

## Reduction of generalized Calabi-Yau structures

By Yasufumi NITTA

(Received Nov. 16, 2006)

(Revised Feb. 11, 2007)

**Abstract.** A generalized Calabi-Yau structure is a geometrical structure on a manifold which generalizes both the concept of the Calabi-Yau structure and that of the symplectic one. In view of a result of Lin and Tolman in generalized complex cases, we introduce in this paper the notion of a generalized moment map for a compact Lie group action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold and construct a reduced generalized Calabi-Yau structure on the reduced space. As an application, we show some relationship between generalized moment maps and the Bergman kernels, and prove the Duistermaat-Heckman formula for a torus action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold.

### 1. Introduction.

Generalized Calabi-Yau structures introduced by Hitchin [7] were developed by Gualtieri [4] as a special case of generalized complex structures. It is a geometrical structure defined by a differential form, which generalizes both the concept of the Calabi-Yau structure – a non vanishing holomorphic form of the top degree – and that of the symplectic structure. In this paper, we consider a compact Lie group action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold.

A compact Lie group action on a generalized complex manifold was studied by Lin and Tolman in [8]. In [8], they introduced a notion of generalized moment maps for a compact Lie group action on a generalized complex manifold by generalizing the notion of moment maps for a compact Lie group action on a symplectic manifold. Using this definition, they constructed a generalized complex structure on the reduced space, which is natural up to a transformation by an exact  $B$ -field.

In the present paper, we apply the definition of a generalized moment map to a compact Lie group action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold, and construct a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on the reduced space. Moreover, we shall show that the reduced generalized Calabi-Yau structure is unique and has the same type as the original generalized Calabi-Yau structure (cf. Section 3).

**THEOREM A.** *Let a compact Lie group  $G$  act on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold  $(M, \varphi)$  in a Hamiltonian way with a generalized moment map  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ . If  $G$  acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , then the quotient space  $M_0 = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$  is a smooth manifold, and inherits a unique generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\tilde{\varphi}$  which satisfies*

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 37J15; Secondary 14J32.

*Key Words and Phrases.* generalized Calabi-Yau structures, moment maps, Bergman kernels, the Duistermaat-Heckman formula.

$$p_0^* \tilde{\varphi} = i_0^* \varphi,$$

where  $i_0 : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion and  $p_0 : \mu^{-1}(0) \rightarrow M_0$  is the natural projection. Moreover, for each  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ ,

$$\text{type}(\varphi_p) = \text{type}(\tilde{\varphi}_{[p]}).$$

The detailed definitions of the theorem are in Section 3. In particular, in the case that the generalized Calabi-Yau structure is induced by a symplectic structure, the reduced form is induced by the reduced symplectic form. In addition we construct an example of a Hamiltonian action on a generalized Calabi-Yau structure which is not induced by either a symplectic structure or a Calabi-Yau one. We then show some relationship between generalized moment maps and Bergman kernels (cf. Example 3.3.2 and 3.3.3 in Section 3).

We next consider that a generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\varphi$  on a connected manifold  $M$  which has constant type  $k$ . Then there exists a natural volume form  $dm = ((\sqrt{-1})^n / (2^{n-k})) \langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle$  defined by  $\varphi$ , which generalizes the Liouville form on a symplectic manifold. Indeed, if  $\varphi$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure induced by a symplectic structure  $\omega$ , then  $dm$  coincides with the Liouville form for the symplectic structure  $\omega$ . Further by assuming that a compact torus  $T$  acts on  $M$  effectively. Under the assumptions, we shall show the Duistermaat-Heckman formula for the volume form  $dm$  (cf. Section 4).

**THEOREM B.** *Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional connected generalized Calabi-Yau manifold which has constant type  $k$ , and suppose that compact  $l$ -torus  $T$  acts on  $M$  effectively and in a Hamiltonian way. In addition, we assume that the generalized moment map  $\mu$  is proper. Then the pushforward  $\mu_* (dm)$  of the natural volume form  $dm$  under  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  and the Radon-Nikodym derivative  $f$  can be written by*

$$f(a) = \int_{M_a} dm_a = \text{vol}(M_a)$$

for each regular value  $a \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  of  $\mu$ , and  $dm_a$  denotes the measure defined by the natural volume form on the reduced space  $M_a = \mu^{-1}(a)/T$ .

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce background materials and the definition of generalized Calabi-Yau structures. In Section 3 we define the notion of generalized moment maps for a Lie group action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold, and construct a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on the reduced space. In addition, we discuss some relations between generalized moment maps and Bergman kernels. At last Section, we proved the Duistermaat-Heckman formula for a Hamiltonian torus action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold.

## 2. Generalized Calabi-Yau structures.

In this section we recall the definition of generalized Calabi-Yau structures. For the detail, see [4] and [7].

### 2.1. Clifford algebras and the spin representation.

Let  $V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $n$ , and  $V^*$  be the dual space of  $V$ . Then the direct sum  $V \oplus V^*$  admits a natural indefinite metric of signature  $(n, n)$  defined by

$$(X + \alpha, Y + \beta) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta(X) + \alpha(Y))$$

for  $X + \alpha, Y + \beta \in V \oplus V^*$ . Let  $T(V \oplus V^*) = \bigoplus_{p=0}^{\infty}(\otimes^p(V \oplus V^*))$  be the tensor algebra of  $V \oplus V^*$ , and define  $\mathcal{I}$  to be the two-sided ideal generated by  $\{(X + \alpha) \otimes (X + \alpha) - (X + \alpha, X + \alpha) \mid X + \alpha \in V \oplus V^*\}$ . Then we call the quotient algebra

$$CL(V \oplus V^*) = T(V \oplus V^*)/\mathcal{I}$$

the Clifford algebra of  $V \oplus V^*$ . For each  $E, F \in CL(V \oplus V^*)$ ,  $E \cdot F$  denotes the multiplication induced by the tensor product.

Consider the exterior algebra  $\wedge^*V^*$  and a linear mapping  $V \oplus V^* \rightarrow \text{End}(\wedge^*V^*)$  defined by

$$(X + \alpha) \cdot \varphi = \iota_X \varphi + \alpha \wedge \varphi.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} (X + \alpha)^2 \cdot \varphi &= \iota_X(\alpha \wedge \varphi) + \alpha \wedge \iota_X \varphi \\ &= (\iota_X \alpha) \varphi \\ &= (X + \alpha, X + \alpha) \varphi, \end{aligned}$$

so it can be extended to a representation of the Clifford algebra  $CL(V \oplus V^*) \rightarrow \text{End}(\wedge^*V^*)$ . This is called the spin representation, and a element  $\varphi \in \wedge^*V^*$  is called a spinor.

