

The theorem of E. Hopf under uniform magnetic fields

By Norio GOUDA

(Received Apr. 16, 1996)

(Revised Oct. 9, 1996)

Introduction.

Let (M, g) be a complete Riemannian manifold, and let B be a closed 2-form on M . B may be regarded as a magnetic field on M . Let $\Omega : TM \rightarrow TM$ be a skewsymmetric matrix defined by $g_p(u, \Omega(v)) = B_p(u, v)$ ($u, v \in T_pM, p \in M$). In [8], the Newtonian equation of a charged particle moving on M has been defined by

$$\frac{D}{dt} \dot{c} = \Omega(\dot{c}), \quad (1)$$

where \dot{c} is the velocity vector field of the curve c and D/dt stands for the covariant derivative along c . The magnetic flow $\varphi_t : TM \rightarrow TM$ associated with B is defined by

$$\varphi_t(v) = \dot{c}_v(t),$$

where c_v is a solution curve of the equation (1) with $\dot{c}_v(0) = v \in TM$.

By using a geodesic flow, E. Hopf proved that *the total curvature of a compact surface without conjugate points is nonpositive, and vanishes if and only if the surface is flat* in [5]. L. W. Green extended the result of E. Hopf for a compact n -dimensional manifold in [3]. Recently, F. Guimarães ([4]) and N. Innami ([6]) have treated the noncompact case.

The non-existence of a pair of conjugate points along geodesics is equivalent to the non-existence of singular values of the exponential map. If there exists a magnetic field, then this equivalence no longer holds. From this fact, we find two concepts of non-conjugation for the magnetic flow, which are called *Jacobi field non-conjugation* and *exponential map non-conjugation*.

We call a magnetic field uniform if $\nabla B \equiv 0$, where ∇ is the Levi-Civita connection of M . In this paper, for each concept of non-conjugation, we will generalize E. Hopf's theorem with the help of the magnetic flow associated with a uniform magnetic field. In Section 3, the generalization for Jacobi field non-conjugation is treated. In Section 4, the following result will be proved as the generalization for exponential map non-conjugation.

THEOREM 1. *Let (M, g) be a compact orientable surface with a uniform magnetic field $B = b \text{vol}_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$) where vol_M is a canonical volume form of M , and let $\chi(M)$ denoted the Euler characteristic of M . Let $\exp^{\pm\Omega} : TM \rightarrow M$ be the exponential maps associated with B . Suppose that there exist no singular values of $\exp^{\pm\Omega}$. Then,*

$$-\frac{b^2}{2\pi} \text{vol}(M) \geq \chi(M),$$

and the equality holds if and only if the curvature of M is constant $-b^2$.

See Section 4 for the definitions of $\exp^{\pm\Omega}$. This implies that if $\chi(M) \geq 0$ and $b \neq 0$, then there exists a singular value of $\exp^{\pm\Omega}$. The proof of Theorem 1 is carried out under more general situation, so to say, the case of a Kähler manifold with a Kähler magnetic field. In Section 5, the relation between Jacobi field non-conjugation and exponential map non-conjugation is discussed for two dimensional case.

1. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper, we will assume $\nabla B \equiv 0$, or equivalently $\nabla\Omega \equiv 0$. We shall review some basic materials. See [2] for details.

DEFINITION 1.1. Let c_v be a solution curve of the equation (1) with $\dot{c}_v(0) = v \in TM$. Then, a vector field J along c_v is called a *Jacobi field under B* if it satisfies the Jacobi equation under B along c_v

$$\frac{D^2}{dt^2} J + R(\dot{c}_v, J)\dot{c}_v - \Omega\left(\frac{D}{dt} J\right) = 0, \tag{2}$$

where the curvature tensor R is defined by $R(X, Y)Z = \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z + \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z$ for arbitrary vector fields X, Y, Z .

