidate.

To see that for $N=3$, the inequality has a solution with $\alpha \in (-1/(N-2), -1/N)$ for each $p \ge 1$, let p be given. Then

$$
2p(\alpha+1)+1\leq-3\alpha.
$$

Note that the range of α is $\left(-\frac{1}{N-2}\right), -\frac{1}{N}\right)=(-1, -\frac{1}{3})$. Choose α so close

to -1 that $\alpha+1$ is very small, hence $2p(\alpha+1)$ also very small, and -3α very close to 3. Clearly the condition is satisfied. *Thus we have the rather unexpected result that the dimension of a manifold plays here a crucial role.*

Since harmonic classification serves only illustrative purposes in this work, we will not consider other harmonic classes, but discuss only O_{HD} in this section.

In view of the above phenomenon, one might expect its analogues concerning the other three classes as well. However, all other classes are well behaved:

THEOREM 1. For each $p \ge 1$ and each $N>2$, the family B of the Poincaré *N-balls decomposes into the following disjoint nonempty sets*:

For N=3,
$$
\mathcal{B}=O_{QL^p}\cap O_{HD}\oplus O_{QL^p}\cap O_{HD}\oplus O_{QL^p}\cap O_{HD}\oplus O_{QL^p}\cap O_{HD}.
$$

For N>3,
$$
\mathcal{B}=O_{QL^p}\cap O_{HD}\oplus O_{QL^p}\cap O_{HD}\oplus O_{QL^p}\cap O_{HD}.
$$

Proof. If suffices to show the nonemptiness of the other classes. For $O_{QL}p$ \cap *O_{HD}*, choose α \leq -1 . Then B^N_α \in *O*_{*QL}P* \cap *O_{HD}*. For $\tilde O_{\bm qL}$ *P* \cap *O_{HD}*, take α $=$ $1/(N-2)$.</sub> For \tilde{O}_{QL} ^{*P*} \cap \tilde{O}_{HD} , pick $\alpha=0$. In each case we only have to use Lemma 2 and Proposition 5.

§4. **Application to quasiharmonic classification**

We proceed to an application of our O_{QL^p} characterization to quasiharmonic classification. Again, we will be brief and choose only the class O_{QD} as an illustration.

THEOREM 2. For $p \ge 1$, $N>2$, the family $\mathcal B$ of the Poincaré N-balls decom*poses into the following three disjoint nonempty sets.*

$$
\text{I} = O_{oL^p} \cap O_{QD} \oplus O_{oL^p} \cap O_{QD} \oplus O_{oL^p} \cap O_{QD}.
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 and Proposition 5, for each $N>2$, the values $\alpha = -1$, $1/(N-2)$ and 0 furnish examples for $O_{QL^p} \cap O_{QD}$, $\tilde{O}_{QL^p} \cap O_{QD}$, and $\tilde{O}_{QL^p} \cap \tilde{O}_{QD}$ respectively. To see that $O_{QL^p} \cap \tilde{O}_{QD}$ is empty, assume that $B^N_\alpha \in O_{QL^p} \cap \tilde{O}_{QD}$. By Proposition 4, $-3/(N+2) < \alpha < 1/(N-2)$. By Lemma 2, $-3/(N+2) < \alpha < -1/N$. Again by Lemma 2, $2p(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1 \leq 0$. We want to show that for this α , there is no solution for the last inequality of $p \ge 1$ and $N>2$. Again as in the preceding section, we have $\alpha \leq -(2p+1)/(2p+N) \leq -3/(2+N)$, in violation of $-3/(N+2)$ $\langle \alpha \langle 1/(N-2) \rangle$.

§ 5. **The** classes *QL^P*

We proceed to study relations between QL^s and QL^t with $1 \lt s \lt t \lt \infty$.

LEMMA 4. (a) $B_{\alpha}^N \in O_{OLS} \cap O_{QL}$ *t if* $\alpha \leq -1$.

(b)
$$
B^N_\alpha \in \tilde{O}_{QL^s} \cap O_{QL^t}
$$
 if $-1/N \leq \alpha \leq 1/(N-2)$.

The proof is by Lemma 2.

LEMMA 5. (a) If $N>2s/(s-1)$, then $B_{\alpha}^N \in \tilde{O}_{QL^s} \cap O_{QL^t}$ if and only if $(t+1)/[(N-2)t-N] \leq \alpha < (s+1)/[(N-2)s-N]$.

(b) If $2t/(t-1) < N \leq 2s/(s-1)$, then $B_{\alpha}^N \in \tilde{O}_{OLS} \cap O_{QL}$ if and only if $1/(N-2)$ $\langle \alpha {\leq} (t+1) / [(N-2)t-N]$.

