*⃝*c 2021 The Mathematical Society of Japan J. Math. Soc. Japan Vol. 73, No. 3 (2021) pp. 753–765 doi: 10.2969/jmsj/84058405

Left orderable surgeries of double twist knots

By Anh T. Tran

(Received Jan. 21, 2020)

Abstract. A rational number *r* is called a left orderable slope of a knot $K \subset S^3$ if the 3-manifold obtained from S^3 by *r*-surgery along *K* has left orderable fundamental group. In this paper we consider the double twist knots $C(k, l)$ in the Conway notation. For any positive integers m and n , we show that if *K* is a double twist knot of the form $C(2m, -2n)$, $C(2m + 1, 2n)$ or $C(2m + 1, -2n)$ then there is an explicit unbounded interval *I* such that any rational number $r \in I$ is a left orderable slope of K .

1. Introduction.

The motivation of this paper is the L-space conjecture of Boyer, Gordon and Watson [**BGW**] which states that an irreducible rational homology 3-sphere is an L-space if and only if its fundamental group is not left orderable. Here a rational homology 3-sphere *Y* is an L-space if its Heegaard Floer homology $HF(Y)$ has rank equal to the order of $H_1(Y; \mathbb{Z})$, and a non-trivial group *G* is left orderable if it admits a total ordering \lt such that $g < h$ implies $fg < fh$ for all elements f, g, h in *G*. A knot *K* in S^3 is called an L-space knot if it admits a positive Dehn surgery yielding an L-space. It is known that non-torus alternating knots are not L-space knots, see [**OS**]. In view of the L-space conjecture, this would imply that any non-trivial Dehn surgery along a non-torus alternating knot produces a 3-manifold with left orderable fundamental group.

A rational number *r* is called a left orderable slope of a knot $K \subset S^3$ if the 3manifold obtained from S^3 by *r*-surgery along K has left orderable fundamental group. As mentioned above, one would expect that any rational number is a left orderable slope of any non-torus alternating knot. It is known that any rational number $r \in (-4, 4)$ is a left orderable slope of the figure eight knot, and any rational number $r \in [0, 4]$ is a left orderable slope of the hyperbolic twist knot 52, see [**BGW**] and [**HTe2**] respectively. Consider the double twist knot $C(k, l)$ in the Conway notation as in Figure 1, where k, l denote the numbers of horizontal half-twists with sign in the boxes. Here the sign of \searrow is positive in the box *k* and is negative in the box *l*. Then the following results were shown in $[\textbf{HTe1}]$, $[\textbf{Tr}]$ by using continuous families of hyperbolic $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of knot groups. If m, n are integers ≥ 1 , any rational number $r \in (-4n, 4m)$ is a left orderable slope of $C(2m, 2n)$. If m, n are integers ≥ 2 then any rational number $r \in [0, \max\{4m, 4n\})$ is a left orderable slope of $C(2m, -2n)$ and any rational number *r* ∈ [0, 4] is a left orderable slope of both $C(2m, -2)$ and $C(2, -2n)$. Note that $C(2, 2)$ is

²⁰¹⁰ *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57M27; Secondary 57M25.

Key Words and Phrases. Dehn surgery, left orderable, L-space, Riley polynomial, double twist knot. The author has been partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#354595).

the figure eight knot and $C(4, -2)$ is the twist knot $5₂$. Moreover $C(2, -2)$ is the trefoil knot, which is the (2*,* 3)-torus knot.

Figure 1. The double twist knot/link $C(k, l)$ in the Conway notation.

In this paper, by using continuous families of elliptic $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of knot groups we extend the range of left orderable slopes of $C(2m, -2n)$. Moreover, we also give left orderable slopes of $C(2m + 1, \pm 2n)$.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose K* is a double twist knot of the form $C(2m, -2n)$, $C(2m +$ 1,2*n*) or $C(2m + 1, -2n)$ in the Conway notation for some positive integers *m* and *n*. *Let*

$$
LO_K = \begin{cases} (-\infty, 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m, -2n), \\ (-\infty, 2n - 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, 2n), \\ (3 - 2n, \infty) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, -2n) \text{ and } n \ge 2. \end{cases}
$$

Then any rational number $r \in \text{LO}_K$ *is a left orderable slope of* K *.*

Combining this with results in [**HTe1**], [**Tr**], we conclude that if *m* and *n* are integers ≥ 2 then any rational number $r \in (-\infty, \max\{4m, 4n\})$ is a left orderable slope of $C(2m, -2n)$ and any rational number $r \in (-\infty, 4]$ is a left orderable slope of both $C(2m, -2)$ and $C(2, -2n)$. In the subsequent paper [**KTT**] we will use continuous families of hyperbolic $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of knot groups to extend the range of left orderable slopes of $C(2m + 1, -2n)$. More specifically, we will show that any rational number $r \in (-4n, 4m)$ is a left orderable slope of $C(2m+1, -2n)$ detected by hyperbolic $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of the knot group.

We remark that in the case of $C(2m+1,\pm 2n)$, where m and n are positive integers, Gao [**Ga**] independently obtains similar results. She proves a weaker result that any rational number $r \in (-\infty, 1)$ is a left orderable slope of $C(2m + 1, 2n)$ and a stronger result that any rational number $r \in (-4n, \infty)$ is a left orderable slope of $C(2m+1, -2n)$.