Let $\pi : TM \rightarrow M$ be the canonical projection. Let $v_1 = v/r$ where $r = \sqrt{g(v, v)}$, and let us choose $v_2, \dots, v_n \in T_{\pi(v)}M$ so that $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_{\pi(v)}M$. A vector field V_i ($i = 1, \dots, n$) along c_v is defined by a solution of the equation

$$\frac{D}{dt} V_i - \Omega(V_i) = 0, \quad V_i(0) = v_i.$$

It is obvious that V_1, \dots, V_n are orthonormal vector fields along c_v . In particular, $V_1 = \dot{c}_v/r$. If J is expressed by $J = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i V_i$ where each f_i is a smooth function along c_v , then the equation (2) is rewritten by the equation of the components $f = (f_1, \dots, f_n)$

$$\ddot{f} + \Omega_{\dot{c}_v} \dot{f} + R_{\dot{c}_v} f = 0, \tag{3}$$

where $\Omega_{\dot{c}_v}$ and $R_{\dot{c}_v}$ denote the matrixes $(g(V_i, \Omega(V_j)))$ and $(g(V_i, R(\dot{c}_v, V_j)\dot{c}_v))$ respectively.

DEFINITION 1.2. Let $v \in TM \setminus \{0\}$. A linear endomorphism \tilde{R}_v of $T_{\pi(v)}M$ is defined by

$$\tilde{R}_v(w) = R(v, w)v + \frac{1}{g(v, v)} g(\Omega(v), w)\Omega(v),$$

where $w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$.

Let pr_v be the projection map onto the normal subspace of v in $T_{\pi(v)}M$, and let us set $\Omega_{v, \perp} = \text{pr}_v \Omega \text{pr}_v$ and $\tilde{R}_{v, \perp} = \text{pr}_v \tilde{R}_v \text{pr}_v$. The equation (2) is split into the equations of the tangential and normal components of c_v by

$$\dot{f}_1 = \frac{1}{r} g(\Omega(\dot{c}_v), J) + \frac{C}{r}, \tag{4}$$

$$\ddot{f}_\perp + \Omega_{\dot{c}_v, \perp} \dot{f}_\perp + \tilde{R}_{\dot{c}_v, \perp} f_\perp + \frac{C}{r^2} \Omega(\dot{c}_v) = 0, \tag{5}$$

where $f_{\perp} = (f_2, \dots, f_n)$ and $C \equiv g((D/dt)J, \dot{c}_v)$. For the case where (M, g) is an orientable surface with a uniform magnetic field $B = b \text{ vol}_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$), let us set $V_2 = \Omega(V_1)/b$. Then, the equation (3) becomes

$$\ddot{f} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -b \\ b & 0 \end{pmatrix} \dot{f} + \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & r^2 R(c_v) \end{pmatrix} f = 0,$$

where $R(c_v)$ stands for the curvature at c_v . The equations (4) and (5) are

$$\begin{cases} \dot{f}_1 = bf_2 + \frac{C}{r}, \\ \ddot{f}_2 + \{r^2 R(c_v) + b^2\}f_2 + \frac{C}{r} b = 0. \end{cases} \tag{6}$$

DEFINITION 1.3. Let $p = c_v(\alpha)$ and $q = c_v(\beta)$ be two points on c_v with $\alpha \neq \beta$. p and q are *conjugate under B* along c_v if there exists a nonzero Jacobi field under B along c_v which vanishes for $t = \alpha$ and $t = \beta$.

Next, we shall recall a connection map $K : T(TM) \rightarrow TM$. Given a vector $\xi \in T_v(TM)$, let $Z_{\xi} : (-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow TM$ be a smooth curve with the initial condition ξ . Then, we define $K(\xi) = (D/dt)Z_{\xi}|_{t=0} \in T_{\pi(v)}M$ where D/dt stands for the covariant derivative along $\sigma_{\xi} = \pi(Z_{\xi})$. $d\pi(\xi)$ is $(d/dt)\sigma_{\xi}|_{t=0}$ from the definition of $d\pi : T(TM) \rightarrow TM$. It is obvious that $d\pi(\xi)$ and $K(\xi)$ depend only on ξ . The kernels of $d\pi$ and K are called the vertical and horizontal subspaces of $T_v(TM)$ respectively, and the intersection of the vertical and horizontal subspaces is the zero vector. Since $\dim T_v(TM) = 2n$, $T_v(TM)$ is a direct sum of the horizontal and vertical subspaces. Therefore, we may consider $T_v(TM)$ as $T_{\pi(v)}M \oplus T_{\pi(v)}M$ by the correspondence

