

ISOSPECTRAL HYPERSURFACES IN EUCLIDEAN SPHERES

JOSÉ N. B. BARBOSA

Abstract

The aim of this work is to present a classification of some compact hypersurfaces M^n of a unit sphere S^{n+1} provided the spectra of the Laplacian of p -forms of M^n , which we denote by $\text{Spec}^p(M)$, is equal to the spectra $\text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, of a given hypersurface M_0^n .

1 Introduction

Let M be a compact Riemannian manifold without boundary of dimension n . We will denote the spectrum of the Laplacian of p -forms in M by

$$\text{Spec}^p(M) := \{0 \leq \lambda_0^p \leq \lambda_1^p \leq \dots \uparrow +\infty\}, \quad p = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

One hard problem in Riemannian Geometry is to decide whether two isospectral Riemannian manifolds are isometric. The existence of flat tori which are isospectral but are not isometric (see [3]) is a counterexample to the validity in general of a positive answer to this question. The principal ingredient used to deal with this problem is the asymptotic expansion formula of the heat kernel due to Minakshisundaram-Pleijel (see [3] or [8]) which asserts

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_i^p)t} \sim (4\pi t)^{-n/2} (a_{0,n}^p + a_{1,n}^p t + a_{2,n}^p t^2 + \dots), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+,$$

where $a_{i,n}^p$ are geometric constants depending on M .

However, if we consider an isometric immersion of M into the Euclidean sphere S^{n+1} with some geometric properties, this problem comes less difficult. For instance, Q. Ding [7] proved that if M is a closed, orientable minimal hypersurface of S^4 and $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, for a given $p \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, where M_0 is the totally geodesic sphere, or the Clifford torus $S^1(\sqrt{1/3}) \times S^2(\sqrt{2/3})$, or the Cartan minimal hypersurface, then M is isometric to M_0 . On the other hand, J. Wang [10] had shown that if M is a closed, orientable hypersurface in S^4 with constant mean curvature H , M_H is an isoparametric hypersurface in S^4 with

the same mean curvature H and $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_H), \forall p \in \{0, 1\}$, then M is isometric to M_H .

We will denote by $k_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, the principal curvatures of an immersed hypersurface $M \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}$. In that way, the symmetric functions of k_i are defined by

$$\sigma_m = \sum_{\substack{i_1, \dots, i_m=1 \\ i_1 < \dots < i_m}}^n k_{i_1} \cdots k_{i_m},$$

with $m = 1, \dots, n$. The square of the length of the second fundamental form is given by

$$S = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^2.$$

Finally, dv stands for the element of volume of M .

Now, we are able to state the main theorem of this work:

THEOREM 1. *Let $M, M_0 \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}, n \geq 3$, be closed hypersurfaces of S^{n+1} with mean curvatures H and H_0 , and scalar curvatures ρ and ρ_0 , respectively. We require that one of the curvatures H and H_0 is nonnull and ρ_0 is constant. Suppose in addition that*

- (i) $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0), \forall p \in \{0, 1\}$, if $n = 3$;
- (ii) $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0), \forall p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, if $n \geq 4$.

Then $\rho = \rho_0$, i.e., M has also the same constant scalar curvature as M_0 . Moreover the following integral equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M H \sigma_3 \, dv &= \int_{M_0} H_0 \sigma_3^0 \, dv_0, \quad \text{if } n \geq 3, \\ \int_M \sigma_4 \, dv &= \int_{M_0} \sigma_4^0 \, dv_0, \quad \text{if } n \geq 4, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where σ_m^0 and dv_0 denote the values of σ_m and dv correspondent to M_0 , respectively. In particular, we have

$$n^2 H^2 - S = n^2 H_0^2 - S_0, \tag{2}$$

where S_0 is the square of the length of the second fundamental form of M_0 .

A consequence of our calculations is the next result about the case $H = H_0 = 0$, whose proof follows closely techniques presented before by Q. Ding in his paper [7].

