

Ohno-type identities for multiple harmonic sums

By Shin-ichiro SEKI and Shuji YAMAMOTO

(Received Aug. 8, 2018)

Abstract. We establish Ohno-type identities for multiple harmonic (q -)sums which generalize Hoffman’s identity and Bradley’s identity. Our result leads to a new proof of the Ohno-type relation for \mathcal{A} -finite multiple zeta values recently proved by Hirose, Imatomi, Murahara and Saito. As a further application, we give certain sum formulas for \mathcal{A}_2 - or \mathcal{A}_3 -finite multiple zeta values.

1. Introduction.

Let N be a positive integer. Euler [5] proved the following identity for the N -th harmonic number:

$$\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{m} \binom{N}{m} = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{n}. \quad (1)$$

It is known today that there are various generalizations of Euler’s identity. We call a tuple of positive integers an index. For an index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$, we write it in the form

$$\mathbf{k} = (\{1\}^{a_1-1}, b_1 + 1, \dots, \{1\}^{a_{s-1}-1}, b_{s-1} + 1, \{1\}^{a_s-1}, b_s),$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_s, b_1, \dots, b_s$ are positive integers and $\{1\}^a$ means $1, \dots, 1$ repeated a times, and then we define its Hoffman dual \mathbf{k}^\vee by

$$\mathbf{k}^\vee := (a_1, \{1\}^{b_1-1}, a_2 + 1, \{1\}^{b_2-1}, \dots, a_s + 1, \{1\}^{b_s-1}).$$

Let $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ and $\mathbf{k}^\vee = (l_1, \dots, l_s)$. After Roman [12] (the case $r = 1$) and Hernandez [1] (the case $s = 1$), Hoffman [8] proved

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \frac{(-1)^{m_r-1}}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_r^{k_r}} \binom{N}{m_r} = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_s \leq N} \frac{1}{n_1^{l_1} \dots n_s^{l_s}}. \quad (2)$$

There are also q -analogs of these identities. Let q be a real number satisfying $0 < q < 1$. For an integer m , we define the q -integer $[m]_q := (1 - q^m)/(1 - q)$. When $0 \leq m \leq N$, we define the q -factorial $[m]_q! := \prod_{a=1}^m [a]_q$ ($[0]_q! := 1$) and the q -binomial

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M32; Secondary 11B65.

Key Words and Phrases. multiple harmonic sums, Ohno-type identities, finite multiple zeta values, sum formulas.

This work was supported in part by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Numbers JP18J00151, JP16H06336, JP16K13742, JP18K03221, JP18H05233 as well as the KiPAS program 2013–2018 of the Faculty of Science and Technology at Keio University.

coefficient $\binom{N}{m}_q := [N]_q!/[m]_q![N - m]_q!$. Van Hamme [19] proved a q -analog of Euler’s identity (1)

$$\sum_{m=1}^N \frac{(-1)^{m-1} q^{m(m+1)/2}}{[m]_q} \binom{N}{m}_q = \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{q^n}{[n]_q}.$$

After Dilcher [4] (the case $r = 1$) and Prodinger [11] (the case $s = 1$), Bradley [3] proved a q -analog of Hoffman’s identity (2)

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \frac{q^{(k_1-1)m_1 + \dots + (k_r-1)m_r}}{[m_1]_q^{k_1} \dots [m_r]_q^{k_r}} \cdot (-1)^{m_r-1} q^{m_r(m_r+1)/2} \binom{N}{m_r}_q = \sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_s \leq N} \frac{q^{n_1 + \dots + n_s}}{[n_1]_q^{l_1} \dots [n_s]_q^{l_s}}. \tag{3}$$

The equality (2) or (3) is a kind of duality for multiple harmonic (q -)sums. Since the duality relations for (q -)multiple zeta values are generalized to Ohno’s relations ([9], [2]), it is natural to ask whether (and how) we can generalize (2) and (3) to Ohno-type identities. This question was considered by Oyama [10] and more recently by Hirose, Imatomi, Murahara and Saito [7]. More precisely, they treated identities of the \mathcal{A} -finite multiple zeta values, that is, congruences modulo prime numbers.

In this article, we prove Ohno-type identities which generalize (3) (Theorem 2.1) and (2) (Corollary 2.2). We stress that our formulas are true identities, not congruences. This allows us to give, besides a new proof of Hirose–Imatomi–Murahara–Saito’s relation for \mathcal{A} -finite multiple zeta values, sum formulas for \mathcal{A}_2 - or \mathcal{A}_3 -finite multiple zeta values, which are congruences modulo square or cube of primes.

2. Main results.

2.1. Ohno-type identity.

For a tuple of non-negative integers $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r)$, we define its weight $\text{wt}(\mathbf{e})$ and depth $\text{dep}(\mathbf{e})$ to be $e_1 + \dots + e_r$ and r , respectively. Let $J_{\mathbf{e},r}$ be the set of all tuples of non-negative integers \mathbf{e} such that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{e}) = e$, $\text{dep}(\mathbf{e}) = r$, and set $J_{*,r} := \bigcup_{e=0}^\infty J_{e,r}$. For $\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2 \in J_{*,r}$, $\mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2$ denotes the entrywise sum. Similarly, let $I_{k,r}$ be the set of all indices \mathbf{k} such that $\text{wt}(\mathbf{k}) = k$, $\text{dep}(\mathbf{k}) = r$, and set $I_{*,r} := \bigcup_{k=0}^\infty I_{k,r}$. By convention, $I_{*,0} = \{\emptyset\}$ is the set consisting only of the empty index.

