

Construction of \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions with prescribed Iwasawa modules

By Manabu OZAKI

(Received Jun. 3, 2002)

(Revised Mar. 3, 2003)

Abstract. We construct \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions whose Iwasawa modules have prescribed structure. Specifically, we give a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension with prescribed finite Iwasawa module. Also we show that there exists a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension with arbitrarily given Iwasawa μ -invariant. We apply the construction of such \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions to a certain capitulation problem.

1. Introduction.

Let K/k be a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension and k_n its n -th layer. The Iwasawa module X_K of the \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k is defined to be the projective limit $\varprojlim A(k_n)$ of the Sylow p -subgroup $A(k_n)$ of the ideal class group of k_n with respect to the norm maps. Otherwise, we can also define X_K to be the Galois group $\text{Gal}(L(K)/K)$ of the maximal unramified pro- p abelian extension $L(K)/K$. Then the completed group ring $\Lambda_{K/k} = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\text{Gal}(K/k)]]$ acts on X_K and Iwasawa showed that X_K is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda_{K/k}$ -module. In the arithmetic of the \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k , Iwasawa module X_K plays a crucial role. Iwasawa studied the $\Lambda_{K/k}$ -module structure of X_K and deduced the following celebrated formula:

THEOREM (Iwasawa). *There exist non-negative integers $\lambda(K/k), \mu(K/k)$ and an integer $\nu(K/k)$ such that*

$$\#A(k_n) = p^{\lambda(K/k)n + \mu(K/k)p^n + \nu(K/k)}$$

for all sufficiently large n .

Here the integers $\lambda(K/k), \mu(K/k)$ and $\nu(K/k)$ are called Iwasawa invariants of K/k . We remark that $\lambda(K/k)$ and $\mu(K/k)$ are the invariants of the $\Lambda_{K/k}$ -module structure of X_K .

Now we raise the following natural question on the Iwasawa module:

QUESTION A. Let p be a prime number and Γ a topological group isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_p . Put $\Lambda = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$. Then, for any finitely generated torsion Λ -module X , does there exist a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k such that X_K is isomorphic to X as Λ -modules, regarding X_K as a Λ -module via some isomorphism $\text{Gal}(K/k) \simeq \Gamma$?

We also raise the following question, which relates to Question A:

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11R23; Secondary 11R29.

Key Words and Phrases. Iwasawa module, Iwasawa invariant, capitulation of ideals.

This research is partially supported by the Grant-in-Aid for Encouragement of Young Scientists, Ministry of Education, Science, Sports and Culture, Japan.

QUESTION B. For any non-negative integers l and m , does there exist a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k with $\lambda(K/k) = l$ and $\mu(K/k) = m$?

Here we note that if Question A is affirmative, then Question B is also affirmative.

In the present paper, we shall give partial answers to the above questions. Specifically, we shall answer to Question A affirmatively in the case where X is finite (Theorem 1). Also we shall answer to Question B for μ -invariants affirmatively (Theorem 2). In the final section, we shall apply the construction in the proof of Theorem 1 to a certain capitulation problem.

2. Main results.

On Question A, we shall give the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let p be a prime number and Γ a topological group isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}_p . Put $A = \mathbf{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$. Then for any finite A -module X , there exists a cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k over a totally real number field k such that*

$$X_K \simeq X$$

as A -modules, regarding X_K as a A -module via some isomorphism $\text{Gal}(K/k) \simeq \Gamma$.

Greenberg conjectured that X_K is finite if K/k is the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension over a totally real number field k (see [3]). Therefore, assuming Greenberg’s conjecture, Theorem 1 says that among the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions over totally real number fields, every possible A -module could appear as an Iwasawa module.

On Question B, we shall give the following:

THEOREM 2. *Let p be an odd prime number. For any non-negative integer m , there exist a number field k and a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k with $\mu(K/k) = m$ (and $\lambda(K/k) = 0$), specifically, $X_K \simeq (A_{K/k}/p)^{\oplus m}$. Furthermore, we can take k to be an imaginary cyclic extension of degree $2p$ over \mathbf{Q} .*

Iwasawa conjectured that $\mu(K/k) = 0$ for any cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k . This conjecture is valid if the base field k is abelian over \mathbf{Q} (the Ferrero-Washington theorem [1]). However Iwasawa [7] constructed non-cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions with arbitrarily large μ -invariant. Our method of construction of \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k in Theorem 2 is based on [7], hence K/k is a certain non-cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension, so called the anti-cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension.

To prove the theorems, we refine the idea in Yahagi [13], in which he constructed number fields with prescribed Sylow p -subgroup of the ideal class group. We extend his method so that we can impose the prescribed Galois module structure on the Sylow p -subgroup of the ideal class group.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.

Since X is finite, X is a $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0}]$ -module for some integers $m_0 \geq 1$ and $n_0 \geq 0$, where $\Gamma_n = \Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^n$ for $n \geq 0$.

LEMMA 1. Assume that a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension K/k satisfies the following three conditions:

- (i) K/k is totally ramified at every ramified prime.
- (ii) $A(k_{n_0}) \simeq X$ as Γ_{n_0} -modules, viewing $A(k_{n_0})$ as a Γ_{n_0} -module by some identification $\text{Gal}(K/k)$ with Γ .
- (iii) $A(k_{n_0}) \simeq A(k_{n_0+1})$.

Then we have $X_K \simeq X$ as \mathcal{A} -modules.

PROOF. It follows from assumptions (i), (iii) and Fukuda [2] that $X_K \simeq A(k_{n_0})$ as $\mathcal{A}_{K/k}$ -modules. Hence the assertion follows from assumption (ii). \square

By virtue of Lemma 1, our main aim is to construct a number field with prescribed Sylow p -subgroup of the ideal class group and Galois action on it. Yahagi [13] constructed number fields with prescribed Sylow p -subgroup of the ideal class group. We refine his method to construct a desired number field. Outline of the construction is as follows: We construct a cyclic extension k/\mathcal{Q}_N of degree p^{m_0} for suitable N , \mathcal{Q}_N being the N -th layer of the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension over \mathcal{Q} , such that $A(k_{n_0})/(\sigma - 1) \simeq A(k_{n_0+1})/(\sigma - 1) \simeq X$ as Γ -modules (identifying Γ with $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$) by ‘‘genus theoretic’’ method, where k_∞/k is the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension, k_n ($n \geq 0$) is its n -th layer and σ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(k_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$. By selecting the ramified primes of k/\mathcal{Q}_N carefully, we can make the ideal classes in $A(k_{n_0+\delta})$ containing σ -invariant ideals generate $A(k_{n_0+\delta})/(\sigma - 1)$ for $\delta = 0, 1$. Hence $A(k_{n_0+\delta}) = A(k_{n_0+\delta})/(\sigma - 1) \simeq X$ for $\delta = 0, 1$ by Nakayama’s lemma. Thus the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension k_∞/k is a desired \mathbf{Z}_p -extension by Lemma 1.