We define  $Pin(V \oplus V^*)$  and  $Spin(V \oplus V^*)$ , subgroups of the group consists of invertible elements of  $CL(V \oplus V^*)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} Pin(V \oplus V^*) &= \{E_1 \cdots E_k \mid k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, (E_i, E_i) = \pm 1\}, \\ Spin(V \oplus V^*) &= \{E_1 \cdots E_{2k} \mid k \in \mathbf{N} \cup \{0\}, (E_i, E_i) = \pm 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

we call  $Pin(V \oplus V^*)$  the pin group, and  $Spin(V \oplus V^*)$  the spin group. The following proposition says a geometrical meaning of the pin and spin group.

PROPOSITION 2.1.1 ([1], [4]). *The pin group and the spin group have following short exact sequences.*

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow Pin(V \oplus V^*) \longrightarrow O(V \oplus V^*) \longrightarrow 1 \\ 1 &\longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z} \longrightarrow Spin(V \oplus V^*) \longrightarrow SO(V \oplus V^*) \longrightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Spin_0(V \oplus V^*)$  denote the identity component of  $Spin(V \oplus V^*)$ . Then  $\wedge^*V^*$  has a  $Spin_0(V \oplus V^*)$ -invariant bilinear form defined by

$$\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle = (\sigma(\varphi) \wedge \psi)_n,$$

where  $(\ )_n$  indicates taking the  $n$ -th degree component of the form, and  $\sigma : \wedge^*V^* \longrightarrow \wedge^*V^*$  is an anti-homomorphism on  $\wedge^*V^*$  defined by

$$\sigma(\varphi_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_k) = \varphi_k \wedge \cdots \wedge \varphi_1$$

for each  $\varphi_1, \dots, \varphi_k \in \wedge^1V^*$ .

**2.2. Pure spinors and generalized Calabi-Yau structures on a vector space.**

Given a spinor  $\varphi \in \wedge^*V^*$ , we define the annihilator of  $\varphi$  by

$$E_\varphi = \{X + \alpha \in V \oplus V^* \mid (X + \alpha) \cdot \varphi = 0\}.$$

We also define the annihilator  $E_\varphi \subset (V \oplus V^*) \otimes \mathbf{C}$  of a complex spinor  $\varphi \in \wedge^*V^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$  in a similar way. Since an element  $X + \alpha \in E_\varphi$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} (X + \alpha, X + \alpha)\varphi &= (X + \alpha)^2 \cdot \varphi \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

we see that if  $\varphi$  is a non-zero spinor or a complex spinor, then  $E_\varphi$  is isotropic with respect to the natural metric on  $(V \oplus V^*) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ . In particular, we have  $\dim E_\varphi \leq n$ .

DEFINITION 2.2.1. A spinor  $\varphi \in \wedge^*V^*$  is called pure if  $E_\varphi$  is maximally isotropic, which means that has the dimension equal to  $n$ . A complex spinor  $\varphi \in \wedge^*V^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$  with the maximal isotropic subspace  $E_\varphi$  is called a complex pure spinor.

REMARK 2.2.2. It is known that if  $\varphi \in \wedge^*V^*$  is a pure spinor, then  $\varphi \in \wedge^{\text{ev/od}}V^*$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} \wedge^{\text{ev}} V^* &= \wedge^0V^* \oplus \wedge^2V^* \oplus \cdots, \\ \wedge^{\text{od}} V^* &= \wedge^1V^* \oplus \wedge^3V^* \oplus \cdots, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\varphi \in \wedge^{\text{ev/od}}V^*$  means that  $\varphi$  belongs in either  $\wedge^{\text{ev}}V^*$  or  $\wedge^{\text{od}}V^*$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2.3. The spinor  $1 \in \wedge^0V^*$  is pure, since  $E_1 = V$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2.4. A non-zero vector  $\varphi \in \wedge^n V^*$  is also pure. The annihilator is  $E_\varphi = V^*$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2.5. If  $\varphi$  is a pure spinor on  $V$  and  $B$  is a 2-form, then

$$\exp(B)\varphi = \left(1 + B + \frac{1}{2!}B^2 + \dots\right) \wedge \varphi$$

is also pure. The annihilator is  $E_{\exp(B)\varphi} = \{X + \alpha + \iota_X B \mid X + \alpha \in E_\varphi\}$ , where  $E_\varphi$  is the annihilator of  $\varphi$ .

Gualtieri shows in his thesis [4] that every pure spinor can be written by a complex 2-form and a decomposable complex form as follows.

FACT 2.2.6 ([4]). Let  $\varphi$  be a complex pure spinor on  $V$ . Then there exists a complex 2-form  $B + \sqrt{-1}\omega \in \wedge^2 V^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$  and a complex  $k$ -form  $\Omega$  such that

$$\varphi = \exp(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega)\Omega.$$

Moreover,  $\Omega$  can be written

$$\Omega = \theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^k$$

by some 1-forms  $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^k \in \wedge^1 V^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$ , and  $\omega$  is nondegenerate on a subspace  $W = \{X \in V \mid \iota_X \Omega = 0\}$ .

The degree of the form  $\Omega$  is called the type of the complex pure spinor  $\varphi$  and written by  $\text{type}(\varphi)$ .

Now we give the definition of a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on a real vector space  $V$ .

DEFINITION 2.2.7. Let  $V$  be a real vector space of dimension  $n = 2m$ . A generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $V$  is a complex pure spinor  $\varphi \in \wedge^{\text{ev/od}} V^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$  which satisfies that  $\langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$ .

Fact 2.2.6 tells us if there exists a complex pure spinor on  $V$  which satisfies  $\langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$ , then  $V$  must be even dimensional. The condition  $\langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$  has the following geometrical meaning.

FACT 2.2.8 ([1]). Let  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  be pure spinors. Then they satisfy  $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle \neq 0$  if and only if their annihilators  $E_\varphi$  and  $E_\psi$  satisfy  $E_\varphi \cap E_\psi = \{0\}$ .

For the proof, see III.2.4 in [1].

EXAMPLE 2.2.9. For a symplectic form  $\omega$  on  $V$ , we put

$$\varphi_\omega = \exp \sqrt{-1}\omega.$$

Then we have  $E_{\varphi_\omega} = \{X - \sqrt{-1}\iota_X\omega \mid X \in V \otimes \mathbf{C}\}$  and  $\dim E_{\varphi_\omega} = n$ . Since  $\omega$  is non-degenerate, we have  $\langle \varphi_\omega, \bar{\varphi}_\omega \rangle = ((-2\sqrt{-1})^m/m!)\omega^m \neq 0$ . Hence  $\varphi_\omega$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $V$ . The type of  $\varphi_\omega$  is equal to 0.