THEOREM 2. *Let $M, M_0 \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}, n \geq 3$, be closed minimal hypersurfaces of S^{n+1} whose scalar curvatures are ρ and ρ_0 , respectively, with ρ_0 constant. Suppose that*

- (i) $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, for some $p \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$, if $n = 3$;
- (ii) $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, $\forall p \in \{0, 1\}$, if $n \geq 4$.

Then $\rho = \rho_0$. Moreover, for $n \geq 4$, we have

$$\int_M \sigma_4 \, dv = \int_{M_0} \sigma_4^0 \, dv_0.$$

Given $r \in (0, 1)$ and $m \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ we will denote by $M_{n-m,m}^r(H)$, the hypersurface of S^{n+1} with constant mean curvature H , obtained by considering the standard immersions $S^{n-m}(r) \subset \mathbf{R}^{n-m+1}$, $S^m(\sqrt{1-r^2}) \subset \mathbf{R}^{m+1}$ of spheres with radius r and $\sqrt{1-r^2}$ and dimensions $n-m$ and m , respectively, and taking the product immersion

$$S^{n-m}(r) \times S^m(\sqrt{1-r^2}) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}^{n-m+1} \times \mathbf{R}^{m+1}.$$

Thus we have that $M_{n-m,m}^r(H)$ is contained in S^{n+1} and has principal curvatures k_i , $i = 1, \dots, n$, and mean curvature, respectively, given by

$$k_1 = \dots = k_{n-m} = \frac{\sqrt{1-r^2}}{r}, \quad k_{n-m+1} = \dots = k_n = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}},$$

and

$$H = \frac{n-m-nr^2}{nr\sqrt{1-r^2}},$$

or the negative of these values when we choose the opposite orientation. The hypersurface $M_{n-m,m}^r(H)$ is usually known as $H(r)$ -torus or generalized Clifford Totus.

Let \mathcal{F}_H be the set consisting of isoparametric hypersurfaces in S^4 with constant mean curvature H . E. Cartan proved in [5] that if $M \in \mathcal{F}_H$ then M is totally umbilical, or a $H(r)$ -torus $M_{3-k,k}^r(H)$, or a Cartan hypersurface (that is, the isoparametric hypersurface obtained from the Cartan minimal hypersurface). Using Theorem 1 we will show that the assumption $H = H_0$ is not necessary in the theorem proved by J. Wang, above mentioned. More precisely, we will prove the following result:

THEOREM 3. *Let $M \hookrightarrow S^4$ be a closed and orientable hypersurface with constant mean curvature in S^4 and $M_0 \in \mathcal{F}_{H_0}$. If $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, for $p \in \{0, 1\}$, then $H = H_0$ and M is isometric to M_0 .*

For dimension $n \geq 4$, we will derive also from Theorem 1 the following result:

THEOREM 4. *Let $M \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}$, $n \geq 4$, be a closed and orientable hypersurface in S^{n+1} with the same constant mean curvature H_0 of an isoparametric hypersurface M_0 in S^{n+1} . If $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, $\forall p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, then M is also isoparametric. Moreover,*

- (i) if M_0 is either totally umbilical or the $H_0(r)$ -torus $M_{n-1,1}^r(H_0)$, with $r^2 \leq (n-1)/n$, then $M = M_0$.
- (ii) When $n = 4$ the principal curvatures of M and M_0 coincide.

Finally, we will prove the following theorem:

THEOREM 5. *Let $M \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}$ a closed hypersurface of S^{n+1} with nonnegative sectional curvature and $M_0 \hookrightarrow S^{n+1}$ a totally umbilical hypersurface or a $H_0(r_0)$ -torus $M_{n-1,1}^{r_0}(H_0)$, with $r_0 \leq (n-2)/n$. Suppose that*

- (i) $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, $\forall p \in \{0, 1\}$, if $n = 3$;
- (ii) $\text{Spec}^p(M) = \text{Spec}^p(M_0)$, $\forall p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, if $n \geq 4$.