We fix a topological generator γ_∞ of Γ and put $\gamma_n = \gamma_\infty \pmod{\Gamma^{p^n}} \in \Gamma_n$. Let

$$(1) \quad r := \dim_{\mathbf{F}_p} X/(p, \gamma_{n_0} - 1).$$

Then r is the number of minimal generators of X over $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0}]$, and there exists an exact sequence of $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0}]$ -modules

$$(2) \quad 0 \rightarrow R_{n_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0}]^{\oplus r} \rightarrow X \rightarrow 0.$$

Let π'_{n_0+1, n_0} be the natural map from $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r}$ to $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0}]^{\oplus r}$ induced by the natural projection $\Gamma_{n_0+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{n_0}$, and put $R_{n_0+1} = \pi'_{n_0+1, n_0}{}^{-1}(R_{n_0})$. Then π'_{n_0+1, n_0} induces the isomorphism

$$(3) \quad \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r}/R_{n_0+1} \simeq X.$$

We identify $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r}/R_{n_0+1}$ with X via the natural isomorphism (3).

We define the submodule $\tilde{R}_{n_0+\delta}$ ($\delta = 0, 1$) of $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+\delta}]^{\oplus r+1}$ as follows:

$$(4) \quad \tilde{R}_{n_0+\delta} = \left\{ (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r+1} \in \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+\delta}]^{\oplus r+1} \mid \right. \\ \left. (\alpha_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r} \in R_{n_0+\delta}, \alpha_{r+1} \equiv \sum_{i=1}^r \alpha_i \pmod{\gamma_{n_0+\delta} - 1} \right\}.$$

We put

$$(5) \quad \tilde{X} = \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1}/\tilde{R}_{n_0+1}.$$

We remark that there is a natural injection $X \rightarrow \tilde{X}$ given by $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r} \bmod R_{n_0+1} \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_r, \sum_{i=1}^r x_i) \bmod \tilde{R}_{n_0+1}$, whose cokernel is isomorphic to \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0} .

Then the natural map $\pi_{n_0+1, n_0} : \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0}]^{\oplus r+1}$ induced by the projection $\Gamma_{n_0+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{n_0}$ gives the isomorphism

$$(6) \quad \tilde{X} = \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1} / \tilde{R}_{n_0+1} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0}]^{\oplus r+1} / \tilde{R}_{n_0}$$

because $\pi_{n_0+1, n_0}^{-1}(\tilde{R}_{n_0}) = \tilde{R}_{n_0+1}$.

Let g be the number of minimal generators of \tilde{R}_{n_0+1} over $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]$, and we choose and fix once for all an integer N with the property

$$(7) \quad p^N - 1 \geq g \quad \text{and} \quad N \geq m_0.$$

Now we shall identify Γ with $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_\infty/\mathbf{Q}_N)$ by a fixed isomorphism $\Gamma \simeq \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_\infty/\mathbf{Q}_N)$, where \mathbf{Q}_∞ is the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension field of \mathbf{Q} . Then $\Gamma_t = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_{N+t}/\mathbf{Q}_N)$ for $t \geq 0$.

Let l_i ($1 \leq i \leq r+1$) be distinct degree one primes of \mathbf{Q}_N which decompose completely in \mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+1} , say $l_i = \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} \gamma \mathfrak{L}_{i, n_0+1}$. Furthermore, we assume that l_i decomposes completely in $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0+1} := \mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+1}(\mu_p)$ (if $p \neq 2$) or $\mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+1}(\mu_4)$ (if $p = 2$). Put $m = \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} l_i$, and denote by \mathfrak{L}_{i, n_0} the prime of \mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0} below \mathfrak{L}_{i, n_0+1} . For $t \geq 0$, we denote by L_t/\mathbf{Q}_{N+t} the maximal abelian p -extension such that the conductor of L_t/\mathbf{Q}_{N+t} divides m and the exponent of $\text{Gal}(L_t/\mathbf{Q}_{N+t})$ is less than or equal to p^{m_0} . Since the class number of $\mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}$ is prime to p as well known, we get the exact sequence of Γ -modules

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}^\times / p^{m_0} \xrightarrow{\rho_{n_0+\delta}} (\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\mathfrak{m})^\times / p^{m_0} \xrightarrow{r_{n_0+\delta}} \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+\delta}/\mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}) \rightarrow 0,$$

for $\delta = 0, 1$ by class field theory, where $\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}$ denotes the ring of integers of $\mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}$, $\rho_{n_0+\delta}$ is the natural map, and $r_{n_0+\delta}$ is the map induced by the reciprocity map. We can see that the middle term $(\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\mathfrak{m})^\times / p^{m_0}$ of (8) is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}_p[\Gamma_{n_0+\delta}]^{\oplus r+1}$ via the following map:

$$(9) \quad (\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\mathfrak{m})^\times / p^{m_0} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+\delta}]^{\oplus r+1},$$

$$\text{the class of } \alpha \mapsto \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \tilde{\Gamma}_{n_0+\delta}} \varphi \left(\left(\frac{\alpha}{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0+\delta}} \right)_{n_0+\delta} \right) \gamma \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r+1}.$$

Notations in (9) are as follows: $\tilde{\gamma} \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_N)$ is the image of γ via the natural isomorphism $\Gamma_{n_0+\delta} \simeq \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_N)$, where $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0+\delta} = \mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}(\mu_p)$ (if $p \neq 2$) or $\mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}(\mu_4)$ (if $p = 2$). $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0+1}$ are fixed primes of $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ lying above \mathfrak{L}_{i, n_0+1} , and $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0}$ is the prime of $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0}$ below $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0+1}$. $(*/*)_{n_0+\delta} \in \mu_{p^{m_0}}$ is the p^{m_0} -th power residue symbol for $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0+\delta}$. φ is a fixed isomorphism $\mu_{p^{m_0}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}$. Here we note that $\mu_{p^{m_0}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_N$ by (7) hence $(\alpha/\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0+\delta})_{n_0+\delta} = (\gamma^{-1} \alpha / \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0+\delta})_{n_0+\delta}$, and that $\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\gamma \mathfrak{L}_{i, n_0+\delta} \simeq \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0+\delta}$, $\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{N+n_0+\delta}$ being the ring of integers of $\tilde{\mathbf{Q}}_{N+n_0+\delta}$, since l_i decomposes completely in \mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+1} .

In what follows we fix $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i, n_0+1}$ and φ once for all and identify $(\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}/\mathfrak{m})^\times / p^{m_0}$ with $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+\delta}]^{\oplus r+1}$ via the above isomorphism. Then we get the exact sequence

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}^\times / p^{m_0} \xrightarrow{\rho_{n_0+\delta}} \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+\delta}]^{\oplus r+1} \xrightarrow{r_{n_0+\delta}} \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+\delta}/\mathbf{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}) \rightarrow 0,$$

from (8), and the map $\rho_{n_0+\delta}$ is given by

$$(11) \quad \rho_{n_0+\delta}(\varepsilon) = \left(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+\delta}} \varphi \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i, n_0+\delta}} \right)_{n_0+\delta} \right) \gamma \right)_{1 \leq i \leq r+1}.$$

It follows from (7) that $\mu_{2^{m_0+1}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+\delta}$ when $p = 2$. Hence $\rho_{n_0+\delta}(-1) = 0$ for any prime number p by (11) since $-1 \in (\mu_{2^{m_0+1}})^{2^{m_0}}$ when $p = 2$. From exact sequences (10) for $\delta = 0, 1$ and the fact that $\rho_{n_0+\delta}(-1) = 0$, we get the following exact commutative diagram:

$$(12) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times} / p^{m_0} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{n_0+1}} & \mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0} [\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1} & \xrightarrow{r_{n_0+1}} & \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1} / \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ N_{n_0+1, n_0} \downarrow & & \pi_{n_0+1, n_0} \downarrow & & \text{res}_{n_0+1, n_0} \downarrow & & \\ \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0}^\times} / p^{m_0} & \xrightarrow{\rho_{n_0}} & \mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0} [\Gamma_{n_0}]^{\oplus r+1} & \xrightarrow{r_{n_0}} & \text{Gal}(L_{n_0} / \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0}) & \longrightarrow & 0, \end{array}$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}^\times} = \mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}^\times / \{\pm 1\}$ for $\delta = 0, 1$, N_{n_0+1, n_0} is the norm map from \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} to \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0} , π_{n_0+1, n_0} is the map induced by the natural projection $\Gamma_{n_0+1} \rightarrow \Gamma_{n_0}$, and res_{n_0+1, n_0} is the restriction map (Note that $L_{n_0} \subseteq L_{n_0+1}$). Commutativity follows from the fact $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i, n_0+1} | \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i, n_0}$ and the properties of the p^{m_0} -th power residue symbol and the reciprocity map.

