# Left orderable surgeries of double twist knots

By Anh T. TRAN

(Received Jan. 21, 2020)

**Abstract.** A rational number r is called a left orderable slope of a knot  $K \subset S^3$  if the 3-manifold obtained from  $S^3$  by r-surgery along K has left orderable fundamental group. In this paper we consider the double twist knots C(k,l) in the Conway notation. For any positive integers m and n, we show that if K is a double twist knot of the form C(2m, -2n), C(2m + 1, 2n) or C(2m + 1, -2n) then there is an explicit unbounded interval I such that any rational number  $r \in I$  is a left orderable slope of K.

### 1. Introduction.

The motivation of this paper is the L-space conjecture of Boyer, Gordon and Watson  $[\mathbf{BGW}]$  which states that an irreducible rational homology 3-sphere is an L-space if and only if its fundamental group is not left orderable. Here a rational homology 3-sphere Y is an L-space if its Heegaard Floer homology  $\widehat{\mathrm{HF}}(Y)$  has rank equal to the order of  $H_1(Y;\mathbb{Z})$ , and a non-trivial group G is left orderable if it admits a total ordering < such that g < h implies fg < fh for all elements f, g, h in G. A knot K in  $S^3$  is called an L-space knot if it admits a positive Dehn surgery yielding an L-space. It is known that non-torus alternating knots are not L-space knots, see  $[\mathbf{OS}]$ . In view of the L-space conjecture, this would imply that any non-trivial Dehn surgery along a non-torus alternating knot produces a 3-manifold with left orderable fundamental group.

A rational number r is called a left orderable slope of a knot  $K \,\subset\, S^3$  if the 3manifold obtained from  $S^3$  by r-surgery along K has left orderable fundamental group. As mentioned above, one would expect that any rational number is a left orderable slope of any non-torus alternating knot. It is known that any rational number  $r \in (-4, 4)$  is a left orderable slope of the figure eight knot, and any rational number  $r \in [0, 4]$  is a left orderable slope of the hyperbolic twist knot  $5_2$ , see [**BGW**] and [**HTe2**] respectively. Consider the double twist knot C(k, l) in the Conway notation as in Figure 1, where k, ldenote the numbers of horizontal half-twists with sign in the boxes. Here the sign of  $\swarrow$  is positive in the box k and is negative in the box l. Then the following results were shown in [**HTe1**], [**Tr**] by using continuous families of hyperbolic  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of knot groups. If m, n are integers  $\geq 1$ , any rational number  $r \in (-4n, 4m)$  is a left orderable slope of C(2m, 2n). If m, n are integers  $\geq 2$  then any rational number  $r \in [0, \max\{4m, 4n\})$  is a left orderable slope of C(2m, -2n) and any rational number  $r \in [0, 4]$  is a left orderable slope of both C(2m, -2) and C(2, -2n). Note that C(2, 2) is

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 57M27; Secondary 57M25.

Key Words and Phrases. Dehn surgery, left orderable, L-space, Riley polynomial, double twist knot. The author has been partially supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation (#354595).

the figure eight knot and C(4, -2) is the twist knot  $5_2$ . Moreover C(2, -2) is the trefoil knot, which is the (2, 3)-torus knot.



Figure 1. The double twist knot/link C(k, l) in the Conway notation.

In this paper, by using continuous families of elliptic  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of knot groups we extend the range of left orderable slopes of C(2m, -2n). Moreover, we also give left orderable slopes of  $C(2m + 1, \pm 2n)$ .

THEOREM 1. Suppose K is a double twist knot of the form C(2m, -2n), C(2m + 1, 2n) or C(2m + 1, -2n) in the Conway notation for some positive integers m and n. Let

$$\mathrm{LO}_{K} = \begin{cases} (-\infty, 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m, -2n), \\ (-\infty, 2n - 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, 2n), \\ (3 - 2n, \infty) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, -2n) \text{ and } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

Then any rational number  $r \in LO_K$  is a left orderable slope of K.

Combining this with results in **[HTe1]**, **[Tr]**, we conclude that if m and n are integers  $\geq 2$  then any rational number  $r \in (-\infty, \max\{4m, 4n\})$  is a left orderable slope of C(2m, -2n) and any rational number  $r \in (-\infty, 4]$  is a left orderable slope of both C(2m, -2) and C(2, -2n). In the subsequent paper **[KTT]** we will use continuous families of hyperbolic SL<sub>2</sub>( $\mathbb{R}$ )-representations of knot groups to extend the range of left orderable slopes of C(2m + 1, -2n). More specifically, we will show that any rational number  $r \in (-4n, 4m)$  is a left orderable slope of C(2m + 1, -2n) detected by hyperbolic SL<sub>2</sub>( $\mathbb{R}$ )-representations of the knot group.

We remark that in the case of  $C(2m+1,\pm 2n)$ , where *m* and *n* are positive integers, Gao [**Ga**] independently obtains similar results. She proves a weaker result that any rational number  $r \in (-\infty, 1)$  is a left orderable slope of C(2m+1, 2n) and a stronger result that any rational number  $r \in (-4n, \infty)$  is a left orderable slope of C(2m+1, -2n).