As in [**BGW**], [**CD**], [**HTe1**], [**HTe2**], [**Tr**] the proof of Theorem 1 is based on the existence of continuous families of elliptic $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of the knot groups of double twist knots $C(2m, -2n)$ and $C(2m + 1, \pm 2n)$ into $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ and the fact that $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, which is the universal covering group of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$, is a left orderable group.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we study certain real roots of the Riley polynomial of double twist knots $C(k, -2p)$, whose zero locus describes all nonabelian representations of the knot group into $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.

2. Real roots of the Riley polynomial.

For a knot K in S^3 , let $G(K)$ denote the knot group of K which is the fundamental group of the complement of an open tubular neighborhood of *K*.

Consider the double twist knot/link $C(k, l)$ in the Conway notation as in Figure 1, where k, l are integers such that $|kl| > 3$. Note that $C(k, l)$ is the rational knot/link corresponding to continued fraction $k + 1/l$. It is easy to see that $C(k, l)$ is the mirror image of $C(l, k) = C(-k, -l)$. Moreover, $C(k, l)$ is a knot if kl is even and is a twocomponent link if *kl* is odd. In this paper, we only consider knots and so we can assume that $k > 0$ and $l = -2p$ is even.

Note that $C(k, -2p)$ is the mirror image of the double twist knot $J(k, 2p)$ in [**HS**]. Then, by [**HS**], the knot group of $C(k, -2p)$ has a presentation

$$
G(C(k, -2p)) = \langle a, b \mid aw^p = w^p b \rangle
$$

where *a, b* are meridians and

$$
w = \begin{cases} (ab^{-1})^m (a^{-1}b)^m & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ (ab^{-1})^m ab(a^{-1}b)^m & \text{if } k = 2m + 1. \end{cases}
$$

Moreover, the canonical longitude of $C(k, -2p)$ corresponding to the meridian $\mu = a$ is $\lambda = (w^p(w^p)^* a^{-2\varepsilon})^{-1}$, where $\varepsilon = 0$ if $k = 2m$ and $\varepsilon = 2p$ if $k = 2m + 1$. Here, for a word *u* in the letters *a*, *b* we let *u*[∗] be the word obtained by reading *v* backwards.

Suppose $\rho: G(C(k, -2p)) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ is a nonabelian representation. Up to conjugation, we may assume that

$$
\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 2 - y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix}
$$
 (2.1)

where $(M, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ satisfies the matrix equation $\rho(aw^p) = \rho(w^p b)$. It is known that this matrix equation is equivalent to a single polynomial equation $R_{C(k,-2n)}(x,y) = 0$, where $x = (\text{tr } \rho(a))^2$ and $R_K(x, y)$ is the Riley polynomial of *K*, see [**Ri**]. This polynomial can be described via the Chebychev polynomials as follows.

Let ${S_j(v)}_{i \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be the Chebychev polynomials in the variable *v* defined by $S_0(v) = 1$, $S_1(v) = v$ and $S_j(v) = vS_{j-1}(v) - S_{j-2}(v)$ for all integers j. Note that $S_j(v) = -S_{-j-2}(v)$ and $S_j(\pm 2) = (\pm 1)^j (j + 1)$. Moreover, we have $S_j(v) = (s^{j+1} - s^{-(j+1)})/(s - s^{-1})$ for $v = s + s^{-1} \neq \pm 2$. Using this identity one can prove the following.

Lemma 2.1. *For any integer j and any positive integer n we have*

(1) $S_j^2(v) - vS_j(v)S_{j-1}(v) + S_{j-1}^2(v) = 1.$

(2)
$$
S_n(v) - S_{n-1}(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(v - 2\cos\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2n+1}\right).
$$

(3)
$$
S_n(v) + S_{n-1}(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(v - 2\cos\frac{2j\pi}{2n+1}\right).
$$

(4)
$$
S_n(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(v - 2\cos\frac{j\pi}{n+1}\right).
$$

The Riley polynomial of $C(k, -2p)$, whose zero locus describes all non-abelian representations of the knot group of $C(k, -2p)$ into $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, is

$$
R_{C(k, -2p)}(x, y) = S_p(t) - zS_{p-1}(t)
$$

where

$$
t = \operatorname{tr} \rho(w) = \begin{cases} 2 + (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^2(y) & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ 2 - (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2 & \text{if } k = 2m + 1, \end{cases}
$$

and

$$
z = \begin{cases} 1 + (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ 1 - (y + 2 - x)S_m(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) & \text{if } k = 2m + 1. \end{cases}
$$

Moreover, for the representation ρ : $G(C(k, -2p)) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ of the form (2.1) the image of the canonical longitude $\lambda = (w^p(w^p)^* a^{-2\varepsilon})^{-1}$ has the form $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, where

$$
L = -\frac{M^{-1}(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) - M(S_{m-1}(y) - S_{m-2}(y))}{M(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) - M^{-1}(S_{m-1}(y) - S_{m-2}(y))}
$$
 if $k = 2m$

and

$$
L = -M^{4p} \frac{M^{-1} S_m(y) - M S_{m-1}(y)}{M S_m(y) - M^{-1} S_{m-1}(y)}
$$
 if $k = 2m + 1$.