EXAMPLE 2.2.10. If  $V$  has a complex structure  $J$ , then for the  $\sqrt{-1}$ -eigenspace  $V^{1,0}$  of  $J^* : V^* \otimes \mathbf{C} \rightarrow V^* \otimes \mathbf{C}$ ,  $\wedge^m V^{1,0}$  is one-dimensional complex vector space. Let  $\Omega$  be a non-zero vector in  $\wedge^m V^{1,0}$ . Then, we have  $E_\Omega = V_{0,1} \oplus V^{1,0}$  and  $\langle \Omega, \bar{\Omega} \rangle = (-1)^m \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega} \neq 0$ . So  $\Omega$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $V$ . The type of  $\Omega$  is equal to  $m$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2.11. Let  $\varphi$  be a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $V$ . For each  $B \in \wedge^2 V^*$ , the previous example shows that  $\exp(B)\varphi$  is pure. Moreover, the bilinear form gives  $\langle \exp(B)\varphi, \overline{\exp(B)\varphi} \rangle = \langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$ . Hence  $\exp(B)\varphi$  is also a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $V$ . The type of  $\exp(B)\varphi$  coincides with that of  $\varphi$ .

EXAMPLE 2.2.12. If  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  are two generalized Calabi-Yau structures on two vector spaces  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , and  $p_1, p_2$  are the projections from the direct sum  $V_1 \oplus V_2$ . Then  $\varphi = p_1^*\varphi_1 \wedge p_2^*\varphi_2$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on the product. The type of  $\varphi$  is equal to the sum  $\text{type}(\varphi_1) + \text{type}(\varphi_2)$ .

**2.3. Generalized Calabi-Yau structures on a manifold.**

Let  $M$  be a smooth manifold of dimension  $2n$ , and consider the direct sum  $TM \oplus T^*M$  of the tangent bundle and the cotangent bundle. Then there is an indefinite metric on the vector bundle  $TM \oplus T^*M$  defined by  $(X + \alpha, Y + \beta) = \frac{1}{2}(\beta(X) + \alpha(Y))$ .

DEFINITION 2.3.1 ([7]). A generalized Calabi-Yau structure on a manifold  $M$  is a closed differential form  $\varphi \in \Omega^{\text{ev/od}} \otimes \mathbf{C}$  which satisfies the following conditions.

- For each  $p \in M$ ,  $\varphi_p$  is a complex pure spinor on  $(T_pM \oplus T_p^*M) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ .
- At each point,  $\langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$ .

REMARK 2.3.2. Generalized Calabi-Yau structures were defined by Hitchin in [7]. If a generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\varphi$  is given, then the annihilator  $E_\varphi$  defines a generalized complex structure in the sense of Hitchin [7]. This shows that a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold is a special case of a generalized complex manifold. For the detail, see Proposition 1 in [7].

EXAMPLE 2.3.3. Let  $M$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional symplectic manifold with the symplectic form  $\omega$ , and put

$$\varphi_\omega = \exp \sqrt{-1}\omega.$$

Then we have  $E_{\varphi_\omega} = \{X - \sqrt{-1}\iota_X\omega \mid X \in T \otimes \mathbf{C}\}$  and  $\langle \varphi_\omega, \bar{\varphi}_\omega \rangle = ((-2\sqrt{-1})^n/n!)\omega^n \neq 0$ . Since  $\omega$  is closed,  $\varphi_\omega$  is also closed. Hence  $\varphi_\omega$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $M$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3.4. Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex manifold with a non-vanishing holomorphic  $n$ -form  $\Omega$ . Then  $\Omega$  is pure since  $E_\Omega = T_{0,1} \oplus T^{1,0}$ . In addition, the bilinear form gives  $\langle \Omega, \bar{\Omega} \rangle = (-1)^n \Omega \wedge \bar{\Omega}$ , which is non-vanishing. Since  $\Omega$  is closed,  $\Omega$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $M$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3.5. If  $B$  is a closed 2-form on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold  $(M, \varphi)$ , then  $\exp(B)\varphi$  is also a closed form. By the previous example,  $\exp(B)\varphi$  is pure and  $\langle \exp(B)\varphi, \overline{\exp(B)\varphi} \rangle \neq 0$  at each point. So  $\exp(B)\varphi$  is also a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $M$ . This is called the  $B$ -field transform of  $\varphi$ .

EXAMPLE 2.3.6. If  $(M_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(M_2, \varphi_2)$  are two generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds and  $p_1, p_2$  are the projections from the product manifold  $M_1 \times M_2$ . Then  $\varphi = p_1^* \varphi_1 \wedge p_2^* \varphi_2$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on the product. In particular, a product manifold of generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds is also a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold.

The local expression of a generalized Calabi-Yau structure is given by the following proposition by Gualtieri [4]. This helps us to prove the Duistermaat-Heckman formula later.

FACT 2.3.7 ([4]). An element of a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold  $(M, \varphi)$  is said to be regular if it has a neighborhood where the type of  $\varphi$  is constant. If  $p \in M$  is regular, then for sufficiently small neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$ , there exists a complex 2-form  $B + \sqrt{-1}\omega \in \Omega^2(U_p) \otimes \mathbf{C}$  such that

$$\varphi = \exp(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega)\varphi_k \text{ on } U_p,$$

where  $k$  is the type of  $\varphi_p$ . Moreover,  $\varphi_k$  can be written

$$\varphi_k = \theta^1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta^k$$

by some 1-forms  $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^k \in \Omega^1(U_p) \otimes \mathbf{C}$ .

### 3. Reduction of generalized Calabi-Yau structures.

#### 3.1. Generalized moment maps.

In this section we define the notion of generalized moment maps for a compact Lie group action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold, and construct a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on the reduced space. The definition of generalized moment maps for generalized complex cases is given by Lin and Tolman [8].

DEFINITION 3.1.1. Let a compact Lie group  $G$  with its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  act on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold  $(M, \varphi)$  preserving  $\varphi$ . A generalized moment map is a smooth function  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  which satisfies

- $\mu$  is  $G$ -equivariant, and
- $\xi_M - \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi$  lies in  $E_\varphi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , where  $\xi_M$  denotes the induced vector field on  $M$  and  $\mu^\xi$  is the smooth function defined by  $\mu^\xi(p) = \mu(p)(\xi)$ .

A  $G$ -action which preserves the generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\varphi$  is called Hamiltonian if a generalized moment map exists.

Here are some examples of generalized moment maps.

EXAMPLE 3.1.2. Let  $G$  act on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  preserving  $\omega$ , and  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  be a moment map. Then  $G$  also preserves the generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\varphi_\omega = \exp \sqrt{-1}\omega$ , and  $\mu$  is also a generalized moment map.

EXAMPLE 3.1.3. Let  $G$  act on a connected Calabi-Yau  $n$ -fold  $(M, \Omega)$ , where  $\Omega$  is a non-vanishing holomorphic  $n$ -form. If the  $G$ -action is Hamiltonian,  $\xi_M$  must be anti-holomorphic for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . However induced vector fields must be real, so we have  $\xi_M = 0$ . In particular, the  $G$ -action is trivial and the generalized moment map is regarded as a linear functional on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.1.4. If  $G$  acts on two generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds  $(M_1, \varphi_1)$  and  $(M_2, \varphi_2)$ , preserving both  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$ . Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are generalized moment maps for these actions. Then the diagonal action of  $G$  on the product manifold  $M_1 \times M_2$  preserves the generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\varphi = p_1^* \varphi_1 \wedge p_2^* \varphi_2$ , where  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  are the projections from the product  $M_1 \times M_2$ . Moreover  $\mu = \mu_1 \circ p_1 + \mu_2 \circ p_2$  is a generalized moment map for this action.

