

ANOTHER INVOLUTION ON MODULI OF SEXTICS

MUTSUO OKA

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{M} be the moduli space of sextics with 6 cusps and 3 nodes. A sextic C is called of a $(2, 3)$ -torus type (or briefly of a torus type) if its defining polynomial f has the expression $f(x, y) = f_2(x, y)^3 + f_3(x, y)^2$ for some polynomials f_2, f_3 of degree 2, 3 respectively. We denote by $\mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}$ the component of \mathcal{M} which consists of curves of a torus type and by \mathcal{M}_{gen} the curves of a general type (= not of a torus type). We denote the dual curve of C by C^* . Recall that C^* is the image of the Gauss map $\text{dual}_C : C \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{2*}, (X, Y, Z) \mapsto (F_X(X, Y, Z), F_Y(X, Y, Z), F_Z(X, Y, Z))$. In our previous paper [O3], we have shown that the dual curve operation $C \mapsto C^*$ gives an involution on \mathcal{M} and it preserves the type of the curve in \mathcal{M} , i.e., $C^* \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}$ if and only if $C \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}$. Let $G := \text{PGL}(3, \mathbf{C})$. The quotient moduli spaces are by definition the quotient spaces of the moduli spaces by the action of G .

The purpose of this note is to show that *there exists an involution $\bar{\tau}$ on \mathcal{M}/G such that $\bar{\tau}$ is different from the dual curve operation and $\bar{\tau}$ preserves the types of the sextics* (Theorem 2.4).

For the construction of $\bar{\tau}$, we consider the moduli space $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ of plane curves of degree 12 with 24 cusps and 24 nodes. This moduli space is also self-dual in the sense that $C^* \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ if $C \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. The construction of $\bar{\tau}$ is done as follows. First observe that C has three bi-tangent lines for any $C \in \mathcal{M}$. We take $g \in G$ so that the three coordinate lines $X = 0, Y = 0, Z = 0$ are the bi-tangent lines of C^g and let $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ be the defining homogeneous polynomial of degree 6. Then consider the curve \tilde{C} defined by $F(X^2, Y^2, Z^2) = 0$. It turns out that \tilde{C} is contained in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. This operation defines a rational mapping $\psi : \mathcal{M}/G \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}/G$. We define $\bar{\tau}(C) = \psi^{-1}(\psi(C^g)^*)$.

2. Involution on the quotient moduli \mathcal{M}/G

Let \mathcal{M} and $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the moduli space of sextics with 6 cusps and three nodes and the moduli space of irreducible plane curves of degree 12 with 24 cusps and 24 nodes respectively. Note that the genus of a generic curve in \mathcal{M} (respectively in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$) is 1 (resp. 7). By the class formula ([N] or [O3]), it is easy to see that

for a generic $C \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$, the dual curve C^* is also in $\tilde{\mathcal{M}}$. We consider the mapping $\pi: \mathbf{P}^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^2$, defined by $\pi(X, Y, Z) = (X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$, which is a 4-fold covering branched along the coordinate axes $\{X = 0\} \cup \{Y = 0\} \cup \{Z = 0\}$. Take a generic curve $C \in \mathcal{M}$ and let $F(X, Y, Z)$ be the defining homogeneous polynomial of degree 6. As C^* has three nodes, C has three bi-tangent lines. We denote by \mathcal{M}^{nml} the subset of \mathcal{M} which consists of curves $C \in \mathcal{M}$ whose three bi-tangent lines are $X = 0$, $Y = 0$ and $Z = 0$. We define a mapping $\psi: \mathcal{M}^{nml} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ as follows. Let $C \in \mathcal{M}^{nml}$ and let $F(X, Y, Z)$ be the defining homogeneous polynomial. We define $\psi(C) := \pi^{-1}(C)$. Note that $\psi(C)$ is defined by $\tilde{F}(X, Y, Z) := F(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$. Each cusp of C produces 4 cusps on $\psi(C)$. Thus $\psi(C)$ has 24 cusps. Each node of C also gives 4 nodes on $\psi(C)$, thus we get 12 nodes on $\psi(C)$ which are mapped onto the nodes of C . As the restriction of π to the affine chart $\{Z \neq 0\}$ is the composition of double coverings $(x, y) \mapsto (x, y^2)$ and $(x, y) \mapsto (x^2, y)$, each simple tangent on the coordinate axis $X = 0$, $Y = 0$ gives 2 nodes on $\psi(C)$ ([O1]). This is the same for the simple tangents for $Z = 0$. Thus there are 12 nodes on $\psi(C)$ which are on the three coordinate axes and they are mapped to simple tangents on coordinate axis by π . Thus $\psi(C)$ has 24 nodes. Thus $\psi(C) \in \tilde{\mathcal{M}}$.