For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in I_{*,r}$ and $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r) \in J_{*,r}$, put

$$b(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}) := \prod_{i=1}^r \binom{k_i + e_i + \delta_{i1} + \delta_{ir} - 2}{e_i},$$

where δ_{ij} is Kronecker’s delta. Here, we use the convention that

$$\binom{e-1}{e} = \begin{cases} 1 & (e = 0), \\ 0 & (e > 0). \end{cases}$$

For a positive integer N , $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in I_{*,r}$ and $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, \dots, e_r) \in J_{*,r}$, we define the multiple harmonic q -sums $H_N^*(\mathbf{k}; q)$ and $z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}; q)$ by

$$H_N^*(\mathbf{k}; q) := \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \frac{q^{(k_1-1)m_1 + \dots + (k_r-1)m_r}}{[m_1]_q^{k_1} \dots [m_r]_q^{k_r}} \cdot (-1)^{m_r-1} q^{m_r(m_r+1)/2} \binom{N}{m_r}_q,$$

$$z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}; q) := \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \frac{q^{(e_1+1)m_1 + \dots + (e_r+1)m_r}}{[m_1]_q^{k_1+e_1} \dots [m_r]_q^{k_r+e_r}}.$$

We set $z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; q) := z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \{0\}^r; q)$ and $z_N^*(\emptyset; q) := 1$. The first main result is the following:

THEOREM 2.1. *Let N be a positive integer, e a non-negative integer and $\mathbf{k} \in I_{*,r}$ an index. Set $s := \text{dep}(\mathbf{k}^\vee)$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in J_{e,r}} b(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}) H_N^*(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}; q) = \sum_{j=0}^e z_N^*(\{1\}^{e-j}; q) \sum_{\mathbf{e}' \in J_{j,s}} z_N^*(\mathbf{k}^\vee; \mathbf{e}'; q). \tag{4}$$

The case $e = 0$ gives Bradley’s identity $H_N^*(\mathbf{k}; q) = z_N^*(\mathbf{k}^\vee; q)$. We will prove (4) by using a certain *connected sum* in Section 3, based on the same idea used in another paper of the authors [17]. This proof is new even if one specializes it to Hoffman’s identity.

Let

$$H_N^*(\mathbf{k}) := \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} H_N^*(\mathbf{k}; q) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \frac{(-1)^{m_r-1}}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_r^{k_r}} \binom{N}{m_r},$$

$$\zeta_N^*(\mathbf{k}) := \lim_{q \rightarrow 1} z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; q) = \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \dots m_r^{k_r}}. \tag{5}$$

By taking the limit $q \rightarrow 1$ in (4), we obtain the following:

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let N be a positive integer, e a non-negative integer and $\mathbf{k} \in I_{*,r}$ an index. Set $s := \text{dep}(\mathbf{k}^\vee)$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in J_{e,r}} b(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}) H_N^*(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}) = \sum_{j=0}^e \zeta_N^*(\{1\}^{e-j}) \sum_{\mathbf{e}' \in J_{j,s}} \zeta_N^*(\mathbf{k}^\vee + \mathbf{e}'). \tag{6}$$

The case $e = 0$ gives Hoffman’s identity $H_N^*(\mathbf{k}) = \zeta_N^*(\mathbf{k}^\vee)$.

For an application of (6), we recall \mathcal{A} -finite multiple zeta values. First we define a \mathbb{Q} -algebra \mathcal{A} by

$$\mathcal{A} := \left(\prod_{p: \text{prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right) \Big/ \left(\bigoplus_{p: \text{prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \right).$$

For a positive integer N and an index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in I_{*,r}$, we define the multiple harmonic sum $\zeta_N(\mathbf{k})$ by

$$\zeta_N(\mathbf{k}) := \sum_{1 \leq m_1 < \dots < m_r \leq N} \frac{1}{m_1^{k_1} \cdots m_r^{k_r}}$$

(compare with $\zeta_N^*(\mathbf{k})$ given in (5)). We set $\zeta_N(\emptyset) = \zeta_N^*(\emptyset) = 1$ by convention. Then the \mathcal{A} -finite multiple zeta values $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k})$ are defined by

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}) := (\zeta_{p-1}(\mathbf{k}) \bmod p)_p, \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k}) := (\zeta_{p-1}^*(\mathbf{k}) \bmod p)_p \in \mathcal{A}.$$

Since $(-1)^{m-1} \binom{p-1}{m} \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ holds for any prime p greater than m , we have

$$(H_{p-1}^*(\mathbf{k}) \bmod p)_p = -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k}).$$

Moreover, it is known that $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*({1}^e) = 0$ for $e > 0$, while $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\emptyset) = 1$. Hence we obtain the following relation among \mathcal{A} -finite multiple zeta values as a corollary of (6).

