

SUBMANIFOLDS WITH CONSTANT SCALAR CURVATURE

JINTANG LI

Abstract

Let M^n be a compact submanifold of $S^{n+p}(c)$ with constant scalar curvature. In this paper, we prove that if the squared norm S of the second fundamental form satisfies a certain inequality, then M^n is a totally umbilic or equality holds and we described all M^n that satisfy this equality.

1. Introduction

Let $S^{n+p}(c)$ be a sphere of constant sectional curvature c and let M^n be a compact submanifold of $S^{n+p}(c)$ with constant scalar curvature. Let $S = \sum_{i,j,\alpha} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2$ and ξ be the square norm of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature vector of M respectively. We set $H = \|\xi\|$, $S_\alpha = \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2$ and $H^\alpha = (h_{ij}^\alpha)_{n \times n}$. In [1], Li Haizhong studied some properties for $p = 1$. The purpose of this paper is to extend the result of [1] to higher codimensions. In other words, we shall prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let M^n ($2 \leq n \leq 4$, when $n = 2$, $p \neq 1, 2$) be a compact submanifold of $S^{n+p}(c)$ with constant scalar curvature ρ . Assume the normalized mean curvature vector is parallel with respect to the normal connection. If*

$$S - n\bar{R} \leq \frac{B_p}{n-1-B_p} \left\{ n^2 c + n^2 \bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{[S + n(n-1)\bar{R}][nS_{n-1} - S - n(n-1)\bar{R}]} \right\}, \quad (1.1)$$

where

$$B_p = \begin{cases} 1, & p = 1, 2 \\ \frac{2}{3}, & p \geq 3 \end{cases}$$

and $\bar{R} = \rho/n(n-1) - c$, then either

Mathematics Subject Classification (2000): 53c42.

Received July 29, 2003; revised April 21, 2004.

(1) $S = nH^2$ and M is totally umbilic.

or

(2) The equality holds in (1.1), H is a constant and one of the following cases occurs:

(a) $H = 0$, $p = 1$ and M is a minimal Clifford hypersurface,

$$M^n = S^m \left(\left(\frac{m}{nc} \right)^{1/2} \right) \times S^{n-m} \left(\left(\frac{n-m}{nc} \right)^{1/2} \right) \hookrightarrow S^{n+p}(c).$$

(b) $H \neq 0$, $p = 1$ and $M^n = S^{n-1}(r_1) \times S^1(r_2)$, where $r_1^2 + r_2^2 = c^{-1}$, $r_1^2 < (n-1)/nc$.

(c) $H \neq 0$, $p = 2$ and M is a minimal Clifford hypersurface in a hypersphere,

$$M^n = S^m \left(\left(\frac{m}{n(c+H^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right) \times S^{n-m} \left(\left(\frac{n-m}{n(c+H^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right) \subset S^{n+1}(c+H^2) \hookrightarrow S^{n+2}(c).$$

(d) $H \neq 0$, $p = 2$ and for all $0 < H_2 \leq H$, M is an H_1 -torus,

$$M^n = S^{n-1}(r_1) \times S^1(r_2) \subset S^{n+1}(c+H_2^2) \hookrightarrow S^{n+2}(c),$$

where $H_1^2 + H_2^2 = H^2$, $r_1^2 + r_2^2 = (c+H_2^2)^{-1}$, $r_1^2 < (n-1)/n(c+H_1^2)$, and an H_1 -torus is defined by Walcy Santos (see [3]).

(e) $H \neq 0$, $n = 2$, $p = 3$ and M^2 is a Veronese surface in a hypersphere,

$$M^2 \subset S^4(c+H^2) \hookrightarrow S^5(c).$$

for $n \geq 5$, we have

THEOREM 2. Let M^n ($n \geq 5$) be a compact submanifold of $S^{n+p}(c)$ with constant scalar curvature ρ . Assume the normalized mean curvature vector is parallel with respect to the normal connection and $\rho \geq n(n-1)c$. If S and H satisfied (1.1), then either

(1) $S = nH^2$ and M is totally umbilic.

or

(2) The equality holds in (1.1), H is a constant and one of the following cases occurs:

(a) $H \neq 0$, $p = 1$ and $M^n = S^{n-1}(r_1) \times S^1(r_2)$, where $r_1^2 + r_2^2 = c^{-1}$, $r_1^2 < (n-1)/nc$.

