

Composing generic linearly perturbed mappings and immersions/injections

By Shunsuke ICHIKI

Dedicated to Professor Takashi Nishimura on the occasion of his 60th birthday

(Received Jan. 30, 2017)

Abstract. Let N (resp., U) be a manifold (resp., an open subset of \mathbb{R}^m). Let $f : N \rightarrow U$ and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be an immersion and a C^∞ mapping, respectively. Generally, the composition $F \circ f$ does not necessarily yield a mapping transverse to a given subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Nevertheless, in this paper, for any \mathcal{A}^1 -invariant fiber, we show that composing generic linearly perturbed mappings of F and the given immersion f yields a mapping transverse to the subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with the given fiber. Moreover, we show a specialized transversality theorem on crossings of compositions of generic linearly perturbed mappings of a given mapping $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ and a given injection $f : N \rightarrow U$. Furthermore, applications of the two main theorems are given.

1. Introduction.

Throughout this paper, let ℓ , m and n stand for positive integers. In this paper, unless otherwise stated, all manifolds and mappings belong to class C^∞ and all manifolds are without boundary. Let $\pi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$, U and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a linear mapping, an open subset of \mathbb{R}^m and a mapping, respectively.

Set

$$F_\pi = F + \pi.$$

Here, the mapping π in $F_\pi = F + \pi$ is restricted to U .

Let $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ be the space consisting of all linear mappings of \mathbb{R}^m into \mathbb{R}^ℓ . Remark that we have the natural identification $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$. An n -dimensional manifold is denoted by N . For a given mapping $f : N \rightarrow U$, a property of mappings $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ will be said to be true for a *generic mapping* if there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ has the property. In the case $F = 0$, by John Mather, for a given embedding $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, a generic mapping $\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ ($m > \ell$) is investigated in the celebrated paper [10]. The main theorem in [10] yields many applications. On the other hand, in this paper, for a given immersion or a given injection $f : N \rightarrow U$, a

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 57R45; Secondary 57R42.

Key Words and Phrases. generic linear perturbation, transversality, immersion, injection, generalized distance-squared mapping.

The author was supported by JSPS KAKENHI Grant Number 16J06911.

generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is investigated, where ℓ is an arbitrary positive integer which may possibly satisfy $m \leq \ell$.

The main purpose of this paper is to show two main theorems (Theorems 1 and 2 in Section 2) and to give some of their applications. The first main theorem (Theorem 1) is as follows. Let $f : N \rightarrow U$ (resp., $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$) be an immersion (resp., a mapping). Then, generally, the composition $F \circ f$ does not necessarily yield a mapping transverse to a given subfiber-bundle of the jet bundle $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Nevertheless, Theorem 1 asserts that for any \mathcal{A}^1 -invariant fiber, a generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f$ yields a mapping transverse to the subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with the given fiber. The second main theorem (Theorem 2) is a specialized transversality theorem on crossings of a generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f$, where $f : N \rightarrow U$ is a given injection and $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a given mapping.

For a given immersion (resp., injection) $f : N \rightarrow U$, the following (1)–(4) (resp., (5)) are obtained as applications of Theorem 1 (resp., Theorem 2).

- (1) If $(n, \ell) = (n, 1)$, then a generic function $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function.
- (2) If $(n, \ell) = (n, 2n - 1)$ and $n \geq 2$, then any singular point of a generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ is a singular point of Whitney umbrella.
- (3) If $\ell \geq 2n$, then a generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an immersion.
- (4) A generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ has corank at most k singular points (for the definition of corank at most k singular points, see Subsection 5.1), where k is the maximum integer satisfying $(n - v + k)(\ell - v + k) \leq n$ ($v = \min\{n, \ell\}$).
- (5) If $\ell > 2n$, then a generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is injective.

Moreover, by combining the assertions (3) and (5), for a given embedding $f : N \rightarrow U$, the following assertion (6) is obtained.

- (6) If $\ell > 2n$ and N is compact, then a generic mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an embedding.

In Section 2, some standard definitions are reviewed, and the two main theorems (Theorems 1 and 2) are stated. Section 3 (resp., Section 4) is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1 (resp., Theorem 2). In Section 5, the assertions (1)–(6) above are shown. Moreover, in Section 6, as further applications, the two main theorems are adapted to quadratic mappings of \mathbb{R}^m into \mathbb{R}^ℓ of a special type called “generalized distance-squared mappings” (for the precise definition of generalized distance-squared mappings, see Section 6). Since some corollaries in this paper (the assertion (6) in Section 1, Corollary 7 in Section 5 and Corollary 9 in Section 6) are also obtained by using the main theorem in [4], which is an improvement of the main theorem in [10], for the sake of readers’ convenience, Section 7 explains the main theorems in [4] and [10] as an appendix.

2. Preliminaries and the statements of Theorems 1 and 2.

Let N and P be manifolds. Firstly, we recall the definition of transversality.

DEFINITION 1. Let W be a submanifold of P . Let $g : N \rightarrow P$ be a mapping.

1. We say that $g : N \rightarrow P$ is *transverse* to W at q if $g(q) \notin W$ or in the case of $g(q) \in W$, the following holds:

$$dg_q(T_qN) + T_{g(q)}W = T_{g(q)}P.$$

2. We say that $g : N \rightarrow P$ is *transverse* to W if for any $q \in N$, the mapping g is transverse to W at q .

We say that $g : N \rightarrow P$ is \mathcal{A} -*equivalent* to $h : N \rightarrow P$ if there exist diffeomorphisms $\Phi : N \rightarrow N$ and $\Psi : P \rightarrow P$ such that $g = \Psi \circ h \circ \Phi^{-1}$.

Let $J^r(N, P)$ be the space of r -jets of mappings of N into P . For a given mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$, the mapping $j^r g : N \rightarrow J^r(N, P)$ is defined by $q \mapsto j^r g(q)$ (for details on the space $J^r(N, P)$ or the mapping $j^r g : N \rightarrow J^r(N, P)$, see for example, [3]).