See e.g. [**Pe**], [**Tr**].

Lemmas (2.2) – (2.4) below describe continuous families of real roots of the Riley polynomials of the double twist knots $C(2m, -2n)$, $C(2m + 1, 2n)$ and $C(2m + 1, -2n)$ respectively, where *m* and *n* are positive integers.

LEMMA 2.2. *There exists a continuous real function* $y : [4 - 1/(mn), 4] \rightarrow [2, \infty)$ *in the variable x such that*

- $y(4-1/(mn)) = 2$ *and*
- *•* $R_{C(2m,-2n)}(x,y(x)) = 0$ *for all* $x \in [4-1/(mn), 4]$ *.*

PROOF. Let $K = C(2m, -2n)$. We have $R_K(x, y) = S_n(t) - zS_{n-1}(t)$ where

$$
t = 2 + (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^{2}(y),
$$

\n
$$
z = 1 + (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}(y)(S_{m}(y) - S_{m-1}(y)).
$$

Consider real numbers $x \in [4 - 1/(mn), 4]$ and $y \in [2, \infty)$. Since $y \ge 2 \ge x - 2$, we have $t \geq 2$ and $z \geq 1$. This implies that $zS_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t) \geq S_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t) > 0$, by Lemma 2.1. The equation $R_K(x, y) = 0$ is then equivalent to

$$
(S_n(t) - zS_{n-1}(t))(S_{n-2}(t) - zS_{n-1}(t)) = 0.
$$
\n(2.2)

Let $P(x, y)$ denote the left hand side of equation (2.2). By Lemma 2.1, we have $S_n^2(t) - tS_n(t)S_{n-1}(t) + S_{n-1}^2(t) = 1$. This can be written as $S_n(t)S_{n-2}(t) = S_{n-1}^2(t) - 1$. From this and $S_n(t) + S_{n-2}(t) = tS_{n-1}(t)$ we get

$$
P(x, y) = (z2 - tz + 1)Sn-12(t) - 1.
$$

By a direct calculation, using $S_m^2(y) + S_{m-1}^2(y) - yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) = 1$, we have

$$
z^{2} - tz + 1
$$

= $(z - 1)^{2} - (t - 2)z$
= $(y + 2 - x)^{2}S_{m-1}^{2}(y)(S_{m}(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^{2}$
 $- (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)[1 + (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}(y)(S_{m}(y) - S_{m-1}(y))]$
= $(y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)[4 - x + (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)]$
= $(y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)(t + 2 - x).$

Hence $P(x, y) = (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}^2(y)(t + 2 - x)S_{n-1}^2(t) - 1.$

By Lemma 2.1(4), for any positive integer *l* the Chebychev polynomial $S_l(v)$ = $\prod_{j=1}^{l} (v - 2\cos(j\pi/(l + 1)))$ is a strictly increasing function in $v \in [2, \infty)$. This implies that, for a fixed real number $x \in [4 - 1/(mn), 4]$, the polynomials $t = 2 + (y + 2 - x)(y 2)S_{m-1}^2(y) \geq 2$ and $P(x,y) = (y+2-x)S_{m-1}^2(y)(t+2-x)S_{n-1}^2(t) - 1$ are strictly increasing functions in $y \in [2, \infty)$. Note that $\lim_{y \to \infty} P(x, y) = \infty$ and

$$
\lim_{y \to 2^+} P(x, y) = P(x, 2) = (4 - x)^2 m^2 n^2 - 1 \le 0.
$$

Hence there exists a unique real number $y(x) \in [2, \infty)$ such that $P(x, y(x)) = 0$. Since $P(4-1/mn, 2) = 0$ we have $y(4-1/mn) = 2$. Finally, by the implicit function theorem $y = y(x)$ is a continuous function in $x \in [4 - 1/(mn), 4]$.

LEMMA 2.3. *There exists a continuous real function* $x : [2, \infty) \rightarrow (4 \cos^2((2n 1)\pi/(4n+2)$, ∞) *in the variable y such that*

- \bullet *x*(2) < 4 cos² $\frac{(2n-2)\pi}{4}$ $\frac{4n+2}{},$
- $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$ *and*
- $R_{C(2m+1,2n)}(x(y), y) = 0$ *for all* $y \in [2, \infty)$ *.*

PROOF. Let $K = C(2m + 1, 2n)$. We have $R_K(x, y) = S_{-n}(t) - zS_{-n-1}(t)$ where

$$
t = 2 - (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2,
$$

\n
$$
z = 1 - (y + 2 - x)S_m(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)).
$$

Note that $R_K(x,y) = (t-z)S_{-n-1}(t) - S_{-n-2}(t) = S_n(t) - (t-z)S_{n-1}(t)$. By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$
S_n(t) - S_{n-1}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(t - 2 \cos \frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2n+1} \right),
$$

$$
S_n(t) + S_{n-1}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(t - 2 \cos \frac{2j\pi}{2n+1} \right).
$$

Let $t_j = 2\cos(j\pi/(2n+1))$ for $j = 1, \ldots, 2n$. By writing $t_{2j-1} = e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}$ where $\theta = (2j - 1)\pi/(2n + 1)$, we have

$$
S_n(t_{2j-1}) = \frac{e^{i(n+1)\theta} - e^{-i(n+1)\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}} = \frac{\sin((2j-1)(n+1)\pi/(2n+1))}{\sin((2j-1)\pi/(2n+1))}
$$

=
$$
\frac{\sin(j\pi - \pi/2 + (2j-1)\pi/2(2n+1))}{\sin(2j-1)\pi/(2n+1)} = (-1)^{j-1} \frac{\cos((2j-1)\pi/2(2n+1))}{\sin((2j-1)\pi/(2n+1))}.
$$

This implies that $(-1)^{j-1}S_n(t_{2j-1}) > 0$. Similarly, $(-1)^jS_n(t_{2j}) > 0$.