Then M is isometric to M_0 .

2 Preliminaries

Let $M \subset S^{n+1}$ be a closed hypersurface with mean curvature H . Choose a local orthonormal frame field $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ and let $\{\omega_1, \dots, \omega_n\}$ be the corresponding dual frame. We consider the second fundamental form

$$h = \sum_{i,j=1}^n h_{ij}\omega_i\omega_j.$$

Let R and \tilde{R} be respectively the curvature and Ricci curvature tensors of M and denote by R_{ijkl} and \tilde{R}_{ij} , $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n$, their respective components with respect to the above frame. If we choose $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ such that $h_{ij} = k_i\delta_{ij}$, then

$$H = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n k_i,$$

$$R_{ijkl} = (1 + k_ik_j)(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jl} - \delta_{il}\delta_{jk}), \tag{3}$$

$$\tilde{R}_{ij} = [(n-1) + nHk_i - k_ik_j]\delta_{ij}. \tag{4}$$

Let ρ and S be, respectively, the scalar curvature of M and the square of the length of the second fundamental form h . The Gauss formula yields

$$\rho = n(n-1) + n^2H^2 - S \tag{5}$$

and taking into account (3) and (4) we have

$$|R|^2 = 2S^2 - 2f_4 + 4n^2H^2 - 4S + 2n(n-1), \tag{6}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{R}|^2 &= n^2H^2S + f_4 + n(n-1)^2 - 2nHf_3 \\ &+ 2n^2(n-1)H^2 - 2(n-1)S, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where

$$f_m = \sum_{i=1}^n k_i^m.$$

The expressions of f_m can be calculated using the formulas (see e.g. [9], p. 101)

$$f_m - f_{m-1}\sigma_1 + f_{m-2}\sigma_2 - \cdots + (-1)^{m-1}f_1\sigma_{m-1} + (-1)^m m\sigma_m = 0, \quad \text{for } m \leq n,$$

$$f_m - f_{m-1}\sigma_1 + \cdots + (-1)^n f_{m-n}\sigma_n = 0, \quad \text{for } m > n.$$

When $n = 3$ we get

$$f_3 = \frac{9}{2}HS - \frac{27}{2}H^3 + 3\sigma_3,$$

$$f_4 = \frac{1}{2}S^2 + 9H^2S - \frac{81}{2}H^4 + 12H\sigma_3, \quad (8)$$

and for $n \geq 4$,

$$f_3 = \frac{3n}{2}HS - \frac{n^3}{2}H^3 + 3\sigma_3,$$

$$f_4 = \frac{1}{2}S^2 + n^2H^2S - \frac{n^4}{2}H^4 + 4nH\sigma_3 - 4\sigma_4. \quad (9)$$

If H is constant, the Simons formula for M is given by

$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta S = |\nabla h|^2 + S(n - S) - n^2H^2 + nHf_3. \quad (10)$$

Since M is compact, using Minakshisundaram-Pleijel's asymptotic expansion formula of the heat kernel stated in the introduction we can write

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-(\lambda_i^p)t} \sim (4\pi t)^{-n/2} (a_{0,n}^p + a_{1,n}^p t + a_{2,n}^p t^2 + \cdots), \quad t \rightarrow 0^+, \quad (11)$$

where

$$a_{0,n}^p = \binom{n}{p} \text{vol}(M), \quad a_{1,n}^p = \left[\frac{1}{6} \binom{n}{p} - \binom{n-2}{p-1} \right] \int_M \rho \, dv,$$

$$a_{2,n}^p = \int_M (E_n^p \rho^2 + F_n^p |\tilde{R}|^2 + G_n^p |R|^2) \, dv,$$

and

$$E_n^p = \frac{1}{72} \binom{n}{p} - \frac{1}{6} \binom{n-2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-4}{p-2}$$