**3.2. Generalized Calabi-Yau structure on the reduced space.**

Let a compact Lie group  $G$  act on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold  $(M, \varphi)$  in a Hamiltonian way with a generalized moment map  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ . Suppose that  $G$  acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Then 0 is a regular value and the quotient space

$$M_0 = \mu^{-1}(0)/G$$

is a manifold. The purpose of 3.2 is to prove Theorem A in Introduction. By restricting to an appropriate neighborhood of  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , we may assume that  $G$  acts freely on  $M$ . The following lemmas are required for the proof of the theorem.

LEMMA 3.2.1. Under the assumptions above, let  $\mathfrak{g}_M$  be the subbundle of  $TM$  generated by the fundamental vector fields  $\xi_M$  for  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $d\mu$  be the subbundle of  $T^*M$  generated by the differential  $d\mu^\eta$  for  $\eta \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Then we have

- (1)  $T_p \mu^{-1}(0) = (d\mu)_p^0$ ,
- (2)  $\ker(p_{0*})_p = (\mathfrak{g}_M)_p$ , and
- (3)  $T_{[p]} M_0 \cong T_p \mu^{-1}(0) / (\mathfrak{g}_M)_p = (d\mu)_p^0 / (\mathfrak{g}_M)_p$ ,

where  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$  and  $(d\mu)_p^0 = \{X \in T_p M \mid (d\mu^\xi)_p(X) = 0 \ (\xi \in \mathfrak{g})\}$  is the annihilator of  $(d\mu)_p$ .

PROOF. For each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , the smooth function  $\mu^\xi$  vanishes on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ . So  $(d\mu^\xi)(X) = 0$  for all  $X \in T_p \mu^{-1}(0)$ . This implies that  $T_p \mu^{-1}(0) \subset (d\mu)_p^0$ . In addition, because  $\dim T_p \mu^{-1}(0) = \dim (d\mu)_p^0$ , the first claim holds. Since  $(\mathfrak{g}_M)_p \subset \ker(p_{0*})_p$  and

$p_0 : \mu^{-1}(0) \longrightarrow M_0$  is a submersion, the second claim holds. Now it is easy to see the last claim.  $\square$

The following lemma will help us to prove that the reduced form does not vanish anywhere.

LEMMA 3.2.2. *Under the assumptions above, let  $\pi : (TM \oplus T^*M) \otimes \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow TM \otimes \mathcal{C}$  be the natural projection. Then we have*

$$\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(T_p\mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \pi(E_\varphi)_p - \dim G$$

for each  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ .

PROOF. For a subspace  $W \subset (TM \oplus T^*M)_p \otimes \mathcal{C}$ , we denote by  $W^\perp$  the annihilator of  $W$  with respect to the natural metric on  $(TM \oplus T^*M)_p \otimes \mathcal{C}$ . Then, since  $E_\varphi$  is maximal isotropic, we have

$$E_\varphi = E_\varphi^\perp, \text{ and } W^\perp \cap (E_\varphi)_p = (W + (E_\varphi)_p)^\perp.$$

If  $X \in (T_p\mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p$ , then it satisfies that

$$X \in \pi(E_\varphi)_p, \text{ and } d\mu^\xi(X) = 0$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Thus we have  $X \in \pi((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})^\perp \cap E_\varphi)_p$ . Conversely, if  $X \in \pi((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})^\perp \cap E_\varphi)_p$ , then we also have  $d\mu^\xi(X) = 0$  for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . So we have  $X \in (T_p\mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p$ . This shows that

$$(T_p\mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p = \pi((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})^\perp \cap E_\varphi)_p.$$

Since the kernel of  $\pi : (TM \oplus T^*M)_p \otimes \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow T_pM \otimes \mathcal{C}$  is equal to  $T_p^*M \otimes \mathcal{C}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})_p^\perp \cap (E_\varphi)_p \cap T_p^*M \otimes \mathcal{C} &= ((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C}) + E_\varphi)_p^\perp \cap T_p^*M \otimes \mathcal{C} \\ &= \pi((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C}) + E_\varphi)_p^0 \\ &= \pi(E_\varphi)_p^0, \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\dim_{\mathcal{C}} \pi((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})^\perp \cap E_\varphi)_p = \dim_{\mathcal{C}} (\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})_p^\perp \cap (E_\varphi)_p - \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \pi(E_\varphi)_p^0.$$

In addition, by  $(\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})_p \cap (E_\varphi)_p = \{0\}$ , we obtain the dimension

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathcal{C}} (\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})_p^\perp \cap (E_\varphi)_p &= \dim_{\mathcal{C}} ((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C}) + E_\varphi)_p^\perp \\ &= \dim M - \dim G. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(T_p\mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p &= \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \pi((\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})^\perp \cap (E_\varphi))_p \\ &= \dim_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathfrak{g}_M \otimes \mathcal{C})_p^\perp \cap (E_\varphi)_p - \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \pi(E_\varphi)_p^0 \\ &= \dim_{\mathcal{C}} \pi(E_\varphi)_p - \dim G, \end{aligned}$$

this completes the proof. □

PROOF OF THEOREM A. For each  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ , we denote by  $(\varphi_s)_p$  the  $s$ -th degree component of  $\varphi_p \in \wedge^{\text{ev/od}} T_p^* M \otimes \mathcal{C}$ . Then, by the definition of the generalized moment map, we have

$$\iota_{\xi_M} \varphi_s - \sqrt{-1} d\mu^\xi \wedge \varphi_{s-2} = 0$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ . Moreover, the identity  $T_p\mu^{-1}(0) = (d\mu)_p^0$  in Lemma 3.2.1 tells us that the  $(s - 1)$ -form  $\iota_{(\xi_M)_p}(\varphi_s)_p$  vanishes on  $T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ . So by identifying the tangent space  $T_{[p]}M_0$  with  $T_p\mu^{-1}(0)/(\mathfrak{g}_M)_p$  (see Lemma 3.2.1, (3)), we obtain a well-defined complex  $s$ -form  $(\tilde{\varphi}_s)_{[p]}$  on  $T_{[p]}M_0$  by

$$(\tilde{\varphi}_s)_{[p]}([X_1], \dots, [X_s]) = (i_0^* \varphi_s)_p(X_1, \dots, X_s),$$

where  $X_1, \dots, X_s \in T_p\mu^{-1}(0)$ . Thus we have a complex form  $(\tilde{\varphi})_{[p]} \in \wedge^{\text{ev/od}} T_{[p]}^* M_0 \otimes \mathcal{C}$  defined by

$$(\tilde{\varphi})_{[p]} = (\tilde{\varphi}_k)_{[p]} + (\tilde{\varphi}_{k+2})_{[p]} + \dots,$$

where  $k$  is the type of  $\varphi_p$ .  $G$ -invariance of the form  $\varphi$  tells us that the definition of  $(\tilde{\varphi})_{[p]}$  does not depend on a representative  $p \in \mu^{-1}(0)$ . So we get the reduced form  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \Omega^{\text{ev/od}} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ . It is clear that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  satisfies that  $p_0^* \tilde{\varphi} = i_0^* \varphi$  and  $d\tilde{\varphi} = 0$ .