LEMMA 2. (i) For any $t \geq 0$, we have

$$\overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+t}^\times} / p^{m_0} \simeq \mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0} [\Gamma_t]^{\oplus p^N - 1} \oplus \mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0} [\Gamma_t] / N_{\Gamma_t},$$

as $\mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0} [\Gamma_t]$ -modules, where $N_{\Gamma_t} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_t} \gamma$.

(ii) In commutative diagram (12), the norm map $N_{n_0+1, n_0} : \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times} / p^{m_0} \rightarrow \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0}^\times} / p^{m_0}$ is surjective.

PROOF. Let $\eta = N_{\mathcal{Q}(\mu_{p^{N+t+1}}) / \mathcal{Q}_{N+t}}(\zeta_{p^{N+t+1}} - 1)^{\sigma-1}$ (when $p \neq 2$), or $\eta = \zeta_{2^{N+t+2}}^{-2} \cdot ((\zeta_{2^{N+t+2}}^5 - 1) / (\zeta_{2^{N+t+2}} - 1))$ (when $p = 2$), where σ is a generator of $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_{N+t} / \mathcal{Q})$ and ζ_d denotes a primitive d -th root of unity for $d \geq 1$. Then

$$C_{N+t} = \langle -1, \tau \eta \mid \tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_{N+t} / \mathcal{Q}) \rangle$$

is the group of cyclotomic units of \mathcal{Q}_{N+t} and $p \nmid [\mathcal{O}_{N+t}^\times : C_{N+t}]$ (for the various properties of the cyclotomic unit group, see [12, Chapter 8] for example). Hence $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+t}^\times} / p^{m_0} \simeq (C_{N+t} / \{\pm 1\}) / p^{m_0}$. Because

$$C_{N+t} / \{\pm 1\} \simeq \mathbf{Z}[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_{N+t} / \mathcal{Q})] / N_{\text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_{N+t} / \mathcal{Q})},$$

as $\text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_{N+t} / \mathcal{Q})$ -modules and

$$\mathbf{Z}[\text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_{N+t} / \mathcal{Q})] = \bigoplus_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_{N+t} / \mathcal{Q}) / \Gamma_t} \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma_t] \tau,$$

we can see that $C_{N+t} / \{\pm 1\} \simeq \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma_t]^{\oplus p^N - 1} \oplus \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma_t] / N_{\Gamma_t}$. Thus we have proved assertion (i).

Assertion (ii) follows from $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+\delta}^\times} / p^{m_0} \simeq (C_{N+n_0+\delta} / \{\pm 1\}) / p^{m_0}$ and the fact that the norm map $N_{n_0+1, n_0} : C_{N+n_0+1} / \{\pm 1\} \rightarrow C_{N+n_0} / \{\pm 1\}$ is surjective. \square

LEMMA 3. For any Γ_{n_0+1} -homomorphism $f : \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times} / p^{m_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]$, there exist infinitely many degree one primes $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ such that

$$f(\varepsilon) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} \varphi \left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}} \right)_{n_0+1} \right) \gamma,$$

for any $\varepsilon \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times} / p^{m_0}$, where the notations in the above are as in (9). Furthermore, for any fixed finite abelian extension $M / \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ with $M \cap \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}^{(p^{m_0} \sqrt{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times})} = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ and $\tau \in \text{Gal}(M / \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1})$, we can impose the condition

$$\left(\frac{M / \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}}{\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}} \right) = \tau$$

on $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}$.

PROOF. From Lemma 2 (i), there exist $\varepsilon_j, \xi \in \mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times$ ($1 \leq j \leq p^N - 1$) such that

$$(13) \quad \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times} / p^{m_0} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^{p^N-1} \mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}] \bar{\varepsilon}_j \oplus (\mathbf{Z} / p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}] / N_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}}) \bar{\xi},$$

where $\bar{\varepsilon}_j, \bar{\xi} \in \overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times} / p^{m_0}$ are the classes of ε_j and ξ , respectively.

Assume that $f(\varepsilon_j) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} c_{j,\gamma} \gamma$ and $f(\xi) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} d_\gamma \gamma$. We shall show that there exist infinitely many degree one primes $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ such that

$$(14) \quad \begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\varepsilon_j}{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}} \right)_{n_0+1} &= \varphi^{-1}(c_{j,\gamma}) \quad (1 \leq j \leq p^N - 1, \gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}), \\ \left(\frac{\xi}{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}} \right)_{n_0+1} &= \varphi^{-1}(d_\gamma) \quad (\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1} - \{1\}). \end{aligned}$$

We note that if the above conditions hold, then the condition

$$\left(\frac{\xi}{\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}} \right)_{n_0+1} = \varphi^{-1}(d_1)$$

also holds, because $\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} (\xi / (\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}))_{n_0+1} = (\prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} \gamma \xi / \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}})_{n_0+1} = 1$ and $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} d_\gamma = 0$. We also note that

$$(15) \quad \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\tilde{\gamma} \tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}} \right)_{n_0+1} = \left(\frac{\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}^{(p^{m_0} \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \varepsilon})} / \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}}{\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}} \right)_{(p^{m_0} \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \varepsilon}) / (p^{m_0} \sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \varepsilon})}$$

for any $\varepsilon \in \mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times$.

We need the following lemma:

LEMMA 4. The natural map $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times} / p^{m_0} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times / p^{m_0}$ is injective (note that $-1 \in (\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{p^{m_0}}$).

PROOF. From the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mu_{p^{m_0}} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times \xrightarrow{p^{m_0}} (\tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{p^{m_0}} \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get the exact $G = \text{Gal}(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$ -cohomology sequence

$$\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}^\times \xrightarrow{p^{m_0}} (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{p^{m_0}} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} \longrightarrow H^1(G, \mu_{p^{m_0}}) \longrightarrow 0.$$

If $p \neq 2$, $H^1(G, \mu_{p^{m_0}}) = 0$ since $\#G$ is prime to p . Hence we have $(\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{p^{m_0}} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} = (\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{p^{m_0}}$. Therefore the assertion of the lemma follows.