Now for $C \in \mathcal{M}$, we define $\tilde{\psi}(C)$ as $\psi(C^g)$ by choosing $g \in G$ such that $C^g \in \mathcal{M}^{nml}$. The ambiguity for the choice of $g \in G$ is in the stabilizer $G_{\mathcal{M}^{nml}}$ of \mathcal{M}^{nml} which is a direct product of \mathfrak{S}_3 (the permutations of coordinates) and $\mathbf{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}^* \times \mathbf{C}^*$ (scalar multiplications). Thus the polynomials $F(X, Y, Z)$ and $\tilde{F}(X, Y, Z)$ are unique up to a $G_{\mathcal{M}^{nml}}$ action. Thus $\mathcal{M}^{nml}/G_{\mathcal{M}^{nml}} \cong \mathcal{M}/G$ and $\tilde{\psi}: \mathcal{M}/G \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{M}}/G$ is well-defined.

Recall that a polynomial $F(X, Y, Z)$ is called *even* in X (respectively *symmetric* in X, Y) if $F(-X, Y, Z) = F(X, Y, Z)$ (resp. $F(Y, X, Z) = F(X, Y, Z)$). Thus the polynomial $F(X^2, Y^2, Z^2)$ is even in X, Y, Z . Note that evenness (or symmetricity) is preserved by the dual curve operation.

LEMMA 2.1. *Assume that $C = \{F(X, Y, Z) = 0\}$ is defined by an even polynomial $F(X, Y, Z)$ in X (respectively symmetric polynomial in X, Y). Then the dual curve C^* is defined by an even polynomial $F^*(X^*, Y^*, Z^*)$ in X^* (resp. in X^*, Y^*).*

Proof. Assume for example that $F(X, Y, Z)$ is even in X . Then for any point $P = (X, Y, Z) \in C$, let $P' := (-X, Y, Z)$ is also in C . Then it is easy to see that

$$\text{dual}_C(P') = (F_X(P'), F_Y(P'), F_Z(P')) = (-F_X(P), F_Y(P), F_Z(P)) = \text{dual}_C(P)'$$

This implies that $F^*(X^*, Y^*, Z^*)$ is even in X . The symmetric case is proved similarly. \square

Assume that $C \in \mathcal{M}$ is defined by $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$. If F is an even polynomial in the variable X (respectively a symmetric polynomial in X, Y), then 6 cusps are stable by the involution $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto (-X, Y, Z)$ (resp. by $(X, Y, Z) \mapsto$

(Y, X, Z)). Then there exists a homogeneous polynomial $F_2(X, Y, Z)$ of degree 2 which is even in X (resp. symmetric in X, Y) such that the conic $F_2(X, Y, Z) = 0$ passes through the 6 cusps of C . By the criterion of Degtyarev [D], the sextic $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ is of a torus type.

Now we take a generic $C \in \mathcal{M}^{nml}$ and consider the dual curve $\psi(C)^*$ and let $\tilde{G}(X^*, Y^*, Z^*)$ be a defining homogeneous polynomial of degree 12, where (X^*, Y^*, Z^*) is the dual coordinates of (X, Y, Z) . As $\tilde{F}(X, Y, Z)$ is even in X, Y, Z , so is $\tilde{G}(X^*, Y^*, Z^*)$ in X, Y, Z by Lemma 2.1.

PROPOSITION 2.2. $\psi(C)^*$ has 4 nodes on each coordinate axis $X^* = 0$, $Y^* = 0$ or $Z^* = 0$.

Proof. Let $C = \{F(X, Y, Z) = 0\}$ and let us consider the discriminant polynomial $\Delta_Y F$ with respect to Y -variable. This is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 30 in X, Z ([O2]). We assume that the singularities of the sextic $F(X, Y, Z) = 0$ are not on the coordinate axis. Assume that $P := (\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in C$ is a singular point of C with Milnor number μ and multiplicity m . Then $\Delta_Y F(X, Z)$ has a linear term $(\gamma X - \alpha Z)^\rho$ with $\rho \geq \mu + m - 1$ and the equality holds if the line $\gamma Y - \beta Z = 0$ is generic with respect to C (see [O3]). Thus to each cusp (respectively to each node), there is an associated linear term with multiplicity 3 (resp. with multiplicity 2). The factor $X = 0$ and $Z = 0$ has also multiplicity 2 in $\Delta_Y F(X, Z) = 0$, as they are bi-tangent lines. Assume C is generic in \mathcal{M} . Then the sum of degrees is $18 + 6 + 4 = 28$ by the above consideration. Thus there exists two simple tangent lines of the form $X - \eta_1 Z = 0$ and $X - \eta_2 Z = 0$ for some $\eta_1, \eta_2 \neq 0$. Then four lines $X = \pm\sqrt{\eta_i} Z$, $i = 1, 2$ are bi-tangent lines for the curve $\psi(C)$. This implies that $(1, 0, \pm\sqrt{\eta_i})$, $i = 1, 2$ are nodes of the dual curve $\psi(C)^*$. Thus the coordinate axis $Y^* = 0$ contains 4 nodes of $\psi(C)^*$. By the same argument, $X^* = 0$ and $Z^* = 0$ contains also 4 nodes respectively. The non-emptiness of “generic” curves in \mathcal{M} in the above sense is not obvious but it follows from the example below. \square