$$F_n^p = -\frac{1}{180} \binom{n}{p} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-2}{p-1} - 2 \binom{n-4}{p-2}$$

$$G_n^p = \frac{1}{180} \binom{n}{p} - \frac{1}{12} \binom{n-2}{p-1} + \frac{1}{2} \binom{n-4}{p-2},$$

where dv and $\text{vol}(M)$ represent respectively the volume form and volume of M , with respect to the induced Riemannian metric of S^{n+1} . We point out that these coefficients were calculated in [8]. Moreover we will decree here that $\binom{l}{q} = 0$ if $l < 0$ or $q < 0$ or $l < q$.

3 Proof of Theorems

We use the same notation for the geometric data of M as in the previous section. We indicate with a subscript “0” the corresponding data for M_0 .

Proof of Theorem 1: By hypothesis, the asymptotic expansion formula of M and M_0 coincide. Thus

$$\text{vol}(M) = \text{vol}(M_0), \tag{12}$$

$$\int_M \rho \, dv = \int_{M_0} \rho_0 \, dv_0 \tag{13}$$

and

$$\int_M (E_n^p \rho^2 + F_n^p |\tilde{\mathcal{R}}|^2 + G_n^p |R|^2) \, dv = \int_{M_0} (E_n^p \rho_0^2 + F_n^p |\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_0|^2 + G_n^p |R_0|^2) \, dv_0. \tag{14}$$

Therefore taking in account (5) and (13) we obtain

$$\int_M [n(n-1) + n^2 H^2 - S] \, dv = \int_{M_0} [n(n-1) + n^2 H_0^2 - S_0] \, dv_0$$

Since

$$\int_M dv = \text{vol}(M) = \text{vol}(M_0) = \int_{M_0} dv_0$$

we conclude that

$$\int_M (n^2 H^2 - S) \, dv = \int_{M_0} (n^2 H_0^2 - S_0) \, dv_0. \tag{15}$$

We first consider the case $n \geq 4$. Replacing the expressions of f_3 and f_4 in (6) and (7) we obtain

$$|R|^2 = (n^2 H^2 - S)^2 + 4(n^2 H^2 - S) - 8nH\sigma_3 + 2n(n-1) + 8\sigma_4, \tag{16}$$

$$|\tilde{\mathcal{R}}|^2 = \frac{1}{2}(n^2 H^2 - S)^2 + 2(n-1)(n^2 H^2 - S) - 2nH\sigma_3 + n(n-1)^2 - 4\sigma_4. \tag{17}$$

Therefore, for $p \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M (E_n^p \rho^2 + F_n^p |\tilde{\mathbf{R}}|^2 + G_n^p |\mathbf{R}|^2) \, dv \\
&= E_n^p \int_M [(n^2 H^2 - S)^2 + 2n(n-1)(n^2 H^2 - S) + n^2(n-1)^2] \, dv \\
&+ F_n^p \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2}(n^2 H^2 - S)^2 + 2(n-1)(n^2 H^2 - S) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - 2nH\sigma_3 + n(n-1)^2 - 4\sigma_4 \right] \, dv \\
&+ G_n^p \int_M [(n^2 H^2 - S)^2 + 4(n^2 H^2 - S) - 8nH\sigma_3 + 2n(n-1) + 8\sigma_4] \, dv. \quad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we have a similar identity for M_0 . Therefore considering this equations in equality (14) and using (15) we derive the system of equations

$$\alpha_n^p \mathbf{X} + \beta_n^p \mathbf{Y} + \gamma_n^p \mathbf{Z} = 0, \quad p = 0, 1, 2,$$

where

$$\alpha_n^p = \left(E_n^p + \frac{1}{2} F_n^p + G_n^p \right), \quad \beta_n^p = -2n(F_n^p + 4G_n^p), \quad \gamma_n^p = -4(F_n^p - 2G_n^p)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X} &:= \int_M (n^2 H^2 - S)^2 \, dv - \int_{M_0} (n^2 H_0^2 - S_0)^2 \, dv_0, \\
\mathbf{Y} &:= \int_M H\sigma_3 \, dv - \int_{M_0} H_0\sigma_3^0 \, dv_0, \\
\mathbf{Z} &:= \int_M \sigma_4 \, dv - \int_{M_0} \sigma_4^0 \, dv_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Now a straightforward calculation, using the expressions for E_n^p, F_n^p and G_n^p , yields