COROLLARY 2.3 (Hirose–Imatomi–Murahara–Saito [7]). *Let e be a non-negative integer and $\mathbf{k} \in I_{*,r}$ an index. Set $s := \text{dep}(\mathbf{k}^\vee)$. Then we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e} \in J_{e,r}} b(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}) \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}) = - \sum_{\mathbf{e}' \in J_{e,s}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k}^\vee + \mathbf{e}').$$

2.2. Sum formulas for finite multiple zeta values.

Before stating our second main result, let us recall the sum formulas for \mathcal{A} -finite multiple zeta values. First, it is easily seen that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k}) = 0, \tag{7}$$

but this is not an analog of the sum formula for the multiple zeta values [6], since the admissibility condition $k_r \geq 2$ is ignored in (7). A more precise analog (and its generalization) is due to Saito–Wakabayashi [14]. For integers k, r and i satisfying $1 \leq i \leq r < k$, we put $I_{k,r,i} := \{(k_1, \dots, k_r) \in I_{k,r} \mid k_i \geq 2\}$ and $B_{p-k} := (B_{p-k} \bmod p)_p \in \mathcal{A}$, where B_n denotes the n -th Seki–Bernoulli number. Note that $B_{p-k} = 0$ if k is even.

THEOREM 2.4 (Saito–Wakabayashi [14]). *Let k, r and i be integers satisfying $1 \leq i \leq r < k$. Then, in the ring \mathcal{A} , we have equalities*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}) &= (-1)^i \left\{ \binom{k-1}{i-1} + (-1)^r \binom{k-1}{r-i} \right\} \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}, \\ \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k}) &= (-1)^i \left\{ \binom{k-1}{r-i} + (-1)^r \binom{k-1}{i-1} \right\} \frac{B_{p-k}}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if k is even, we see that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{k}) = \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(\mathbf{k}) = 0. \tag{8}$$

Our aim is to lift the identities (7) and (8) in \mathcal{A} , which represent systems of congruences modulo (almost all) primes p , to congruences modulo p^2 or p^3 , by using the identity (6).

Let n be a positive integer. In accordance with [13], [16], [21], we define a \mathbb{Q} -algebra \mathcal{A}_n by

$$\mathcal{A}_n := \left(\prod_{p: \text{prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \right) / \left(\bigoplus_{p: \text{prime}} \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \right)$$

and the \mathcal{A}_n -finite multiple zeta values $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathbf{k})$ and $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_n}^*(\mathbf{k})$ by

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_n}(\mathbf{k}) := (\zeta_{p-1}(\mathbf{k}) \bmod p^n)_p, \quad \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_n}^*(\mathbf{k}) := (\zeta_{p-1}^*(\mathbf{k}) \bmod p^n)_p \in \mathcal{A}_n.$$

We use the symbol B_{p-k} again to denote the element $(B_{p-k} \bmod p^n)_p$ of \mathcal{A}_n , and put $\mathbf{p} := (p \bmod p^n)_p \in \mathcal{A}_n$. Then our second main result is the following:

THEOREM 2.5 (= Proposition 4.6 + Theorem 5.2 + Theorem 4.7). *Let k, r be positive integers satisfying $r \leq k$. Then, in the ring \mathcal{A}_2 , we have*

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}(\mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{r-1} \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{p-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{p-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}.$$

If k is odd, in the ring \mathcal{A}_3 , we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}(\mathbf{k}) = (-1)^r \frac{k+1}{2} \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{p-k-2}}{k+2} \mathbf{p}^2, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(\mathbf{k}) = -\frac{k+1}{2} \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{p-k-2}}{k+2} \mathbf{p}^2.$$

Furthermore, let i be an integer satisfying $1 \leq i \leq r$ and we assume that k is even and greater than r . Then the equalities

$$\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}(\mathbf{k}) = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{a_{k,r,i}}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{p-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}, \quad \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{b_{k,r,i}}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{p-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}$$

hold in \mathcal{A}_2 . Here the coefficients $a_{k,r,i}$ and $b_{k,r,i}$ are given by

$$a_{k,r,i} := \binom{k-1}{r} + (-1)^{r-i} \left\{ (k-r) \binom{k}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{i-1} + (-1)^{r-1} \binom{k-1}{r-i} \right\},$$

$$b_{k,r,i} := \binom{k-1}{r} + (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (k-r) \binom{k}{r-i} + \binom{k-1}{r-i} + (-1)^{r-1} \binom{k-1}{i-1} \right\}.$$

We will prove this theorem in Section 4 and Section 5.

3. The proof of Theorem 2.1.

DEFINITION 3.1 (connected sum). Let N be a positive integer, q a real number satisfying $0 < q < 1$ and x an indeterminate. Let $r > 0$ and $s \geq 0$ be integers. For $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \in J_{*,r}$ satisfying $k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} \geq 1$ and $\mathbf{l} = (l_1, \dots, l_s) \in I_{*,s}$, we define a formal power series $Z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l}; q; x)$ in x by

$$Z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l}; q; x) := \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_s \leq n_{s+1} = N} F_1(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{m}; q; x) C(m_r, n_1, q, x) F_2(\mathbf{l}; \mathbf{n}; q; x),$$

where

$$F_1(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{m}; q; x) := \frac{[m_1]_q}{[m_1]_q - q^{m_1}x} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q^{(k_i-1)m_i}}{[m_i]_q ([m_i]_q - q^{m_i}x)^{k_i-1}} \cdot \frac{[m_r]_q}{[m_r]_q - q^{m_r}x},$$

$$C(m_r, n_1, q, x) := (-1)^{m_r-1} q^{m_r(m_r+1)/2} \frac{\prod_{h=1}^{n_1} ([h]_q - q^h x)}{[m_r]_q! [n_1 - m_r]_q!},$$

$$F_2(\mathbf{l}; \mathbf{n}; q; x) := \prod_{j=1}^s \frac{q^{n_j}}{([n_j]_q - q^{n_j}x) [n_j]_q^{l_j-1}}$$

for $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, \dots, n_s)$.