DEFINITION 2.3. For $C \in \mathcal{M}^{nml}$, we define a polynomial of degree 6 by $G(X^*, Y^*, Z^*) := \tilde{G}(\sqrt{X^*}, \sqrt{Y^*}, \sqrt{Z^*})$ and we define $\iota(C)$ by the sextics defined by $G(X^*, Y^*, Z^*) = 0$. For $C \in \mathcal{M}$, take $g \in G$ so that $C^g \in \mathcal{M}^{nml}$ and we define an involution $\bar{\iota}: \mathcal{M}/G \rightarrow \mathcal{M}/G$ by $\bar{\iota}(C) = \iota(C^g)$.

CLAIM 1. $\bar{\iota}(C) \in \mathcal{M}$ for a generic $C \in \mathcal{M}$ and $\bar{\iota}$ is an involution which preserves the type of sextics, that is, we have the commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{M}/G & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} & \mathcal{M}/G \\
 \downarrow \bar{\psi} & & \downarrow \bar{\psi} \\
 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}/G & \xrightarrow{\text{dual}} & \tilde{\mathcal{M}}/G
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}/G & \xrightarrow{\bar{\iota}} & \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}/G \\
 \downarrow \bar{\psi} & & \downarrow \bar{\psi} \\
 \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{torus}}/G & \xrightarrow{\text{dual}} & \tilde{\mathcal{M}}_{\text{torus}}/G
 \end{array}$$

Proof. We may assume that $C \in \mathcal{M}^{nml}$. By the above consideration, we have seen that the dual curve $\psi(C)^*$ of $\psi(C)$ is defined by a polynomial $G(X^*, Y^*, Z^*)$ of degree 12 which is even in each of the three variables and it has 24 cusps and 12 nodes outside of coordinate axis and 4 nodes on each coordinate axis. Thus $\iota(C)$ has 6 cusps and 3 nodes. Note that nodes of $\psi(C)^*$ on the coordinate axes are mapped on simple tangents on the corresponding coordinate axes of $\iota(C)$. Thus the curve $\iota(C)$, defined by $g(\sqrt{x^*}, \sqrt{y^*}) = 0$, belongs to \mathcal{M}^{nml} . Finally we will show that ι keeps the type of the curve. As the curves $\{\bar{\iota}(C); C \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}/G\}$ are topologically equivalent, the image is contained in a connected component. Thus it is enough to show that there exists a $C \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}/G$ such that $\bar{\iota}(C) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}/G$. To see this, it is enough to take $C \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}^{nml}$ whose defining polynomial $F(X, Y, Z)$ is symmetric in each of X, Y . Then $\tilde{F}(X, Y, Z)$ is also symmetric in X, Y . This implies also that $\tilde{G}(X^*, Y^*, Z^*)$ and $G(X^*, Y^*, Z^*)$ symmetric in X^*, Y^* . By Degtyarev's criterion, this implies that $\iota(C)$ is a sextic of a torus type. \square

Thus we have proved the following:

THEOREM 2.4. *There exists an involution $\bar{\iota}$ on the quotient moduli space \mathcal{M}/G which is defined on generic points such that $\bar{\iota}$ is different from the dual curve operation and $\bar{\iota}$ preserves the types of the sextics, that is $\bar{\iota}(C) \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}/G \Leftrightarrow C \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}/G$.*

The following example shows that $\bar{\iota}(C) \neq C^*$ in general.