For the statement and the proof of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to consider the case of $r = 1$ and $P = \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a coordinate neighborhood system of N . Let $\Pi : J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \rightarrow N \times \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be the natural projection defined by $\Pi(j^1 g(q)) = (q, g(q))$. Let $\Phi_\lambda : \Pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^\ell) \rightarrow \varphi_\lambda(U_\lambda) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \times J^1(n, \ell)$ be the homeomorphism defined by

$$\Phi_\lambda(j^1 g(q)) = (\varphi_\lambda(q), g(q), j^1(\psi_\lambda \circ g \circ \varphi_\lambda^{-1} \circ \tilde{\varphi}_\lambda)(0)),$$

where $J^1(n, \ell) = \{j^1 g(0) \mid g : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell, 0)\}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ (resp., $\psi_\lambda : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$) is the translation defined by $\tilde{\varphi}_\lambda(0) = \varphi_\lambda(q)$ (resp., $\psi_\lambda(g(q)) = 0$). Then, $\{(\Pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^\ell), \Phi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ is a coordinate neighborhood system of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. A subset X of $J^1(n, \ell)$ is said to be \mathcal{A}^1 -*invariant* if for any $j^1 g(0) \in X$, and for any two germs of diffeomorphisms $H : (\mathbb{R}^\ell, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell, 0)$ and $h : (\mathbb{R}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$, we have $j^1(H \circ g \circ h^{-1})(0) \in X$. Let X be an \mathcal{A}^1 -invariant submanifold of $J^1(n, \ell)$. Set

$$X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Phi_\lambda^{-1}(\varphi_\lambda(U_\lambda) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \times X).$$

Then, the set $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is a subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with the fiber X such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim } X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) &= \dim J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \dim X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \\ &= \dim J^1(n, \ell) - \dim X \\ &= \text{codim } X. \end{aligned}$$

Then, the first main theorem in this paper is the following.

THEOREM 1. Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. If X is an \mathcal{A}^1 -invariant submanifold of $J^1(n, \ell)$, then there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to the submanifold $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$.

Now, in order to state the second main theorem (Theorem 2), we will prepare some

definitions. Set $N^{(s)} = \{(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \in N^s \mid q_i \neq q_j \ (i \neq j)\}$. Notice that $N^{(s)}$ is an open submanifold of N^s . For any mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$, let $g^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow P^s$ be the mapping defined by

$$g^{(s)}(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) = (g(q_1), g(q_2), \dots, g(q_s)).$$

Set $\Delta_s = \{(y, \dots, y) \in P^s \mid y \in P\}$. It is clearly seen that Δ_s is a submanifold of P^s such that

$$\text{codim } \Delta_s = \dim P^s - \dim \Delta_s = (s - 1) \dim P.$$

DEFINITION 2. Let g be a mapping of N into P . Then, g is called a *mapping with normal crossings* if for any positive integer s ($s \geq 2$), the mapping $g^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow P^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s .

For any injection $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$, set

$$s_f = \max \left\{ s \mid \forall (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \in N^{(s)}, \dim \sum_{i=2}^s \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}f(q_1)f(q_i)} = s - 1 \right\}.$$

Since the mapping f is injective, we get $2 \leq s_f$. Since $f(q_1), f(q_2), \dots, f(q_{s_f})$ are points of \mathbb{R}^m , it follows that $s_f \leq m + 1$. Thus, we have

$$2 \leq s_f \leq m + 1.$$

Furthermore, in the following, for a set X , we denote the number of its elements (or its cardinality) by $|X|$. Then, the second main theorem in this paper is the following.

THEOREM 2. Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an injection of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. Then, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s . Moreover, if the mapping F_π satisfies that $|F_\pi^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, then $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a mapping with normal crossings.

The following well known result is important for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

LEMMA 1 ([1], [10]). Let N, P, Z be manifolds, and let W be a submanifold of P . Let $\Gamma : N \times Z \rightarrow P$ be a mapping. If Γ is transverse to W , then there exists a subset Σ of Z with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in Z - \Sigma$, the mapping $\Gamma_p : N \rightarrow P$ is transverse to W , where $\Gamma_p(q) = \Gamma(q, p)$.

REMARK 1. 1. We explain the advantage that the domain of the mapping F is an arbitrary open set. Suppose that $U = \mathbb{R}$. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the mapping defined by $x \mapsto |x|$. Since F is not differentiable at $x = 0$, we cannot apply Theorems 1 and 2 to the mapping $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

On the other hand, if $U = \mathbb{R} - \{0\}$, then Theorems 1 and 2 can be applied to the

restriction $F|_U$.

2. There is a case of $s_f = 3$ as follows. If $n + 1 \leq m$, $N = S^n$ and $f : S^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is the inclusion $f(x) = (x, 0, \dots, 0)$, then it is easily seen that $s_f = 3$. Indeed, suppose that there exists a point $(q_1, q_2, q_3) \in (S^n)^{(3)}$ such that $\dim \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}f(q_1)f(q_i)} = 1$. Then, since the number of the intersections of $f(S^n)$ and a straight line of \mathbb{R}^m is at most two, this contradicts the assumption. Thus, we get $s_f \geq 3$. From $S^1 \times \{0\} \subset f(S^n)$, it follows that $s_f < 4$, where $0 = \underbrace{(0, \dots, 0)}_{(m-2)\text{-tuple}}$. Hence, we have $s_f = 3$.

3. The essential idea for the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 is to apply Lemma 1, and it is almost similar to the idea of the proofs of main results in [8]. Nevertheless, the two main theorems in this paper are drastically improved. As an effect of the improvement, many applications are obtained by the two main theorems (for the applications, see Sections 5 and 6).

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Let $(\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ be a representing matrix of a linear mapping $\pi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Set $F_\alpha = F_\pi$, and we have

$$F_\alpha(x) = \left(F_1(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j}x_j, F_2(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j}x_j, \dots, F_\ell(x) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j}x_j \right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $F = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_\ell)$, $\alpha = (\alpha_{11}, \alpha_{12}, \dots, \alpha_{1m}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell 1}, \alpha_{\ell 2}, \dots, \alpha_{\ell m}) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$. For a given immersion $f : N \rightarrow U$, the mapping $F_\alpha \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is given as follows:

$$F_\alpha \circ f = \left(F_1 \circ f + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j}f_j, F_2 \circ f + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j}f_j, \dots, F_\ell \circ f + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j}f_j \right), \tag{3.2}$$

where $f = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$. Since we have the natural identification $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, in order to prove Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\alpha \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to the given submanifold $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$.