Fix a real number $y \ge 2$. Let $s_j(y) = y + 2 - (2 - t_j)/(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2$ for *j* = 1, ..., 2*n*. We also let $s_0 = y + 2$. Since $-2 < t_{2n} < \cdots < t_1 < 2$ we have $s_{2n}(y) < \cdots < s_1(y) < y + 2 = s_0(y)$. At $x = s_{2j-1}(y)$ we have $t = t_{2j-1}$ and so $S_n(t) = S_{n-1}(t)$. This implies that

$$
R_K(s_{2j-1}(y), y) = (1 - (t - z))S_n(t_{2j-1})
$$

= -(y + 2 - s_{2j-1}(y))S_{m-1}(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))S_n(t_{2j-1}).

Since $y \ge 2$, by Lemma 2.1 we have $S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y) \ge S_m(2) - S_{m-1}(2) = 1$ and *S*^{*m*}−1(*y*) ≥ *S*^{*m*}−1(2) = *m*. Hence $(-1)^{j}R_K(s_{2j-1}(y), y) > 0$.

Similarly, for $1 \leq j \leq n$ we have

$$
R_K(s_{2j}(y), y) = (1 + t - z)S_n(t_{2j})
$$

= $[2 + (y + 2 - s_{2j-1}(y))S_{m-1}(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))]S_n(t_{2j}),$

which implies that $(-1)^{j}R_K(s_{2j}(y), y) > 0$.

For each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$, since

$$
R_K(s_{2j+1}(y), y)R_K(s_{2j}(y), y) < 0
$$

there exists $x_j(y) \in (s_{2j+1}(y), s_{2j}(y))$ such that $R_K(x_j(y), y) = 0$. Since

$$
R_K(s_0(y), y) = R_K(y + 2, y) = 1
$$

and $R_K(s_1(y), y) < 0$ there exists $x_0(y) \in (s_1(y), s_0(y))$ such that $R_K(x_0(y), y) = 0$.

Since $R_K(x, y) = zS_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t)$, we see that $R_K(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree *n* in *x* for each fixed real number $y \geq 2$. This polynomial has exactly *n* simple real roots $x_0(y), \ldots, x_{n-1}(y)$ satisfying $x_{n-1}(y) < \cdots < x_0(y) < y+2$, hence the implicit function theorem implies that each $x_j(y)$ is a continuous function in $y \geq 2$.

By letting $x(y) = x_{n-1}(y)$ for $y \ge 2$, we have $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$. Moreover, since

Left orderable surgeries of double twist knots 759

$$
x(y) > s_{2n-1}(y) = y + 2 - \frac{2 - 2\cos((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))}{(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2}
$$

we have $\lim_{y \to \infty} x(y) = \infty$ and $x(y) > 4 - (2 - 2\cos((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))) = 4\cos^2((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))$ $1)\pi/(4n+2)$ for $y \geq 2$.

Finally, since $x(y) < s_{2n-2}(y)$ for all $y \ge 2$ we have $x(2) < s_{2n-2}(2) = 4 \cos^2((2n - 1))$ $2)\pi/(4n+2)$.

LEMMA 2.4. *Suppose* $n \geq 2$. Then there exists a continuous real function x : $[2, \infty) \rightarrow (4\cos^2((2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)), \infty)$ *in the variable y such that*

•
$$
x(2) < 4\cos^2\frac{(2n-3)\pi}{4n+2}
$$
,

- $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$ *and*
- $R_{C(2m+1,-2n)}(x(y), y) = 0$ *for all* $y \in [2, \infty)$ *.*

PROOF. Let $K = C(2m + 1, -2n)$. We have $R_K(x, y) = S_n(t) - zS_{n-1}(t)$ where

$$
t = 2 - (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2,
$$

\n
$$
z = 1 - (y + 2 - x)S_m(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)).
$$

Fix a real number $y \geq 2$. Choose t_j and $s_j(y)$ for $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ as in Lemma 2.3. Since

$$
R_K(s_{2j-1}(y), y) = (1-z)S_n(t_{2j-1})
$$

= $(y+2-s_{2j-1}(y))S_m(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))S_n(t_{2j-1}),$

we have $(-1)^{j-1}R_K(s_{2j-1}(y),y) > 0$. Hence, there exists $x_i(y) \in (s_{2j+1}(y), s_{2j-1}(y))$ such that $R_K(x_i(y), y) = 0$ for each $1 \leq j \leq n-1$.