$$T_v(TM) \ni \xi \leftrightarrow (d\pi(\xi), K(\xi)) \in T_{\pi(v)}M \oplus T_{\pi(v)}M.$$

Given $\xi, \eta \in T_v(TM)$, we define the metric \tilde{g} on TM by

$$\tilde{g}_v(\xi, \eta) = g_{\pi(v)}(d\pi(\xi), d\pi(\eta)) + g_{\pi(v)}(K(\xi), K(\eta)).$$

In order to prove that φ_t preserves the measure determined by \tilde{g} , we shall compute the divergence of the generating vector field of φ_t

$$\xi_v = \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_t(v)|_{t=0}.$$

Let $(\pi^{-1}(U); x_1, \dots, x_n, v_1, \dots, v_n)$ be a canonical coordinate system on TM , where $(U; x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a coordinate system on M and $v_i = dx_i(v)$ for $v \in \pi^{-1}(U)$. Using this coordinate system, we have

$$\xi_i(v) = dx_i(\xi_v) = v_i, \quad \xi_{n+i}(v) = dv_i(\xi_v) = - \sum_{j,k=1}^n \Gamma_{jk}^i v_j v_k + \sum_{j=1}^n \Omega_j^i v_j,$$

where Γ_{jk}^i are the Christoffel symbols associated with g and $\Omega_j^i = dx_i(\Omega(\partial/\partial x_j))$. From

this, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{div}(\xi)(v) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_i}{\partial x_i}(v) + \xi_i(v) \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_i} \right) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{\partial \xi_{n+i}}{\partial v_i}(v) + \xi_{n+i}(v) \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2n} \frac{1}{2} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial v_i} \right) \\ &= 2 \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i \Gamma_{ij}^j - 2 \sum_{i,k=1}^n \Gamma_{ik}^i v_k + \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i^i = \sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i^i, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta}) = (\tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta})^{-1}$. In the above computation, we have used the identities in [7]

$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2n} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial x_i} = 4 \sum_{j=1}^n \Gamma_{ij}^j, \quad \sum_{\alpha, \beta=1}^{2n} \tilde{g}^{\alpha\beta} \frac{\partial \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta}}{\partial v_i} = 0.$$

If $B = \sum_{i < j} b_{ij} dx_i \wedge dx_j$ in U , then we have $\Omega_j^i = \sum_{k=1}^n g^{ik} b_{kj}$ where $(g^{ij}) = (g_{ij})^{-1}$. Because of $b_{ij} = -b_{ji}$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, we find

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \Omega_i^i = \sum_{i,k=1}^n g^{ik} b_{ki} = - \sum_{i,k=1}^n g^{ki} b_{ik} = - \sum_{k=1}^n \Omega_k^k.$$

Therefore, $\operatorname{div}(\xi)$ vanishes on TM . This means that φ_t is a measure preserving transformation. Since φ_t leaves the tangent sphere bundle $S_r M = \{v \in TM; g(v, v) = r^2\}$ invariant for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we restrict φ_t to $S_1 M$. Let N denote the unit normal vector field of $S_1 M$ in TM with $d\pi(N_v) = 0$ and $K(N_v) = v$ ($v \in S_1 M$). By the definition of the divergence, we derive the identity