Example 2.5. Let $C \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{torus}}^{nml}$ be the sextic defined by the symmetric polynomial:

$$\begin{aligned} f := & -684(x^3y + xy^3) - 1055(x^3 + y^3) + 2235(x^2 + y^2) - 2178(x + y) + \\ & (819/16)(x^5y + y^5x) + (1767/16)(x^4y^2 + x^2y^4) + (881/8)y^3x^3 + (405/16)(x^6 + y^6) \\ & - (873/8)(x^5 + y^5) + (2001/4)(x^4 + y^4) - (971/8)(x^4y + xy^4) - (6947/2)y^2x^2 + \\ & 2268 + 1038(x^2y + xy^2) - 4883yx - (375/2)(x^2y^3 + x^3y^2). \end{aligned}$$

Then $\psi(C)$ is defined by $f(x^2, y^2)$ and $\psi(C)^*$ is defined by $g(x^{*2}, y^{*2}) = 0$ and $\iota(C)$ is the sextic defined by the symmetric polynomial

$$\begin{aligned} g(x^*, y^*) := & 908294x^{*2}y^{*2} - 354000(x^*y^{*2} + x^{*2}y^*) + 302745(y^{*4} + x^{*4}) + \\ & 529284(x^{*4}y^{*2} + y^{*4}x^{*2}) - 396458(x^*y^{*4} + y^*x^{*4}) - 722148(x^{*3}y^{*2} + y^{*3}x^{*2}) + \\ & 11340(y^{*6} + x^{*6}) - 109170(x^{*5} + y^{*5}) + 86296x^*y^* + 482724(x^{*3}y^* + y^{*3}x^*) - \\ & 158508(y^*x^{*5} + y^{*5}x^*) + 103096y^{*3}x^{*3} - 22230(x^* + y^*) - 203920(y^{*3} + x^{*3}) + \\ & 90570(y^{*2} + x^{*2}) + 2025 \end{aligned}$$

The dual curve C^* of C is defined by the following symmetric polynomial and we can easily check that $\bar{\iota}(C) \neq C^*$ in \mathcal{M}/G .

$$\begin{aligned} h(x^*, y^*) := & 3(x^{*4} + y^{*4}) + 14(x^{*3} + y^{*3}) + 3(x^{*2} + y^{*2}) + 4(y^*x^{*4} + x^*y^{*4}) + \\ & 36(y^*x^{*3} + x^*y^{*3}) + 6(y^*x^{*2} + x^*y^{*2}) - 2y^*x^* + 12(y^{*2}x^{*4} + x^{*2}y^{*4}) + 84(y^{*2}x^{*3} + \\ & x^{*2}y^{*3}) + 14y^{*2}x^{*2} + 88y^{*3}x^{*3} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Put $C' := \iota(C)$. We can see that C^* and C' are not in the same orbit of $\mathrm{PSL}(3; C)$. In fact, assume that there exists a $A \in \mathrm{PSL}(3; C)$ such that $(C^*)^A = C'$. Then A maps nodes to nodes. This implies that A permutes the three points $(0, 0, 1)$, $(0, 1, 0)$, and $(1, 0, 0)$. Thus A is a scalar multiplication of the coordinates $(X^*, Y^*, Z^*) \mapsto (\alpha X^*, \beta Y^*, \gamma Z^*)$, followed by a permutation $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_3$. These actions does not change the number of monomials in x^* and y^* . Thus $h^A = g$ is impossible as g has 28 monomials while h has only 19 monomials. \square

Remark. We know that $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{torus}}/G$ is irreducible of dimension 4, in which one dimensional subvariety comes as the image of Gauss map (= dual curves) of 3 (3,4)-cuspidal sextics of torus type ([O4]). We do not know either the irreducibility of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{gen}}/G$ or the dimension. Only thing we know is that it contains one dimensional subvariety coming from 3 (3,4)-cuspidal non-torus sextics as the image of Gauss map. However any such curve C is special in the sense C^* is not contained in $\mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{gen}}/G$. We do not have any explicit example of a generic element $C \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{gen}}/G$ which has three bitangent lines.

REFERENCES

- [B-K] E. BRIESKORN AND H. KNÖRRER, *Ebene Algebraische Kurven*, Birkhäuser, Basel, 1981.
- [D] A. DEGTJAREV, Alexander polynomial of a curve of degree six, *J. Knot Theory and its Ramification*, **3** (1994), 439–454.
- [N] M. NAMBA, *Geometry of Projective Algebraic Curves*, Dekker, New York, 1984.
- [O1] M. OKA, Symmetric plane curves with nodes and cusps, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **44** (1992), 375–414.
- [O2] M. OKA, Flex curves and their applications, *Geom. Dedicata*, **75** (1999), 67–100.
- [O3] M. OKA, Geometry of cuspidal sextics and their dual curves, to appear in *Singularities and Arrangements*, Sapporo-Tokyo 1998, Kinokuniya.
- [O4] M. OKA, Elliptic curves from sextics, preprint, 2000.
- [W] R. WALKER, *Algebraic Curves*, Dover, New York, 1949.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
 TOKYO METROPOLITAN UNIVERSITY
 MINAMI-OHSAWA, HACHIOJI-SHI
 TOKYO, 192-0397, JAPAN
 E-mail: oka@comp.metro-u.ac.jp