Now, let $\Gamma : N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ be the mapping defined by

$$\Gamma(q, \alpha) = j^1(F_\alpha \circ f)(q).$$

If the mapping Γ is transverse to the submanifold $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, then from Lemma 1, it follows that there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, the mapping $\Gamma_\alpha : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ ($\Gamma_\alpha = j^1(F_\alpha \circ f)$) is transverse to the submanifold $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Thus, in order to finish the proof of Theorem 1, it is sufficient to show that if $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, then the following holds:

$$d\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}(T_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}(N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell)) + T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell). \tag{3.3}$$

As in Section 2, let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ (resp., $\{(\Pi^{-1}(U_\lambda \times \mathbb{R}^\ell), \Phi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$) be a coordinate neighborhood system of N (resp., $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$). There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)$ containing the point $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ of $N \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, where id is the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ into $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, and the mapping $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id : U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(U_{\tilde{\lambda}}) \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ is defined by $(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)(q, \alpha) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(q), id(\alpha))$. There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(\Pi^{-1}(U_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times \mathbb{R}^\ell), \Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ containing the point $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Let $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a local coordinate on $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(U_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ containing $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q})$. Then, the mapping Γ is locally given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ \Gamma \circ (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \times id)^{-1})(t, \alpha) \\ &= (\Phi_{\tilde{\lambda}} \circ j^1(F_\alpha \circ f) \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t) \\ &= \left(t, (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t), \right. \\ & \quad \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,1} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,1} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,1} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t), \\ & \quad \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,2} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,2} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,2} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t), \\ & \quad \dots, \\ & \quad \left. \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,\ell} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,\ell} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial(F_{\alpha,\ell} \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})}{\partial t_n}(t) \right) \\ &= \left(t, (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1})(t), \right. \\ & \quad \frac{\partial F_1 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial F_1 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_2}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial F_1 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_n}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t), \\ & \quad \frac{\partial F_2 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial F_2 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_2}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial F_2 \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_n}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t), \\ & \quad \dots, \\ & \quad \left. \frac{\partial F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_1}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_1}(t), \frac{\partial F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_2}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_2}(t), \dots, \frac{\partial F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}}{\partial t_n}(t) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \frac{\partial \tilde{f}_j}{\partial t_n}(t) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $F_\alpha = (F_{\alpha,1}, F_{\alpha,2}, \dots, F_{\alpha,\ell})$ and $\tilde{f} = (\tilde{f}_1, \tilde{f}_2, \dots, \tilde{f}_m) = (f_1 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}, f_2 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}, \dots, f_m \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}) = f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}^{-1}$. The Jacobian matrix of the mapping Γ at $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is the following:

$$J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})} = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_n & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ \hline & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & 0 & \\ * & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & \\ & & 0 & \ddots & \\ & & & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) \end{array} \right)_{(t, \alpha) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\tilde{q}), \tilde{\alpha})},$$

where E_n is the $n \times n$ unit matrix and $Jf_{\tilde{q}}$ is the Jacobian matrix of the mapping f at \tilde{q} . Note that ${}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}})$ is the transpose of the matrix $Jf_{\tilde{q}}$ and that there are ℓ copies of ${}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}})$ in the above description of $J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}$. Since $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is a subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with the fiber X , it is clear that in order to show (3.3), it suffices to prove that the matrix M_1 given below has rank $n + \ell + n\ell$:

$$M_1 = \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_{n+\ell} & * & \cdots & \cdots & * \\ \hline & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & & 0 \\ 0 & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & 0 & & {}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}}) \end{array} \right)_{(t, \alpha) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\alpha}}(\tilde{q}), \tilde{\alpha})},$$

where $E_{n+\ell}$ is the $(n + \ell) \times (n + \ell)$ unit matrix. Note that there are ℓ copies of ${}^t(Jf_{\tilde{q}})$ in the above description of M_1 . Notice that for any i ($1 \leq i \leq m\ell$), the $(n + \ell + i)$ -th column vector of M_1 coincides with the $(n + i)$ -th column vector of $J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}$. Since the mapping f is an immersion ($n \leq m$), we have that the rank of the matrix M_1 is equal to $n + \ell + n\ell$. Hence, we have (3.3). \square

4. Proof of Theorem 2.

By the same method as in the proof of Theorem 1, set $F_\alpha = F_\pi$, where F_α is given by (3.1) in Section 3. For a given injection $f : N \rightarrow U$, the mapping $F_\alpha \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is given by the same expression as (3.2). Since we have the natural identification $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, in order to show that there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s , it is sufficient to show that there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $(F_\alpha \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to Δ_s .

Now, let s be a positive integer satisfying $2 \leq s \leq s_f$. Let $\Gamma : N^{(s)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ be the mapping defined by

$$\Gamma(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, \alpha) = ((F_\alpha \circ f)(q_1), (F_\alpha \circ f)(q_2), \dots, (F_\alpha \circ f)(q_s)).$$

If for any positive integer s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping Γ is transverse to Δ_s , then from Lemma 1, it follows that for any positive integer s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), there exists a subset Σ_s of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma_s$, the mapping $\Gamma_\alpha : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ ($\Gamma_\alpha = (F_\alpha \circ f)^{(s)}$) is transverse to Δ_s . Then, set $\Sigma = \bigcup_{s=2}^{s_f} \Sigma_s$. It is clearly seen that Σ is a subset of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero. Therefore, it follows that for any $\alpha \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $\Gamma_\alpha : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ ($\Gamma_\alpha = (F_\alpha \circ f)^{(s)}$) is transverse to Δ_s .

Hence, for the proof, it is sufficient to show that for any positive integer s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), if $\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha}) \in \Delta_s$ ($\tilde{q} = (\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_s)$), then the following holds:

$$d\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}(T_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}(N^{(s)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell)) + T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}\Delta_s = T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})}(\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s. \tag{4.1}$$

Let $\{(U_\lambda, \varphi_\lambda)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a coordinate neighborhood system of N . There exists a coordinate neighborhood $(U_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times id)$ containing the point $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ of $N^{(s)} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, where id is the identity mapping of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ into $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$, and the mapping $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times id : U_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times U_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n)^s \times (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ is defined by $(\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times id)(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s, \alpha) = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1}(q_1), \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}(q_2), \dots, \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s}(q_s), id(\alpha))$. Let $t_i = (t_{i1}, t_{i2}, \dots, t_{in})$ be a local coordinate around $\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}(\tilde{q}_i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). Then, the mapping Γ is locally given by the following:

$$\begin{aligned} & \Gamma \circ (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s} \times id)^{-1}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s, \alpha) \\ &= \left((F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1}^{-1})(t_1), (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2}^{-1})(t_2), \dots, (F_\alpha \circ f \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s}^{-1})(t_s) \right) \\ &= \left(F_1 \circ \tilde{f}(t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \tilde{f}_j(t_1), F_2 \circ \tilde{f}(t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \tilde{f}_j(t_1), \dots, F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}(t_1) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \tilde{f}_j(t_1), \right. \\ & \quad F_1 \circ \tilde{f}(t_2) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \tilde{f}_j(t_2), F_2 \circ \tilde{f}(t_2) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \tilde{f}_j(t_2), \dots, F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}(t_2) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \tilde{f}_j(t_2), \\ & \quad \dots, \dots, \\ & \quad \left. F_1 \circ \tilde{f}(t_s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{1j} \tilde{f}_j(t_s), F_2 \circ \tilde{f}(t_s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{2j} \tilde{f}_j(t_s), \dots, F_\ell \circ \tilde{f}(t_s) + \sum_{j=1}^m \alpha_{\ell j} \tilde{f}_j(t_s) \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{f}(t_i) = (\tilde{f}_1(t_i), \tilde{f}_2(t_i), \dots, \tilde{f}_m(t_i)) = (f_1 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}^{-1}(t_i), f_2 \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}^{-1}(t_i), \dots, f_m \circ \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_i}^{-1}(t_i))$ ($1 \leq i \leq s$). For simplicity, set $t = (t_1, t_2, \dots, t_s)$ and $z = (\varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_1} \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_2} \times \cdots \times \varphi_{\tilde{\lambda}_s})(\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_s)$.

The Jacobian matrix of the mapping Γ at $(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is the following:

$$J\Gamma_{(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} * & B(t_1) \\ * & B(t_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ * & B(t_s) \end{array} \right)_{(t, \alpha) = (z, \tilde{\alpha})},$$

where

$$B(t_i) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{b}(t_i) & & 0 \\ & \mathbf{b}(t_i) & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \mathbf{b}(t_i) \end{array} \right) \left. \vphantom{\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbf{b}(t_i) & & 0 \\ & \mathbf{b}(t_i) & \\ & & \ddots \\ 0 & & & \mathbf{b}(t_i) \end{array}} \right\} \ell \text{ rows}$$

and $\mathbf{b}(t_i) = (\tilde{f}_1(t_i), \tilde{f}_2(t_i), \dots, \tilde{f}_m(t_i))$. By the construction of $T_{\Gamma(\tilde{q}, \tilde{\alpha})} \Delta_s$, in order to show (4.1), it is sufficient to show that the rank of the following matrix M_2 is equal to ℓs :

$$M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_\ell & B(t_1) \\ E_\ell & B(t_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ E_\ell & B(t_s) \end{array} \right)_{t=z}.$$

There exists an $\ell s \times \ell s$ regular matrix Q_1 such that

$$Q_1 M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_\ell & B(t_1) \\ 0 & B(t_2) - B(t_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & B(t_s) - B(t_1) \end{array} \right)_{t=z}.$$

There exists an $(\ell + m\ell) \times (\ell + m\ell)$ regular matrix Q_2 such that

$$Q_1 M_2 Q_2 = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} E_\ell & 0 \\ 0 & B(t_2) - B(t_1) \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & B(t_s) - B(t_1) \end{array} \right)_{t=z}$$

$$= \left(\begin{array}{c|cccc} E_\ell & & & & \\ \hline & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} & & & 0 \\ 0 & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} & & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_2)} \\ \hline \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \hline & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} & & & 0 \\ 0 & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} & & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & & \overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_s)} \end{array} \right) \left. \begin{array}{l} \vphantom{\left(\right)} \right\} \ell \text{ rows} \\ \vphantom{\left(\right)} \left. \vphantom{\left(\right)} \right\} \ell \text{ rows} \end{array} ,$$

where $\overrightarrow{\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_i)} = (\tilde{f}_1(t_i) - \tilde{f}_1(t_1), \tilde{f}_2(t_i) - \tilde{f}_2(t_1), \dots, \tilde{f}_m(t_i) - \tilde{f}_m(t_1))$ ($2 \leq i \leq s$) and $t = z$. From $s - 1 \leq s_f - 1$ and the definition of s_f , it follows that

$$\dim \sum_{i=2}^s \overrightarrow{\mathbb{R}\tilde{f}(t_1)\tilde{f}(t_i)} = s - 1,$$

where $t = z$. Thus, by the construction of the matrix $Q_1 M_2 Q_2$ and $s - 1 \leq m$, we have that the rank of the matrix $Q_1 M_2 Q_2$ is equal to ℓs . Hence, the rank of the matrix M_2 must

be equal to ℓs . Therefore, we have (4.1). Thus, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s .

Moreover, suppose that the mapping F_π satisfies that $|F_\pi^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Since $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is injective, it follows that $|(F_\pi \circ f)^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$. Hence, it follows that for any positive integer s with $s \geq s_f + 1$, we have $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)}(N^{(s)}) \cap \Delta_s = \emptyset$. Namely, for any positive integer s with $s \geq s_f + 1$, the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)}$ is transverse to Δ_s . Thus, $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a mapping with normal crossings. \square

5. Applications of Theorems 1 and 2.

In Subsection 5.1 (resp., Subsection 5.2), applications of Theorem 1 (resp., Theorem 2) are stated and proved. In Subsection 5.2, applications obtained by combining Theorems 1 and 2 are also given.

5.1. Applications of Theorem 1.

Set

$$\Sigma^k = \{j^1g(0) \in J^1(n, \ell) \mid \text{corank } Jg(0) = k\},$$

where $\text{corank } Jg(0) = \min\{n, \ell\} - \text{rank } Jg(0)$ and $k = 1, 2, \dots, \min\{n, \ell\}$. Then, Σ^k is an \mathcal{A}^1 -invariant submanifold of $J^1(n, \ell)$. Set

$$\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \Phi_\lambda^{-1}(\varphi_\lambda(U_\lambda) \times \mathbb{R}^\ell \times \Sigma^k),$$

where the mappings Φ_λ and φ_λ are as defined in Section 2. Then, the set $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is a subfiber-bundle of $J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with the fiber Σ^k such that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{codim } \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) &= \dim J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \dim \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \\ &= (n - v + k)(\ell - v + k), \end{aligned}$$

where $v = \min\{n, \ell\}$. (For details on Σ^k and $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, see for example [3], pp. 60–61).

As applications of Theorem 1, we have the following Proposition 1, Corollaries 1, 2, 3 and 4.