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_n^0 & \beta_n^0 & \gamma_n^0 \\ \alpha_n^1 & \beta_n^1 & \gamma_n^1 \\ \alpha_n^2 & \beta_n^2 & \gamma_n^2 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

We conclude that $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{Z} = 0$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \int_M (n^2 H^2 - S)^2 \, dv = \int_{M_0} (n^2 H_0^2 - S_0)^2 \, dv_0, \quad (19) \\
& \int_M H\sigma_3 \, dv = \int_{M_0} H_0\sigma_3^0 \, dv_0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_M \sigma_4 \, dv = \int_{M_0} \sigma_4^0 \, dv_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since ρ_0 is constant, we have $n^2H_0^2 - S_0$ constant. Then combining (15), (19) and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |n^2H_0^2 - S_0| \operatorname{vol}(M_0) &= \left| \int_M (n^2H^2 - S) \, dv \right| \\ &\leq \left[\int_M (n^2H^2 - S)^2 \, dv \right]^{1/2} \left[\int_M dv \right]^{1/2} \\ &= |n^2H_0^2 - S_0| \operatorname{vol}(M_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $n^2H^2 - S = n^2H_0^2 - S_0$, which is equivalent to $\rho = \rho_0$. This concludes the proof of the theorem for $n \geq 4$.

In the case $n = 3$, the calculations are entirely analogous. The similar formula to (18) is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_M (E_3^p \rho^2 + F_3^p |\tilde{\mathbf{R}}|^2 + G_3^p |R|^2) \, dv \\ &= E_3^p \int_M [(9H^2 - S)^2 - 12(9H^2 - S) + 36] \, dv \\ &\quad + F_3^p \int_M \left[\frac{1}{2}(9H^2 - S)^2 + 4(9H^2 - S) - 6H\sigma_3 + 12 \right] \, dv \\ &\quad + G_3^p \int_M [(9H^2 - S)^2 + 4(9H^2 - S) - 24H\sigma_3 + 12] \, dv, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

whereas the corresponding system of equations is

$$\alpha_3^p \tilde{\mathbf{X}} + \beta_3^p \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} = 0, \quad p = 0, 1,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathbf{X}} &:= \int_M (9H^2 - S)^2 \, dv - \int_{M_0} (9H_0^2 - S_0)^2 \, dv_0, \\ \tilde{\mathbf{Y}} &:= \int_M H\sigma_3 \, dv - \int_{M_0} H_0\sigma_3^0 \, dv_0. \end{aligned}$$

It is easily checked that

$$\det \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_3^0 & \beta_3^0 \\ \alpha_3^1 & \beta_3^0 \end{pmatrix} \neq 0.$$

So, proceeding as in the case $n \geq 4$, we complete the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 3: It follows from Theorem 1 that $9H^2 - S = 9H_0^2 - S_0$. Since H is constant, S is also constant and we can make use the theorems of S. Almeida, F. Brito [2] and S. Chang [5] to conclude that M is isoparametric and

belongs to \mathcal{F}_H . In particular σ_3 is also constant. Considering (1) and (12) we conclude

$$H\sigma_3 = H_0\sigma_3^0. \quad (21)$$

We now analyze separately three cases.

Case 1) M_0 is a Cartan hypersurface.