As in [**BGW**], [**CD**], [**HTe1**], [**HTe2**], [**Tr**] the proof of Theorem 1 is based on the existence of continuous families of elliptic  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representations of the knot groups of double twist knots C(2m, -2n) and  $C(2m + 1, \pm 2n)$  into  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  and the fact that  $\widetilde{SL_2(\mathbb{R})}$ , which is the universal covering group of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ , is a left orderable group.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 1, we study certain real roots of the Riley polynomial of double twist knots C(k, -2p), whose zero locus describes all non-abelian representations of the knot group into  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ . In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.

## 2. Real roots of the Riley polynomial.

For a knot K in  $S^3$ , let G(K) denote the knot group of K which is the fundamental group of the complement of an open tubular neighborhood of K.

Consider the double twist knot/link C(k, l) in the Conway notation as in Figure 1, where k, l are integers such that  $|kl| \geq 3$ . Note that C(k, l) is the rational knot/link corresponding to continued fraction k + 1/l. It is easy to see that C(k, l) is the mirror image of C(l, k) = C(-k, -l). Moreover, C(k, l) is a knot if kl is even and is a twocomponent link if kl is odd. In this paper, we only consider knots and so we can assume that k > 0 and l = -2p is even.

Note that C(k, -2p) is the mirror image of the double twist knot J(k, 2p) in [HS]. Then, by [HS], the knot group of C(k, -2p) has a presentation

$$G(C(k,-2p)) = \langle a,b \mid aw^p = w^p b \rangle$$

where a, b are meridians and

$$w = \begin{cases} (ab^{-1})^m (a^{-1}b)^m & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ (ab^{-1})^m ab(a^{-1}b)^m & \text{if } k = 2m + 1 \end{cases}$$

Moreover, the canonical longitude of C(k, -2p) corresponding to the meridian  $\mu = a$  is  $\lambda = (w^p (w^p)^* a^{-2\varepsilon})^{-1}$ , where  $\varepsilon = 0$  if k = 2m and  $\varepsilon = 2p$  if k = 2m + 1. Here, for a word u in the letters a, b we let  $u^*$  be the word obtained by reading v backwards.

Suppose  $\rho: G(C(k, -2p)) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  is a nonabelian representation. Up to conjugation, we may assume that

$$\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1\\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0\\ 2 - y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$
(2.1)

where  $(M, y) \in \mathbb{C}^2$  satisfies the matrix equation  $\rho(aw^p) = \rho(w^p b)$ . It is known that this matrix equation is equivalent to a single polynomial equation  $R_{C(k,-2p)}(x,y) = 0$ , where  $x = (\operatorname{tr} \rho(a))^2$  and  $R_K(x, y)$  is the Riley polynomial of K, see [**Ri**]. This polynomial can be described via the Chebychev polynomials as follows.

Let  $\{S_j(v)\}_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$  be the Chebychev polynomials in the variable v defined by  $S_0(v) = 1$ ,  $S_1(v) = v$  and  $S_j(v) = vS_{j-1}(v) - S_{j-2}(v)$  for all integers j. Note that  $S_j(v) = -S_{-j-2}(v)$ and  $S_j(\pm 2) = (\pm 1)^j (j + 1)$ . Moreover, we have  $S_j(v) = (s^{j+1} - s^{-(j+1)})/(s - s^{-1})$  for  $v = s + s^{-1} \neq \pm 2$ . Using this identity one can prove the following.

LEMMA 2.1. For any integer j and any positive integer n we have

(1) 
$$S_j^2(v) - vS_j(v)S_{j-1}(v) + S_{j-1}^2(v) = 1.$$

(2) 
$$S_n(v) - S_{n-1}(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(v - 2\cos\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2n+1}\right).$$

(3) 
$$S_n(v) + S_{n-1}(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(v - 2\cos\frac{2j\pi}{2n+1}\right).$$

(4) 
$$S_n(v) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left(v - 2\cos\frac{j\pi}{n+1}\right).$$

The Riley polynomial of C(k, -2p), whose zero locus describes all non-abelian representations of the knot group of C(k, -2p) into  $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$ , is

$$R_{C(k,-2p)}(x,y) = S_p(t) - zS_{p-1}(t)$$

where

$$t = \operatorname{tr} \rho(w) = \begin{cases} 2 + (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^2(y) & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ 2 - (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2 & \text{if } k = 2m + 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$z = \begin{cases} 1 + (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) & \text{if } k = 2m, \\ 1 - (y + 2 - x)S_m(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) & \text{if } k = 2m + 1. \end{cases}$$

Moreover, for the representation  $\rho : G(C(k, -2p)) \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  of the form (2.1) the image of the canonical longitude  $\lambda = (w^p (w^p)^* a^{-2\varepsilon})^{-1}$  has the form  $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ , where

$$L = -\frac{M^{-1}(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) - M(S_{m-1}(y) - S_{m-2}(y))}{M(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)) - M^{-1}(S_{m-1}(y) - S_{m-2}(y))} \quad \text{if } k = 2m$$

and

$$L = -M^{4p} \frac{M^{-1}S_m(y) - MS_{m-1}(y)}{MS_m(y) - M^{-1}S_{m-1}(y)} \quad \text{if } k = 2m + 1.$$

See e.g. **[Pe]**, **[Tr]**.

Lemmas (2.2)–(2.4) below describe continuous families of real roots of the Riley polynomials of the double twist knots C(2m, -2n), C(2m + 1, 2n) and C(2m + 1, -2n) respectively, where m and n are positive integers.