PROPOSITION 1. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. Then, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ for any positive integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq v$. Especially, in the case of $\ell \geq 2$, we have $k_0 + 1 \leq v$ and it follows that the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)$ satisfies that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \emptyset$ for any positive integer k satisfying $k_0 + 1 \leq k \leq v$, where k_0 is the maximum integer satisfying $(n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0) \leq n$ ($v = \min\{n, \ell\}$).*

PROOF. By Theorem 1, for any positive integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq v$, there exists a subset $\tilde{\Sigma}_k$ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in$

$\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \widetilde{\Sigma}_k$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Set $\Sigma = \bigcup_{k=1}^v \widetilde{\Sigma}_k$. Then, it is clearly seen that Σ is a subset of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero. Hence, it follows that there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ for any positive integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq v$.

Now, we will consider the case of $\ell \geq 2$. Firstly, we will show that $k_0 + 1 \leq v$ in the case. Suppose that $v \leq k_0$. Then, by $(n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0) \leq n$, we have $n\ell \leq n$. This contradicts the assumption $\ell \geq 2$.

Secondly, we will show that in the case of $\ell \geq 2$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ satisfies that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \emptyset$ for any positive integer k satisfying $k_0 + 1 \leq k \leq v$. Suppose that there exist a positive integer k ($k_0 + 1 \leq k \leq v$) and a point $q \in N$ such that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q) \in \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Since the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ at the point q , the following holds:

$$d(j^1(F_\pi \circ f))_q(T_q N) + T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell).$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim d(j^1(F_\pi \circ f))_q(T_q N) \\ & \geq \dim T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \dim T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \\ & = \text{codim } T_{j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q)}\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $n \geq (n - v + k)(\ell - v + k)$. Since the given integer k_0 is the maximum integer satisfying $n \geq (n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0)$, it follows that $k \leq k_0$. This contradicts the assumption $k_0 + 1 \leq k$. □

REMARK 2. 1. In Proposition 1, by $(n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0) \leq n$, it is clearly seen that $k_0 \geq 0$.

2. In Proposition 1, in the case of $\ell = 1$, we have $k_0 + 1 > v$. Indeed, in the case, by $v = 1$, we get $(n - 1 + k_0)k_0 \leq n$. Hence, we have $k_0 = 1$.

A mapping $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is called a *Morse function* if all of the singularities of the mapping g are nondegenerate (for details on Morse functions, see for example, [3], p. 63). In the case of $(n, \ell) = (n, 1)$, we have the following.

COROLLARY 1. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a mapping. Then, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Morse function.*

PROOF. By Proposition 1, there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R})$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R})$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, if $q \in N$ is a singular point of the mapping $F_\pi \circ f$, then the point q is nondegenerate. □

For a given mapping $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ ($n \geq 2$), a singular point $q \in N$ is called a *singular point of Whitney umbrella* if there exist two germs of diffeomorphisms $H : (\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}, g(q)) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}, 0)$ and $h : (N, q) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^n, 0)$ such that $H \circ g \circ h^{-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) = (x_1^2, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1x_n, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, where (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) is a local coordinate around the point $h(q) = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$. In the case of $(n, \ell) = (n, 2n - 1)$ ($n \geq 2$), we have the following.

COROLLARY 2. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n ($n \geq 2$). Let f be an immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ be a mapping. Then, there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) - \Sigma$, any singular point of the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ is a singular point of Whitney umbrella.*

PROOF. By, for example, [3], p. 179, we see that a point $q \in N$ is a singular point of Whitney umbrella of the mapping $F_\pi \circ f$ if $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q) \in \Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ and the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)$ is transverse to the submanifold $\Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ at q . Set $\ell = 2n - 1$ and $v = n$ in Proposition 1. Then, it is clearly seen that we have $k_0 = 1$ in Proposition 1. Hence, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$ is transverse to $\Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ for any positive integer k satisfying $1 \leq k \leq n$, and the mapping satisfies that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1}) = \emptyset$ for any positive integer k satisfying $2 \leq k \leq n$. Thus, if a point $q \in N$ is a singular point of the mapping $F_\pi \circ f$, then it follows that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(q) \in \Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ and $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)$ is transverse to $\Sigma^1(N, \mathbb{R}^{2n-1})$ at q . \square

In the case of $\ell \geq 2n$, the immersion property of a given mapping $f : N \rightarrow U$ is preserved by composing generic linearly perturbed mappings as follows:

COROLLARY 3. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping ($\ell \geq 2n$). Then, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an immersion.*

PROOF. It is clearly seen that the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an immersion if and only if $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \bigcup_{k=1}^n \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \emptyset$. Set $v = n$ and $\ell \geq 2n$ in Proposition 1. Then, it is clearly seen that $k_0 \leq 0$. By Remark 2, we get $k_0 = 0$. Hence, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ satisfies that $j^1(F_\pi \circ f)(N) \cap \Sigma^k(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = \emptyset$ for any positive integer k ($1 \leq k \leq n$). \square

A mapping $g : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ has corank at most k singular points if

$$\sup \{ \text{corank } dg_q \mid q \in N \} \leq k,$$

where $\text{corank } dg_q = \min\{n, \ell\} - \text{rank } dg_q$. By Proposition 1, we have the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. Let k_0 be the maximum*

integer satisfying $(n - v + k_0)(\ell - v + k_0) \leq n$ ($v = \min\{n, \ell\}$). Then, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ has corank at most k_0 singular points.

5.2. Applications of Theorem 2.

PROPOSITION 2. Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an injection of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. If $(s_f - 1)\ell > ns_f$, then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a mapping with normal crossings satisfying $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(N^{(s_f)}) \cap \Delta_{s_f} = \emptyset$.

PROOF. By Theorem 2, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s . Hence, in order to show Proposition 2, it is sufficient to show that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}$ satisfies that $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(N^{(s_f)}) \cap \Delta_{s_f} = \emptyset$.

Suppose that there exists an element $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$ such that there exists a point $q \in N^{(s_f)}$ satisfying $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q) \in \Delta_{s_f}$. Since $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}$ is transverse to Δ_{s_f} , we have the following:

$$d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)})_q(T_q N^{(s_f)}) + T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} \Delta_{s_f} = T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^{s_f}.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)})_q(T_q N^{(s_f)}) \\ & \geq \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^{s_f} - \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} \Delta_{s_f} \\ & = \text{codim } T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(s_f)}(q)} \Delta_{s_f}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $ns_f \geq (s_f - 1)\ell$. This contradicts the assumption $(s_f - 1)\ell > ns_f$. □

In the case of $\ell > 2n$, the injection property of a given mapping $f : N \rightarrow U$ is preserved by composing generic linearly perturbed mappings as follows:

COROLLARY 5. Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an injection of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. If $\ell > 2n$, then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is injective.