Next we shall show that  $(\tilde{\varphi})_{[p]} \neq 0$ . It is sufficient to show that  $(i_0^* \varphi_k)_p \neq 0$ . Suppose that  $\dim M = 2n$  and  $\dim G = l$ . Then Lemma 3.2.2 tells us

$$\dim_{\mathcal{C}}(T_p\mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p = 2n - k - l.$$

So we can take a basis

$$e_1, \dots, e_{2n-k-l}, u_1, \dots, u_k, v_1, \dots, v_l$$

of  $T_p M \otimes \mathcal{C}$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-k-l}, u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  is a basis of  $T_p\mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathcal{C}$ , and  $\{e_1, \dots, e_{2n-k-l}, v_1, \dots, v_l\}$  is a basis of  $\pi(E_\varphi)$ . Since  $(\varphi_k)_p \neq 0$ , so we have

$$(\varphi_k)_p(u_1, \dots, u_k) \neq 0.$$

This shows that  $(i_0^* \varphi_k)_p \neq 0$ .

Now we say that an element  $\tilde{X} + \tilde{\alpha} \in (TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0)_{[p]} \otimes \mathbf{C}$  satisfies the compatibility condition if there exists  $X \in T_p \mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathbf{C}$  and  $\alpha \in T_p^* M \otimes \mathbf{C}$  such that  $(p_{0*})_p X = \tilde{X}$ ,  $p_0^* \tilde{\alpha} = i_0^* \alpha$ , and that  $(i_{0*})_p(X) + \alpha \in (E_\varphi)_p$ . We denote by  $E_0$  the set of elements  $\tilde{X} + \tilde{\alpha} \in (TM_0 \oplus T^*M_0)_{[p]} \otimes \mathbf{C}$  which satisfy the compatibility condition. Then, for each  $\tilde{X} + \tilde{\alpha} \in E_0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^*(\iota_{\tilde{X}} \tilde{\varphi} + \tilde{\alpha} \wedge \tilde{\varphi}) &= i_0^*(\iota_{(i_{0*})X} \varphi + \alpha \wedge \varphi) \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So we can see  $E_0 \subset E_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  because  $p_0$  is a submersion. Moreover, since  $E_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  is isotropic, we have  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} E_0 \leq \dim_{\mathbf{C}} E_{\tilde{\varphi}} \leq 2(n-l)$ . Let us show the equality  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}} E_0 = 2(n-l)$ . Since  $\dim_{\mathbf{C}}(T_p \mu^{-1}(0) \otimes \mathbf{C}) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p = 2n - k - l$ , we can take

$$X_1 + \alpha_1, \dots, X_{2n-l-k} + \alpha_{2n-l-k} \in E_\varphi,$$

which are linearly independent and  $X_i \in T_p \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p$  for  $i = 1, \dots, 2n - l - k$ . Since

$$\iota_{\xi_M} \alpha_i = (\alpha_i, \xi_M) = (X_i + \alpha_i, \xi_M - d\mu^\xi) = 0$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\alpha_i$  descends to a form  $\tilde{\alpha}_i \in \wedge^{\text{ev/od}} T_{[p]}^* M_0 \otimes \mathbf{C}$ . If we take

$$\tilde{X}_i = (p_{0*})_p X_i,$$

then we have  $\tilde{X}_i + \tilde{\alpha}_i \in E_0$ . Furthermore, since  $\ker(p_{0*})_p = (\mathfrak{g}_M)_p$  has dimension  $l$ , and it is contained in  $T_p \mu^{-1}(0) \cap \pi(E_\varphi)_p$ , so we may assume that

$$\tilde{X}_1 + \tilde{\alpha}_1, \dots, \tilde{X}_{2(n-l)-k} + \tilde{\alpha}_{2(n-l)-k}$$

are linearly independent.

On the other hand, by Fact 2.2.6, we can take  $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^k \in T_p^* M \otimes \mathbf{C}$  which satisfy

$$(\varphi_k)_p = \theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^k.$$

Then, since  $(\varphi_k)_p$  satisfies  $\iota_{\xi_M} (\varphi_k)_p = 0$  for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{g}$ , so does  $\theta^i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Hence  $\theta^i$  descends to a 1-form  $\tilde{\theta}^i \in \wedge^{\text{ev/od}} T_{[p]}^* M_0 \otimes \mathbf{C}$ . Then  $\tilde{\theta}^i \in E_0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} p_0^*(\tilde{\theta}^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \tilde{\theta}^k) &= i_0^*(\theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^k) \\ &= i_0^*((\varphi_k)_p) \\ &\neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $\tilde{\theta}^1, \dots, \tilde{\theta}^k$  are linearly independent. Thus we have

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} E_0 = 2(n - l), \text{ and } E_0 = E_{\tilde{\varphi}},$$

in particular  $E_{\tilde{\varphi}}$  is maximal isotropic.

Furthermore, since  $E_{\varphi}$  does not have a real vector except for 0, neither does  $E_0$ . So we also have

$$(E_{\tilde{\varphi}})_{[p]} \cap (\bar{E}_{\tilde{\varphi}})_{[p]} = \{0\}.$$

This shows that  $\tilde{\varphi}$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $M_0$ .

The last claim is clear because  $\text{type}(\varphi_p) = \text{type}((\tilde{\varphi})_{[p]}) = k$ . □

REMARK 3.2.3. The reduction for other levels can be done by taking the coadjoint orbit. The detailed statement is as follows. Let a compact Lie group  $G$  act on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold  $(M, \varphi)$  Hamiltonian way with a generalized moment map  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$ . For each  $a \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_a$  denotes the coadjoint orbit of  $a$ . Suppose that  $G$  acts on  $\mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$  freely. Then the quotient space  $M_a = \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)/G$  is a manifold and has unique generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\tilde{\varphi}$  which satisfies that

$$p_a^* \tilde{\varphi} = i_a^* \varphi$$

and

$$\text{type}(\varphi_p) = \text{type}(\tilde{\varphi}_{[p]})$$

for all  $p \in \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a)$ , where  $i_a : \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion and  $p_a : \mu^{-1}(\mathcal{O}_a) \rightarrow M_a$  is the natural projection. In addition, we have  $\dim M_a = \dim M + \dim \mathcal{O}_a - 2 \dim G$ .