LEMMA 2.2. There exists a continuous real function  $y: [4-1/(mn), 4] \rightarrow [2, \infty)$ in the variable x such that

- y(4-1/(mn)) = 2 and
- $R_{C(2m,-2n)}(x,y(x)) = 0$  for all  $x \in [4-1/(mn),4]$ .

PROOF. Let K = C(2m, -2n). We have  $R_K(x, y) = S_n(t) - zS_{n-1}(t)$  where

$$t = 2 + (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^{2}(y),$$
  

$$z = 1 + (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}(y)(S_{m}(y) - S_{m-1}(y)).$$

Consider real numbers  $x \in [4 - 1/(mn), 4]$  and  $y \in [2, \infty)$ . Since  $y \ge 2 \ge x - 2$ , we have  $t \ge 2$  and  $z \ge 1$ . This implies that  $zS_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t) \ge S_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t) > 0$ , by Lemma 2.1. The equation  $R_K(x, y) = 0$  is then equivalent to

$$\left(S_n(t) - zS_{n-1}(t)\right)\left(S_{n-2}(t) - zS_{n-1}(t)\right) = 0.$$
(2.2)

Let P(x, y) denote the left hand side of equation (2.2). By Lemma 2.1, we have  $S_n^2(t) - tS_n(t)S_{n-1}(t) + S_{n-1}^2(t) = 1$ . This can be written as  $S_n(t)S_{n-2}(t) = S_{n-1}^2(t) - 1$ . From this and  $S_n(t) + S_{n-2}(t) = tS_{n-1}(t)$  we get

$$P(x,y) = (z^2 - tz + 1)S_{n-1}^2(t) - 1$$

By a direct calculation, using  $S_m^2(y) + S_{m-1}^2(y) - yS_m(y)S_{m-1}(y) = 1$ , we have

$$z^{2} - tz + 1$$

$$= (z - 1)^{2} - (t - 2)z$$

$$= (y + 2 - x)^{2}S_{m-1}^{2}(y)(S_{m}(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^{2}$$

$$- (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)\left[1 + (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}(y)(S_{m}(y) - S_{m-1}(y))\right]$$

$$= (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)\left[4 - x + (y + 2 - x)(y - 2)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)\right]$$

$$= (y + 2 - x)S_{m-1}^{2}(y)(t + 2 - x).$$

Hence  $P(x,y) = (y+2-x)S_{m-1}^2(y)(t+2-x)S_{n-1}^2(t) - 1.$ 

By Lemma 2.1(4), for any positive integer l the Chebychev polynomial  $S_l(v) = \prod_{j=1}^{l} (v - 2\cos(j\pi/(l+1)))$  is a strictly increasing function in  $v \in [2,\infty)$ . This implies that, for a fixed real number  $x \in [4-1/(mn), 4]$ , the polynomials  $t = 2 + (y+2-x)(y-2)S_{m-1}^2(y) \ge 2$  and  $P(x,y) = (y+2-x)S_{m-1}^2(y)(t+2-x)S_{n-1}^2(t) - 1$  are strictly increasing functions in  $y \in [2,\infty)$ . Note that  $\lim_{y\to\infty} P(x,y) = \infty$  and

$$\lim_{y \to 2^+} P(x, y) = P(x, 2) = (4 - x)^2 m^2 n^2 - 1 \le 0.$$

Hence there exists a unique real number  $y(x) \in [2, \infty)$  such that P(x, y(x)) = 0. Since P(4-1/mn, 2) = 0 we have y(4-1/mn) = 2. Finally, by the implicit function theorem y = y(x) is a continuous function in  $x \in [4-1/(mn), 4]$ .

LEMMA 2.3. There exists a continuous real function  $x : [2, \infty) \to (4\cos^2((2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)), \infty)$  in the variable y such that

- $x(2) < 4\cos^2\frac{(2n-2)\pi}{4n+2}$ ,
- $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$  and
- $R_{C(2m+1,2n)}(x(y),y) = 0$  for all  $y \in [2,\infty)$ .

PROOF. Let K = C(2m + 1, 2n). We have  $R_K(x, y) = S_{-n}(t) - zS_{-n-1}(t)$  where

$$t = 2 - (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2,$$
  

$$z = 1 - (y + 2 - x)S_m(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y)).$$

Note that  $R_K(x,y) = (t-z)S_{-n-1}(t) - S_{-n-2}(t) = S_n(t) - (t-z)S_{n-1}(t)$ . By Lemma 2.1 we have

$$S_n(t) - S_{n-1}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( t - 2\cos\frac{(2j-1)\pi}{2n+1} \right),$$
  
$$S_n(t) + S_{n-1}(t) = \prod_{j=1}^n \left( t - 2\cos\frac{2j\pi}{2n+1} \right).$$

Let  $t_j = 2\cos(j\pi/(2n+1))$  for j = 1, ..., 2n. By writing  $t_{2j-1} = e^{i\theta} + e^{-i\theta}$  where  $\theta = (2j-1)\pi/(2n+1)$ , we have

$$S_n(t_{2j-1}) = \frac{e^{i(n+1)\theta} - e^{-i(n+1)\theta}}{e^{i\theta} - e^{-i\theta}} = \frac{\sin((2j-1)(n+1)\pi/(2n+1))}{\sin((2j-1)\pi/(2n+1))}$$
$$= \frac{\sin(j\pi - \pi/2 + (2j-1)\pi/2(2n+1))}{\sin(2j-1)\pi/(2n+1)} = (-1)^{j-1}\frac{\cos((2j-1)\pi/2(2n+1))}{\sin((2j-1)\pi/(2n+1))}$$

This implies that  $(-1)^{j-1}S_n(t_{2j-1}) > 0$ . Similarly,  $(-1)^j S_n(t_{2j}) > 0$ .