We assume that $p = 2$. Then we can see $H^1(G, \mu_{2^{m_0}}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2$. Hence we have $((\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{2^{m_0}} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})/(\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{2^{m_0}} \simeq \mathbf{Z}/2$. Since $-1 \in (\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{2^{m_0}} - (\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{2^{m_0}}$, the kernel of the natural map $\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times/2^{m_0} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{O}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times/2^{m_0}$, which is contained in $((\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{2^{m_0}} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})/(\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}^\times)^{2^{m_0}}$, is generated by the class of -1 . Thus we also obtain the lemma in the case $p = 2$. □

PROOF OF LEMMA 3. Put $F_j = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}(p^{m_0}\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\varepsilon_j} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1})$ and $E = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}(p^{m_0}\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\xi} \mid \gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1})$. Then it follows from Lemma 4 and (13) that the abelian extensions $F_j/\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ ($1 \leq j \leq p^N - 1$) and $E/\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ are independent, and that

$$\text{Gal}(F_j/\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} \mu_{p^{m_0}}, \quad \sigma \mapsto (\sigma(p^{m_0}\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\varepsilon_j})/p^{m_0}\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\varepsilon_j})_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}},$$

$$\text{Gal}(E/\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}) \simeq \bigoplus_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1} - \{1\}} \mu_{p^{m_0}}, \quad \sigma \mapsto (\sigma(p^{m_0}\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\xi})/p^{m_0}\sqrt{\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\xi})_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1} - \{1\}}.$$

Therefore, by the Čebotarev density theorem and (15), there exist infinitely many degree one primes of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ satisfying (14). Furthermore, we can impose the condition

$$\left(\frac{M/\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}}{\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}} \right) = \tau$$

on $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}$, since $M \cap \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}(p^{m_0}\sqrt{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times}) = \tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$. □

Now we choose the primes $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i,n_0+1}$ and l_i . From (7) and Lemma 2 (i), there exists a Γ_{n_0+1} -homomorphism $h : \mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times/p^{m_0} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1}$ such that $\text{Im}(h) = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{n_0+1}$. Assume the following condition on the primes $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i,n_0+1}$ ($1 \leq i \leq r+1$):

CONDITION A.

$$\text{pr}_i \circ h = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}} \varphi \left(\left(\frac{*}{\tilde{\gamma}\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i,n_0+1}} \right)_{n_0+1} \right) \gamma$$

for $1 \leq i \leq r+1$, where $\text{pr}_i : \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]$ denotes the projection map to the i -th component.

By virtue of Lemma 3, there exist degree one primes $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i,n_0+1}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ satisfying Condition A such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i,n_0+1}$'s are lying over distinct rational primes. We choose the prime of \mathcal{Q}_N (resp. $\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}$) below $\tilde{\mathfrak{L}}_{i,n_0+1}$ as l_i (resp. $\mathfrak{L}_{i,n_0+\delta}$ ($\delta = 0, 1$)), and put $m = \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} l_i$. Then we have $\text{Im}(\rho_{n_0+1}) = \text{Im}(h) = \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{n_0+1}$ by (11), hence r_{n_0+1} induces the isomorphism

$$(16) \quad \tilde{X} = \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1} / \tilde{\mathbf{R}}_{n_0+1} \simeq \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}).$$

Also we have

$$(17) \quad \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_{n_0}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0}),$$

because $\text{Im}(\rho_{n_0}) = \tilde{R}_{n_0}$ and $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0}) \simeq \mathbf{Z}[\Gamma_{n_0}]^{\oplus r+1}/\tilde{R}_{n_0} \simeq \tilde{X}$ by Lemma 2 (ii), commutative diagram (12), and the fact $\tilde{R}_{n_0+1} = \pi_{n_0+1, n_0}^{-1}(\tilde{R}_{n_0})$. We identify $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$ with \tilde{X} via the isomorphism (16).

We regard $X = \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r}/R_{n_0+1}$ as a submodule of $\tilde{X} = \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1}/\tilde{R}_{n_0+1}$ via the embedding $(x_i)_{1 \leq i \leq r} \bmod R_{n_0+1} \mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_r, \sum_{i=1}^r x_i) \bmod \tilde{R}_{n_0+1}$. We define F to be the intermediate field of $L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}$ with

$$(18) \quad X = \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/F).$$

LEMMA 5. (i) *There exists the unique cyclic extension k/\mathcal{Q}_N of degree p^{m_0} with conductor dividing m such that $F = k_{n_0+1} (= k\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$.*

(ii) *Primes $\gamma\mathfrak{Q}_{i, n_0+\delta}$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+\delta}, 1 \leq i \leq r+1$) are totally ramified in $k_{n_0+\delta}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}$. Also primes \mathfrak{l}_i ($1 \leq i \leq r+1$) are totally ramified in k .*

(iii) *$L_{n_0+\delta}$ is the genus p -class field of $k_{n_0+\delta}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+\delta}$ for $\delta = 0, 1$.*

PROOF. (i) Since \tilde{X}/X is generated by the class of $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$, $\text{Gal}(F/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}) \simeq \tilde{X}/X \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}$ with trivial Γ_{n_0+1} -action. Hence F/\mathcal{Q}_N is an abelian extension and $\text{Gal}(F/\mathcal{Q}_N) = \text{Gal}(F/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}) \times I_p$, where $I_p \subseteq \text{Gal}(F/\mathcal{Q}_N)$ is the inertia subgroup for the unique prime of \mathcal{Q}_N lying over p . Let k be the fixed field of I_p . Then k is the desired field.

(ii) In (12), the inertia subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$ for $\gamma\mathfrak{Q}_{i, n_0+1}$ is generated by $r_{n_0+1}((0, \dots, \overset{i}{\check{\gamma}} \dots, 0))$ over \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0} . One can easily see that the order of $(0, \dots, \overset{i}{\check{\gamma}} \dots, 0) \bmod \tilde{R}_{n_0+1}$ is p^{m_0} and that $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}((0, \dots, \overset{i}{\check{\gamma}} \dots, 0) \bmod \tilde{R}_{n_0+1}) \cap X = 0$. Hence the prime $\gamma\mathfrak{Q}_{i, n_0+1}$ is totally ramified in $k_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}$ and L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1} is an unramified extension. The remaining assertions follow from this fact because $k_{n_0+1} = k_{n_0}\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} = k\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}$.

(iii) Let L' be the genus p -class field of $k_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}$. Then $L_{n_0+1} \subseteq L'$ since L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1} is an unramified abelian p -extension and $L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}$ is abelian. Now we show $L' \subseteq L_{n_0+1}$. Since the class number of \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} is prime to p , $\text{Gal}(L'/k_{n_0+1})$ is annihilated by $p^{m_0} = [k_{n_0+1} : \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}]$. Since the prime \mathfrak{Q}_{i, n_0+1} is totally ramified in $k_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}$, we have $\text{Gal}(L'/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}) \simeq \text{Gal}(L'/k_{n_0+1}) \times \text{Gal}(k_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$. Hence $\text{Gal}(L'/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$ is annihilated by p^{m_0} . Since the conductor of L'/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} divides m , we obtain $L' \subseteq L_{n_0+1}$. Thus we have shown that L_{n_0+1} is the genus p -class field of k_{n_0+1} . The assertion for L_{n_0} also follows by the same argument. \square

It follows from Lemmas 1, 5, (18), and (17) that if $L_{n_0+\delta}$ is the Hilbert p -class field of $k_{n_0+\delta}$ for $\delta = 0, 1$, the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension over k is a desired \mathbf{Z}_p -extension.