(b) $H \neq 0$, $p = 2$ and M is a minimal Clifford hypersurface in a hypersphere,

$$M^n = S^m \left(\left(\frac{m}{n(c+H^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right) \times S^{n-m} \left(\left(\frac{n-m}{n(c+H^2)} \right)^{1/2} \right) \subset S^{n+1}(c+H^2) \hookrightarrow S^{n+2}(c).$$

(c) $H \neq 0$, $p = 2$ and for all $0 < H_2 \leq H$, M is an H_1 -torus,

$$M^n = S^{n-1}(r_1) \times S^1(r_2) \subset S^{n+1}(c+H_2^2) \hookrightarrow S^{n+2}(c),$$

where $H_1^2 + H_2^2 = H^2$, $r_1^2 + r_2^2 = (c+H_2^2)^{-1}$, $r_1^2 < (n-1)/n(c+H_1^2)$.

Remark 1. When $p = 1$, $n = 3, 4$, our assumption condition (1.1), i.e.

$$S - n\bar{R} \leq \frac{1}{n-2} \{n^2c + n^2\bar{R} - (n-2)\sqrt{[S + n(n-1)\bar{R}][S - n\bar{R}]}\}$$

is equivalent to

$$(n-2)^2[S + n(n-1)\bar{R}][S - n\bar{R}] \leq \{n^2c + 2n(n-1)\bar{R} - (n-2)S\}^2. \quad (1.1')$$

On the other hand, (1.1') is equivalent to

$$S \leq \frac{n}{(n-2)(n\bar{R} + 2)} [n(n-1)\bar{R}^2 + 4(n-1)\bar{R} + n],$$

so Theorem 1 improve Theorem 2 of [1].

Remark 2. In Theorem 2, when the equality holds in (1.1), we have that $H \neq 0$ by $\rho \geq n(n-1)c$ and the *Guass* equation.

2. Preliminaries

We choose local field of orthonormal frame $\{e_1, \dots, e_{n+p}\}$ in such way that e_{n+1} is the normalized mean curvature vector and $h_{ij}^{n+1} = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$. We need the following Lemma:

LEMMA 1. *If $2 \leq n \leq 4$, then*

$$\int_M \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} \{(h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha\} \geq 0.$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha &= \sum_j \nabla_{e_j} \left(\sum_{i,k,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kki}^\alpha \right) - \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kki}^\alpha \\ &= \sum_j \nabla_{e_j} \left(\sum_{i,k,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kki}^\alpha \right) - \sum_{i,\alpha} \left(\sum_j h_{jji}^\alpha \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_j \nabla_{e_j} \left(\sum_{i,k,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kki}^\alpha \right) - \sum_{i,\alpha} (h_{iii}^\alpha)^2 - \sum_{i,\alpha} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} h_{jji}^\alpha \right)^2, \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

$$\sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 = \sum_{i,\alpha} (h_{iii}^\alpha)^2 + 3 \sum_{i \neq j,\alpha} (h_{jji}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i,j,k \neq \alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2. \quad (2.2)$$

For $n \leq 4$ and $\forall i$, we have

$$3 \sum_{j \neq i} (h_{jji}^\alpha)^2 \geq (n-1) \sum_{j \neq i} (h_{jji}^\alpha)^2 \geq \left(\sum_{j \neq i} h_{jji}^\alpha \right)^2,$$

so we have

$$3 \sum_{j \neq i, \alpha} (h_{jji}^\alpha)^2 \geq \sum_{i, \alpha} \left(\sum_{j \neq i} h_{jji}^\alpha \right)^2. \tag{2.3}$$

By (2.1), (2.2), (2.3) and the compactness of M , the Lemma 1 is true.

LEMMA 2 [1]. *Assume the scalar curvature $\rho = \text{constant}$ and $\rho \geq n(n-1)c$, then*

$$\sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} \left\{ (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 - \sum_i (nH_i)^2 \right\} \geq 0.$$

LEMMA 3 [2]. *M^n is a compact submanifold of $S^{n+p}(c)$ with parallel normalized mean curvature vector *if and only if $H^{n+1}H^\alpha = H^\alpha H^{n+1}$.**

LEMMA 4 [3]. *Let $A, B: R^n \rightarrow R^n$ be symmetric linear maps such that $[A, B] = 0$ and $\text{tr } A = \text{tr } B = 0$, then*

$$-\frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} (\text{tr } A^2)(\text{tr } B^2)^{1/2} \leq \text{tr } A^2 B \leq \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} (\text{tr } A^2)(\text{tr } B^2)^{1/2},$$

and the equality holds on the right hand (resp. the left hand) side if and only if $n-1$ of the eigenvalues x_i of A and the corresponding eigenvalues y_i of B satisfy

$$|x_i| = \frac{(\text{tr } A^2)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}, \quad x_i x_j \geq 0,$$

$$y_i = \frac{(\text{tr } B^2)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}. \quad \left(\text{resp. } y_i = -\frac{(\text{tr } B^2)^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)$$