By writing $R_K(x, y) = (t - z)S_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t)$ and noting that

$$
t - z = 1 + (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))S_{m-1}(y),
$$

we see that $R_K(x, y)$ is a polynomial of degree *n* in *x* with negative highest coefficient for each fixed real number $y \ge 2$. Since $\lim_{x\to\infty} R_K(x, y) = -\infty$ and $R_K(y+2, y) = 1$, there exists $x_0(y) \in (y+2,\infty)$ such that $R_K(x_0(y), y) = 0$. For a fixed real number $y \ge 2$, the polynomial $R_K(x, y)$ of degree *n* in *x* has exactly *n* simple real roots $x_0(y), \ldots, x_{n-1}(y)$ satisfying $x_{n-1}(y) < \cdots < x_1(y) < y + 2 < x_0(y)$, hence the implicit function theorem implies that each $x_j(y)$ is a continuous function in $y \geq 2$.

By letting $x(y) = x_{n-1}(y)$ for $y \ge 2$, we have $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$. Moreover, since

$$
x(y) > s_{2n-1}(y) = y + 2 - \frac{2 - 2\cos((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))}{(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2}
$$

we have $\lim_{y \to \infty} x(y) = \infty$ and $x(y) > 4 - (2 - 2\cos((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))) = 4\cos^2((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))$ $1)\pi/(4n+2)$ for $y \geq 2$.

Finally, since $x(y) < s_{2n-3}(y)$ for all $y \ge 2$ we have $x(2) < s_{2n-3}(2) = 4 \cos^2((2n - 1)\cos^2((2n 3)\pi/(4n+2)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Suppose *K* is a double twist knot of the form $C(2m, -2n)$, $C(2m+1, 2n)$ or $C(2m+1)$ 1*, −*2*n*) in the Conway notation for some positive integers *m* and *n*. Let *X* be the complement of an open tubular neighborhood of K in S^3 , and X_r the 3-manifold obtained from *S* ³ by *r*-surgery along *K*. Recall that

$$
LO_K = \begin{cases} (-\infty, 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m, -2n), \\ (-\infty, 2n - 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, 2n), \\ (3 - 2n, \infty) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, -2n) \text{ and } n \ge 2. \end{cases}
$$

An element of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is called elliptic if its trace is a real number in $(-2, 2)$. A representation $\rho : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is called elliptic if the image group $\rho(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ contains an elliptic element of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. In which case, since \mathbb{Z}^2 is an abelian group every non-trivial element of $\rho(\mathbb{Z}^2)$ must also be elliptic.

Using Lemmas 2.2–2.4 we first prove the following.

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For each rational number* $r \in \text{LO}_K \setminus \{0\}$ *there exists a repre* $sentation \rho : \pi_1(X_r) \to SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ *such that* $\rho|_{\pi_1(\partial X)} : \pi_1(\partial X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \to SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ *is an elliptic representation.*

PROOF. We first consider the case $K = C(2m, -2n)$. Let $\theta_0 =$ arccos $\sqrt{1-1/(4mn)}$. For $\theta \in (0, \theta_0) \cup (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$ we let $x = 4\cos^2$ *θ*. Then $x \in (4 - 1/(mn), 4)$. Consider the continuous real function

$$
y: [4-1/(mn), 4] \to [2, \infty)
$$

in Lemma 2.2. Let $M = e^{i\theta}$. Then $x = 4\cos^2\theta = (M + M^{-1})^2$. Since $R_K(x, y(x)) = 0$ there exists a non-abelian representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 2 - y(x) & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix}.
$$

Note that *x* is the square of the trace of a meridian. Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude λ corresponding to the meridian $\mu = a$ has the form $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, where

$$
L = -\frac{M^{-1}\alpha - M\beta}{M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta}
$$

and $\alpha = S_m(y(x)) - S_{m-1}(y(x))$, $\beta = S_{m-1}(y(x)) - S_{m-2}(y(x))$. Note that $\alpha > \beta > 0$, since $y(x) > 2$.

It is easy to see that $|L| =$ $\sqrt{L\overline{L}} = 1$, where \overline{L} denotes the complex conjugate of L . Moreover, by a direct calculation, we have

$$
Re(L) = (2\alpha\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\cos 2\theta)/|M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta|^2,
$$

\n
$$
Im(L) = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\sin 2\theta/|M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta|^2.
$$

Note that $\text{Im}(L) > 0$ if $\theta \in (0, \theta_0)$ and $\text{Im}(L) < 0$ if $\theta \in (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$. Let

$$
\varphi(\theta) = \begin{cases}\n\arccos\left[\left(2\alpha\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\cos 2\theta\right) / \left|e^{i\theta}\alpha - e^{-i\theta}\beta\right|^2\right] & \text{if } \theta \in (0, \theta_0), \\
-\arccos\left[\left(2\alpha\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\cos 2\theta\right) / \left|e^{i\theta}\alpha - e^{-i\theta}\beta\right|^2\right] & \text{if } \theta \in (\pi - \theta_0, \pi).\n\end{cases}
$$

Then $L = e^{i\varphi(\theta)}$. Note that $\varphi(\theta) \in (0, \pi)$ if $\theta \in (0, \theta_0)$ and $\varphi(\theta) \in (-\pi, 0)$ if $\theta \in (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$.