REMARK 3.2. The sum $Z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l}; q; x)$ consists of two parts

$$\sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} F_1(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{m}; q; x) \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{1 \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_s \leq N} F_2(\mathbf{l}; \mathbf{n}; q; x),$$

connected by the factor $C(m_r, n_1, q, x)$ (and the relation $m_r \leq n_1$). We call it a connected sum with the connector $C(m_r, n_1, q, x)$. In [17], another type of connected sums is used to give a new proof of Ohno’s relation for the multiple zeta values and Bradley’s q -analog of it.

THEOREM 3.3. For $(k_1, \dots, k_r) \in J_{*,r}$ with $k_1, \dots, k_{r-1} \geq 1$ and $(l_1, \dots, l_s) \in I_{*,s}$, we have

$$Z_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r + 1; l_1, \dots, l_s; q; x) = Z_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1, l_1, \dots, l_s; q; x). \tag{9}$$

Moreover, if $s > 0$, we also have

$$Z_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r + 1, 0; l_1, \dots, l_s; q; x) = Z_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1 + l_1, \dots, l_s; q; x). \tag{10}$$

PROOF. The equality (9) follows from the telescoping sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^m}{[m]_q - q^m x} \cdot C(m, n, q, x) \\ &= \sum_{a=m+1}^n \left(\frac{q^m}{[m]_q - q^m x} \cdot C(m, a, q, x) - \frac{q^m}{[m]_q - q^m x} \cdot C(m, a - 1, q, x) \right) \\ & \quad + \frac{q^m}{[m]_q - q^m x} \cdot C(m, m, q, x) \\ &= \sum_{a=m}^n C(m, a, q, x) \cdot \frac{q^a}{[a]_q - q^a x} \end{aligned}$$

applied to $m = m_r, n = n_2$ and $a = n_1$ in the definition of $Z_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1, l_1, \dots, l_s; q; x)$. Similarly, the equality (10) follows from the telescoping sum

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{a=m}^n q^{-a} C(a, n, q, x) \\ &= \frac{q^m}{[m]_q} \sum_{a=m}^n \left(\frac{[a]_q}{q^a} \cdot C(a, n, q, x) \cdot \frac{1}{[n]_q} - \frac{[a+1]_q}{q^{a+1}} \cdot C(a+1, n, q, x) \cdot \frac{1}{[n]_q} \right) \\ &= C(m, n, q, x) \cdot \frac{1}{[n]_q} \end{aligned}$$

applied to $m = m_r, n = n_1, a = m_{r+1}$ in the definition of $Z_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r + 1, 0; l_1, \dots, l_s; q; x)$. □

REMARK 3.4. Let r, s be as in Definition 3.1 and we omit q and x from notation. If we put $\widetilde{Z}_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r; l_1, \dots, l_s) := Z_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r - 1; l_1, \dots, l_s)$ for $(k_1, \dots, k_r) \in I_{*,r}$ and $(l_1, \dots, l_s) \in I_{*,s}$, then we can rewrite the transport relations (9) and (10) into the following symmetrical form:

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{Z}_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r + 1; l_1, \dots, l_s) &= \widetilde{Z}_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1, l_1, \dots, l_s), \\ \widetilde{Z}_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1; l_1, \dots, l_s) &= \widetilde{Z}_N^*(k_1, \dots, k_r; 1 + l_1, \dots, l_s) \quad (s > 0). \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 3.5. Let N be a positive integer and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ an index. We define $P_N(\mathbf{k}; q; x), Q_N(\mathbf{k}; q; x)$ and $R_N(q; x)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} P_N(\mathbf{k}; q; x) &:= \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \frac{[m_1]_q}{[m_1]_q - q^{m_1} x} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q^{(k_i-1)m_i}}{[m_i]_q ([m_i]_q - q^{m_i} x)^{k_i-1}} \cdot \frac{[m_r]_q}{[m_r]_q - q^{m_r} x} \\ &\quad \cdot (-1)^{m_r-1} q^{m_r(m_r+1)/2} \binom{N}{m_r}_q, \\ Q_N(\mathbf{k}; q; x) &:= \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} \prod_{i=1}^r \frac{q^{m_i}}{([m_i]_q - q^{m_i} x) [m_i]_q^{k_i-1}}, \\ R_N(q; x) &:= \prod_{h=1}^N \left(1 - \frac{q^h x}{[h]_q} \right)^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Then we have

$$P_N(\mathbf{k}; q; x) = Q_N(\mathbf{k}^\vee; q; x) R_N(q; x). \tag{11}$$

PROOF. By applying equalities in Theorem 3.3 $\text{wt}(\mathbf{k})$ times, we see that

$$Z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \emptyset; q; x) = \dots = Z_N^*(0; \mathbf{k}^\vee; q; x)$$

holds by the definition of the Hoffman dual. For example,

$$Z_N^*(1, 1, 2; \emptyset) \stackrel{(9)}{=} Z_N^*(1, 1, 1; 1) \stackrel{(9)}{=} Z_N^*(1, 1, 0; 1, 1) \stackrel{(10)}{=} Z_N^*(1, 0; 2, 1) \stackrel{(10)}{=} Z_N^*(0; 3, 1)$$