Fix a real number  $y \ge 2$ . Let  $s_j(y) = y + 2 - (2 - t_j)/(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2$  for j = 1, ..., 2n. We also let  $s_0 = y + 2$ . Since  $-2 < t_{2n} < \cdots < t_1 < 2$  we have  $s_{2n}(y) < \cdots < s_1(y) < y + 2 = s_0(y)$ . At  $x = s_{2j-1}(y)$  we have  $t = t_{2j-1}$  and so  $S_n(t) = S_{n-1}(t)$ . This implies that

$$R_K(s_{2j-1}(y), y) = (1 - (t - z))S_n(t_{2j-1})$$
  
=  $-(y + 2 - s_{2j-1}(y))S_{m-1}(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))S_n(t_{2j-1}).$ 

Since  $y \ge 2$ , by Lemma 2.1 we have  $S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y) \ge S_m(2) - S_{m-1}(2) = 1$  and  $S_{m-1}(y) \ge S_{m-1}(2) = m$ . Hence  $(-1)^j R_K(s_{2j-1}(y), y) > 0$ .

Similarly, for  $1 \leq j \leq n$  we have

$$R_K(s_{2j}(y), y) = (1 + t - z)S_n(t_{2j})$$
  
=  $[2 + (y + 2 - s_{2j-1}(y))S_{m-1}(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))]S_n(t_{2j}),$ 

which implies that  $(-1)^j R_K(s_{2j}(y), y) > 0.$ 

For each  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ , since

$$R_K(s_{2j+1}(y), y)R_K(s_{2j}(y), y) < 0$$

there exists  $x_j(y) \in (s_{2j+1}(y), s_{2j}(y))$  such that  $R_K(x_j(y), y) = 0$ . Since

$$R_K(s_0(y), y) = R_K(y+2, y) = 1$$

and  $R_K(s_1(y), y) < 0$  there exists  $x_0(y) \in (s_1(y), s_0(y))$  such that  $R_K(x_0(y), y) = 0$ .

Since  $R_K(x, y) = zS_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t)$ , we see that  $R_K(x, y)$  is a polynomial of degree n in x for each fixed real number  $y \ge 2$ . This polynomial has exactly n simple real roots  $x_0(y), \ldots, x_{n-1}(y)$  satisfying  $x_{n-1}(y) < \cdots < x_0(y) < y + 2$ , hence the implicit function theorem implies that each  $x_j(y)$  is a continuous function in  $y \ge 2$ .

By letting  $x(y) = x_{n-1}(y)$  for  $y \ge 2$ , we have  $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$ . Moreover, since

Left orderable surgeries of double twist knots

$$x(y) > s_{2n-1}(y) = y + 2 - \frac{2 - 2\cos((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))}{(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2}$$

we have  $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$  and  $x(y) > 4 - (2 - 2\cos((2n - 1)\pi/(2n + 1))) = 4\cos^2((2n - 1)\pi/(4n + 2))$  for  $y \ge 2$ .

Finally, since  $x(y) < s_{2n-2}(y)$  for all  $y \ge 2$  we have  $x(2) < s_{2n-2}(2) = 4\cos^2((2n-2)\pi/(4n+2))$ .

LEMMA 2.4. Suppose  $n \ge 2$ . Then there exists a continuous real function  $x : [2, \infty) \to (4\cos^2((2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)), \infty)$  in the variable y such that

• 
$$x(2) < 4\cos^2\frac{(2n-3)\pi}{4n+2}$$
,

- $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$  and
- $R_{C(2m+1,-2n)}(x(y),y) = 0$  for all  $y \in [2,\infty)$ .

PROOF. Let K = C(2m + 1, -2n). We have  $R_K(x, y) = S_n(t) - zS_{n-1}(t)$  where

$$t = 2 - (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2,$$
  
$$z = 1 - (y + 2 - x)S_m(y)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))$$

Fix a real number  $y \ge 2$ . Choose  $t_j$  and  $s_j(y)$  for  $1 \le j \le 2n$  as in Lemma 2.3. Since

$$R_K(s_{2j-1}(y), y) = (1-z)S_n(t_{2j-1})$$
  
=  $(y+2-s_{2j-1}(y))S_m(y)(S_m(y)-S_{m-1}(y))S_n(t_{2j-1}),$ 

we have  $(-1)^{j-1}R_K(s_{2j-1}(y), y) > 0$ . Hence, there exists  $x_j(y) \in (s_{2j+1}(y), s_{2j-1}(y))$ such that  $R_K(x_j(y), y) = 0$  for each  $1 \le j \le n-1$ .