(c) If $N \leq 2t/(t-1)$, then no $B_{\alpha}^N \in \tilde{O}_{OLS} \cap O_{\alpha r.t.}$

Proof. By Lemma 2, necessary and sufficient conditions for $B_{\alpha}^N \in \tilde{O}_{QL^S} \cap O_{QL^S}$ are either (i) $\alpha > 1/(N-2)$, $\alpha [(N-2)s-N] < s+1$, and $\alpha [(N-2)t-N] \geq t+1$; or (ii) $-1 < \alpha < -1/N$, $2s(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1>0$, and $2t(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1\leq0$. The case (ii), however, never occurs. In fact, the last two inequalities in (ii) imply $(1+2s)/(N+2s)$ $>\alpha \geq (1+2t)/(N+2t)$, which is impossible for $s < t$. As to the case (i), we argue as follows:

(a) If $(N-2)s-N>0$ or $N>2s/(s-1)$, we may choose an α such that $(t+1)/[(N-2)t-N] \leq \alpha < (s+1)/[(N-2)s-N]$. In view of $(t+1)/[(N-2)t-N]$ $1/(N-2)$ for $(N-2)t-N>0$, we have, by (i), $B_{\alpha}^N \in \tilde{O}_{QLS} \cap O_{QL}$ if and only if α is as claimed.

(b) If $(N-2)s-N\leq 0$ and $(N-2)t-N>0$ or $2t/(t-1), then$ $(t+1)/[(N-2)t-N]$ > 1/(N-2) and $\alpha [(N-2)s-N]$ < s+1. By (i), we have $B_{\alpha}^N \in$ $\tilde{O}_{QL^s} \cap O_{QL^t}$ if and only if $1/(N-2) < \alpha \leq (t+1)/[(N-2)t-N]$.

(c) If $(N-2)t-N\le 0$ or $N\le 2t/(t-1)$, then no α satisfies $\alpha>1/(N-2)$ and $\alpha[(N-2)t-N]\geq t+1$. Again by (i), there exists no $B^N_\alpha\in\overline{O}_{QL^s\cap\overline{O}_{QL^t}}$.

LEMMA $\frac{6}{2}$ *. For each pair of real numbers s, t≥1, with s<t, there exists a* $B_{\alpha}^N \in O_{0L^s} \cap \tilde{O}_{0L^t}.$

Proof. The equation

$$
2s(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1=0
$$

gives $\alpha = -(1+2s)/(N+2s)$. Clearly, $-1 < \alpha$. The relation

$$
\frac{1{+}2s}{N{+}2s}-\frac{1}{N}\!=\!\frac{N{+}2sN{-}N{-}2s}{N(N{+}2s)}\!=\!\frac{2s(N{-}1)}{N(N{+}2s)}>0
$$

yields $-1 < \alpha < -1/N$. In view of $s < t$, we obviously have $2t(\alpha+1)+N\alpha+1>0$. Thus, by Lemma 2, $B_{\alpha}^N \in O_{OLS} \cap \tilde{O}_{OL}$ *t.*

We have reached the following result:

THEOREM 3. *The family £B of Poincare N-balls decomposes into the following disjoint nonempty sets: If s and t do not satisfy conditions* (a) *or* (b) *of Lemma* 5, *then*

$$
\mathscr{B} = O_{QL^s} \cap O_{QL^t} \oplus O_{QL^s} \cap O_{QL^t} \oplus O_{QL^s} \cap O_{QL^t}.
$$

For other s, t,

 $\mathcal{B}{=}O_{\mathit{QL}^{s}}{\cap}O_{\mathit{QL}^{t}}{\oplus}O_{\mathit{QL}^{s}}{\cap}\tilde{O}_{\mathit{QL}^{t}}{\oplus}\tilde{O}_{\mathit{QL}^{s}}{\cap}O_{\mathit{QL}^{t}}{\oplus}\tilde{O}_{\mathit{QL}^{s}}{\cap}\tilde{O}_{\mathit{QL}^{t}}.$

The above results have implications to the general classification theory. These questions, as well as applications of our *QL^P* criterion to the biharmonic classi fication of *B,* will be discussed in other studies.

REFERENCES

- [1] D. HADA L. SARIO AND C. WANG, N-manifolds carrying bounded but no Dirichlet finite harmonic functions, Nagoya Math. J. 54 (1974), 1-6.
- [2] M. NAKAI-L. SARIO, Quasiharmonic classification of Riemannian manifolds, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 31 (1972), 165-169.
- [3] L. SARIO, Quasiharmonic degeneracy of Riemannian N-manifolds, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep. 26 (1974), 53-57.
- [4] L. SARIO-C. WANG, Quasiharmonic functions on the Poincaré N-ball, Rend. Mat. 6 (1973), 1-14.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS NORTH CAROLINA STATE UNIVERSITY RALEIGH, NORTH CAROLINA 27607 U.S.A.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA Los ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024, U.S.A.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS ARIZONA STATE UNIVERSITY TEMPE, ARIZONA 85281 U.S.A.