The function $f(\theta) := -\varphi(\theta)/\theta$ is a continuous function on each of the intervals $(0, \theta_0)$ and $(\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$. As $\theta \to 0^+$ we have $M \to 1$ and $L = -(M^{-1}\alpha - M\beta)/(M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta) \to$ *−*1, so $\varphi(\theta) \to \pi$. As $\theta \to \theta_0^-$ we have $x \to 4 - 1/(mn)$, $y(x) \to 2$ and $\alpha, \beta \to 1$, so $L = -(M^{-1}\alpha - M\beta)/(M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta) \rightarrow 1$ and $\varphi(\theta) \rightarrow 0$. This implies that

$$
\lim_{\theta \to 0^+} -\frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \to \theta_0^-} -\frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = 0.
$$

Hence the image of $f(\theta)$ on the interval $(0, \theta_0)$ contains the interval $(-\infty, 0)$.

Similarly, since

$$
\lim_{\theta \to (\pi - \theta_0)^+} -\frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \to \pi^-} -\frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = 1,
$$

the image of $f(\theta)$ on the interval $(\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$ contains the interval $(0, 1)$.

Suppose $r = p/q$ is a rational number such that $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1)$. Then $r =$ $f(\theta) = -\varphi(\theta)/\theta$ for some $\theta \in (0, \theta_0) \cup (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$. Since $M^p L^q = e^{i(p\theta + q\varphi(\theta))} = 1$, we have $\rho(\mu^p \lambda^q) = I$. This means that the non-abelian representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ extends to a representation $\rho : \pi_1(X_r) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$. Finally, since $2 - y(x) < 0$, a result in **[Kh**, p.786] implies that ρ can be conjugated to an $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representation. Note that the restriction of this representation to the peripheral subgroup $\pi_1(\partial X)$ of the knot group is an elliptic representation. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1 for $K = C(2m, -2n)$.

We now consider the case $K = C(2m+1, 2n)$. Consider the continuous real function

$$
x: [2, \infty) \to \left(4\cos^2\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{4n+2}, \infty\right)
$$

in Lemma 2.3. Since $x(2) < 4\cos^2((2n-2)\pi/(4n+2))$ and $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$, there exists y^* > 2 such that $x(y^*) = 4$ and $4\cos^2((2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)) < x(y) < 4$ for all $y \in [2, y^*).$

For each $y \in [2, y^*)$ we let $\theta(y) = \arccos(\sqrt{x(y)}/2)$. Then $\theta(2) > (2n-2)\pi/(4n+2)$, and for $y \in [2, y^*)$ we have $0 < \theta(y) < (2n - 1)\pi/(4n + 2)$ and $x(y) = 4\cos^2\theta(y)$. Since $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$ there exists a non-abelian representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 2 - y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix},
$$

where $M = e^{i\theta(y)}$. Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude λ corresponding to the meridian $\mu = a$ has the form $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, where

$$
L = -M^{-4n}\frac{M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta}{M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta}
$$

and $\gamma = S_m(y)$, $\delta = S_{m-1}(y)$. Note that $\gamma > \delta > 0$, since $y > 2$.

As in the previous case, we write $L = e^{i\varphi(y)}$ where

$$
\varphi(y) = (2n-2)\pi - 4n\theta(y) + \arccos\left[\left(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)\right) / \left|e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta\right|^2\right].
$$

Since $(2n-2)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$ we have $-2\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi(2)$ $2\pi - 3\pi/(2n+1)$.

As $y \to 2^+$, ρ approaches a reducible representation and so $L \to 1$, $\varphi(y) \to \varphi(2) =$ *k*2 π for some integer *k*. Since $-\frac{2\pi}{2n+1} < \varphi(2) < 2\pi - \frac{3\pi}{2n+1}$, we must have $\varphi(2) = 0$. As $y \to (y^*)^-$, we have $x(y) \to 4$, $M \to 1$, $L = -M^{-4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma M^{-1}\delta$ \rightarrow *-*1 and hence $\theta(y) \rightarrow 0^+$, $\varphi(y) \rightarrow (2l-1)\pi$ for some integer *l*. Since

$$
(2l - 1)\pi = \lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} (2n - 2)\pi - 4n\theta(y)
$$

+ $\arccos \left[(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)) / |e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta|^2 \right]$
= $\lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} (2n - 2)\pi$
+ $\arccos \left[(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)) / |e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta|^2 \right],$

we have $(2n - 2)π ≤ (2l - 1)π ≤ (2n − 1)π$. This implies that $2l - 1 = 2n - 1$ and $\varphi(y) \to (2n-1)\pi$ as $y \to (y^*)^-$. Hence the image of $g(y) := -\varphi(y)/\theta(y)$ on the interval $(2, y^*)$ contains the interval $(-\infty, 0)$.