By writing  $R_K(x,y) = (t-z)S_{n-1}(t) - S_{n-2}(t)$  and noting that

$$t - z = 1 + (y + 2 - x)(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))S_{m-1}(y),$$

we see that  $R_K(x, y)$  is a polynomial of degree n in x with negative highest coefficient for each fixed real number  $y \ge 2$ . Since  $\lim_{x\to\infty} R_K(x, y) = -\infty$  and  $R_K(y+2, y) = 1$ , there exists  $x_0(y) \in (y+2,\infty)$  such that  $R_K(x_0(y), y) = 0$ . For a fixed real number  $y \ge 2$ , the polynomial  $R_K(x, y)$  of degree n in x has exactly n simple real roots  $x_0(y), \ldots, x_{n-1}(y)$ satisfying  $x_{n-1}(y) < \cdots < x_1(y) < y+2 < x_0(y)$ , hence the implicit function theorem implies that each  $x_i(y)$  is a continuous function in  $y \ge 2$ .

By letting  $x(y) = x_{n-1}(y)$  for  $y \ge 2$ , we have  $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$ . Moreover, since

$$x(y) > s_{2n-1}(y) = y + 2 - \frac{2 - 2\cos((2n-1)\pi/(2n+1))}{(S_m(y) - S_{m-1}(y))^2}$$

we have  $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$  and  $x(y) > 4 - (2 - 2\cos((2n - 1)\pi/(2n + 1))) = 4\cos^2((2n - 1)\pi/(4n + 2))$  for  $y \ge 2$ .

Finally, since  $x(y) < s_{2n-3}(y)$  for all  $y \ge 2$  we have  $x(2) < s_{2n-3}(2) = 4\cos^2((2n-3)\pi/(4n+2))$ .

## 3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Suppose K is a double twist knot of the form C(2m, -2n), C(2m+1, 2n) or C(2m+1, -2n) in the Conway notation for some positive integers m and n. Let X be the complement of an open tubular neighborhood of K in  $S^3$ , and  $X_r$  the 3-manifold obtained from  $S^3$  by r-surgery along K. Recall that

$$\mathrm{LO}_{K} = \begin{cases} (-\infty, 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m, -2n), \\ (-\infty, 2n - 1) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, 2n), \\ (3 - 2n, \infty) & \text{if } K = C(2m + 1, -2n) \text{ and } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$

An element of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is called elliptic if its trace is a real number in (-2, 2). A representation  $\rho : \mathbb{Z}^2 \to SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  is called elliptic if the image group  $\rho(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  contains an elliptic element of  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$ . In which case, since  $\mathbb{Z}^2$  is an abelian group every non-trivial element of  $\rho(\mathbb{Z}^2)$  must also be elliptic.

Using Lemmas 2.2–2.4 we first prove the following.

PROPOSITION 3.1. For each rational number  $r \in \mathrm{LO}_K \setminus \{0\}$  there exists a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X_r) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\rho|_{\pi_1(\partial X)} : \pi_1(\partial X) \cong \mathbb{Z}^2 \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is an elliptic representation.

PROOF. We first consider the case K = C(2m, -2n). Let  $\theta_0 = \arccos \sqrt{1 - 1/(4mn)}$ . For  $\theta \in (0, \theta_0) \cup (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$  we let  $x = 4\cos^2 \theta$ . Then  $x \in (4 - 1/(mn), 4)$ . Consider the continuous real function

$$y: [4-1/(mn), 4] \to [2, \infty)$$

in Lemma 2.2. Let  $M = e^{i\theta}$ . Then  $x = 4\cos^2\theta = (M + M^{-1})^2$ . Since  $R_K(x, y(x)) = 0$ there exists a non-abelian representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\rho(a) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{array} \right] \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(b) = \left[ \begin{array}{cc} M & 0 \\ 2 - y(x) & M^{-1} \end{array} \right].$$

Note that x is the square of the trace of a meridian. Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude  $\lambda$  corresponding to the meridian  $\mu = a$  has the form  $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ , where

$$L = -\frac{M^{-1}\alpha - M\beta}{M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta}$$

and  $\alpha = S_m(y(x)) - S_{m-1}(y(x)), \ \beta = S_{m-1}(y(x)) - S_{m-2}(y(x))$ . Note that  $\alpha > \beta > 0$ , since y(x) > 2.

It is easy to see that  $|L| = \sqrt{L\overline{L}} = 1$ , where  $\overline{L}$  denotes the complex conjugate of L. Moreover, by a direct calculation, we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(L) = \left(2\alpha\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\cos 2\theta\right) / |M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta|^2,$$
  
$$\operatorname{Im}(L) = (\alpha^2 - \beta^2)\sin 2\theta / |M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta|^2.$$

Note that Im(L) > 0 if  $\theta \in (0, \theta_0)$  and Im(L) < 0 if  $\theta \in (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$ . Let

$$\varphi(\theta) = \begin{cases} \arccos\left[\left(2\alpha\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\cos 2\theta\right) / \left|e^{i\theta}\alpha - e^{-i\theta}\beta\right|^2\right] & \text{if } \theta \in (0,\theta_0), \\ -\arccos\left[\left(2\alpha\beta - (\alpha^2 + \beta^2)\cos 2\theta\right) / \left|e^{i\theta}\alpha - e^{-i\theta}\beta\right|^2\right] & \text{if } \theta \in (\pi - \theta_0, \pi). \end{cases}$$

Then  $L = e^{i\varphi(\theta)}$ . Note that  $\varphi(\theta) \in (0,\pi)$  if  $\theta \in (0,\theta_0)$  and  $\varphi(\theta) \in (-\pi,0)$  if  $\theta \in (\pi-\theta_0,\pi)$ .