EXAMPLE 3.2.4. Let  $G$  act on a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$  preserving  $\omega$ , and let  $\mu : M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$  be a moment map. Then  $G$  also acts on  $(M, \varphi_\omega)$  Hamiltonian way and  $\mu$  is a generalized moment map. Moreover if we assume that  $G$  acts freely on  $\mu^{-1}(0)$ , then we get the reduced symplectic structure  $\tilde{\omega}$  and the reduced generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\tilde{\varphi}_\omega$  on the reduced space  $M_0$ . Then  $\tilde{\varphi}_\omega$  coincides with the generalized Calabi-Yau structure  $\varphi_\omega$  induced by the reduced symplectic structure  $\tilde{\omega}$ .

EXAMPLE 3.2.5. Let  $G$  act on a Calabi-Yau manifold  $(M, \Omega)$ . If the  $G$ -action is Hamiltonian, then the action is trivial and the generalized moment map  $\mu$  is regarded as a linear functional on the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . So the reduced space  $M_0$  coincides with either  $M$  or the empty set.

REMARK 3.2.6. Lin and Tolman showed the existence of a generalized complex structure on the reduced space in [8]. The generalized complex structure induced by the reduced generalized Calabi-Yau structure coincides with the reduced generalized complex structure from the generalized complex structure induced by the original generalized Calabi-Yau structure.

### 3.3. Relationship to Bergman kernels.

We introduce a Hamiltonian action on a generalized Calabi-Yau structure which is not induced from either a symplectic structure or a Calabi-Yau one here. Let  $D \subset \mathbf{C}^{m+n}$  be a Reinhardt bounded domain, that is, a bounded domain which the standard action of  $(m+n)$ -dimensional torus  $T^{m+n}$  on  $\mathbf{C}^{m+n}$  leaves  $D$  invariant. For each  $w = (w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m$ ,  $D_w$  denotes the slice of  $D$  at  $w$ ,

$$D_w = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{m+n}) \in D \mid z_j = w_j \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)\}.$$

If the slice  $D_w$  is not empty, we can regard  $D_w$  as a Reinhardt bounded domain in  $\mathbf{C}^n$  naturally. Let

$$K_w(z) = K_w(z, z) : D_w \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}$$

be the Bergman kernel function of  $D_w$ , and  $\Omega_w = ((\sqrt{-1})/2)\partial\bar{\partial}\log K_w$  be the Kähler form of the Bergman metric on  $D_w$ . Then the natural action of  $S^1$  on  $D_w$  preserves  $\Omega_w$ , and

$$\mu_w = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} (\log K_w)$$

is a moment map for this action. Note that the function  $\mu_w$  is real and  $S^1$ -invariant since the real function  $\log K_w$  is  $S^1$ -invariant and the fundamental vector field  $\xi$  induced by the  $S^1$ -action is given by

$$\xi = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\}.$$

Now we assume that the Bergman kernel  $K_w$  depends smoothly on  $w$ . Then we can define a smooth function  $K$  on  $D$  by

$$K(w, z) = K_w(z) : D \longrightarrow \mathbf{R},$$

and a complex form  $\varphi$  on  $D$  by

$$\varphi = dw_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dw_m \wedge \exp \sqrt{-1}\Omega,$$

where  $\Omega = (\sqrt{-1}/2)\partial\bar{\partial}\log K$ . It is easy to see that the complex form  $\varphi$  is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $D$ , and the  $S^1$ -action on  $D$  defined by

$$e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta}(w_1, \dots, w_m, z_1, \dots, z_n) = (w_1, \dots, w_m, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} z_1, \dots, e^{\sqrt{-1}\theta} z_n),$$

preserves  $\varphi$ .

THEOREM 3.3.1. *Let  $\mu$  be a smooth function on  $D$  defined by*

$$\mu(w_1, \dots, w_m, z_1, \dots, z_n) = \mu_w(z_1, \dots, z_n) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} (\log K).$$

*Then the function  $\mu$  is a generalized moment map for the  $S^1$  action on  $D$  defined above.*

PROOF. Let  $\xi$  be the fundamental vector field for this action. Then  $S^1$ -invariance of the function  $\log K$  implies that  $\mu$  is a  $S^1$ -invariant real-valued function. By simple calculation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_\xi \Omega \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) &= \Omega \left( \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \left\{ z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} - \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} \right\}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \right) \\ &= \sqrt{-1} \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{z}_j \left( \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z_i \partial \bar{z}_j} (\log K) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n \bar{z}_j \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}_j} (\log K) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial z_i} \left( -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^n z_j \frac{\partial}{\partial z_j} (\log K) \right) \\ &= \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial z_i}, \end{aligned}$$

and  $\iota_\xi \Omega \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial w_i} \right) = \frac{\partial \mu}{\partial w_i}$  similarly. Hence we have  $d\mu = \iota_\xi \Omega$ , and we can check easily that  $\mu$  is a generalized moment map for this action. □

EXAMPLE 3.3.2. Let  $D$  be an  $(m + n)$ -dimensional polydisc,

$$D = (D^1)^{m+n} = \{(z_1, \dots, z_{m+n}) \mid |z_j| < 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m+n)\}.$$

For each  $w \in (D^1)^m = \{(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m \mid |w_j| < 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, m)\}$ ,  $D_w$  denote the slice of  $D$  at  $w$ ,

$$D_w = \{(z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid |z_j| < 1 \quad (j = 1, \dots, n)\}.$$

Then  $D_w$  is a polydisc on  $\mathbf{C}^n$ , and

$$K_w = \frac{1}{\pi^n} \frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 - |z_j|^2)^2}$$

is the Bergman kernel function of  $D_w$ . Since the Bergman kernel  $K_w$  does not depend on  $w$ ,

$$K(w, z) = K_w(z) : D \longrightarrow \mathbf{R},$$

is a smooth function on  $D$ , and thus we get a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $D$ ,

$$\varphi = dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_m \wedge \exp \sqrt{-1} \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log K$ . The natural  $S^1$ -action defined above preserves  $\varphi$ , and we have a generalized moment map  $\mu$  for this action,

$$\mu = - \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|z_j|^2}{1 - |z_j|^2}.$$

On the other hand, since the total space  $D$  and the parameter space  $(D^1)^m$  are also Reinhardt bounded domains, they have Kähler forms induced by their Bergman kernels. So they have also generalized Calabi-Yau structures induced by their Kähler forms, and they are preserved by the natural  $S^1$ -actions on them. By simple calculations, we get moment maps for their actions,

$$\mu_D = - \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|w_i|^2}{1 - |w_i|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{|z_j|^2}{1 - |z_j|^2} \right)$$

on  $D$ , and

$$\mu_{D^m} = - \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|w_i|^2}{1 - |w_i|^2}$$

on  $D^m$ . Then they satisfy the following additive relation;

$$\mu_D = \mu_{D^m} + \mu.$$

EXAMPLE 3.3.3. Let  $D$  be an  $(m + n)$ -dimensional complex ball

$$D = D^{m+n} = \left\{ (w_1, \dots, w_m, z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^{m+n} \mid \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 < 1 \right\}.$$

