

## A CHARACTERIZATION OF SEMIAMPLENESS AND CONTRACTIONS OF RELATIVE CURVES

STEFAN SCHRÖER

### Abstract

I give a cohomological characterization of semiample line bundles. The result is a generalization of both the Fujita–Zariski Theorem on semiampleness and the Grothendieck–Serre Criterion for ampleness. As an application of the Fujita–Zariski Theorem I characterize contractible curves in 1-dimensional families.

### Introduction

The Fujita–Zariski Theorem asserts that a line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  that is ample on its base locus is *semiample*. Semiampleness means that a multiple  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$ ,  $n > 0$  is globally generated. For discrete base locus the result goes back to Zariski ([17], Theorem 6.2), and the general form is due to Fujita ([3], Theorem 1.10). This note contains two applications of the Fujita–Zariski Theorem.

The first section contains a generalization of both the Fujita–Zariski Theorem and the cohomological criterion for ampleness due to Grothendieck–Serre. The result is the following characterization: A line bundle  $\mathcal{L}$  is semiample if and only if the modules  $H^1(X, \mathcal{I} \otimes \text{Sym } \mathcal{L})$  are finitely generated over the ring  $\Gamma(X, \text{Sym } \mathcal{L})$  for every coherent ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_B$ . Here  $B \subset X$  is the stable base locus of  $\mathcal{L}$ . This gives a positive answer to Fujita’s question ([3], 1.16) whether it is possible to weaken the assumption in the Fujita–Zariski Theorem.

In the second section I generalize results of Piene [14] and Emsalem [2]. They used the Fujita–Zariski Theorem to obtain sufficient conditions for contractions in normal arithmetic surfaces. Our result is a characterization of contractible curves in 1-dimensional families over local noetherian rings in terms of complementary closed subsets. This also sheds some light on the noncontractible curve constructed by Bosch, Lütkebohmert, and Raynaud ([1], Chapitre 6.7). For proper normal algebraic surfaces, similar results appear in [15].

### 1. Characterization of semiampleness

Throughout this section,  $R$  is a noetherian ring,  $X$  is a proper  $R$ -scheme, and  $\mathcal{L}$  is an invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. According to the Grothendieck–Serre Criterion

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: 14A15, 14C20, 14H10,  
Received November 22, 1999; revised April 2, 2001.

([5], Proposition 2.6.1)  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample if and only if for each coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  there is an integer  $n_0 > 0$  so that  $H^1(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = 0$  for all  $n > n_0$ . Let me reformulate this in terms of graded modules. For a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$ , set

$$H_*^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}) = H^p(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \text{Sym } \mathcal{L}) = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} H^p(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}).$$

This is a graded module over the graded ring  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{L}) = \Gamma(X, \text{Sym } \mathcal{L})$ . The Grothendieck–Serre Criterion takes the form:  $\mathcal{L}$  is ample if and only if the modules  $H_*^1(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$  are finitely generated over the ring  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{L}) = \Gamma(\mathcal{O}_X)$  for all coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}$ . In this form it generalizes to the semiample case. Following Fujita [3], we define the *stable base locus*  $B \subset X$  of  $\mathcal{L}$  to be the intersection of the base loci of  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}$  for all  $n > 0$ . We regard it as a closed subscheme with reduced scheme structure.

**THEOREM 1.1.** *Let  $B \subset X$  be the stable base locus of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *The invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is semiample.*
- (ii) *The modules  $H_*^p(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$  are finitely generated over the ring  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{L})$  for each coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  and all integers  $p \geq 0$ .*
- (iii) *The modules  $H_*^1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$  are finitely generated over the ring  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{L})$  for each coherent ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_B$ .*

*Proof.* The implication (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii) is well known, and (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) is trivial. To prove (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i) we assume that  $\mathcal{L}$  is not semiample. According to the Fujita–Zariski Theorem the restriction  $\mathcal{L}_B$  is not ample. By the Grothendieck–Serre Criterion there is a coherent ideal  $\mathcal{I} \subset \mathcal{O}_B$  with  $H^1(X, \mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \neq 0$  for infinitely many  $n > 0$ . Thus  $H_*^1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$  is not finitely generated over  $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{L})$ . Since  $B \subset X$  is the stable base locus, the maps  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow \Gamma(B, \mathcal{L}_B^{\otimes n})$  vanish for all  $n > 0$ . Consequently, the irrelevant ideal  $\Gamma_+(\mathcal{L}) \subset \Gamma_*(\mathcal{L})$  annihilates  $H_*^1(\mathcal{I}, \mathcal{L})$ , which is therefore not finitely generated over  $\Gamma_*(\mathcal{L})$ .  $\square$

Sommese [16] introduced a quantitative version of semiampleness: Let  $k \geq 0$  be an integer; a semiample invertible sheaf  $\mathcal{L}$  is called *k-ample* if the fibers of the canonical morphism  $f : X \rightarrow \text{Proj } \Gamma_*(\mathcal{L})$  have dimension  $\leq k$ . For example, 0-ampleness means ampleness.