$$\operatorname{div}(\xi | S_1 M) = \operatorname{div}(\xi) - \tilde{g}(\tilde{\nabla}_N(\xi | S_1 M), N) = \operatorname{div}(\xi) + \tilde{g}(\xi | S_1 M, \tilde{\nabla}_N N),$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with \tilde{g} . For each $v \in S_1 M$, let us set a curve $\varsigma_v(s) = (x_1(\pi(v)), \dots, x_n(\pi(v)), (s+1)v_1, \dots, (s+1)v_n) \subset T_{\pi(v)} M$. By the direct computation, we find

$$\frac{\tilde{D}}{ds} \dot{\varsigma}_v(0) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n v_i v_j \tilde{\nabla}_{\partial/\partial v_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial v_j} = \tilde{\nabla}_{N_v} N_v - N_v,$$

where \tilde{D}/ds stands for the covariant derivative along ς_v . Since ς_v is a geodesic in TM , we have $\tilde{\nabla}_{N_v} N_v = N_v$ for all $v \in S_1 M$. Because of $\tilde{g}(\xi | S_1 M, N) = 0$, it follows that $\operatorname{div}(\xi | S_1 M) = 0$ on $S_1 M$. Therefore, we conclude that $\varphi_t | S_1 M$ preserves the measure determined by $\tilde{g} | S_1 M$.

2. Matrix differential equations.

We shall study the real $m \times m$ matrix differential equation on \mathbf{R}

$$\ddot{X}(t) + P\dot{X}(t) + Q(t)X(t) = 0, \tag{7}$$

where P is a constant skewsymmetric matrix and $Q(t)$ is a smooth symmetric matrix on \mathbf{R} .

Let $X_\nu(t)$ be a solution of the equation (7) with $X_\nu(\nu) = 0$ and $\dot{X}_\nu(\nu) = I_m$ for all $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$. We shall assume that $\det X_\nu(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq \nu$. Substituting $Y(t) = e^{(t/2)P} X(t)$ into the equation (7), we obtain equation

$$\ddot{Y}(t) + e^{(t/2)P}(Q(t) + \frac{1}{4}P^\dagger P)e^{-(t/2)P} Y(t) = 0, \tag{8}$$

where the dagger denotes the transpose operation. We should note that $e^{(t/2)P}(Q(t) + P^\dagger P/4)e^{-(t/2)P}$ is a symmetric matrix. It is obvious that $Y_\nu(t) = e^{(t/2)P} X_\nu(t)e^{-(\nu/2)P}$ is a solution of the equation (8) with $Y_\nu(\nu) = 0$ and $\dot{Y}_\nu(\nu) = I_m$. From the above assumption, it is obvious that $\det Y_\nu(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq \nu$. Therefore, we may apply a useful method of L. W. Green [3] to the equation (8). For all $\tau \neq \nu$, let $Y_\nu(t; \tau)$ denote a unique solution of the equation (8) with $Y_\nu(\nu; \tau) = I_m$ and $Y_\nu(\tau; \tau) = 0$. We find that $Y_\nu(t; \infty) = \lim_{\tau \rightarrow \infty} Y_\nu(t; \tau)$ exists and that $\det Y_\nu(t; \infty) \neq 0$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let us set $U_\nu(t) = \dot{Y}_\nu(t; \infty) Y_\nu(t; \infty)^{-1}$. Then, $U_\nu(t)$ is a symmetric solution of the Riccati equation

$$\dot{U}_\nu(t) + U_\nu^2(t) + e^{(t/2)P}(Q(t) + \frac{1}{4}P^\dagger P)e^{-(t/2)P} = 0.$$

Moreover, the construction of $U_\nu(t)$ is independent of ν . Indeed, because of $Y_\nu(t; \tau) = Y_{\bar{\nu}}(t; \tau) Y_{\bar{\nu}}(\nu; \tau)^{-1}$ for all $\bar{\nu} \neq \nu$ by the uniqueness of $Y_\nu(t; \tau)$, we have

$$Y_\nu(t; \infty) = Y_{\bar{\nu}}(t; \infty) Y_{\bar{\nu}}(\nu; \infty)^{-1}.$$

Therefore, $U_\nu(t) = U_{\bar{\nu}}(t)$. Let us express this by $U(t)$.