Let $H_{n_0+\delta}^{(p)}$ be the Hilbert p -class field of $k_{n_0+\delta}$ for $\delta = 0, 1$ and σ a generator of $\text{Gal}(k_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$. Then we have

$$(19) \quad \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1}) \simeq \text{Gal}(H_{n_0+1}^{(p)}/k_{n_0+1})/(\sigma - 1),$$

by Lemma 5 (iii). Denote by $\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i, n_0+1}$ the unique prime of k_{n_0+1} lying over \mathfrak{Q}_{i, n_0+1} (Lemma 5 (ii)). If $\{(\bar{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i, n_0+1}, L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r+1\}$ generates $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1})$

over $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]$, then $L_{n_0+1} = H_{n_0+1}^{(p)}$ by (19) and Nakayama's lemma because $\gamma \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}$ ($\gamma \in \Gamma_{n_0+1}, 1 \leq i \leq r+1$) is invariant under the action of σ . Since $H_{n_0}^{(p)}k_{n_0+1} \subseteq H_{n_0+1}^{(p)}$ and $L_{n_0+1} = L_{n_0}k_{n_0+1}$ by (17), if $L_{n_0+1} = H_{n_0+1}^{(p)}$ holds then $L_{n_0} = H_{n_0}^{(p)}$ also holds.

LEMMA 6. *The restriction induces the isomorphisms*

$$\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}} \simeq \text{Gal}(L_0/\mathcal{Q}_N)$$

and

$$\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1})_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}} \simeq \text{Gal}(L_0/k).$$

PROOF. Let M be the intermediate field of $L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}$ with $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/M) = (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1) \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$, γ_{n_0+1} being a generator of Γ_{n_0+1} .

Then $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}} = \text{Gal}(M/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$ and M/\mathcal{Q}_N is an abelian extension. It is obvious that $L_0\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} \subseteq M$. Let $I_p \subseteq \text{Gal}(M/\mathcal{Q}_N)$ be the inertia subgroup for the unique prime of \mathcal{Q}_N lying over p . Then $\text{Gal}(M/\mathcal{Q}_N) = \text{Gal}(M/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}) \times I_p$ and the fixed field of I_p is contained in L_0 . Therefore we have $L_0\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} = M$ and $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}} \simeq \text{Gal}(L_0/\mathcal{Q}_N)$ since $L_0 \cap \mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1} = \mathcal{Q}_N$.

To show the second assertion, it is enough to show $(\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)X = (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)\tilde{X}$ because $(\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)\tilde{X} = \text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/L_0\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})$ by the first assertion. Let $(\bar{x}_i) \in \tilde{X} = \mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]^{\oplus r+1}/\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{n_0+1}$ be any element. Since

$$\left(0, \dots, 0, (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^r x_i - x_{r+1} \right) \right) \in \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{n_0+1},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (20) \quad (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)\overline{(x_i)} &= \overline{((\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)x_i)} \\ &= \overline{\left((\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)x_1, \dots, (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)x_r, (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1) \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right)} \\ &= (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1) \overline{\left(x_1, \dots, x_r, \sum_{i=1}^r x_i \right)} \in (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)X. \end{aligned}$$

Hence $(\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)\tilde{X} \subseteq (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)X$. Thus we have shown $(\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)\tilde{X} = (\gamma_{n_0+1} - 1)X$. □

Let $L_0^{(p)}$ and $L_k^{(p)}$ be the maximal elementary abelian p -subextension of L_0/\mathcal{Q}_N and L_0/k , respectively. Denote by $k^{(p)}$ the intermediate field of k/\mathcal{Q}_N with $[k^{(p)} : \mathcal{Q}_N] = p$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (21) \quad \text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/\mathcal{Q}_N) &\simeq (\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1})_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}})/p \\ &\simeq ((\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1}) \times \text{Gal}(k_{n_0+1}/\mathcal{Q}_{N+n_0+1}))_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}})/p \\ &\simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p)^{\oplus r+1} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemmas 5, 6, (1) and (18). We find that $\text{Gal}(L_k^{(p)}/\mathcal{Q}_N) = \text{Gal}(L_k^{(p)}/k) \times \text{Gal}(k/\mathcal{Q}_N)$ because \mathfrak{l}_i is totally ramified in k/\mathcal{Q}_N and $L_k^{(p)}/k$ is unramified extension by Lemma 5. Hence $L_k^{(p)} = kL_0^{(p)}$ and

$$(22) \quad (\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1})_{\Gamma_{n_0+1}})/p \simeq \text{Gal}(L_k^{(p)}/k) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)})$$

by Lemma 6, where isomorphisms in the above are given by the restriction. It follows from (22), the fact $(\gamma\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}, L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1})|_{L_0^{(p)}} = (\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_i, L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)})$, $\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_i$ being the unique prime of $k^{(p)}$ lying over \mathfrak{l}_i , and Nakayama's lemma that if $\{(\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_i, L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r+1\}$ generates $\text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)})$, then $\text{Gal}(L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1})$ is generated by $\{(\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}, L_{n_0+1}/k_{n_0+1}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r+1\}$ over $\mathbf{Z}/p^{m_0}[\Gamma_{n_0+1}]$, hence $L_{n_0+\delta}$ is the Hilbert p -class field of $k_{n_0+\delta}$ ($\delta = 0, 1$) as mentioned above. Let I_i ($1 \leq i \leq r+1$) be the inertia subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/\mathcal{Q}_N)$ for the prime \mathfrak{l}_i . Then we have $I_i \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p$ and

$$(23) \quad \text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/\mathcal{Q}_N) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r+1} I_i$$

because \mathfrak{l}_i ramifies in $k^{(p)}$ by Lemma 5 and $\text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/\mathcal{Q}_N) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p)^{\oplus r+1}$ by (21). Hence $L^{(p)}/\mathcal{Q}_N$ is the composite of the abelian extensions $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_i)/\mathcal{Q}_N$ ($1 \leq i \leq r+1$) of degree p with conductor \mathfrak{l}_i , and the restriction induces the isomorphism

$$(24) \quad \text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)}) \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_i)/\mathcal{Q}_N).$$

Assume the following condition on \mathfrak{l}_i ($1 \leq i \leq r+1$):

CONDITION B. The prime \mathfrak{l}_2 is inert in $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_1)$. If $3 \leq i \leq r+1$, then the prime \mathfrak{l}_i splits in $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_j)$ for all j such that $1 \leq j \leq i-2$ and is inert in $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_{i-1})$.

Then, under isomorphism (24),

$$\left(\frac{L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)}}{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_2}\right) \mapsto (\sigma_1, \dots), \quad \sigma_1 \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_1)/\mathcal{Q}_N), \sigma_1 \neq 1,$$

$$\left(\frac{L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)}}{\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_i}\right) \mapsto (1, \dots, 1, \sigma_{i-1}, \dots), \quad \sigma_{i-1} \in \text{Gal}(\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_{i-1})/\mathcal{Q}_N), \sigma_{i-1} \neq 1 \quad (3 \leq i \leq r+1).$$

Therefore $\{(\bar{\mathfrak{l}}_i, L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq r+1\}$ generates $\text{Gal}(L_0^{(p)}/k^{(p)})$, which implies $L_{n_0+\delta} = H_{n_0+\delta}^{(p)}$ ($\delta = 0, 1$), under Condition B. Condition B is equivalent to the following condition on $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}$:

CONDITION B'. The prime $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{2,n_0+1}$ is inert in $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_1)\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$. If $3 \leq i \leq r+1$, then the prime $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}$ splits in $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_j)\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ for all j such that $1 \leq j \leq i-2$ and is inert in $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_{i-1})\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$.