The function  $f(\theta) := -\varphi(\theta)/\theta$  is a continuous function on each of the intervals  $(0, \theta_0)$ and  $(\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$ . As  $\theta \to 0^+$  we have  $M \to 1$  and  $L = -(M^{-1}\alpha - M\beta)/(M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta) \to -1$ , so  $\varphi(\theta) \to \pi$ . As  $\theta \to \theta_0^-$  we have  $x \to 4 - 1/(mn)$ ,  $y(x) \to 2$  and  $\alpha, \beta \to 1$ , so  $L = -(M^{-1}\alpha - M\beta)/(M\alpha - M^{-1}\beta) \to 1$  and  $\varphi(\theta) \to 0$ . This implies that

$$\lim_{\theta \to 0^+} -\frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = -\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \to \theta_0^-} -\frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = 0.$$

Hence the image of  $f(\theta)$  on the interval  $(0, \theta_0)$  contains the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

Similarly, since

$$\lim_{\theta \to (\pi - \theta_0)^+} - \frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{\theta \to \pi^-} - \frac{\varphi(\theta)}{\theta} = 1,$$

the image of  $f(\theta)$  on the interval  $(\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$  contains the interval (0, 1).

Suppose r = p/q is a rational number such that  $r \in (-\infty, 0) \cup (0, 1)$ . Then  $r = f(\theta) = -\varphi(\theta)/\theta$  for some  $\theta \in (0, \theta_0) \cup (\pi - \theta_0, \pi)$ . Since  $M^p L^q = e^{i(\rho\theta + q\varphi(\theta))} = 1$ , we have  $\rho(\mu^p \lambda^q) = I$ . This means that the non-abelian representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  extends to a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X_r) \to \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$ . Finally, since 2 - y(x) < 0, a result in [**Kh**, p.786] implies that  $\rho$  can be conjugated to an  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ -representation. Note that the restriction of this representation to the peripheral subgroup  $\pi_1(\partial X)$  of the knot group is an elliptic representation. This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1 for K = C(2m, -2n).

We now consider the case K = C(2m+1, 2n). Consider the continuous real function

$$x: [2,\infty) \to \left(4\cos^2\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{4n+2},\infty\right)$$

in Lemma 2.3. Since  $x(2) < 4\cos^2((2n-2)\pi/(4n+2))$  and  $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$ , there exists  $y^* > 2$  such that  $x(y^*) = 4$  and  $4\cos^2((2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)) < x(y) < 4$  for all  $y \in [2, y^*)$ .

For each  $y \in [2, y^*)$  we let  $\theta(y) = \arccos(\sqrt{x(y)}/2)$ . Then  $\theta(2) > (2n-2)\pi/(4n+2)$ , and for  $y \in [2, y^*)$  we have  $0 < \theta(y) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$  and  $x(y) = 4\cos^2\theta(y)$ . Since  $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$  there exists a non-abelian representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1 \\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0 \\ 2 - y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $M = e^{i\theta(y)}$ . Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude  $\lambda$  corresponding to the meridian  $\mu = a$  has the form  $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ , where

$$L = -M^{-4n} \frac{M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta}{M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta}$$

and  $\gamma = S_m(y)$ ,  $\delta = S_{m-1}(y)$ . Note that  $\gamma > \delta > 0$ , since y > 2.

As in the previous case, we write  $L = e^{i\varphi(y)}$  where

$$\varphi(y) = (2n-2)\pi - 4n\theta(y) + \arccos\left[\left(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)\right) / \left|e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta\right|^2\right].$$

Since  $(2n-2)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$  we have  $-2\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi(2) < 2\pi - 3\pi/(2n+1)$ .

As  $y \to 2^+$ ,  $\rho$  approaches a reducible representation and so  $L \to 1$ ,  $\varphi(y) \to \varphi(2) = k2\pi$  for some integer k. Since  $-2\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi(2) < 2\pi - 3\pi/(2n+1)$ , we must have  $\varphi(2) = 0$ . As  $y \to (y^*)^-$ , we have  $x(y) \to 4$ ,  $M \to 1$ ,  $L = -M^{-4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta) \to -1$  and hence  $\theta(y) \to 0^+$ ,  $\varphi(y) \to (2l-1)\pi$  for some integer l. Since

$$(2l-1)\pi = \lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} (2n-2)\pi - 4n\theta(y) + \arccos\left[ \left( 2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta \right|^2 \right] = \lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} (2n-2)\pi + \arccos\left[ \left( 2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta \right|^2 \right],$$

we have  $(2n-2)\pi \leq (2l-1)\pi \leq (2n-1)\pi$ . This implies that 2l-1 = 2n-1 and  $\varphi(y) \to (2n-1)\pi$  as  $y \to (y^*)^-$ . Hence the image of  $g(y) := -\varphi(y)/\theta(y)$  on the interval  $(2, y^*)$  contains the interval  $(-\infty, 0)$ .