PROOF. Since $s_f \geq 2$ and $\ell > 2n$, it is easily seen that the dimension pair (n, ℓ) satisfies the assumption $(s_f - 1)\ell > ns_f$ of Proposition 2. Indeed, from $\ell > 2n$, it follows that $(s_f - 1)\ell > 2n(s_f - 1)$. By $s_f \geq 2$, we get $2n(s_f - 1) \geq ns_f$.

Hence, by Proposition 2, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)} : N^{(2)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^2$ is transverse to Δ_2 . In order to show Corollary 5, it is sufficient to show that the mapping $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}$ satisfies that $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(N^{(2)}) \cap \Delta_2 = \emptyset$.

Suppose that there exists a point $q \in N^{(2)}$ such that $(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q) \in \Delta_2$. Then, we have the following:

$$d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)})_q(T_q N^{(2)}) + T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} \Delta_2 = T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^2.$$

Hence, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \dim d((F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)})_q(T_q N^{(2)}) \\ & \geq \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^2 - \dim T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} \Delta_2 \\ & = \text{codim } T_{(F_\pi \circ f)^{(2)}(q)} \Delta_2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we get $2n \geq \ell$. This contradicts the assumption $\ell > 2n$. □

By combining Corollaries 3 and 5, we have the following.

COROLLARY 6. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an injective immersion of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. If $\ell > 2n$, then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an injective immersion.*

In Corollary 6, suppose that the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is proper. Then, an injective immersion $F_\pi \circ f$ is necessarily an embedding (see [3], p. 11). Thus, we get the following.

COROLLARY 7. *Let N be a compact manifold of dimension n . Let f be an embedding of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. If $\ell > 2n$, then there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is an embedding.*

6. Further applications.

6.1. Introduction of generalized distance-squared mappings.

Let $p_i = (p_{i1}, p_{i2}, \dots, p_{im})$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) (resp., $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m}$) be points of \mathbb{R}^m (resp., an $\ell \times m$ matrix with all entries being non-zero real numbers). Set $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$. Let $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be the mapping defined by

$$G_{(p,A)}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}(x_j - p_{1j})^2, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{2j}(x_j - p_{2j})^2, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\ell j}(x_j - p_{\ell j})^2 \right),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$. The mapping $G_{(p,A)}$ is called a *generalized distance-squared mapping*, and the ℓ -tuple of points $p = (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_\ell) \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ is called the *central point* of the generalized distance-squared mapping $G_{(p,A)}$. A *distance-squared mapping* D_p (resp., *Lorentzian distance-squared mapping* L_p) is the mapping $G_{(p,A)}$ satisfying that each entry of A is equal to 1 (resp., $a_{i1} = -1$ and $a_{ij} = 1$ ($j \neq 1$)).

In [5] (resp., [6]), a classification result of distance-squared mappings (resp., Lorentzian distance-squared mappings) is given.

In [9], a classification result of generalized distance-squared mappings of the plane into the plane is given. If the rank of A is equal to two, then a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is a mapping of which any singular point is a fold point except one cusp point. The singular set is a rectangular hyperbola. If the rank of A is equal to one, then a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the normal form of fold singularity $(x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_2^2)$.

In [7], a classification result of generalized distance-squared mappings of \mathbb{R}^{m+1} into \mathbb{R}^{2m+1} is given. If the rank of A is equal to $m + 1$, then a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the normal form of Whitney umbrella $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}) \mapsto (x_1^2, x_1x_2, \dots, x_1x_{m+1}, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1})$. If the rank of A is strictly smaller than $m + 1$, then a generalized distance-squared mapping having a generic central point is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the inclusion $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}, 0, \dots, 0)$.

Namely, in [5], [6], [7] and [9], the properties of generic generalized distance-squared mappings are investigated. Hence, it is natural to investigate the properties of compositions with generic generalized distance-squared mappings.

We have another original motivation. Height functions and distance-squared functions have been investigated in detail so far, and they are useful tools in the applications of singularity theory to differential geometry (for instance, see [2]). A mapping in which each component is a height function is nothing but a projection. Projections as well as height functions or distance-squared functions have been investigated so far. In [10], compositions of generic projections and embeddings are investigated.

On the other hand, a mapping in which each component is a distance-squared function is a distance-squared mapping. In addition, the notion of a generalized distance-squared mapping is an extension of that of a distance-squared mapping. Therefore, it is natural to investigate compositions with generic generalized distance-squared mappings as well as projections.

6.2. Applications of Theorem 1 to $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$.

PROPOSITION 3. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an immersion. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ be an $\ell \times m$ matrix with all entries being non-zero real numbers. If X is an \mathcal{A}^1 -invariant submanifold of $J^1(n, \ell)$, then there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(G_{(p,A)} \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to the submanifold $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$.*

PROOF. Let $H : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a diffeomorphism of the target for deleting constant terms. The composition $H \circ G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is given as follows:

$$H \circ G_{(p,A)}(x) = \left(\sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}x_j^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{1j}p_{1j}x_j, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{2j}x_j^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{2j}p_{2j}x_j, \dots, \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\ell j}x_j^2 - 2 \sum_{j=1}^m a_{\ell j}p_{\ell j}x_j \right),$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m)$.

Let $\psi : (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ be the mapping defined by

$$\psi(p_{11}, p_{12}, \dots, p_{\ell m}) = -2(a_{11}p_{11}, a_{12}p_{12}, \dots, a_{\ell m}p_{\ell m}).$$

Remark that we have the natural identification $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) = (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$. Since $a_{ij} \neq 0$ for any i, j ($1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m$), it is clearly seen that ψ is a C^∞ diffeomorphism.

Set $F_i(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m a_{ij}x_j^2$ ($1 \leq i \leq \ell$) and $F = (F_1, F_2, \dots, F_\ell)$. By Theorem 1, there exists a subset Σ of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, the mapping $j^1(F_\pi \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Since $\psi^{-1} : \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ is a C^∞ mapping, $\psi^{-1}(\Sigma)$ is a subset of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero. For any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \psi^{-1}(\Sigma)$, we have $\psi(p) \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$. Hence, for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \psi^{-1}(\Sigma)$, the mapping $j^1(H \circ G_{(p,A)} \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. Then, since $H : \mathbb{R}^\ell \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a diffeomorphism, the mapping $j^1(G_{(p,A)} \circ f) : N \rightarrow J^1(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ is transverse to $X(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$. \square

REMARK 3. As applications of Proposition 3, regarding generalized distance-squared mappings, we get analogies of Proposition 1, Corollaries 1, 2, 3 and 4.