3. Jacobi field non-conjugation.

In this section, we shall assume that there exists no pair of conjugate points under B along an arbitrary solution curve of the equation (1) whose velocity is 1, what is called, *Jacobi field non-conjugation*.

From the equation (3), the real $n \times n$ matrix differential equation along c_ν is derived by

$$\ddot{X} + \Omega_{\dot{c}_\nu} \dot{X} + R_{\dot{c}_\nu} X = 0. \tag{9}$$

Note that $\Omega_{\dot{c}_\nu} \equiv \Omega_\nu$ on c_ν because of $\nabla \Omega \equiv 0$. Indeed, since Ω is skewsymmetric, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} g(V_i, \Omega(V_j)) = g(\Omega(V_i), \Omega(V_j)) + g(V_i, \Omega^2(V_j)) = 0.$$

Let $X_{\nu, \nu}(t)$ be a solution of the equation (9) with $X_{\nu, \nu}(\nu) = 0$ and $\dot{X}_{\nu, \nu}(\nu) = I_n$ for all $\nu \in \mathbf{R}$. The above assumption implies that $\det X_{\nu, \nu}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq \nu$ and $\nu \in S_1 M$. Thus, the results in Section 2 hold for the equation (9) along c_ν for all $\nu \in S_1 M$. Let $U_\nu(t)$ be the matrix along c_ν which corresponds to $U(t)$ in Section 2.

DEFINITION 3.1. For each $\nu \in S_1 M$, a linear endomorphism \hat{K}_ν of $T_{\pi(\nu)} M$ is defined by

$$\hat{K}_\nu(w) = R(\nu, w)v + \frac{1}{4} \Omega^\dagger \Omega(w),$$

where $w \in T_{\pi(\nu)} M$.

The equations that $U_v(t)$ should satisfy are

$$\dot{U}_v(t) + U_v^2(t) + e^{(t/2)\Omega_v} \hat{K}_{\varphi_t(v)} e^{-(t/2)\Omega_v} = 0, \quad U_v(t)^\dagger = U_v(t). \tag{10}$$

LEMMA 3.2. *We have the identity*

$$U_{\varphi_s(v)}(t) = e^{-(s/2)\Omega_v} U_v(s+t) e^{(s/2)\Omega_v}$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $v \in S_1M$.

PROOF. For all $v \in S_1M$, let $Y_{v,v}(t)$ and $Y_{v,v}(t; \tau)$ denote the matrixes along c_v which correspond to $Y_v(t)$ and $Y_v(t; \tau)$ in Section 2 respectively. Because of $\Omega_{\varphi_s(v)} = \Omega_v$ and $R_{\dot{c}_{\varphi_s(v)}}(t) = R_{\dot{c}_v}(t+s)$ for all $s \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $X_{\varphi_s(v),v}(t) = X_{v,s+v}(t+s)$. For this reason, we find the identities

$$\begin{aligned} Y_{\varphi_s(v),v}(t) &= e^{-(s/2)\Omega_v} Y_{v,s+v}(t+s) e^{(s/2)\Omega_v}, \\ Y_{\varphi_s(v),v}(t; \tau) &= e^{-(s/2)\Omega_v} Y_{v,s+v}(t+s; s+\tau) e^{(s/2)\Omega_v}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$Y_{\varphi_s(v),v}(t; \infty) = e^{-(s/2)\Omega_v} Y_{v,s+v}(t+s; \infty) e^{(s/2)\Omega_v},$$

which completes the proof. □

Let tr denote the trace. Let us set $F(v) = \text{tr } U_v(0)$. Since $\text{tr } U_v(0)$ is independent of the choice of orthonormal vector fields in $T_{\pi(v)}M$, $F(v)$ is a well defined function on S_1M . By the same reason, both $G(v) = \text{tr } \dot{U}_v(0)$ and $H(v) = \text{tr } U_v^2(0)$ are well defined on S_1M . By Lemma 3.2, we find