Similarly, with  $\theta_1(y) = \pi - \theta(y)$  we have  $x(y) = 4\cos^2(\theta_1(y))$  and hence for each  $y \in [2, y^*)$  there exists a non-abelian representation  $\rho_1 : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\rho_1(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1\\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho_1(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0\\ 2-y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $M = e^{i\theta_1(y)}$ . Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude  $\lambda$  corresponding to the meridian  $\mu = a$  has the form  $\rho_1(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $L = e^{i\varphi_1(y)}$  and

$$\varphi_1(y) = -(2n-2)\pi + 4n\pi - 4n\theta_1(y)$$
  
- arccos  $\left[ \left( 2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)}\delta \right|^2 \right]$   
=  $-(2n-2)\pi + 4n\theta(y)$   
- arccos  $\left[ \left( 2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)}\delta \right|^2 \right].$ 

Since  $(2n-2)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$  we have  $-2\pi + 3\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi_1(2) < 2\pi/(2n+1)$ .

As  $y \to 2^+$ ,  $\rho_1$  approaches a reducible representation and so  $L \to 1$ ,  $\varphi_1(y) \to 0$ . As  $y \to (y^*)^-$ , we have  $x(y) \to 4$ ,  $M \to -1$ ,  $L = -M^{-4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta) \to -1$  and hence  $\theta_1(y) \to \pi$ ,  $\varphi_1(y) \to -(2n-1)\pi$ . This implies that the image of  $g_1(y) := -\varphi_1(y)/\theta_1(y)$  on the interval  $(2, y^*)$  contains the interval (0, 2n - 1).

The rest of the proof of Proposition 3.1 for C(2m + 1, 2n) is similar to that for C(2m, -2n).

Lastly, we consider the case K = C(2m+1, -2n) and  $n \ge 2$ . Consider the continuous real function

$$x: [2,\infty) \to \left(4\cos^2\frac{(2n-1)\pi}{4n+2},\infty\right)$$

in Lemma 2.4. Since  $x(2) < 4\cos^2(2n-3)\pi/(4n+2)$  and  $\lim_{y\to\infty} x(y) = \infty$ , there exists  $y^* > 2$  such that  $x(y^*) = 4$  and  $4\cos^2(2n-1)\pi/(4n+2) < x(y) < 4$  for all  $y \in [2, y^*)$ .

For each  $y \in [2, y^*)$  we let  $\theta(y) = \arccos(\sqrt{x(y)}/2)$ . Then  $\theta(2) > (2n-3)\pi/(4n+2)$ , and for  $y \in [2, y^*)$  we have  $0 < \theta(y) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$  and  $x(y) = 4\cos^2\theta(y)$ . Since  $R_K(x(y), y) = 0$  there exists a non-abelian representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X) \to \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\rho(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1\\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0\\ 2-y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $M = e^{i\theta(y)}$ . Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude  $\lambda$  corresponding to the meridian  $\mu = a$  has the form  $\rho(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ , where

$$L = -M^{4n} \frac{M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta}{M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta}$$

and  $\gamma = S_m(y)$ ,  $\delta = S_{m-1}(y)$ . Note that  $\gamma > \delta > 0$ , since y > 2. As above, we write  $L = e^{i\varphi(y)}$  where

$$\varphi(y) = -(2n-2)\pi + 4n\theta(y) + \arccos\left[\left(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)\right) / \left|e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta\right|^2\right].$$

Since  $(2n-3)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$  we have  $-2\pi + 4\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi(2) < 2\pi - (2n-1)\pi/(2n+1)$ .

As  $y \to 2^+$ ,  $\rho$  approaches a reducible representation and so  $L \to 1$ ,  $\varphi(y) \to \varphi(2) = k2\pi$  for some integer k. Since  $-2\pi + 4\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi(2) < 2\pi - (2n-1)\pi/(2n+1)$ , we must have  $\varphi(2) = 0$ .

As  $y \to (y^*)^-$ , we have  $x(y) \to 4$ ,  $M \to 1$ ,  $L = -M^{4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta) \to -1$  and hence  $\theta(y) \to 0^+$ ,  $\varphi(y) \to (2l-1)\pi$  for some integer l. Since

$$\begin{aligned} (2l-1)\pi &= \lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} -(2n-2)\pi + 4n\theta(y) \\ &+ \arccos\left[\left(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)\right) / \left|e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta\right|^2\right] \\ &= \lim_{y \to (y^*)^-} -(2n-2)\pi \\ &+ \arccos\left[\left(2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta(y)\right) / \left|e^{i\theta(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta(y)}\delta\right|^2\right] \end{aligned}$$

we have  $-(2n-2)\pi \leq (2l-1)\pi \leq -(2n-3)\pi$ . This implies that 2l-1 = -(2n-3)and  $\varphi(y) \to -(2n-3)\pi$  as  $y \to (y^*)^-$ . Hence the image of  $h(y) := -\varphi(y)/\theta(y)$  on the interval  $(2, y^*)$  contains the interval  $(0, \infty)$ .