Similarly, with $\theta_1(y) = \pi - \theta(y)$ we have $x(y) = 4 \cos^2(\theta_1(y))$ and hence for each $y \in [2, y^*)$ there exists a non-abelian representation $\rho_1 : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\rho_1(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 2 - y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix},
$$

where $M = e^{i\theta_1(y)}$. Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude λ corresponding to the meridian $\mu = a$ has the form $\rho_1(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, where $L = e^{i\varphi_1(y)}$ and

$$
\varphi_1(y) = -(2n-2)\pi + 4n\pi - 4n\theta_1(y)
$$

\n
$$
- \arccos \left[\left(2\gamma \delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2) \cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)} \gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)} \delta \right|^2 \right]
$$

\n
$$
= -(2n-2)\pi + 4n\theta(y)
$$

\n
$$
- \arccos \left[\left(2\gamma \delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2) \cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)} \gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)} \delta \right|^2 \right].
$$

Since $(2n-2)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$ we have $-2\pi+3\pi/(2n+1) <$ $\varphi_1(2) < 2\pi/(2n+1)$.

As $y \to 2^+$, ρ_1 approaches a reducible representation and so $L \to 1$, $\varphi_1(y) \to 0$. As $y \to (y^*)^-$, we have $x(y) \to 4$, $M \to -1$, $L = -M^{-4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta) \to -1$ and hence $\theta_1(y) \to \pi$, $\varphi_1(y) \to -(2n-1)\pi$. This implies that the image of $g_1(y) :=$ $-\varphi_1(y)/\theta_1(y)$ on the interval $(2, y^*)$ contains the interval $(0, 2n - 1)$.

The rest of the proof of Proposition 3.1 for $C(2m + 1, 2n)$ is similar to that for $C(2m, -2n)$.

Lastly, we consider the case *K* = $C(2m+1, −2n)$ and $n ≥ 2$. Consider the continuous real function

$$
x: [2, \infty) \to \left(4\cos^2\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{4n+2}, \infty\right)
$$

in Lemma 2.4. Since $x(2) < 4\cos^2(2n-3)\pi/(4n+2)$ and $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$, there exists $y^* > 2$ such that $x(y^*) = 4$ and $4\cos^2(2n-1)\pi/(4n+2) < x(y) < 4$ for all $y \in [2, y^*)$.

For each $y \in [2, y^*)$ we let $\theta(y) = \arccos(\sqrt{x(y)}/2)$. Then $\theta(2) > (2n-3)\pi/(4n+2)$, and for $y \in [2, y^*)$ we have $0 < \theta(y) < (2n - 1)\pi/(4n + 2)$ and $x(y) = 4\cos^2\theta(y)$. Since $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$ there exists a non-abelian representation $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 2 - y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix},
$$

where $M = e^{i\theta(y)}$. Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude λ corresponding to the meridian $\mu = a$ has the form $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, where

$$
L = -M^{4n} \frac{M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta}{M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta}
$$

and $\gamma = S_m(y)$, $\delta = S_{m-1}(y)$. Note that $\gamma > \delta > 0$, since $y > 2$. As above, we write $L = e^{i\varphi(y)}$ where

$$
\varphi(y) = -(2n-2)\pi + 4n\theta(y) + \arccos\left[\left(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)\right) / \left|e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta\right|^2\right].
$$

Since $(2n-3)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$ we have $-2\pi + 4\pi/(2n+1) <$ $\varphi(2) < 2\pi - (2n-1)\pi/(2n+1).$

As $y \to 2^+$, ρ approaches a reducible representation and so $L \to 1$, $\varphi(y) \to \varphi(2) =$ *k*2*π* for some integer *k*. Since $-2\pi + 4\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi(2) < 2\pi - (2n-1)\pi/(2n+1)$, we must have $\varphi(2) = 0$.

As $y \to (y^*)^-$, we have $x(y) \to 4$, $M \to 1$, $L = -M^{4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta) \to$ -1 and hence $\theta(y) \to 0^+, \varphi(y) \to (2l-1)\pi$ for some integer *l*. Since

$$
(2l - 1)\pi = \lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} -(2n - 2)\pi + 4n\theta(y)
$$

+ arccos $\left[(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)) / |e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta|^2 \right]$
= $\lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} -(2n - 2)\pi$
+ arccos $\left[(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)) / |e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta|^2 \right]$

,

we have $-(2n-2)\pi \leq (2l-1)\pi \leq -(2n-3)\pi$. This implies that $2l-1 = -(2n-3)$ and $\varphi(y) \to -(2n-3)\pi$ as $y \to (y^*)^-$. Hence the image of $h(y) := -\varphi(y)/\theta(y)$ on the interval $(2, y^*)$ contains the interval $(0, \infty)$.

Similarly, with $\theta_1(y) = \pi - \theta(y)$ we have $x(y) = 4 \cos^2(\theta_1(y))$ and hence for each $y \in [2, y^*)$ there exists a non-abelian representation $\rho_1 : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\rho_1(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_1(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 2 - y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix},
$$

where $M = e^{i\theta_1(y)}$. Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude λ corresponding to the meridian $\mu = a$ has the form $\rho_1(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$, where $L = e^{i\varphi_1(y)}$ and

$$
\varphi_1(y) = (2n - 2)\pi - 4n\pi + 4n\theta_1(y)
$$

\n
$$
- \arccos \left[\left(2\gamma \delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2) \cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)} \gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)} \delta \right|^2 \right]
$$