(here we abbreviated $Z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l}; q; x)$ as $Z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{l})$). By definition, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N^*(\mathbf{k}; \emptyset; q; x) &= \sum_{1 \leq m_1 \leq \dots \leq m_r \leq N} F_1(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{m}; q; x) C(m_r, N, q, x) \\ &= P_N(\mathbf{k}; q; x) R_N(q; x)^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} Z_N^*(0; \mathbf{k}^\vee; q; x) &= \sum_{1 \leq m \leq n_1 \leq \dots \leq n_s \leq N} \frac{q^{-m} [m]_q}{[m]_q - q^m x} C(m, n_1, q, x) F_2(\mathbf{k}^\vee; \mathbf{n}; q; x) \\ &= Q_N(\mathbf{k}^\vee; q; x). \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we have used the partial fraction decomposition

$$\sum_{m=1}^{n_1} \frac{[m]_q}{[m]_q - q^m x} \cdot \frac{(-1)^{m-1} q^{m(m-1)/2}}{[m]_q! [n_1 - m]_q!} = \frac{1}{\prod_{h=1}^{n_1} ([h]_q - q^h x)}.$$

The proof is complete. □

PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1. By using the expansion formula

$$\frac{1}{([m]_q - q^m x)^k} = \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} \binom{k+e-1}{e} \frac{q^{em} x^e}{[m]_q^{k+e}}$$

for a positive integer m and a non-negative integer k , we see that

$$P_N(\mathbf{k}; q; x) = \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in J_{e,r}} b(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}) H_N^*(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}; q) x^e$$

and

$$Q_N(\mathbf{k}^\vee; q; x) = \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in J_{e,s}} z_N^*(\mathbf{k}^\vee; \mathbf{e}; q) x^e.$$

Since $R_N(q; x) = \sum_{e=0}^{\infty} z_N^*(\{1\}^e; q) x^e$, we obtain the identity (4) by comparing the coefficients of x^e in (11). □

4. Sum formulas for \mathcal{A}_2 -finite multiple zeta values.

4.1. Auxiliary facts.

We prepare some known facts for finite multiple zeta values.

PROPOSITION 4.1 ([8, Theorems 6.1, 6.2], [20, Theorems 3.1, 3.5]). *Let k_1, k_2 and k_3 be positive integers, and assume that $l := k_1 + k_2 + k_3$ is odd. Then*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, k_2) = (-1)^{k_2} \binom{k_1 + k_2}{k_1} \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k_1-k_2}}{k_1 + k_2}, \tag{12}$$

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}}^*(k_1, k_2, k_3) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (-1)^{k_3} \binom{l}{k_3} - (-1)^{k_1} \binom{l}{k_1} \right\} \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-l}}{l}. \tag{13}$$

PROPOSITION 4.2 ([22], [20, Theorem 3.2]). *Let k, r, k_1 and k_2 be positive integers, and assume that $l := k_1 + k_2$ is even. Then*

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* (\{k\}^r) = k \frac{B_{p-rk-1}}{rk+1} \mathbf{p}, \tag{14}$$

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* (k_1, k_2) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ (-1)^{k_1} k_2 \binom{l+1}{k_1} - (-1)^{k_2} k_1 \binom{l+1}{k_2} + l \right\} \frac{B_{p-l-1}}{l+1} \mathbf{p}. \tag{15}$$

PROPOSITION 4.3 ([15, Corollary 3.16 (42)]). *Let n be a positive integer and $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$ an index. Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^r (-1)^j \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_n} (k_j, \dots, k_1) \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_n}^* (k_{j+1}, \dots, k_r) = 0. \tag{16}$$

4.2. Computations of sums for \mathcal{A}_2 -finite multiple zeta values.

DEFINITION 4.4. Let k, r and i be positive integers satisfying $i \leq r \leq k$. We define four sums $S_{k,r}, S_{k,r}^*, S_{k,r,i}$ and $S_{k,r,i}^*$ in \mathcal{A}_2 by

$$\begin{aligned} S_{k,r} &:= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}(\mathbf{k}), & S_{k,r}^* &:= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\mathbf{k}), \\ S_{k,r,i} &:= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}(\mathbf{k}), & S_{k,r,i}^* &:= \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,i}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\mathbf{k}). \end{aligned}$$

For an index $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_r)$, we set $\mathbf{k}^+ := (k_1, \dots, k_{r-1}, k_r + 1)$. We can calculate $S_{k,r}^*$ and $S_{k,r,i}^*$ by using the following identity.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let e be a non-negative integer, $\mathbf{k} \in I_{*,r}$ an index and $s := \text{dep}(\mathbf{k}^\vee)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{j=0}^e \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* (\{1\}^{e-j}) \sum_{\mathbf{e}' \in J_{j,s}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* (\mathbf{k}^\vee + \mathbf{e}') \\ &= \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in J_{e,r}} b(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}) \left\{ -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}, 1) \mathbf{p} + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* ((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e})^+) \mathbf{p} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

PROOF. Since a congruence

$$(-1)^{m-1} \binom{p-1}{m} \equiv -1 - \sum_{m \leq n \leq p-1} \frac{p}{n} + \frac{p}{m} \pmod{p^2}$$

holds for any odd prime p and any positive integer m with $m < p$ (cf. [16, Lemma 4.1]), this corollary is a direct consequence of (6). □

PROPOSITION 4.6. *For positive integers k and r such that $r \leq k$, we have*

$$(-1)^{r-1} S_{k,r} = S_{k,r}^* = \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{p-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}.$$