6.3. Applications of Theorem 2 to $G_{(p,A)} : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$.

By Theorem 2, we get the following proposition, which can be proved by the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 3, and we omit the proof.

PROPOSITION 4. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an injection. Let $A = (a_{ij})_{1 \leq i \leq \ell, 1 \leq j \leq m}$ be an $\ell \times m$ matrix with all entries being non-zero real numbers. Then, there exists a subset Σ of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma$, and for any s ($2 \leq s \leq s_f$), the mapping $(G_{(p,A)} \circ f)^{(s)} : N^{(s)} \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}^\ell)^s$ is transverse to the submanifold Δ_s . Moreover, if the mapping $G_{(p,A)}$ satisfies that $|G_{(p,A)}^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^\ell$, then $G_{(p,A)} \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is a mapping with normal crossings.*

REMARK 4. As applications of Proposition 4, regarding generalized distance-squared mappings, we get analogies of Proposition 2, Corollaries 5, 6 and 7.

As the special case of the classification result of distance squared mappings (resp., Lorentzian distance-squared mappings) in [5] (resp., [6]), we have Lemma 2.

LEMMA 2 ([5], [6]). *We have the following.*

1. *For any $p \in \mathbb{R}$, the mappings $D_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $L_p : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are \mathcal{A} -equivalent to $x \mapsto x^2$.*
2. *For $m \geq 2$, there exists a subset Σ_D (resp., Σ_L) of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_D$ (resp., $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_L$), the mapping $D_p : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (resp., $L_p : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$) is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the normal form of definite fold mappings $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m-1}, x_m^2)$.*
3. *In the case of $1 \leq m < \ell$, there exists a subset Σ_D (resp., Σ_L) of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma_D$ (resp., $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma_L$),*

the mapping $D_p : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ (resp., $L_p : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$) is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the inclusion $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m) \mapsto (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_m, 0, \dots, 0)$.

PROPOSITION 5. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an injection. Then, the following holds:*

1. *For $m \geq 1$, there exists a subset Σ_D (resp., Σ_L) of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_D$ (resp., $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_L$), $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (resp., $L_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$) is a mapping with normal crossings.*
2. *In the case of $1 \leq m < \ell$, there exists a subset Σ_D (resp., Σ_L) of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma_D$ (resp., $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma_L$), the mapping $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ (resp., $L_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$) is an injection.*

PROOF. The proof for distance-squared mappings is the same as that for Lorentzian distance-squared mappings. Hence, it is sufficient to give the proof for distance-squared mappings.

Firstly, we will show the assertion 1. From Lemma 2, there exists a subset Σ_1 of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_1$, the mapping $D_p : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies that $|D_p^{-1}(y)| \leq 2$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$. On the other hand, from Proposition 4, there exists a subset Σ_2 of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_2$, if D_p satisfies that $|D_p^{-1}(y)| \leq s_f$ for any $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, then $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a mapping with normal crossings. Set $\Sigma_D = \Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2$. It is clearly seen that Σ_D is a subset of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero. Then, for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_D$, $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ is a mapping with normal crossings.

In the case of $m < \ell$, since from Lemma 2, there exists a subset Σ_D of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^\ell - \Sigma_D$, the mapping $D_p : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is \mathcal{A} -equivalent to the inclusion, the assertion 2 holds. \square

By combining Proposition 5 and the analogy of Corollary 3 in Remark 3, we have the following.

COROLLARY 8. *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ be an injective immersion ($2n \leq m$). Then, there exists a subset Σ_D (resp., Σ_L) of $(\mathbb{R}^m)^m$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_D$ (resp., $p \in (\mathbb{R}^m)^m - \Sigma_L$), the mapping $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$ (resp., $L_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^m$) is an immersion with normal crossings.*

In Corollary 8, if $m = 2n$ and the mapping $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (resp., $L_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$) is proper, then the immersion with normal crossings $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (resp., $L_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$) is necessarily stable (see [3], p. 86). Thus, we get the following.

COROLLARY 9. *Let N be a compact manifold of dimension n . Let $f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ be an embedding. Then, there exists a subset Σ_D (resp., Σ_L) of $(\mathbb{R}^{2n})^{2n}$ with Lebesgue measure zero such that for any $p \in (\mathbb{R}^{2n})^{2n} - \Sigma_D$ (resp., $p \in (\mathbb{R}^{2n})^{2n} - \Sigma_L$), the mapping $D_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ (resp., $L_p \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2n}$) is stable.*

Remark that the dimension of the target space in Corollary 9 is smaller than that in Corollary 7.

7. Appendix.

In this section, the main theorems in [4] and [10] are stated. For this, we prepare some notions.

Let N and P be manifolds. Let ${}_sJ^r(N, P)$ be the space consisting of elements $(j^r g(q_1), j^r g(q_2), \dots, j^r g(q_s)) \in J^r(N, P)^s$ satisfying $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \in N^{(s)}$. Since $N^{(s)}$ is an open submanifold of N^s , the space ${}_sJ^r(N, P)$ is also an open submanifold of $J^r(N, P)^s$. For a given mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$, the mapping ${}_s j^r g : N^{(s)} \rightarrow {}_sJ^r(N, P)$ is defined by $(q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \mapsto (j^r g(q_1), j^r g(q_2), \dots, j^r g(q_s))$.

Let W be a submanifold of ${}_sJ^r(N, P)$. A mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$ will be said to be *transverse with respect to W* if ${}_s j^r g : N^{(s)} \rightarrow {}_sJ^r(N, P)$ is transverse to W .

Following Mather ([10]), we can partition P^s as follows. Given any partition Π of $\{1, 2, \dots, s\}$, let P^Π denote the set of s -tuples $(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s) \in P^s$ such that $y_i = y_j$ if and only if the two positive integers i and j are in the same member of the partition Π .

Let $\text{Diff } N$ denote the group of diffeomorphisms of N . We have the natural action of $\text{Diff } N \times \text{Diff } P$ on ${}_sJ^r(N, P)$ such that for a mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$, the equality $(h, H) \cdot {}_s j^r g(q) = {}_s j^r (H \circ g \circ h^{-1})(q')$ holds, where $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s)$ and $q' = (h(q_1), h(q_2), \dots, h(q_s))$. A subset W of ${}_sJ^r(N, P)$ is said to be *invariant* if it is invariant under this action.