LEMMA 5 [4]. *Let A_{n+1}, \dots, A_{n+p} be symmetric $(n \times n)$ -matrices. Denote $S_{\alpha\beta} = \text{tr}(A_\alpha A_\beta)$, $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha\alpha} = N(A_\alpha)$, $S = \sum_\alpha S_\alpha$, then*

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta} N(A_\alpha A_\beta - A_\beta A_\alpha) + \sum_{\alpha, \beta} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \text{sgn}(p-1) \right) S^2.$$

3. The of Theorem

We define a self-adjoint operator \square by [1]

$$\square f = \sum_{i, j} (nH\delta_{ij} - h_{ij}^{n+1}) f_{ij}.$$

we have [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \Delta S &= \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha + 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha)^2 (H^\beta)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr} H^\beta [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha)^2 H^\beta] + cnS - cn^2 H^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

By the *Guass* equation and the scalar curvature $\rho = \text{constant}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \square(nH) &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta(nH)^2 - \sum_i (nH_i)^2 - \sum_i (nH)_{ii} \lambda_i \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \Delta S - \sum_i (nH_i)^2 - \sum_i (nH)_{ii} \lambda_i \\ &= \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 - \sum_i (nH_i)^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha)^2 (H^\beta)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2 + \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr} H^\beta [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha)^2 H^\beta] + cnS - cn^2 H^2. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

From Lemma 3 and Lemma 5, we have, $p \geq 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} &2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha,\beta} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha)^2 (H^\beta)^2 - \sum_{\alpha,\beta} [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq \beta \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha)^2 (H^\beta)^2 \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1, \beta \neq n+1} [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2 - S_{n+1}^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^{n+1})]^2 \\ &= -N_{\alpha \neq \beta \neq n+1} (H^\alpha H^\beta - H^\beta H^\alpha) - \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1, \beta \neq n+1} S_{\alpha\beta}^2 \\ &\quad - S_{n+1}^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} [\operatorname{tr}(H^\alpha H^\beta)]^2 \\ &\geq - \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} \operatorname{sgn}(p-2)\right) \left(\sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} S_\alpha \right)^2 - S_{n+1}^2 - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \left[\sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^{n+1} - H\delta_{ij}) h_{ij}^\alpha \right]^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{B_p} (S - S_{n+1})^2 - S_{n+1}^2 - 2 \sum_{i,j} (h_{ij}^{n+1} - H\delta_{ij})^2 \sum_{i,j, \alpha \neq n+1} (h_{ij}^\alpha)^2 \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{B_p} (S - S_{n+1})^2 - S_{n+1}^2 - 2(S_{n+1} - nH^2)(S - S_{n+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

Obviously, the inequality (3.3) holds for $p = 1$.

By Lemma 4, we take

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\alpha, \beta} \operatorname{tr} H^\beta \operatorname{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 H^\beta] \\
&= nH \sum_i \lambda_i^3 + nH \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 H^{n+1}] \\
&= 3nH^2 S_{n+1} - 2n^2 H^4 - nH \sum_i (H - \lambda_i)^3 \\
&\quad + nH \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 (H^{n+1} - HI_{n \times n})] + nH \sum_{\alpha \neq n+1} \operatorname{tr}[(H^\alpha)^2 HI_{n \times n}] \\
&\geq 3nH^2 S_{n+1} - 2n^2 H^4 - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S_{n+1} nH^2)^{3/2} \\
&\quad - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S - S_{n+1})(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} + nH^2(S - S_{n+1}) \\
&= 2nH^2 S_{n+1} - 2n^2 H^4 + nH^2 S - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S - nH^2)(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

and the equation holds in (3.4) if and only if

$$H - \lambda_i = -\frac{S^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}, \quad (i = 1, \dots, n-1). \tag{3.5}$$