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{k} = (r)$ and $e = k - r$ in (17). Then $\mathbf{k}^\vee = (\{1\}^r)$ and we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{k-r} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-j}) S_{j+r,r}^* = \binom{k}{r} \left\{ -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}(k) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(k, 1)\mathbf{p} + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}(k+1)\mathbf{p} \right\}. \tag{18}$$

For $0 \leq j < k - r$, $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-j}) S_{j+r,r}^* = 0$ since both $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-j})$ and $S_{j+r,r}^*$ are divisible by \mathbf{p} by (14) and (7). Therefore, the left hand side of (18) is equal to $S_{k,r}^*$. On the other hand, the right hand side of (18) is equal to

$$\binom{k}{r} \left\{ -k \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p} + \binom{k+1}{k} \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p} \right\} = \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}$$

by (14) and (12). Hence we obtain the second equality of the proposition.

By taking $\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}}$ of (16), we obtain

$$S_{k,r}^* + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (-1)^j \sum_{l=j}^{k-r+j} S_{l,j} S_{k-l,r-j}^* + (-1)^r S_{k,r} = 0.$$

We see that $S_{l,j} S_{k-l,r-j}^* = 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$ and $j \leq l \leq k - r + j$, since both $S_{l,j}$ and $S_{k-l,r-j}^*$ are divisible by \mathbf{p} by (7). This gives $(-1)^{r-1} S_{k,r} = S_{k,r}^*$. \square

Next we compute $S_{k,r,i}^*$ and $S_{k,r,i}$.

THEOREM 4.7. *Let k, r and i be positive integers satisfying $i \leq r < k$, and assume that k is even. Then we have*

$$S_{k,r,i} = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{a_{k,r,i}}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}, \quad S_{k,r,i}^* = \frac{b_{k,r,i}}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p},$$

where

$$a_{k,r,i} = \binom{k-1}{r} + (-1)^{r-i} \left\{ (k-r) \binom{k}{i-1} + \binom{k-1}{i-1} + (-1)^{r-1} \binom{k-1}{r-i} \right\},$$

$$b_{k,r,i} = \binom{k-1}{r} + (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (k-r) \binom{k}{r-i} + \binom{k-1}{r-i} + (-1)^{r-1} \binom{k-1}{i-1} \right\}.$$

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{k} = (i, r-i+1)$ and $e = k - r - 1$ in (17). Then $\mathbf{k}^\vee = (\{1\}^{i-1}, 2, \{1\}^{r-i})$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-r-1} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-1-j}) S_{j+r+1,r,i}^* \\ &= \sum_{e=0}^{k-r-1} \binom{i+e-1}{e} \binom{k-i-e-1}{k-r-1-e} \left\{ -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(i+e, k-i-e) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(i+e, k-i-e, 1)\mathbf{p} + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(i+e, k-i-e+1)\mathbf{p} \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

For $0 \leq j < k - r - 1$, we see that $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^* (\{1\}^{k-r-1-j}) S_{j+r+1,r,i}^*$ is a rational multiple of $B_{\mathbf{p}-k+r+j} B_{\mathbf{p}-j-r-1} \mathbf{p}$ by (14) and Theorem 2.4. Since k is even, one of $B_{\mathbf{p}-k+r+j}$ or $B_{\mathbf{p}-j-r-1}$ is zero. Therefore, the left hand side of (19) is equal to $S_{k,r,i}^*$.

On the other hand, we can calculate the right hand side of (19) as follows. By (15), (12) and (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(i+e, k-i-e) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(i+e, k-i-e, 1) \mathbf{p} + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_2}^*(i+e, k-i-e+1) \mathbf{p} \\ &= \left[-\frac{1}{2} \left\{ (-1)^{i+e} (k-i-e) \binom{k+1}{i+e} - (-1)^{k-i-e} (i+e) \binom{k+1}{k-i-e} + k \right\} \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -(k+1) - (-1)^{i+e} \binom{k+1}{i+e} \right\} + (-1)^{k-i-e+1} \binom{k+1}{i+e} \right] \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - (-1)^{i+e} (k-i-e+1) \binom{k+1}{i+e} + (-1)^{i+e} (i+e) \binom{k+1}{k-i-e} \right] \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + (-1)^{i-1+e} \binom{k+1}{i+e+1} \right] \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the right hand side of (19) is equal to

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{e=0}^{k-r-1} \binom{i+e-1}{e} \binom{k-i-e-1}{k-r-1-e} \left[1 + (-1)^{i-1+e} \binom{k+1}{i+e+1} \right] \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}. \tag{20}$$

By comparing the coefficient of x^{k-r-1} in $(1-x)^{-i} (1-x)^{-(r-i+1)} = (1-x)^{-(r+1)}$, we see that

$$\sum_{e=0}^{k-r-1} \binom{i+e-1}{e} \binom{k-i-e-1}{k-r-1-e} = \binom{k-1}{r},$$

and by using the partial fraction decomposition

$$F(x) := \sum_{e=0}^{k-r-1} \frac{(-1)^e}{e!(k-r-1-e)!} \cdot \frac{1}{x+e} = \frac{1}{x(x+1)\cdots(x+k-r-1)},$$

we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{e=0}^{k-r-1} \binom{i+e-1}{e} \binom{k-i-e-1}{k-r-1-e} \cdot (-1)^{i-1+e} \binom{k+1}{i+e+1} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(k+1)!}{(i-1)!(r-i)!} \sum_{e=0}^{k-r-1} \frac{(-1)^e}{e!(k-r-1-e)!(i+e)(i+e+1)(k-i-e)} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \frac{(k+1)!}{(i-1)!(r-i)!} \left\{ \frac{1}{k} F(i) - \frac{1}{k+1} F(i+1) + \frac{(-1)^{r-1}}{k(k+1)} F(r-i+1) \right\} \\ &= (-1)^{i-1} \left\{ (k-r) \binom{k}{r-i} + \binom{k-1}{r-i} + (-1)^{r-1} \binom{k-1}{i-1} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the desired formula for $S_{k,r,i}^*$.