We recall the following identification (7.1) from [10]. For $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \in N^{(s)}$, let $g : U \rightarrow P$ be a mapping defined in a neighborhood U of $\{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s\}$ in N , and let $z = {}_s j^r g(q)$, $q' = (g(q_1), g(q_2), \dots, g(q_s))$. Let ${}_sJ^r(N, P)_q$ and ${}_sJ^r(N, P)_{q,q'}$ denote the fibers of ${}_sJ^r(N, P)$ over q and over (q, q') respectively. Let $J^r(N)_q$ denote the \mathbb{R} -algebra of r -jets at q of functions on N . Namely,

$$J^r(N)_q = {}_sJ^r(N, \mathbb{R})_q.$$

Set $g^*TP = \bigcup_{\tilde{q} \in U} T_{g(\tilde{q})}P$, where TP is the tangent bundle of P . Let $J^r(g^*TP)_q$ denote the $J^r(N)_q$ -module of r -jets at q of sections of the bundle g^*TP . Let \mathfrak{m}_q be the ideal in $J^r(N)_q$ consisting of jets of functions which vanish at q . Namely,

$$\mathfrak{m}_q = \{ {}_s j^r h(q) \in {}_sJ^r(N, \mathbb{R})_q \mid h(q_1) = h(q_2) = \dots = h(q_s) = 0 \}.$$

Let $\mathfrak{m}_q J^r(g^*TP)_q$ be the set consisting of finite sums of products of an element of \mathfrak{m}_q and an element of $J^r(g^*TP)_q$. Namely, we set

$$\mathfrak{m}_q J^r(g^*TP)_q = J^r(g^*TP)_q \cap \{ {}_s j^r \xi(q) \in {}_sJ^r(N, TP)_q \mid \xi(q_1) = \xi(q_2) = \dots = \xi(q_s) = 0 \}.$$

Then, it is easily seen that we have the following canonical identification of \mathbb{R} -vector spaces:

$$T({}_sJ^r(N, P)_{q,q'})_z = \mathfrak{m}_q J^r(g^*TP)_q. \tag{7.1}$$

Let W be a non-empty submanifold of ${}_sJ^r(N, P)$. Choose $q = (q_1, q_2, \dots, q_s) \in N^{(s)}$

and $g : N \rightarrow P$, and set $z = {}_s j^r g(q)$ and $q' = (g(q_1), g(q_2), \dots, g(q_s))$. Suppose that the choice is made so that $z \in W$. Set $W_{q,q'} = \tilde{\pi}^{-1}(q, q')$, where $\tilde{\pi} : W \rightarrow N^{(s)} \times P^s$ is defined by $\tilde{\pi}({}_s j^r \tilde{g}(\tilde{q})) = (\tilde{q}, (\tilde{g}(\tilde{q}_1), \tilde{g}(\tilde{q}_2), \dots, \tilde{g}(\tilde{q}_s)))$ and $\tilde{q} = (\tilde{q}_1, \tilde{q}_2, \dots, \tilde{q}_s) \in N^{(s)}$.

Then, under the identification (7.1), the tangent space $T(W_{q,q'})_z$ can be identified with a vector subspace of $\mathfrak{m}_q J^r(g^*TP)_q$. We denote this vector subspace by $E(g, q, W)$.

DEFINITION 3. The submanifold W is said to be *modular* if conditions (α) and (β) below are satisfied.

- (α) The set W is an invariant submanifold of ${}_s J^r(N, P)$, and lies over P^Π for some partition Π of $\{1, 2, \dots, s\}$.
- (β) For any $q \in N^{(s)}$ and any mapping $g : N \rightarrow P$ such that ${}_s j^r g(q) \in W$, the subspace $E(g, q, W)$ is a $J^r(N)_q$ -submodule.

Now, suppose that $P = \mathbb{R}^\ell$. The main theorem in [10] is the following.

THEOREM 3 ([10]). *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an embedding of N into \mathbb{R}^m . If W is a modular submanifold of ${}_s J^r(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ and $m > \ell$, then there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, $\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is transverse with respect to W .*

Then, the main theorem in [4] is the following.

THEOREM 4 ([4]). *Let N be a manifold of dimension n . Let f be an embedding of N into an open subset U of \mathbb{R}^m . Let $F : U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ be a mapping. If W is a modular submanifold of ${}_s J^r(N, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$, then there exists a subset Σ with Lebesgue measure zero of $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell)$ such that for any $\pi \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^m, \mathbb{R}^\ell) - \Sigma$, $F_\pi \circ f : N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\ell$ is transverse with respect to W .*

The assertion (6) in Section 1, Corollary 7 in Section 5 and Corollary 9 in Section 6 of the present paper are obtained as corollaries of Theorems 1 and 2 in this paper. On the other hand, they are also corollaries of Theorem 4.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author is most grateful to the anonymous reviewers for carefully reading the first manuscript of this paper and for giving invaluable suggestions. He is also grateful to Takashi Nishimura for his kind advice, and to Atsufumi Honda, Satoshi Koike, Osamu Saeki, Hajime Sato, Masatomo Takahashi, Takahiro Yamamoto for their valuable comments.

References

- [1] R. Abraham, Transversality in manifolds of mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **69** (1963), 470–474.
- [2] J. W. Bruce and P. J. Giblin, *Curves and singularities* (second edition), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [3] M. Golubitsky and V. Guillemin, *Stable mappings and their singularities*, *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **14**, Springer, New York, 1973.
- [4] S. Ichiki, Generic linear perturbations, to appear in *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, available at arXiv: 1607.03220.

- [5] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, Distance-squared mappings, [Topology Appl.](#), **160** (2013), 1005–1016.
- [6] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, Recognizable classification of Lorentzian distance-squared mappings, [J. Geom. Phys.](#), **81** (2014), 62–71.
- [7] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, Generalized distance-squared mappings of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} into \mathbb{R}^{2n+1} , [Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI](#), **675** (2016), 121–132.
- [8] S. Ichiki and T. Nishimura, Preservation of immersed or injective properties by composing generic generalized distance-squared mappings, [Springer Proc. Math. Stat.](#), **222** (2018), 537–547.
- [9] S. Ichiki, T. Nishimura, R. Oset Sinha and M. A. S. Ruas, Generalized distance-squared mappings of the plane into the plane, [Adv. Geom.](#), **16** (2016), 189–198.
- [10] J. N. Mather, Generic projections, [Ann. of Math. \(2\)](#), **98** (1973), 226–245.

Shunsuke ICHIKI

Graduate School of Environment and Information Sciences
Yokohama National University
Yokohama 240-8501, Japan
E-mail: ichiki-shunsuke-jb@ynu.jp