For each  $w \in D^m = \{(w_1, \dots, w_m) \in \mathbf{C}^m \mid \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2 < 1\}$ ,  $D_w$  denote the slice of  $D$  at  $w$ ,

$$D_w = \left\{ (z_1, \dots, z_n) \in \mathbf{C}^n \mid \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2 < 1 - \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2 \right\}.$$

Then  $D_w$  is also a complex ball on  $\mathbf{C}^m$ , and

$$K_w = \frac{n!}{\pi^n} \frac{1 - \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2}{(1 - \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2 - \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2)^{n+1}}$$

is the Bergman kernel function of  $D_w$ . Since the Bergman kernel  $K_w$  depends smoothly on  $w$ ,

$$K(w, z) = K_w(z) : D \longrightarrow \mathbf{R},$$

is a smooth function on  $D$ , and thus we get a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $D$ ,

$$\varphi = dw_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge dw_m \wedge \exp \sqrt{-1} \Omega,$$

where  $\Omega = \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2} \partial \bar{\partial} \log K$ . The natural  $S^1$ -action on  $D$  preserves  $\varphi$ , and we have a generalized moment map  $\mu$  for this action,

$$\mu = -\frac{n+1}{2} \frac{1 - \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2}{1 - (\sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2)}.$$

As in the case of the previous example, we have moment maps for the natural actions of  $S^1$  on  $D$  and  $D^m$  which are derived from their Bergman kernels,

$$\mu_D = -\frac{m+n+1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - (\sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n |z_j|^2)}$$

on  $D$ , and

$$\mu_{D^m} = -\frac{m+1}{2} \frac{1}{1 - \sum_{j=1}^m |w_j|^2}$$

on  $D^m$ . They have the following multiplicative relation;

$$\mu_D = -\frac{2(m+n+1)}{(m+1)(n+1)} \mu_{D^m} \cdot \mu.$$

**4. The Duistermaat-Heckman formula.**

**4.1. The Duistermaat-Heckman measures and the reduced volumes.**

Let  $(M, \varphi)$  be a  $2n$ -dimensional connected generalized Calabi-Yau manifold which has constant type  $k$ , and suppose that compact  $l$ -torus  $T$  acts on  $M$  effectively and in a Hamiltonian way. In addition, we assume that the generalized moment map  $\mu$  is proper. Then we have a natural volume form

$$dm = \frac{(\sqrt{-1})^n}{2^{n-k}} \langle \varphi, \bar{\varphi} \rangle.$$

The volume form  $dm$  defines a measure on  $M$ . Our second purpose is to prove the Duistermaat-Heckman formula in this case.

Let  $\mathfrak{t}$  denote the Lie algebra of  $T$ , and  $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}^*$  denote the subset of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  consisting of the regular values of  $\mu$ . If  $a \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  is a regular value of  $\mu$  and  $p \in \mu^{-1}(a)$ , then the stabilizer group

$$T_p = \{g \in T \mid g \cdot p = p\}$$

is finite. So if  $T$ -action on  $\mu^{-1}(a)$  is not free, the quotient space  $M_a = \mu^{-1}(a)/T$  is an orbifold. In this case, There exists a complex differential form on  $M_a$  which, in each local representation is a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $\mathbf{R}^{2(n-l)}$ , and satisfies

$$p_a^* \tilde{\varphi} = i_a^* \varphi,$$

where  $i_a : \mu^{-1}(a) \rightarrow M$  is the inclusion and  $p_a : \mu^{-1}(a) \rightarrow M_a$  is the natural projection. We call it a generalized Calabi-Yau structure on an orbifold  $M_a$ .

Since  $\mu$  is proper,  $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}^*$  is a dense open subset, and  $\mathfrak{t}^* \setminus \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}^*$  has measure 0 because of Sard's theorem. The following lemma is due to Appendix B in [5].

LEMMA 4.1.1. *Suppose that  $M$  is connected and  $T$  acts on  $M$  effectively. Then the set  $M_{\text{free}}$  on which  $T$  acts freely is equal to the complement of a locally finite union of submanifolds of codimension  $\geq 2$ . In particular  $M_{\text{free}}$  is open, connected, dense, and  $M \setminus M_{\text{free}}$  has measure 0. Also  $(\mu_*)_p$  is surjective for all  $p \in M_{\text{free}}$ .*

Now we consider the normalized Haar measure  $dt$  on  $T$ . Then the measure  $dt$  induces the Lebesgue measure  $dX$  on its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{t}$ , and we obtain the dual Lebesgue measure  $d\zeta$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . The assumption that  $\mu$  is proper implies that the pushforward  $\mu_*(dm)$  of  $dm$  under  $\mu$  defines a measure in  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ . We call it the Duistermaat-Heckman measure. In view of Lemma 4.1.1, we obtain  $M \setminus M_{\text{free}}$  has measure 0 and  $\mu|_{M_{\text{free}}} : M_{\text{free}} \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}^*$  is a submersion. This shows that  $\mu_*(dm)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure  $d\zeta$ . So there exists a Borel measurable function  $f$  on  $\mathfrak{t}^*$  which satisfies

$$\mu_*(dm) = fd\zeta.$$

The corresponding Duistermaat-Heckman formula is stated in Theorem B in Introduction. For the proof, we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 4.1.2. *For each regular point  $p \in M$  of the generalized moment map  $\mu$ , there exists a neighborhood  $U_p$  of  $p$  and a complex 2-form  $B + \sqrt{-1}\omega \in \Omega^2(U_p) \otimes \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\varphi = \exp(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega)\varphi_k$  on  $U_p$ , and  $\iota_{\xi_M}\omega = d\mu^\xi$  for all  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ .*

PROOF. By Fact 2.3.7, there exists a neighborhood  $U_p$  and a complex 2-form  $\tilde{B} + \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\omega} \in \Omega^2(U_p) \otimes \mathbf{C}$  such that  $\varphi = \exp(\tilde{B} + \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\omega})\varphi_k$  on  $U_p$ . Moreover, there exists a local frame  $\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}$  of  $\wedge^1 T^*M$  such that  $\varphi_k = \theta^1 \wedge \dots \wedge \theta^k$  on  $U_p$ . So we may assume that  $\tilde{B} + \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\omega}$  can be written

$$\tilde{B} + \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\omega} = \sum_{i,j>k} c_{ij}\theta^i \wedge \theta^j,$$

where  $c_{ij}$  is a smooth complex function on  $U_p$ . In addition, since  $p$  is a regular point, so  $(\mathfrak{t}_M)_p$  has dimension  $l$ . Hence we may assume that  $\mathfrak{t}_M$  has dimension  $l$  on  $U_p$ .