**THEOREM 1.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{L}$  be a semiample invertible  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module. Then  $\mathcal{L}$  is k-ample if and only if the modules  $H_*^{k+1}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$  are finitely generated over the ground ring  $R$  for all coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -modules  $\mathcal{F}$ .*

*Proof.* Set  $Y = \text{Proj } \Gamma_*(\mathcal{L})$  and let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be the corresponding contraction. Suppose  $\mathcal{L}$  is *k-ample*. Choose  $n_0 > 0$  so that  $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0} = f^*(\mathcal{M})$  for some ample invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ . Put  $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F} \otimes (\mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n_0})$ . Choose  $m_0 > 0$  with  $H^p(Y, R^q f_* (\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}) = 0$  for  $p > 0$ ,  $q \leq$

$k + 1$ , and  $m > m_0$ . Consequently, the edge map  $H^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes mm_0}) \rightarrow H^0(Y, R^{k+1}f_*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m})$  in the spectral sequence

$$H^p(Y, R^q f_*(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes m}) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(X, \mathcal{G} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes mm_0})$$

is injective for  $m > m_0$ . The fibers of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  are at most  $k$ -dimensional, so  $R^{k+1}f_*(\mathcal{G}) = 0$ . Thus  $H^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) = 0$  for all  $n > n_0 m_0$ .

Conversely, assume that the condition holds. Seeking a contradiction we suppose that some fiber of  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  has dimension  $> k$ . Using [13] we find a coherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -module  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $R^{k+1}f_*(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$ . Replacing  $\mathcal{L}$  by a suitable multiple, we have  $\mathcal{L} = f^*(\mathcal{M})$  for some ample invertible  $\mathcal{O}_Y$ -module  $\mathcal{M}$ . Passing to a higher multiple if necessary,  $H^p(Y, R^q f_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n}) = 0$  holds for  $p > 0$ ,  $q \leq k$ , and  $n > 0$ . Then the edge map  $H_*^{k+1}(X, \mathcal{F} \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes n}) \rightarrow H_*^0(Y, R^{k+1}f_*(\mathcal{F}) \otimes \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n})$  is surjective for  $n > 0$ . Choose a global section  $s \in \Gamma(Y, \mathcal{M}^{\otimes n})$  for some  $n > 0$  so that the open subset  $Y_s \subset Y$  contains the set of associated points for  $R^{k+1}f_*(\mathcal{F})$ . Then  $s \in \Gamma_*(\mathcal{M})$  is not a zero divisor for  $H_*^0(R^{k+1}f_*(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{M})$ . It follows that  $H_*^0(R^{k+1}f_*(\mathcal{F}), \mathcal{M})$  is nonzero for infinitely many degrees. Consequently, the same holds for  $H_*^{k+1}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L})$ , which is therefore not finitely generated over  $R$ . □

*Remark 1.3.* For a vector bundle  $\mathcal{E}$ , it might happen that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  is semiample, whereas  $\text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{E})$  fails to be globally generated for all  $n > 0$ . For example, let  $k$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p > 0$ , and  $X$  be a smooth proper curve of genus  $g > p - 1$  so that the absolute Frobenius  $\text{Fr}_X : H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is zero. For an example see [11], p. 385, Exercise 2.15. Let  $D \subset X$  be a divisor of degree 1. According to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_D) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X) \\ \text{Fr}_X^* \downarrow & & \text{Fr}_X^* \downarrow & & \text{Fr}_X^* \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Fr}_X^*=0 \\ H^0(\mathcal{O}_X) & \longrightarrow & H^0(\mathcal{O}_{pD}) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-pD)) & \longrightarrow & H^1(\mathcal{O}_X), \end{array}$$

the  $p$ -linear map  $\text{Fr}_X^* : H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-D)) \rightarrow H^1(\mathcal{O}_X(-pD))$  is not injective. Hence there is a nontrivial extension