By virtue of Lemma 3, we can choose inductively the degree one primes $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}$ of $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$ from $i = 1$ to $r+1$ such that $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}$'s satisfy Conditions A and B', and that $\tilde{\mathfrak{Q}}_{i,n_0+1}$'s are lying over distinct rational primes, because $\mathcal{Q}_N^{(p)}(\mathfrak{l}_j)\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$'s ($1 \leq j \leq i-1$) and $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}(\sqrt[p^{m_0}]{\mathcal{O}_{N+n_0+1}^\times})$ are independent over $\tilde{\mathcal{Q}}_{N+n_0+1}$. Thus the cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension over totally real number field k given in Lemma 5 is a desired \mathbf{Z}_p -extension. □

4. Proof of Theorem 2.

Let p be a given odd prime number and F an imaginary quadratic field such that the class number of F is prime to p and the prime p is inert in F . Such field F certainly exists by Horie [4]. Denote by F_∞/F the anti-cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_p -extension, namely, the unique \mathbf{Z}_p -extension over F which is non-abelian (dihedral) Galois extension over \mathbf{Q} . We write F_n for the n -th layer of F_∞/F and put $\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F_n/F)$. It follows from Iwasawa [7, section 2] (see also [10, chapter 13, Theorem 5.2]) that if a prime l of F with $l \nmid p$ is inert in F/\mathbf{Q} , then l decomposes completely in F_∞ . Let l_i ($1 \leq i \leq m + 1$) be distinct rational primes such that

$$(25) \quad l_i \text{ is inert in } F \text{ and } l_i \equiv 1 \pmod{p},$$

and put $f = \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} l_i$. For $n \geq 0$, we define the field L_n to be the maximal elementary abelian p -extension field over F_n whose conductor divides f . It follows from the assumption on F and Iwasawa [5] that the class number of F_n is prime to p . Then we have the following exact sequence of Γ_n -modules by class field theory:

$$(26) \quad \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_n/f)^\times/p \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L_n/F_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{O}_n denotes the integer ring of F_n . Since the prime l_i of F splits completely in F_n , we can see that $(\mathcal{O}_n/f)^\times/p \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]^{\oplus m+1}$ as in the proof of Theorem 1. Then, by taking the projective limit of exact sequence (26) for $n \geq 0$, we get the exact sequence of $A_{F_\infty/F}$ -modules

$$(27) \quad \varprojlim (\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) \rightarrow (A_{F_\infty/F}/p)^{\oplus m+1} \rightarrow \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_\infty) \rightarrow 0,$$

where the projective limit $\varprojlim (\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p)$ is taken with respect to the norm maps and $L_\infty = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} L_n$.

LEMMA 7. We have $\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]/\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \gamma$, and $\varprojlim (\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) \simeq A_{F_\infty/F}/p$.

PROOF. We assume that $\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \simeq \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]/(\gamma_n - 1)^{a_i}$ for $1 \leq a_i \leq p^n$. Then $\sum_{i=1}^s a_i = \dim_{\mathbf{Z}/p} \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p = p^n - 1$. From the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n^\times \xrightarrow{p} \mathcal{O}_n^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \rightarrow 0$$

and the fact that $\hat{H}^{2i}(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times) = 0$ ($i \in \mathbf{Z}$) (This follows from the fact that $\hat{H}^0(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times) = \mathcal{O}_0^\times/(\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \gamma)\mathcal{O}_n^\times = 0$ since $\#\mathcal{O}_0^\times$ is finite and prime to p), we get the following exact cohomology sequence:

$$(28) \quad 0 \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times) \xrightarrow{p} H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times) \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) \rightarrow 0.$$

One can show that $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times) \simeq P_n^{\Gamma_n}/P_0$, P_n being the principal ideal group of F_n . Because the class number h_n of F_n is prime to p and the prime \mathfrak{P}_n of F_n lying over p is the unique ramified prime in F_n/F , which is totally ramified, $P_n^{\Gamma_n}/P_0$ (note that $P_n^{\Gamma_n}/P_0$ has p -power order) is generated by the class of $\mathfrak{P}_n^{h_n}$, whose order is p^n . Hence $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^n$, which implies $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p$ by (28). Since $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]/(\gamma_n - 1)^{a_i})$ and $H^1(\Gamma_n, \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]/(\gamma_n - 1)^{a_i}) = 0$ if and only if $a_i = p^n$, we have $\mathcal{O}_n^\times \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]/(\gamma_n - 1)^{p^n-1} = \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]/\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \gamma$.

By the similar way to the above, we can show that $H^1(F_t/F_n, \mathcal{O}_t^\times) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^{t-n}$ for $0 \leq n \leq t$. Then it follows from the fact $\#H^1(F_t/F_n, \mathcal{O}_t^\times)/\#\hat{H}^0(F_t/F_n, \mathcal{O}_t^\times) = [F_t : F_n] = p^{t-n}$ that $\hat{H}^0(F_t/F_n, \mathcal{O}_t^\times) = 0$, which implies the norm map $\mathcal{O}_t^\times/p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p$ is surjective. Hence we have $\varprojlim \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \simeq \varprojlim \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n]/\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma_n} \gamma \simeq \varprojlim \mathbf{Z}/p[\Gamma_n] \simeq \mathcal{A}_{F_\infty/F}/p$, where the projective limit in the second and third terms are taken with respect to the maps induced by the natural surjection $\Gamma_t \rightarrow \Gamma_n$ for $0 \leq n \leq t$. \square

Let k/F be a degree p subextension of L_0/F in which all the primes l_i ($1 \leq i \leq m + 1$) ramify. Then we will see that L_n/k_n is an unramified abelian p -extension, where $k_n = kF_n$. If L_n is the Hilbert p -class field of k_n for all $n \geq 0$ and the map $\varprojlim (\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{F_\infty/F}/p)^{\oplus m+1}$ in (27) is injective, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} X_{k_\infty} &= \text{Gal}(L_\infty/k_\infty) \sim \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_\infty) \simeq \text{coker}(\varprojlim (\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) \rightarrow (\mathcal{A}_{F_\infty/F}/p)^{\oplus m+1}) \\ &\sim (\mathcal{A}_{F_\infty/F}/p)^{\oplus m} \simeq (\mathcal{A}_{k_\infty/k}/p)^{\oplus m} \end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 7, where $k_\infty = kF_\infty$ and \sim denotes a pseudo-isomorphism. In what follows, we shall choose the primes l_i and the field k so that the above conditions are satisfied.

For a prime $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, we denote by $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l)$ the unique subfield of $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_l)$ of degree p . Now we impose the following condition on primes l_i :

CONDITION. p is inert in $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_1)$ and splits in $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_i)$ for $2 \leq i \leq m + 1$. If $2 \leq i \leq m + 1$, then l_i splits in $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_j)$ for all j such that $1 \leq j \leq i - 2$ and is inert in $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_{i-1})$.