Now consider the dual basis  $\{X_1, \dots, X_{2n}\}$  of  $\{\theta^1, \dots, \theta^{2n}\}$ , and take an arbitrary Riemannian metric on  $M$ . Then we can define a complex 1-forms  $\eta^1, \dots, \eta^k$  on  $U_p$  defined by

$$\eta^i(\xi_M) = \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi(X_i)$$

for  $\xi_M \in \mathfrak{t}_M$ , and vanishes on the orthogonal complement of  $\mathfrak{t}_M$ . Then we define a complex 2-form  $B + \sqrt{-1}\omega$  on  $U_p$  by

$$B + \sqrt{-1}\omega = \tilde{B} + \sqrt{-1}\tilde{\omega} + \sum_{s=1}^k \eta^s \wedge \theta^s.$$

It is clear that  $\varphi = \exp(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega)\varphi_k$  on  $U_p$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \iota_{\xi_M}(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega)(X_i) &= \left( \sum_{i,j>k} c_{ij}\theta^i \wedge \theta^j \right) (\xi_M, X_i) + \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \eta^s \wedge \theta^s \right) (\xi_M, X_i) \\ &= \left( \sum_{s=1}^k \eta^s \wedge \theta^s \right) (\xi_M, X_i) \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^k \eta^s(\xi_M)\theta^s(X_i) \\ &= \eta^i(\xi_M) \\ &= \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi(X_i), \end{aligned}$$

for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$  and  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . On the other hand, since  $\xi_M - \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi \in E_\varphi$  for each  $\xi \in \mathfrak{t}$ , so we have

$$(\iota_{\xi_M}(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega) - \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi) \wedge \varphi_k = 0.$$

Thus for  $i = k + 1, \dots, 2n$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \iota_{X_i} \left( (\iota_{\xi_M}(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega) - \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi) \wedge \varphi_k \right) \\ &= (\iota_{\xi_M}(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega) - \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi)(X_i)\varphi_k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\iota_{\xi_M}(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega)(X_i) = \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi(X_i).$$

This shows that

$$\iota_{\xi_M}(B + \sqrt{-1}\omega) = \sqrt{-1}d\mu^\xi,$$

and in particular we have  $\iota_{\xi_M}\omega = d\mu^\xi$ . □

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Let  $a \in \mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}^*$  be an arbitrary regular value of  $\mu$  and  $U$  be a convex neighborhood of  $a$  contained in  $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}^*$ . Since  $\mathfrak{t}_{\text{reg}}^*$  is an open set of  $\mathfrak{t}^*$ , there exists such a neighborhood. Now consider a  $T$ -invariant connection for the fibration  $\mu : \mu^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U$ . For each  $p \in \mu^{-1}(U)$ , draw the horizontal curves lying over the straight lines through  $a$  and  $b = \mu(p)$ . This defines a  $T$ -equivariant projection  $\Phi : \mu^{-1}(U) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(a)$  such that for each  $b \in U$  the restriction  $\Phi|_{\mu^{-1}(b)} : \mu^{-1}(b) \rightarrow \mu^{-1}(a)$  is a  $T$ -equivariant diffeomorphism and

$$\mu \times \Phi : \mu^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mu^{-1}(a)$$

is a trivialization. Using this trivialization and Fubini theorem, we have that  $f(a)$  is equal to the volume of  $\mu^{-1}(a)$  with respect to the quotient of  $dm$  by  $\mu^*d\zeta$ . In addition, by Lemma 4.1.2  $dm/\mu^*d\zeta$  is locally given by the  $(2n - l)$ -form

$$i_a^*(\varphi_k \wedge \bar{\varphi}_k) \wedge \frac{1}{(n - k - l)!} (i_a^*\omega)^{n-k-l} \wedge \eta,$$

where  $\omega$  is a 2-form given by the lemma above and  $\eta$  is an  $l$ -form which on the  $T$ -orbits takes the value  $\pm 1$  on an  $l$ -tuple  $(X_1, \dots, X_l)$  such that  $dX(X_1, \dots, X_l) = 1$ .

Note that the complement of  $p_a(M_{\text{free}} \cap \mu^{-1}(a)) = (M_{\text{free}})_a$  has measure 0 for the projection  $p_a : \mu^{-1}(a) \rightarrow M_a$  because the complement of  $(M_{\text{free}})_a$  is equal to the image of a finite union of submanifolds (or suborbifolds) of  $\mu^{-1}(a)$  of codimension  $\geq 2$ . Since  $p_a : M_{\text{free}} \cap \mu^{-1}(a) \rightarrow (M_{\text{free}})_a$  is a principle  $T$ -fibration and  $\text{vol}(T) = 1$ , we get that the volume of  $M_{\text{free}} \cap \mu^{-1}(a)$  is equal to the volume of  $(M_{\text{free}})_a$  with respect to the measure  $dm_a$  induced by the reduced generalized Calabi-Yau structure on  $M_a$ . Because the complement of  $(M_{\text{free}})_a$  has measure 0, we have proved the formula. □

REMARK 4.1.3. For the density function  $f$ , one can show that  $f$  is a piecewise polynomial of degree at most  $n - l - k$ . Moreover, in the case that  $M$  is compact, the localization formula holds by applying the Atiyah-Bott-Berline-Vergne localization theorem. Detailed statements and proofs can be seen in [9].

## References

- [1] C. Chevalley, *The Algebraic Theory of Spinors*, Columbia University Press, New York, 1954.
- [2] J. Duistermaat and G. Heckman, On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space, *Invent. Math.*, **69** (1982), 259–268.
- [3] J. Duistermaat and G. Heckman, Addendum to “On the variation in the cohomology of the symplectic form of the reduced phase space.”, *Invent. Math.*, **72** (1983), 153–158.
- [4] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized complex geometry*, PhD thesis, Oxford University, 2004, math.DG/0401221.
- [5] V. Guillemin, V. Ginzburg and Y. Karshon, *Moment maps, cobordisms, and Hamiltonian group actions*, *Math. Surveys Monogr.*, **98** (2002).
- [6] V. Guillemin and S. Sternberg, *Supersymmetry and equivariant de Rham theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [7] N. Hitchin, Generalized Calabi-Yau manifolds, *Quart. J. Math.*, **54** (2003), 281–308.
- [8] Y. Lin and S. Tolman, Symmetries in generalized Kähler geometry, *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **268** (2006) 199–222.
- [9] Y. Nitta, Duistermaat-Heckman formula for a torus action on a generalized Calabi-Yau manifold and localization formula, math.DG/0702264.

Yasufumi NITTA

Department of Mathematics

Graduate School of Science

Osaka University

1-1 Machikaneyama, Toyonaka

Osaka 560-0043, Japan