It is known that $S_0 = 6 + 9H_0^2$ and $\sigma_3^0 = -3H_0$ (see e.g. [4]). Thus, using (2) we have $S = 6 + 9H^2$ and, by E. Cartan [4], M is a Cartan hypersurface. By using (21) we obtain $-3H^2 = H\sigma_3 = H_0\sigma_3^0 = -3H_0^2$, that is, $H = \pm H_0$. We now use the same theorem of Cartan [4] to conclude that $M = M_0$.

Case 2) M_0 is totally umbilical.

Since $S_0 = 3H_0^2$ and $\sigma_3^0 = H_0^3$, the expressions (2) and (21) yield

$$S = 9H^2 - 6H_0^2, \quad (22)$$

$$H\sigma_3 = H_0^4. \quad (23)$$

From case 1, it follows that M can not be a Cartan hypersurface, otherwise M_0 is also a Cartan hypersurface. Since $M \in \mathcal{F}_H$, M is either a $H(r)$ -torus $M'_{2,1}(H)$ or totally umbilical. Suppose $M = M'_{2,1}(H)$, for some r . Then the principal curvatures of M are

$$k_1 = k_2 = \frac{\sqrt{1-r^2}}{r}, \quad k_3 = -\frac{r}{\sqrt{1-r^2}},$$

or the symmetric of these values for the opposite orientation. We can see now that, independently of the orientation, H and S satisfy

$$H^2 = \frac{9r^4 - 12r^2 + 4}{9r^2(1-r^2)}, \quad S = \frac{3r^4 - 4r^2 + 2}{r^2(1-r^2)}, \quad (24)$$

$$H\sigma_3 = \frac{3r^2 - 2}{3r^2}. \quad (25)$$

By using (22) and (24) we conclude that $r^2 = 1/3(H_0^2 + 1) < 2/3$. Hence (25) guarantees $H\sigma_3 < 0$. So, we have a contradiction with (23). Thus, M is totally umbilical and $S = 3H^2 = 9H^2 - 6H_0^2$. Therefore $H = \pm H_0$ and similarly $M = M_0$.

Case 3) M_0 is a $H_0(r_0)$ -torus.

Let us suppose $M_0 = M'_{2,1}(H_0)$. From cases (1) and (2) M is neither totally

umbilical nor Cartan hypersurface. Thus M is an $H(r)$ -torus $M_{2,1}^r(H)$. It follows from (25) that

$$H\sigma_3 = \frac{3r^2 - 2}{3r^2} \quad \text{and} \quad H_0\sigma_3^0 = \frac{3r_0^2 - 2}{3r_0^2}.$$

Since H is constant, (1) and (12) yield $H\sigma_3 = H_0\sigma_3^0$, from where we conclude that $r = r_0$. This finishes the proof of the theorem. \square

Proof of Theorem 4: First we will consider $H_0 \neq 0$. Using Theorem 1 for $n \geq 4$ and $H = H_0$ we obtain that $S = S_0$,

$$\int_M \sigma_3 \, dv = \int_{M_0} \sigma_3^0 \, dv_0, \tag{26}$$

$$\int_M \sigma_4 \, dv = \int_{M_0} \sigma_4^0 \, dv_0. \tag{27}$$

We use now formula (9) to obtain

$$f_3 = \frac{3n}{2} H_0 S_0 - \frac{n^3}{2} H_0^3 + 3\sigma_3,$$

$$f_3^0 = \frac{3n}{2} H_0 S_0 - \frac{n^3}{2} H_0^3 + 3\sigma_3^0.$$

From (26) and the fact that $\text{vol}(M) = \text{vol}(M_0)$ we conclude

$$\int_M f_3 \, dv = \int_{M_0} f_3^0 \, dv_0. \tag{28}$$

Since $H = H_0$, $S = S_0$ and $\nabla h_0 = 0$ (h_{ij}^0 are constants, $i, j = 1, \dots, n$) the respective Simons formulae (10) for M and M_0 read as follows