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D) \rightarrow 0$$

whose Frobenius pull back  $\text{Fr}_X^*(\mathcal{E})$  splits. The surjection  $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  gives a section  $A \in \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$  representing  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  with  $A^2 = 1$  ([11], Proposition 2.6, p. 371). The Fujita–Zariski Theorem implies that  $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})}(1)$  is semiample, and we obtain a birational contraction  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$ . It is easy to see that the exceptional set is an integral curve  $R \subset \mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E})$  which has degree  $p$  on the ruling. Hence  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow Y$  does not restrict to closed embeddings on the fibers of  $\mathbf{P}(\mathcal{E}) \rightarrow X$ . Consequently,  $\text{Sym}^n(\mathcal{E})$  is not globally generated at any point  $x \in X$ .

## 2. Contractions of relative curves

Throughout this section,  $R$  is a local noetherian ring, and  $X$  is a proper  $R$ -scheme with 1-dimensional closed fiber  $X_0 \subset X$ . Then all fibers of the structure morphism  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  are at most 1-dimensional. For example,  $X$  could be a flat family of curves.

A *Stein factor* of  $X$  is a proper  $R$ -scheme  $Y$  together with a proper morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  so that  $\mathcal{O}_Y \rightarrow f_*(\mathcal{O}_X)$  is bijective (compare [12], Section 5). Our objective is to describe the set of all Stein factors for a given  $X$ .

Let  $C_i, i \in I$  be the finite collection of all 1-dimensional integral components of the closed fiber  $X_0$ . A subset  $J \subset I$  yields a subcurve  $C = \bigcup_{i \in J} C_i$ . We call such a curve  $C \subset X$  *contractible* if there is a Stein factor  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  so that  $f(C_i)$  is a closed point if and only if  $i \in J$ . According to [5], Theorem 5.4.1, a Stein factor is determined up to isomorphism by its restriction  $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ . The task now is to determine the contractible curves  $C \subset X$ . It follows from [14] and [2] that all curves  $C \subset X$  are contractible provided that the ground ring  $R$  is henselian. In particular this holds if  $R$  is complete. On the other hand, a non-contractible curve is discussed in [1], chapter 6.7.

We seek to describe contractible curves  $C \subset X$  in terms of complementary closed subsets  $D \subset X$ . We need a definition: Suppose  $D \subset X$  is a closed subset of codimension  $\leq 1$ . Let  $R \subset R^\wedge$  be the completion with respect to the maximal ideal,  $X'$  the normalization of  $X \otimes_R R^\wedge$ , and  $C'_i, C', D' \subset X'$  the preimages of  $C_i, C, D \subset X$ , respectively. Let  $h : X' \rightarrow Z'$  be the contraction of all  $C'_i \subset X'_0$  disjoint from  $C'$ . We call  $D$  *persistent* if  $h(D') \subset Z'$  has codimension  $\leq 1$ .

*Example 2.1.* Suppose  $R$  is a discrete valuation ring with residue field  $k$  and fraction field  $K$ . Let  $X$  be the proper  $R$ -scheme obtained from  $X' = \mathbf{P}_R^1$  by identifying the closed points  $0, \infty \in \mathbf{P}_k^1$ . Then the closure  $D \subset X$  of the point  $0 \in \mathbf{P}_K^1$  is not persistent.

**THEOREM 2.2.** *Suppose  $J \subset I$  is a subset so that the curve  $C = \bigcup_{i \in J} C_i$  is connected. Then  $C \subset X_0$  is contractible if and only if there is a persistent closed subset  $D \subset X$  of codimension  $\leq 1$  disjoint from  $C$  and intersecting each irreducible component  $C_i \subset X_0$  with  $i \notin J$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $C$  is contractible. The corresponding contraction  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  maps  $C$  to a single point. Let  $V \subset Y$  be an affine open neighborhood of  $f(C)$ . Set  $U = f^{-1}(V)$  and  $D = X - U$ . Clearly  $D \cap C = \emptyset$ . Furthermore,  $D \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$  for  $i \notin J$ ; otherwise  $f(C_i)$  would be a proper curve contained in the affine scheme  $V$ , which is absurd. Let  $X', Y'$  be the normalizations of  $X \otimes_R R^\wedge, Y \otimes_R R^\wedge$ , respectively. The induced morphism  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  is the contraction of the preimage  $C' \subset X'$  of  $C$ . The preimage  $V' \subset Y'$  of  $V$  is affine, so  $Y - V$  is of codimension  $\leq 1$  ([10] II, 2.2.6). Hence the preimage  $D' \subset X'$  of  $D$  is of codimension  $\leq 1$ . Obviously, the same holds if we contract the pre-

images  $C'_i \subset X'$  of  $C_i$  disjoint from  $C'$ . Thus  $D \subset X$  is of codimension  $\leq 1$  and persistent.