Let us take the sum $\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r,r+1-i}}$ of (16). Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 S_{k,r,r+1-i}^* &+ \sum_{j=1}^{r-i} (-1)^j \sum_{l=j}^{k-r+j-1} S_{l,j} S_{k-l,r-j,r+1-i-j}^* \\
 &+ \sum_{j=r-i+1}^{r-1} (-1)^j \sum_{l=j+1}^{k-r+j} S_{l,j,j+i-r} S_{k-l,r-j}^* + (-1)^r S_{k,r,i} = 0. \tag{21}
 \end{aligned}$$

We know that $S_{l,j} S_{k-l,r-j,r+1-i-j}^*$ is a rational multiple of $B_{\mathbf{p}-l-1} B_{\mathbf{p}-k+l} \mathbf{p}$ for $1 \leq j \leq r-i$ and we also know that $S_{l,j,j+i-r} S_{k-l,r-j}^*$ is a rational multiple of $B_{\mathbf{p}-l} B_{\mathbf{p}-k+l-1} \mathbf{p}$ for $r-i+1 \leq j \leq r-1$ by Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 4.6. Since k is even, these are zero for every l . Therefore, we have

$$S_{k,r,i} = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{b_{k,r,r+1-i}}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p} = (-1)^{r-1} \frac{a_{k,r,i}}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-1}}{k+1} \mathbf{p}. \quad \square$$

5. Sum formulas for \mathcal{A}_3 -finite multiple zeta values.

For positive integers k and r such that $r \leq k$, we set

$$T_{k,r} := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}(\mathbf{k}), \quad T_{k,r}^* := \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(\mathbf{k}).$$

We can calculate $T_{k,r}^*$ by using the following identity.

COROLLARY 5.1. *Let e be a non-negative integer, $\mathbf{k} \in I_{*,r}$ an index and $s := \text{dep}(\mathbf{k}^\vee)$. Then we have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sum_{j=0}^e \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^* (\{1\}^{e-j}) \sum_{\mathbf{e}' \in J_{j,s}} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^* (\mathbf{k}^\vee + \mathbf{e}') \\
 &= \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in J_{e,r}} b(\mathbf{k}; \mathbf{e}) \left\{ -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^* (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^* (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}, 1) \mathbf{p} + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^* ((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e})^+) \mathbf{p} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^* (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e}, 1, 1) \mathbf{p}^2 + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^* ((\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{e})^+, 1) \mathbf{p}^2 \right\}. \tag{22}
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Since a congruence

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(-1)^{m-1} \binom{p-1}{m} \\
 &\equiv -1 - \left(\sum_{m \leq n \leq p-1} \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{m} \right) p - \left(\sum_{m \leq n_1 \leq n_2 \leq p-1} \frac{1}{n_1 n_2} - \frac{1}{m} \sum_{m \leq n \leq p-1} \frac{1}{n} \right) p^2 \pmod{p^3}
 \end{aligned}$$

holds for any odd prime p and any positive integer m with $m < p$ (cf. [16, Lemma 4.1]), this corollary is a direct consequence of (6). □

From now on, we assume that k is odd. We recall a formula

$$\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}(k) = -\frac{k(k+1)}{2} \cdot \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-2}}{k+2} \mathbf{p}^2 \tag{23}$$

proved by Sun [18, Theorem 5.1]. Here, $\mathbf{p}^2 = (p^2 \bmod p^3)_p \in \mathcal{A}_3$.

THEOREM 5.2. *Let k and r be positive integers satisfying $r \leq k$, and assume that k is odd. Then we have*

$$(-1)^{r-1} T_{k,r} = T_{k,r}^* = -\frac{k+1}{2} \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-2}}{k+2} \mathbf{p}^2.$$

PROOF. Let $\mathbf{k} = (r)$ and $e = k - r$ in (22). Then $\mathbf{k}^\vee = (\{1\}^r)$ and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{j=0}^{k-r} \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-j}) T_{j+r,r}^* \\ &= \binom{k}{r} \left\{ -\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}(k) - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(k, 1) \mathbf{p} + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(k+1) \mathbf{p} - \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(k, 1, 1) \mathbf{p}^2 + \zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(k+1, 1) \mathbf{p}^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Let us fix $0 \leq j < k - r$. By (14) and Proposition 4.6, $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-j})$ and $T_{j+r,r}^*$ are divisible by \mathbf{p} . Furthermore, if $j+r$ is even (resp. odd), then $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-j})$ (resp. $T_{j+r,r}^*$) is divisible by \mathbf{p}^2 . Therefore, $\zeta_{\mathcal{A}_3}^*(\{1\}^{k-r-j}) T_{j+r,r}^* = 0$ and we see that the left hand side of (24) is equal to $T_{k,r}^*$. On the other hand, by using Proposition 4.1, Proposition 4.2 and (23), we see that the right hand side of (24) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \binom{k}{r} \left[\frac{k(k+1)}{2} - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -\binom{k+2}{k} + k^2 + 3k + 1 \right\} + (k+1) \right. \\ & \quad \left. - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ -(k+2) + \binom{k+2}{k} \right\} - (k+2) \right] \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-2}}{k+2} \mathbf{p}^2 \\ &= -\frac{k+1}{2} \binom{k}{r} \frac{B_{\mathbf{p}-k-2}}{k+2} \mathbf{p}^2. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain the second equality of the theorem. By taking $\sum_{\mathbf{k} \in I_{k,r}}$ of (16), we obtain