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta S_0 = |\nabla h|^2 + S_0(n - S_0) - n^2 H_0^2 + nH_0 f_3,$$

$$0 = \frac{1}{2} \Delta S_0 = S_0(n - S_0) - n^2 H_0^2 + nH_0 f_3^0,$$

from where we conclude that

$$\int_M |\nabla h|^2 = nH_0 \left(\int_M f_3 \, dv - \int_{M_0} f_3^0 \, dv_0 \right) = 0.$$

When $H_0 = 0$ the Theorem 2 carries that $S = S_0$ and the Simons formulae for M and M_0 still imply that $\int_M |\nabla h|^2 = 0$. Hence, whatever it is the value of H_0 , we have $\nabla h = 0$, that is, $h_{ijk} = 0$, for $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$. Since M is a hypersurface, it follows from formula (2.10) of [6] that

$$\sum_{k=1}^n h_{ijk} \omega_k = dh_{ij} - \sum_{l=1}^n h_{il} \omega_{jl} - \sum_{l=1}^n h_{lj} \omega_{il}.$$

Since $h_{ij} = k_i \delta_{ij}$ and $h_{ijk} = 0$, $i, j, k = 1, \dots, n$, we have

$$0 = dh_{ij} + (k_i - k_j)\omega_{ij}$$

and setting $i = j$, we conclude $dk_i = dh_{ii} = 0$. Thus, k_i is constant, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and M is isoparametric.

On the other hand, the Theorem 1.5 of [1] due to H. Alencar and M. do Carmo gives us that the totally umbilical hypersurfaces of S^{n+1} as well as the $H(r)$ -torus $M_{n-1,1}^r(H)$ with $r^2 \leq (n-1)/n$, are characterized by the constant mean curvature and the square of the length of the second fundamental form. Thus, since $H = H_0$ and $S = S_0$, we can apply the Alencar-do Carmo Theorem to conclude (i).

Let us suppose now that $n = 4$ to prove (ii). Since M is isoparametric, σ_3 and σ_4 are both constants. Joining the expressions (26), (27) and the fact that $\text{vol}(M) = \text{vol}(M_0)$ we have $\sigma_3 = \sigma_3^0$ and $\sigma_4 = \sigma_4^0$. On the other hand,

$$\sigma_1 = 4H = 4H_0 = \sigma_1^0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_2 = \frac{16H^2 - S}{2} = \frac{16H_0^2 - S_0}{2} = \sigma_2^0.$$

Therefore the four symmetric functions for M and M_0 agree and we conclude that $k_i = k_i^0$, for $i = 1, \dots, 4$, which conclude the proof of (ii) of Theorem 4. \square

Proof of Theorem 5: It follows from Theorem 1 that $\rho = \rho_0$. If M_0 is totally umbilical, then $\rho_0 = n(n-1)(H_0^2 + 1)$, whereas for $M_0 = M_{n-1,1}^{\rho_0}(H_0)$ we have that

$$\rho_0 = \frac{(n-1)(n-2)}{r_0^2}.$$

It follows in both cases that $\rho_0 \geq n(n-1)$, i.e., the normalized scalar curvature of M_0 , and hence of M , is constant and greater than or equal to 1. This fact and the assumption that M has nonnegative sectional curvature imply, from Theorem 2 of [11], that M is either totally umbilical or a product of two totally umbilical constantly curved submanifolds. In the last case, M is a $H(r)$ -torus. Hence, H, S and σ_3 are constant, as well as $\nabla h = 0$. Therefore, Simons formula (10) for M yields

$$0 = S(n-S) - n^2H^2 + nHf_3.$$

The relations (8) and (9) for M , allow us to rewrite this formula as

$$0 = S(n-S) - n^2H^2 + \frac{3}{2}n^2H^2S - \frac{1}{2}n^4H^4 + 3nH\sigma_3. \quad (29)$$