Conversely, assume the existence of such a subset  $D \subset X$ . Set  $U = X - D$ . We claim that the affine hull  $U^{\text{aff}} = \text{Spec } \Gamma(U, \mathcal{O}_X)$  is of finite type over  $R$  and that the canonical morphism  $U \rightarrow U^{\text{aff}}$  is proper.

Suppose this for a moment. Then  $U \rightarrow U^{\text{aff}}$  contracts  $C$  and is a local isomorphism near each  $x \in U_0 - C$ . Choose for each  $x \in X_0 - C$  an affine open neighborhood  $U_x \subset X$  of  $x$  disjoint to the exceptional set of  $U \rightarrow U^{\text{aff}}$ . Then  $U_x \cap U \rightarrow U^{\text{aff}}$  is an open embedding. It is easy to see that the schemes  $U_x \cup_{U_x \cap U} U^{\text{aff}}$ ,  $x \in X_0 - C$  and  $U^{\text{aff}}$  form an open cover of a proper  $R$ -scheme  $Y$ . The induced morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is the desired contraction.

It remains to verify the claim. Let  $R \subset R^\wedge$  be the completion. According to [9], VIII Corollary 3.4, the scheme  $U^{\text{aff}}$  is of finite type if and only if  $U^{\text{aff}} \otimes_R R^\wedge$  is of finite type. Furthermore,  $U \rightarrow U^{\text{aff}}$  is proper if and only if it is proper after tensoring with  $R^\wedge$  ([9], VIII Corollaire 4.8). Since  $U^{\text{aff}} \otimes_R R^\wedge = (U \otimes_R R^\wedge)^{\text{aff}}$  by [8], Proposition 21.12.2, it suffices to prove the claim under the additional assumption that  $R$  is complete.

Now each curve in  $X_0$  is contractible. Observe that the contraction of  $C$  does not change  $U^{\text{aff}}$ , so we can as well assume that  $C$  is empty. Now our goal is to prove that  $U$  is affine. Since  $R$  is complete, hence universally Japanese, the normalization  $X' \rightarrow X$  is finite. Using Chevalley's Theorem ([4], Théorème 6.7.1), we reduce the problem to the case that  $X$  is normal. Now the irreducible components of  $X$  are the connected components. Treating them separately we may assume that  $X$  is connected. Contracting the curves  $C_i$  contained in  $D$  we can assume that  $D_0$  is finite and intersects each  $C_i$ . If  $D = X$  or  $D = \emptyset$  there is nothing to prove. Assume that  $D \subset X$  is of codimension 1, in other words a Weil divisor. The problem is that it might not be Cartier. To overcome this, consider the graded quasicohherent  $\mathcal{O}_X$ -algebra  $\mathcal{R} = \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{O}_X(nD)$ . The graded subalgebra  $\mathcal{R}' \subset \mathcal{R}$  generated by  $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{O}_X(D)$  is of finite type over  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Set  $X' = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{R}')$  and let  $g : X' \rightarrow X$  be the structure morphism. Then  $g$  is projective and  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(1)$  is a  $g$ -very ample invertible  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}$ -module. The canonical maps  $D : \mathcal{O}_X(nD) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((n+1)D)$  induce a homomorphism  $\mathcal{R}' \rightarrow \mathcal{R}'$  of degree one, hence a section  $s : \mathcal{O}_{X'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X'}(1)$ . It follows from the definition of homogeneous spectra that  $s$  is bijective over  $U$  and vanishes on  $g^{-1}(D)$ . Thus the corresponding Cartier divisor  $D' \subset X'$  representing  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(1)$  has support  $g^{-1}(D)$ .