LEMMA 8. *There exist distinct prime numbers l_i ($1 \leq i \leq m + 1$) satisfying (25) and the above condition.*

PROOF. We first note that p is inert in $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l)$ if and only if $p^{(l-1)/p} \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ for a prime number $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Hence if the decomposition subgroup of $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{p})/\mathbf{Q})$ for a prime of $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{p})$ lying over l is $\text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{p})/\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p))$ (resp. trivial) then $l \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ and p is inert (resp. splits) in $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l)$. Applying the Čebotarev density theorem to $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{p})F/\mathbf{Q}$, we can choose prime l_1 satisfying (25) and the Condition since $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{p})$ and F are independent over \mathbf{Q} . We can choose the prime l_i ($2 \leq i \leq m + 1$) satisfying (25) and the Condition inductively from $i = 2$ to $m + 1$ by applying the Čebotarev density theorem to $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{p})F\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_1) \cdots \mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_{i-1})/\mathbf{Q}$ since $\mathbf{Q}(\mu_p, \sqrt[p]{p})$, F and $\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_j)$'s ($1 \leq j \leq i - 1$) are independent over \mathbf{Q} . \square

We assume that distinct prime numbers l_i ($1 \leq i \leq m + 1$) satisfy the Condition and (25). It follows from (26) for $n = 0$ that $L_0 = F\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_1) \cdots \mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_{m+1})$ and $\text{Gal}(L_0/F) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{m+1} I_i$ where $I_i \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p$ is the inertia subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L_0/F)$ for the prime l_i . Since the decomposition subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L_0/F)$ for the prime p is I_{l_1} by the Condition, there exists an intermediate field k of L_0/F with $[k : F] = p$ such that p is inert and l_i ramifies in k/F for any i . Then k/\mathbf{Q} is a cyclic extension of degree $2p$ and k has the unique prime lying over p .

LEMMA 9. (i) L_n is the genus p -class field of k_n/F_n ($k_n := F_n k$).
 (ii) The restriction induces $\text{Gal}(L_n/k_n)_{\Gamma_n} \simeq \text{Gal}(L_0/k)$.

PROOF. (i) Since the prime l_i ramifies in L_0/F and $L_0 \subseteq L_n$, every prime of F_n lying over l_i ramifies in k_n/F_n . Hence L_n/k_n is an unramified p -extension, because in L_n/F_n , the ramification index of a prime of F_n lying over l_i is p . By a similar argument to the proof of Lemma 5 (iii), we have the assertion.

(ii) Let M be the maximal intermediate field of L_n/k_n which is abelian over k . Then $\text{Gal}(L_n/k_n)_{\Gamma_n} \simeq \text{Gal}(M/k_n)$ and M/F is abelian. We shall show that $M = k_n L_0$. $k_n L_0 \subseteq M$ is obvious. Denote by I_p the inertia subgroup of $\text{Gal}(M/k)$ for the unique prime of k lying over p . Then $\text{Gal}(M/k) = I_p \times \text{Gal}(M/k_n)$ and the fixed subfield M^{I_p} of M by I_p is contained in L_0 , because M^{I_p}/k is unramified p -extension, M/F is abelian, and L_0 is the genus p -class field of k/F by (i). Hence it follows that $M \subseteq L_0 k_n$. Therefore we have $M = L_0 k_n$ and $\text{Gal}(L_n/k_n)_{\Gamma_n} \simeq \text{Gal}(M/k_n) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_0/k)$. \square

By virtue of Lemma 9 and Nakayama's lemma, we find that if $\{(l_i, L_0/k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m+1\}$ generates $\text{Gal}(L_0/k)$, then L_n is the Hilbert p -class field of k_n as in the proof of Theorem 1, where l_i denotes the unique prime of k lying over l_i . It follows from the Condition on l_i 's and the fact $L_0 = k\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_1) \cdots \mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_m)$ that $\{(l_i, L_0/k) \mid 1 \leq i \leq m+1\}$ generates $\text{Gal}(L_0/k)$. Therefore L_n is the Hilbert p -class field of k_n for all $n \geq 0$.

Next we shall show the injectivity of the map $\varinjlim \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \rightarrow (A_{F_\infty/F}/p)^{\oplus m+1}$ in (27). It is enough to show that the map $\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_n/l_1)^\times/p$ is injective for all $n \geq 0$. Let $F_n^{(p)}(l_1)$ be the maximal elementary abelian p -extension field over F_n whose conductor divides l_1 . Then we get the exact sequence

$$(29) \quad \mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_n/l_1)^\times/p \rightarrow \text{Gal}(F_n^{(p)}(l_1)/F_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

It follows from the above exact sequence for $n=0$ that $[F_0^{(p)}(l_1) : F_0] = p$ and the prime l_1 ramifies in $F_0^{(p)}(l_1)/F_0$. Hence $F_n^{(p)}(l_1)/F_n F_0^{(p)}(l_1)$ is an unramified abelian p -extension. The class number of $F_0^{(p)}(l_1)$ is prime to p because the class number of F is prime to p and l_1 is the only ramified prime in $F_0^{(p)}(l_1)/F$ (see Iwasawa [5]). Since there is the unique prime of $F_0^{(p)}(l_1) = F\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_1)$ lying above p by the Condition, which is the unique prime ramifying in $F_n F_0^{(p)}(l_1)/F_0^{(p)}(l_1)$, the class number of $F_n F_0^{(p)}(l_1)$ is prime to p by Iwasawa's result mentioned above. Hence we have $F_n^{(p)}(l_1) = F_n F_0^{(p)}(l_1)$ and $\text{Gal}(F_n^{(p)}(l_1)/F_n) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p$, which implies the injectivity of the map $\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p \rightarrow (\mathcal{O}_n/l_1)^\times/p$ by (29) and the fact $\#((\mathcal{O}_n/l_1)^\times/p) / \#(\mathcal{O}_n^\times/p) = p$.

Thus we have shown that k_∞/k is a \mathbf{Z}_p -extension with $X_{k_\infty} \sim (A_{k_\infty/k}/p)^{\oplus m}$.

Finally we shall show that $X_{k_\infty} \simeq (A_{k_\infty/k}/p)^{\oplus m}$. Since $pX_{k_\infty} = p \varinjlim \text{Gal}(L_n/k_n) = 0$, X_{k_∞} is a finitely generated module over the principal ideal domain $A_{k_\infty/k}/p$. Because $X_{k_\infty} \sim (A_{k_\infty/k}/p)^{\oplus m}$, we have

$$(30) \quad X_{k_\infty} \simeq (A_{k_\infty/k}/p)^{\oplus m} \oplus \text{Tor}_{A_{k_\infty/k}/p} X_{k_\infty}$$

as $A_{k_\infty/k}/p$ -modules. From the fact that there is the unique prime of k lying over p and k_∞/k is a totally ramified at that prime, we have $\text{Gal}(L_0/k) \simeq X_{k_\infty/k}/(\gamma_\infty - 1)$, where γ_∞ is a topological generator of $\text{Gal}(k_\infty/k)$ (see [6]). Hence it follows from $\text{Gal}(L_0/k) = \text{Gal}(F\mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_1) \cdots \mathbf{Q}^{(p)}(l_{m+1})/k) \simeq (\mathbf{Z}/p)^{\oplus m}$ and (30) that $\text{Tor}_{A_{k_\infty/k}/p} X_{k_\infty} = 0$. Thus we have $X_{k_\infty} \simeq (A_{k_\infty/k}/p)^{\oplus m}$ as $A_{k_\infty/k}$ -modules. \square

EXAMPLE. Put $p=3$, $F = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$, and let F_∞/F be the anti-cyclotomic \mathbf{Z}_3 -extension. Then p is inert in F and the class number of F is prime to p . Put

$f_1 = 7 \cdot 19$, $f_2 = 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 43$, $f_3 = 7 \cdot 19 \cdot 43 \cdot 1597$, and denote by M_s/\mathbf{Q} ($s = 1, 2, 3$) a cubic cyclic extension of conductor f_s such that the prime 3 is inert in M_s . Then it holds that $\mu(M_s F_\infty/M_s F) = s$ for $s = 1, 2, 3$.