Since $\rho = \rho_0$, the Gauss formula implies $S - n^2H^2 = S_0 - n^2H_0^2 = c_0$. Then, we have $S = c_0 + n^2H^2$ and the equality (29) becomes

$$0 = (n - c_0)c_0 + n^2 \left(n - 1 - \frac{1}{2}c_0 \right) H^2 + 3nH\sigma_3. \quad (30)$$

Analogously, the Simons formula for M_0 give us

$$0 = (n - c_0)c_0 + n^2 \left(n - 1 - \frac{1}{2}c_0 \right) H_0^2 + 3nH_0\sigma_3^0. \quad (31)$$

On the other hand, it follows from Theorem 1 that $\int_M H\sigma_3 \, dv = \int_{M_0} H_0\sigma_3^0 \, dv_0$ and with the same argument contained in its proof we conclude $\text{vol}(M) = \text{vol}(M_0)$. Since H and σ_3 are constant, we have that $H\sigma_3 = H_0\sigma_3^0$. Therefore, putting together the equalities (30) and (31) we obtain

$$\left(n - 1 - \frac{1}{2}c_0 \right) (H^2 - H_0^2) = 0.$$

We will show that $n - 1 - (1/2)c_0 \neq 0$. Indeed, otherwise $\rho_0 = (n - 1)(n - 2)$, since

$$\rho_0 = n(n - 1) + n^2H^2 - S = n(n - 1) - c_0.$$

But if M_0 is totally umbilical, then $\rho_0 = n(n - 1)(H_0^2 + 1) \neq (n - 1)(n - 2)$ while for $M_0 = M_{n-1,1}^{r_0}(H_0)$, we have $\rho_0 = (n - 1)(n - 2)/r_0^2 \neq (n - 1)(n - 2)$ for $0 < r_0 < 1$. Hence, $n - 1 - (1/2)c_0 \neq 0$ and we can conclude that $H = \pm H_0$. Therefore, $S = S_0$. Now, we can make use of Alencar-do Carmo's Theorem mentioned above to finish the proof of theorem. \square

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Abdênago Barros, Aldir Brasil and Jorge Lira for careful readings and helpful sugestions during the preparation of this work.

REFERENCES

- [1] H. ALENCAR AND M. DO CARMO, Hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature in spheres, Proc. of the Amer. Math. Soc. **120** (1994), 4, 1223–1229.
- [2] S. ALMEIDA AND F. BRITO, Closed 3-dimensional hypersurfaces with constant mean curvature and constant scalar curvature, Duke Math. J. **61** (1990), 195–206.
- [3] M. BERGER, P. GAUDUCHON ET E. MAZET, Le Spectre d'une Variété Riemannienne, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 194, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [4] E. CARTAN, Sur des familles remarquables d'hypersurfaces isoparamétriques dans les espaces sphériques, Math. Zeitschrift **45** (1939), 335–367.
- [5] S. CHANG, A closed hypersurface with constant scalar and mean curvature in S^4 is isoparametric, Communications in Analysis and Geometry **1** (1993), 71–100.
- [6] S. CHERN, M. DO CARMO AND S. KOBAYASHI, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, Functional Analysis and Related Fields, Springer-Verlag, New York (1970), 59–75.
- [7] Q. DING, On spectral characterization of minimal hypersurfaces in a sphere, Kodai Math. J. **17** (1994), 320–328.
- [8] U. K. PATODI, Curvature and the fundamental solution of the heat operator, J. Indian Math. Soc. **34** (1970), 269–285.
- [9] B. L. VAN DER WAERDEN, Algebra, Vol. 1, Springer-Verlag, New York (1991).

- [10] J. WANG, On spectral characterizations of isoparametric hypersurfaces in S^4 , J. Math. Exposition **17** (1997), 496–500.
- [11] S.-Y. CHENG AND S.-T. YAU, Hypersurfaces with constant scalar curvature, Math. Ann. **225** (1977), 195–204.

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA
UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DA BAHIA
40.170-110 SALVADOR-BA, BRAZIL
E-mail: jnelson@ufba.br