Let  $A \subset X'_0$  be a closed integral subscheme of dimension  $n > 0$ . If  $g(A) \subset X_0$  is a curve, then  $A$  is not contained in  $D'$  but intersects  $D'$ . Hence  $D' \cdot A > 0$ . If  $g(A) \subset X$  is a point, then  $\mathcal{O}_A(1)$  is ample, so  $(D')^n \cdot A > 0$ . By the Nakai criterion for ampleness we conclude that  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(1)$  is ample on its base locus. Now the Fujita–Zariski Theorem tells us that  $\mathcal{O}_{X'}(1)$  is semiample. It follows that  $U \simeq X' - D'$  is affine. This finishes the proof.  $\square$

Let us consider the special case that the total space  $X$  is a normal surface. Replacing  $R$  by  $\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$ , we are in the following situation: Either  $R$  is a discrete

valuation ring, such that  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is a flat deformation of  $X_0$ . Or  $R$  is a local normal 2-dimensional ring, hence  $X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(R)$  is the birational contraction of  $X_0$ . In either case we call a Weil divisor  $H \in Z^1(X)$  *horizontal* if it is a sum of prime divisors not supported by  $X_0$ .

Suppose  $J \subset I$  is a subset with  $C = \bigcup_{i \in J} C_i$  connected. Let  $V \subset X_0$  be the union of all  $C_i$  disjoint from  $C$ .

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *Notation as above. Then  $C \subset X_0$  is contractible if and only if there is a horizontal Weil divisor  $H \subset X$  disjoint from  $C$  with the following property: For each  $C_i$ ,  $i \notin J$ , either  $H$  intersects  $C_i$ , or  $H$  intersects a connected component  $V' \subset V$  with  $V' \cap C_i \neq \emptyset$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose  $C \subset X_0$  is contractible. Let  $D \subset X$  be a persistent Weil divisor as in Theorem 2.2. Then its horizontal part  $H \subset D$  satisfies the above conditions. Conversely, assume there is a horizontal Weil divisor  $H \subset X$  as above. It follows that  $D = H + V$  is a persistent Weil divisor disjoint from  $C$  intersecting each  $C_i$  with  $i \notin J$ . Thus  $C \subset X_0$  is contractible.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] S. BOSCH, W. LÜTKEBOHMERT AND M. RAYNAUD, Néron Models, *Ergeb. Math. Grenzgeb.* 21, Springer, Berlin, 1990.
- [2] J. EMSALEM, Projectivité des schémas en courbes sur un anneau de valuation discrète, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **101** (1974), 255–263.
- [3] T. FUJITA, Semipositive line bundles, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, **30** (1983), 353–378.
- [4] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique II: Étude globale élémentaire de quelques classes de morphismes, *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.*, **8** (1961).
- [5] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique III: Étude cohomologique des faisceaux cohérent, *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.*, **11** (1961).
- [6] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV: Étude locale des schémas et de morphismes de schémas, *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.*, **24** (1965).
- [7] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV: Étude locale des schémas et de morphismes de schémas, *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.*, **28** (1966).
- [8] A. GROTHENDIECK, Éléments de géométrie algébrique IV: Étude locale des schémas et de morphismes de schémas, *Publ. Math., Inst. Hautes Etud. Sci.*, **32** (1967).
- [9] A. GROTHENDIECK et al., Revêtements Étales et Groupe Fondamental, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 224, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [10] A. GROTHENDIECK et al., Théorie des Intersections et Théorème de Riemann-Roch, *Lecture Notes in Math.* 225, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [11] R. HARTSHORNE, Algebraic Geometry, *Grad. Texts in Math.* 52, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [12] S. KLEIMAN, Toward a numerical theory of ampleness, *Ann. of Math.*, **84** (1966), 293–344.
- [13] S. KLEIMAN, On the vanishing of  $H^n(X, \mathcal{F})$  for an  $n$ -dimensional variety, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18** (1967), 940–944.
- [14] R. PIENE, Courbes sur un trait et morphismes de contraction, *Math. Scand.*, **35** (1974), 5–15.
- [15] S. SCHRÖER, On contractible curves on normal surfaces, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **524** (2000), 1–15.

- [16] A. SOMMESE, Submanifolds of Abelian varieties, *Math. Ann.*, **233** (1978), 229–256.
- [17] O. ZARISKI, The theorem of Riemann-Roch for high multiples of an effective divisor on an algebraic surface, *Ann. of Math.*, **76** (1962), 560–615.

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT  
RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT  
44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY

(CURRENT ADDRESS)

M.I.T.  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
77 MASSACHUSETTS AVENUE  
CAMBRIDGE MA 02139-4307, USA  
E-mail: s.schroer@ruhr-uni-bochum.de