Similarly, with  $\theta_1(y) = \pi - \theta(y)$  we have  $x(y) = 4\cos^2(\theta_1(y))$  and hence for each  $y \in [2, y^*)$  there exists a non-abelian representation  $\rho_1 : \pi_1(X) \to SL_2(\mathbb{C})$  such that

$$\rho_1(a) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 1\\ 0 & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \rho_1(b) = \begin{bmatrix} M & 0\\ 2-y & M^{-1} \end{bmatrix},$$

where  $M = e^{i\theta_1(y)}$ . Moreover, the image of the canonical longitude  $\lambda$  corresponding to the meridian  $\mu = a$  has the form  $\rho_1(\lambda) = \begin{bmatrix} L & * \\ 0 & L^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $L = e^{i\varphi_1(y)}$  and

$$\varphi_1(y) = (2n-2)\pi - 4n\pi + 4n\theta_1(y)$$
  
- arccos  $\left[ \left( 2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)}\delta \right|^2 \right]$   
=  $(2n-2)\pi - 4n\theta(y)$   
- arccos  $\left[ \left( 2\gamma\delta - (\gamma^2 + \delta^2)\cos 2\theta_1(y) \right) / \left| e^{i\theta_1(y)}\gamma - e^{-i\theta_1(y)}\delta \right|^2 \right].$ 

Since  $(2n-3)\pi/(4n+2) < \theta(2) < (2n-1)\pi/(4n+2)$  we have  $-2\pi + (2n-1)\pi/(2n+1) < \varphi_1(2) < 2\pi - 4\pi/(2n+1)$ .

As  $y \to 2^+$ ,  $\rho_1$  approaches a reducible representation and so  $L \to 1$ ,  $\varphi_1(y) \to \varphi_1(2) = 0$ . As  $y \to (y^*)^-$ , we have  $x(y) \to 4$ ,  $M \to -1$ ,  $L = -M^{4n}(M^{-1}\gamma - M\delta)/(M\gamma - M^{-1}\delta) \to -1$  and hence  $\theta_1(y) \to \pi$ ,  $\varphi_1(y) \to (2n-3)\pi$ . This implies that the image of  $h_1(y) := -\varphi_1(y)/\theta_1(y)$  on the interval  $(2, y^*)$  contains the interval (-(2n-3), 0).

The rest of the proof of Proposition 3.1 for C(2m + 1, -2n) is similar to that for C(2m, -2n).

We now finish the proof of Theorem 1. Suppose r is a rational number such that  $r \in \mathrm{LO}_K$ . If  $r \neq 0$ , by Proposition 3.1, there exists a representation  $\rho : \pi_1(X_r) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $\rho|_{\pi_1(\partial X)}$  is an elliptic representation. This representation lifts to a representation  $\tilde{\rho} : \pi_1(X_r) \to \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ , where  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is the universal covering group of  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ . See e.g. [CD, Section 3.5] and [Va, Section 2.2]. Note that  $X_r$  is an irreducible 3-manifold (by [HTh]) and  $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$  is a left orderable group (by [Be]). Hence, by [BRW],  $\pi_1(X_r)$  is a left orderable group. Finally, 0-surgery along a knot always produces a prime manifold whose first Betti number is 1, and by [BRW] such manifold has left orderable fundamental group.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author would like to thank the referees for helpful comments and suggestions.

#### References

- [Be] G. Bergman, Right orderable groups that are not locally indicable, Pacific J. Math., 147 (1991), 243–248.
- [BGW] S. Boyer, C. Gordon and L. Watson, On L-spaces and left-orderable fundamental groups, Math. Ann., 356 (2013), 1213–1245.

- [BRW] S. Boyer, D. Rolfsen and B. Wiest, Orderable 3-manifold groups, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble), 55 (2005), 243–288.
- [CD] M. Culler and N. Dunfield, Orderability and Dehn filling, Geom. Topol., 22 (2018), 1405–1457.
- [Ga] X. Gao, Slope of orderable Dehn filling of two-bridge knots, preprint, arXiv:1912.07468v2.
- [HS] J. Hoste and P. Shanahan, A formula for the A-polynomial of twist knots, J. Knot Theory Ramifications, 13 (2004), 193–209.
- [HTe1] R. Hakamata and M. Teragaito, Left-orderable fundamental groups and Dehn surgery on genus one 2-bridge knots, Algebr. Geom. Topol., 14 (2014), 2125–2148.
- [HTe2] R. Hakamata and M. Teragaito, Left-orderable fundamental group and Dehn surgery on the knot 5<sub>2</sub>, Canad. Math. Bull., 57 (2014), 310–317.
- [HTh] A. Hatcher and W. Thurston, Incompressible surfaces in 2-bridge knot complements, Invent. Math., 79 (1985), 225–246.
- [Kh] V. Khoi, A cut-and-paste method for computing the Seifert volumes, Math. Ann., 326 (2003), 759–801.
- [KTT] V. Khoi, M. Teragaito and A. Tran, Left orderable surgeries of double twist knots II, Canad. Math. Bull., published online, (2020), doi:10.4153/S0008439520000703.
- [OS] P. Ozsváth and Z. Szabó, On knot Floer homology and lens space surgeries, Topology, 44 (2005), 1281–1300.
- [Pe] K. Petersen, A-polynomials of a family of two-bridge knots, New York J. Math., 21 (2015), 847–881.
- [Ri] R. Riley, Nonabelian representations of 2-bridge knot groups, Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2), 35 (1984), 191–208.
- [Tr] A. Tran, On left-orderable fundamental groups and Dehn surgeries on knots, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 67 (2015), 319–338.
- [Va] K. Varvarezos, Representations of the (-2, 3, 7)-pretzel knot and orderability of Dehn surgeries, preprint, arXiv:1911.11745v1.

Anh T. TRAN Department of Mathematical Sciences The University of Texas at Dallas Richardson TX 75080-3021, USA E-mail: att140830@utdallas.edu