5. Application to a certain capitulation problem.

In this section we shall apply Theorem 1 to a certain capitulation problem. Let F be a number field with the ideal class group $\text{Cl}(F)$. Then the principal ideal theorem says that:

PRINCIPAL IDEAL THEOREM. *Every ideal of F capitulates in the Hilbert class field H_F of F , namely, the natural map $\text{Cl}(F) \rightarrow \text{Cl}(H_F)$ is the zero map.*

However it happens that all the ideals of F capitulate in a proper subextension field of H_F/F . Iwasawa constructed an infinite family of such number fields F by using the theory of \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions in [9]:

THEOREM (Iwasawa [8], [9]). *For any prime number p , there exist infinitely many number fields F with the following properties:*

- (i) $\text{Cl}(F)(p) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^r$ with $r \geq 2$, $\text{Cl}(F)(p)$ being the Sylow p -subgroup of $\text{Cl}(F)$,
- (ii) $\text{Cl}(F)(p)$ capitulates in an unramified cyclic extension F'/F of degree p .

In the above theorem, let M be the compositum of F' and the Hilbert l -class fields of F for all the prime numbers $l \neq p$. Then $F \subseteq M \subsetneq H_F$ and $\text{Cl}(F)$ capitulates in M .

In the paper [11], the author showed that for any given number N , there exists a number field F such that $\text{Cl}(F)(p) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^r$ with $r \geq N$ and that F has property (ii) in Iwasawa's theorem. By using the construction of Theorem 1, we further improve the theorem:

THEOREM 3. *For any prime number p and finite abelian p -group A , there exists a number field F with the following properties:*

- (i) $\text{Cl}(F)(p) \simeq A$,
- (ii) $\text{Cl}(F)(p)$ capitulates in an unramified cyclic extension F'/F of degree $\exp(\text{Cl}(F)(p))$, $\exp(\text{Cl}(F)(p))$ being the exponent of $\text{Cl}(F)(p)$.

PROOF. Let p^e be the exponent of A and A' a subgroup of A with $A \simeq A' \oplus \mathbf{Z}/p^e$. By the construction in the proof of Theorem 1 for $X = A'$ with trivial Γ -action, $n_0 = 0$ and $m_0 = e$, we get the cyclic extension k/\mathbf{Q}_N of degree p^e such that $\text{Cl}(k_t)(p) \simeq A'$ for any $t \geq 0$ and the Hilbert p -class field $H_k^{(p)}$ of k is the genus p -class field L_0 of k/\mathbf{Q}_N (recall Lemma 5 (iii)). Let F be an intermediate field of k_e/\mathbf{Q}_N such that $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbf{Q}_N) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/p^e$ and $F \cap k = F \cap \mathbf{Q}_{N+e} = \mathbf{Q}_N$. Then we can see that k_e/F is an unramified cyclic extension of degree p^e . Denote by $H_{k_e}^{(p)}$ the Hilbert p -class field of k_e . Then $H_{k_e}^{(p)} = L_0 k_e = L_0 \mathbf{Q}_{N+e}$, hence $H_{k_e}^{(p)}/\mathbf{Q}_N$ is an abelian extension since L_0/\mathbf{Q}_N is abelian. Therefore $H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F$ is an unramified abelian p -extension. Consequently, $H_{k_e}^{(p)}$ is the Hilbert p -class field of F . Since $H_{k_e}^{(p)} = L_0 F$ and $L_0 \cap F = \mathbf{Q}_N$, we have $\text{Cl}(F)(p) \simeq \text{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F) = \text{Gal}(L_0 F/F) \simeq \text{Gal}(L_0/\mathbf{Q}_N) \simeq A' \oplus \mathbf{Z}/p^e \simeq A$. Hence the field F satisfies condition (i).

Next we shall show that the field F satisfies condition (ii). From class field theory, we get the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Cl}(k_e)(p) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/k_e) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{transfer} \\ \mathrm{Cl}(F)(p) & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F) \simeq A, \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are the reciprocity maps, the left vertical map is the natural map and the right vertical map is the transfer map from $\mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F)^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F)$ to $\mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/k_e)^{\mathrm{ab}} = \mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/k_e)$. Since the transfer map $\mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/k_e)$ is equal to the multiplication-by- p^e -map when we regard $\mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/k_e)$ as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F)$, it is equal to the zero-map by $\mathrm{Gal}(H_{k_e}^{(p)}/F) \simeq A$. Hence the natural map $\mathrm{Cl}(F)(p) \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}(k_e)(p)$ is also the zero-map. Therefore $\mathrm{Cl}(F)(p)$ capitulates in an unramified cyclic extension k_e/F of degree $p^e = \exp(\mathrm{Cl}(F)(p))$. \square

References

- [1] B. Ferrero and L. C. Washington, The Iwasawa invariant μ_p vanishes for abelian number fields, *Ann. of Math.* (2), **109** (1979), 377–395.
- [2] T. Fukuda, Remarks on \mathbf{Z}_p -extensions of number fields, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **70** (1994), 264–266.
- [3] R. Greenberg, On the Iwasawa invariants of totally real number fields, *Amer. J. Math.*, **98** (1976), 263–284.
- [4] K. Horie, A note on basic Iwasawa λ -invariants of imaginary quadratic fields, *Invent. Math.*, **88** (1987), 31–38.
- [5] K. Iwasawa, A note on class numbers of algebraic number fields, *Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg*, **20** (1956), 257–258.
- [6] K. Iwasawa, On Γ -extensions of algebraic number fields, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **65** (1959), 183–226.
- [7] K. Iwasawa, On the μ -invariants of \mathbf{Z}_l -extensions, *Number Theory, Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra*, in honor of Y. Akizuki, Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1973, 1–11.
- [8] K. Iwasawa, A note on capitulation problem for number fields I, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **65** (1989), 59–61.
- [9] K. Iwasawa, A note on capitulation problem for number fields II, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci.*, **65** (1989), 183–186.
- [10] S. Lang, *Cyclotomic Fields I and II* (2nd edition), *Grad. Texts in Math.*, **121**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [11] M. Ozaki, Iwasawa invariants of p -extensions of totally real number fields, preprint.
- [12] L. C. Washington, *Introduction to Cyclotomic Fields* (2nd. edition), *Grad. Texts in Math.*, **83**, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1997.
- [13] O. Yahagi, Construction of Number fields with prescribed l -class groups, *Tokyo J. Math.*, **1** (1978), 275–283.

Manabu OZAKI

Department of Mathematics
 Faculty of Science and Engineering
 Shimane University
 Nishikawatsu-Cho 1060
 Matsue 690-8504
 Japan
 E-mail: ozaki@math.shimane-u.ac.jp