\n
$$
= (2n - 2)\pi - 4n\theta(y)
$$

\n
$$
- \arccos \left[\left(2\gamma \delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2) \cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)} \gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)} \delta \right|^2 \right].
$$

Since $(2n-3)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$ we have $-2\pi+(2n-1)\pi/(2n+1) <$ $\varphi_1(2) < 2\pi - 4\pi/(2n+1).$

As $y \to 2^+$, ρ_1 approaches a reducible representation and so $L \to 1$, $\varphi_1(y) \to$ $\varphi_1(2) = 0$. As $y \to (y^*)^-$, we have $x(y) \to 4$, $M \to -1$, $L = -M^{4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma M^{-1}\delta$ \rightarrow *-*1 and hence $\theta_1(y) \rightarrow \pi$, $\varphi_1(y) \rightarrow (2n-3)\pi$. This implies that the image of $h_1(y) := -\varphi_1(y)/\theta_1(y)$ on the interval $(2, y^*)$ contains the interval $(-(2n-3), 0)$.

The rest of the proof of Proposition 3.1 for $C(2m + 1, -2n)$ is similar to that for *C*(2*m, −*2*n*). □

We now finish the proof of Theorem 1. Suppose *r* is a rational number such that $r \in \text{LO}_K$. If $r \neq 0$, by Proposition 3.1, there exists a representation $\rho : \pi_1(X_r) \to$ $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\rho|_{\pi_1(\partial X)}$ is an elliptic representation. This representation lifts to a representation $\tilde{\rho}: \pi_1(X_r) \to \widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$, where $\widetilde{\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})}$ is the universal covering group of $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. See e.g. [**CD**, Section 3.5] and [**Va**, Section 2.2]. Note that X_r is an irreducible 3-manifold (by $[\textbf{HTh}]$) and $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a left orderable group (by $[\textbf{Be}]$). Hence, by $[\textbf{BRW}]$, $\pi_1(X_r)$ is a left orderable group. Finally, 0-surgery along a knot always produces a prime manifold whose first Betti number is 1, and by [**BRW**] such manifold has left orderable fundamental group.

Ac[knowle](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1991.147.243)dgements. The author would like to thank [the referees for helpful](https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1991.147.243) comments and suggestions.

References

- [Be] G. Bergman, Right orderable groups that are not locally indicable, Pacific J. Math., **147** (1991), 243–248.
- [BGW] S. Boyer, C. Gordon and L. Watson, On L-spaces and left-orderable fundamental groups, Math. Ann., **356** (2013), 1213–1245.

- [BRW] S. Boyer, D. Rolfsen and B. Wiest, Orderable 3-manifold groups, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), **55** (2005), 243–288[.](https://doi.org/10.2140/agt.2014.14.2125)
- [CD] M. Culler and N. Dunfield, Orderability and Dehn filling, Geom. Topol., **22** (2018), 1405–1457.
- [Ga] X. Gao, [Slope of orderable Dehn filling of two-bri](https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2013-030-2)dge knots, preprint, arXiv:1912.07468v2.
- [HS] J. Hoste and P. Shanahan, A formula for the *A*-polynomial of twist knots, J. Knot [Theory](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388971) [Ramifications,](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01388971) **13** (2004), 193–209.
- [HTe1] R. Hakamata and M. Teragaito, Left-orderable fundamental groups a[nd Dehn surgery on genus](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-003-0438-5) [one 2-br](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00208-003-0438-5)idge knots, Algebr. Geom. Topol., **14** (2014), 2125–2148.
- [HTe2] R. Hakamata and M. Teragaito, Left-orderable fundamental group and Dehn surgery on the knot 52, Canad. Math. Bull., **57** (2014), [310–317.](https://doi.org/10.4153/S0008439520000703)
- [HTh] A. Hatcher and W. Thurston, Incompressible surfaces in 2-bridge knot complements, [Invent.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.top.2005.05.001) Math., **79** [\(1985\),](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.top.2005.05.001) 225–246.
- [Kh] V. Khoi, A cut-and-paste method for computing the Seifert volumes, Math. Ann., **326** (2003), 759–801.
- [KTT] V. Khoi, M. Teragaito and A. Tran, Left orderable surgeries [of double twist knots II, Canad.](https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/35.2.191) [Math. Bull., publish](https://doi.org/10.1093/qmath/35.2.191)ed online, (2020), doi:10.4153/S0008439520000703.
- [OS] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries, [Topology,](https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/06710319) 44 [\(2005\), 1281–1300.](https://doi.org/10.2969/jmsj/06710319)
- [Pe] K. Petersen, *A*-polynomials of a family of two-bridge knots, New York J. Math., **21** (2015), 847–881.
- [Ri] R. Riley, Nonabelian representations of 2-bridge knot groups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), **35** (1984), 191–208.
- [Tr] A. Tran, On left-orderable fundamental groups and Dehn surgeries on knots, J. Math. Soc. Japan, **67** (2015), 319–338.
- [Va] K. Varvarezos, Representations of the (*−*2*,* 3*,* 7)-pretzel knot and orderability of Dehn surgeries, preprint, arXiv:1911.11745v1.

Anh T. Tran

Department of Mathematical Sciences The University of Texas at Dallas Richardson TX 75080-3021, USA E-mail: att140830@utdallas.edu