It follows from (3.1), (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \Delta S &\geq \sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha - \frac{1}{B_p} S^2 - \left(\frac{1}{B_p} - 1\right) S_{n+1}^2 \\
&\quad + 2\left(\frac{1}{B_p} - 1\right) S S_{n+1} + 3nH^2 S - 2n^2 H^4 \\
&\quad - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S - nH^2)(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} + nc(S - nH^2) \\
&= \sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i, j, k, \alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{1}{B_p} - 1\right) (S_{n+1} - nH^2)(2S - S_{n+1} - nH^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{B_p} (S - nH^2)^2 + (nH^2 + nc)(S - nH^2) \\
&\quad - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S - nH^2)(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\geq \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 + \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} h_{ij}^\alpha h_{kkij}^\alpha \\
&\quad + (S - nH^2) \left\{ -\frac{1}{B_p} (S - nH^2) + nH^2 + nc \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} \right\}, \tag{3.6}
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box(nH) &\geq \sum_{i,j,k,\alpha} (h_{ijk}^\alpha)^2 - \sum_i (nH_i)^2 \\
&\quad + (S - nH^2) \left\{ -\frac{1}{B_p} (S - nH^2) + nH^2 + nc \right. \\
&\quad \quad \left. - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} \right\}. \tag{3.7}
\end{aligned}$$

When $2 \leq n \leq 4$, it follows from (3.6) and Lemma 1 that

$$\int_M \frac{1}{2} \triangle S \, dv \geq \int_M (S - nH^2) \left\{ -\frac{1}{B_p} (S - nH^2) + nH^2 + nc \right. \\
\quad \left. - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} \right\} \, dv. \tag{3.8}$$

When $n \geq 5$, it follows from (3.7) and Lemma 2 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Box(nH) &\geq (S - nH^2) \left\{ -\frac{1}{B_p} (S - nH^2) + nH^2 + nc \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} \right\}. \tag{3.9}
\end{aligned}$$

By $\bar{R} = (\rho - n(n-1)c)/n(n-1) = (n^2H^2 - S)/n(n-1)$, it can be seen that our assumption condition (1.1), i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
S - n\bar{R} &\leq \frac{B_p}{n-1-B_p} \left\{ n^2c + n^2\bar{R} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{[S + n(n-1)\bar{R}][nS_{n-1} - S - n(n-1)\bar{R}]} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{nS - n^2H^2}{n-1} &\leq \frac{B_p}{n-1-B_p} \left\{ n^2c + n^2H^2 - \frac{n}{n-1} (S - nH^2) \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{n^2(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} H(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} \right\},
\end{aligned}$$

so it is also equivalent to

$$-\frac{1}{B_p}(S - nH^2) + nH^2 + nc - \frac{n(n-2)}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}}H(S_{n+1} - nH^2)^{1/2} \geq 0,$$

therefore the right hand side of (3.8), (3.9) is non-negative. Since M is compact, we have that either $S = nH^2$, M is a totally umbilic or

$$S - n\bar{R} = \frac{B_p}{n-1-B_p} \left\{ n^2c + n^2\bar{R} - \frac{n-2}{\sqrt{n-1}} \sqrt{[S + n(n-1)\bar{R}][nS_{n-1} - S - n(n-1)\bar{R}]} \right\}. \quad (3.10)$$

In the latter case, by (3.5), we have

$$S_{n+1} = (n-1) \left(H + \frac{S^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n(n-1)}} \right)^2 + \left(H - \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)S}{n}} \right)^2. \quad (3.11)$$

By the *Guass* equation, we get

$$S = n^2H^2 + n(n-1)c - \rho. \quad (3.12)$$

By (3.10), (3.11), (3.12) and $\bar{R} = \rho/n(n-1) - c = \text{constant}$, we take that H is a constant, thus we complete the proof of Theorem 1, 2 by Theorem 1.6 of [3].

Acknowledgement. The author wishes to thank the referee for his close reading of the first draft of this paper which led to various improvements.

REFERENCES

- [1] LI HAIZHONG, Hypersurface with Constant Scalar Curvature in Spaceforms, *Math. Ann.*, **305** (1996), 665–675.
- [2] S. T. YAN, Submanifolds with Constant Mean Curvature, *Amer. J. Math.*, **96** (1974), 346–466, **97** (1975), 76–100.
- [3] WALCY SANTOS, Submanifolds with Parallel Mean Curvature Vector in Spheres, *Tohoku Math. J.* **46** (1994), 403–415.
- [4] A. M. LI AND J. M. LI, An Intrinsic Rigidity Theorem for Minimal Submanifolds in a sphere, *Arch. Math.* **58** (1992), 582–594.
- [5] S. Y. CHENG AND S. T. YAN, Hypersurfaces with Constant Scalar Curvature, *Math. Ann.* **225** (1977), 195–204.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
XIAMEN UNIVERSITY, 361005 XIAMEN, FUJIAN
P.R. CHINA