$$T_{k,r}^* + \sum_{j=1}^{r-1} (-1)^j \sum_{l=j}^{k-r+j} T_{l,j} T_{k-l,r-j}^* + (-1)^r T_{k,r} = 0.$$

Let us fix $1 \leq j \leq r - 1$ and $j \leq l \leq k - r + j$. By Proposition 4.6, $T_{l,j}$ and $T_{k-l,r-j}^*$ are divisible by \mathbf{p} . Furthermore, if l is odd (resp. even), then $T_{l,j}$ (resp. $T_{k-l,r-j}^*$) is divisible by \mathbf{p}^2 . Therefore, we see that $T_{l,j} T_{k-l,r-j}^* = 0$ and this gives $(-1)^{r-1} T_{k,r} = T_{k,r}^*$. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors thank Dr. Minoru Hirose for a helpful comment on Remark 3.4. The authors also sincerely thank the anonymous referee for helpful comments to improve the manuscript.

References

- [1] S.-J. Bang, J. E. Dawson, A. N. 't Woord, O. P. Lossers and V. Hernandez, Problems and solutions: Solutions: A reciprocal summation identity: 10490, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **106** (1999), 588–590.
- [2] D. M. Bradley, Multiple q -zeta values, *J. Algebra*, **283** (2005), 752–798.
- [3] D. M. Bradley, Duality for finite multiple harmonic q -series, *Discrete Math.*, **300** (2005), 44–56.
- [4] K. Dilcher, Some q -series identities related to divisor functions, *Discrete Math.*, **145** (1995), 83–93.
- [5] L. Euler, Demonstratio insignis theorematis numerici circa uncias potestatum binomialium, *Nova Acta Academiae Scientiarum Imperialis Petropolitanae*, **15** (1806), 33–43, reprinted in *Opera Omnia*, **16**, B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1935, 104–116.
- [6] A. Granville, A decomposition of Riemann's zeta-function, In: *Analytic Number Theory*, *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, **247**, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1997, 95–101.
- [7] M. Hirose, K. Imatomi, H. Murahara and S. Saito, Ohno type relations for classical and finite multiple zeta-star values, preprint, arXiv:1806.09299.
- [8] M. E. Hoffman, Quasi-symmetric functions and mod p multiple harmonic sums, *Kyushu J. Math.*, **69** (2015), 345–366.
- [9] Y. Ohno, A generalization of the duality and sum formulas on the multiple zeta values, *J. Number Theory*, **74** (1999), 39–43.
- [10] K. Oyama, Ohno-type relation for finite multiple zeta values, *Kyushu J. Math.*, **72** (2018), 277–285.
- [11] H. Prodinger, A q -analogue of a formula of Hernandez obtained by inverting a result of Dilcher, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **21** (2000), 271–274.
- [12] S. Roman, The harmonic logarithms and the binomial formula, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **63** (1993), 143–163.
- [13] J. Rosen, Asymptotic relations for truncated multiple zeta values, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **91** (2015), 554–572.
- [14] S. Saito and N. Wakabayashi, Sum formula for finite multiple zeta values, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **67** (2015), 1069–1076.
- [15] K. Sakugawa and S. Seki, On functional equations of finite multiple polylogarithms, *J. Algebra*, **469** (2017), 323–357.
- [16] S. Seki, The p -adic duality for the finite star-multiple polylogarithms, *Tohoku Math. J. (2)*, **71** (2019), 111–122.
- [17] S. Seki and S. Yamamoto, A new proof of the duality of multiple zeta values and its generalizations, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **15** (2019), 1261–1265.
- [18] Z.-H. Sun, Congruences concerning Bernoulli numbers and Bernoulli polynomials, *Discrete Appl. Math.*, **105** (2000), 193–223.
- [19] L. Van Hamme, Advanced problem: 6407, *Amer. Math. Monthly*, **89** (1982), 703–704.
- [20] J. Zhao, Wolstenholme type theorem for multiple harmonic sums, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **4** (2008), 73–106.
- [21] J. Zhao, Finite multiple zeta values and finite Euler sums, preprint, arXiv:1507.04917.
- [22] X. Zhou and T. Cai, A generalization of a curious congruence on harmonic sums, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135** (2007), 1329–1333.

Shin-ichiro SEKI

Mathematical Institute
Tohoku University
6-3 Aoba, Aramaki, Aoba-Ku
Sendai 980-8578, Japan
E-mail: shinichiro.seki.b3@tohoku.ac.jp

Shuji YAMAMOTO

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Science and Technology
Keio University
3-14-1 Hiyoshi, Kohoku-ku
Yokohama 223-8522, Japan
E-mail: yamashu@math.keio.ac.jp