$$\begin{aligned} F(\varphi_t(v)) &= \text{tr } U_{\varphi_t(v)}(0) = \text{tr } U_v(t), \\ G(\varphi_t(v)) &= \text{tr } \dot{U}_{\varphi_t(v)}(0) = \text{tr } \dot{U}_v(t) = \dot{F}(\varphi_t(v)) \end{aligned}$$

along c_v ($v \in S_1M$). From the equation (10), the functions $F(\varphi_t(v))$ and $H(\varphi_t(v))$ satisfy the equation

$$\dot{F}(\varphi_t(v)) + H(\varphi_t(v)) + \text{tr } \hat{K}_{\varphi_t(v)} = 0.$$

Integrating the both sides with respect to t , we have

$$F(\varphi_1(v)) - F(v) + \int_0^1 H(\varphi_s(v)) ds + \int_0^1 \text{tr } \hat{K}_{\varphi_s(v)} ds = 0.$$

Let dV_{S_1M} be the volume element on S_1M determined by $\tilde{g} | S_1M$. Then, $dV_{S_1M} = d\omega dV_M$ where $d\omega$ is the measure on the unit $(n-1)$ -sphere S^{n-1} and dV_M is the volume element on M . Since M is compact, $F(v)$, $H(v)$, and $\text{tr } \hat{K}_v$ are integrable on S_1M . Integrate the both sides with respect to dV_{S_1M} over all of S_1M , and use the fact that dV_{S_1M} is invariant with respect to the magnetic flow $\varphi_t : S_1M \rightarrow S_1M$. Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{S_1M} \int_0^1 H(\varphi_t(v)) ds dV_{S_1M} + \int_{S_1M} \int_0^1 \text{tr } \hat{K}_{\varphi_t(v)} ds dV_{S_1M} \\ &= \int_{S_1M} H(v) dV_{S_1M} + \int_{S_1M} \text{tr } \hat{K}_v dV_{S_1M}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{tr } \Omega^\dagger \Omega$ is constant on M , we may compute the last integral as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_1 M} \text{tr } \hat{K}_v dV_{S_1 M} &= \int_{S_1 M} \text{Ric}(v, v) dV_{S_1 M} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{S_1 M} \text{tr } \Omega^\dagger \Omega dV_{S_1 M} \\ &= \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{n} \int_M S(p) dV_M + \frac{\omega_{n-1}}{4} \text{vol}(M) \text{tr } \Omega^\dagger \Omega, \end{aligned}$$

where $S(p)$ stands for the scalar curvature at $p \in M$ and $\text{vol}(M)$ is the volume of M . Since $H(v) = \text{tr } U_v^2(0)$ is non-negative on $S_1 M$, we have

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M S(p) dV_M + \frac{n}{4} \text{tr } \Omega^\dagger \Omega = -\frac{n}{\text{vol}(S_1 M)} \int_{S_1 M} H(v) dV_{S_1 M} \leq 0,$$

where $\text{vol}(S_1 M)$ is the volume of $S_1 M$. The equality holds if and only if $H(v) = 0$ for all $v \in S_1 M$, that is to say, $U_v(0) = 0$ for all $v \in S_1 M$. By Lemma 3.2, we find that $U_v(t) \equiv 0$ along c_v for all $v \in S_1 M$. This means that $\hat{K}_v = 0$ for all $v \in S_1 M$. It follows that

$$g(R(v, w)v, w) = \frac{1}{4} g(\Omega(w), \Omega(w))$$

for all $w \in T_{\pi(v)} M$ and $v \in S_1 M$. Since $g(\Omega(v), \Omega(v)) = -4g(R(v, v)v, v) = 0$ for all $v \in S_1 M$, we find $\Omega \equiv 0$ on TM . Moreover, we get $R \equiv 0$ on M . Therefore, the following result has been proved.

THEOREM 3.3. *Let (M, g) be a compact Riemannian manifold with a uniform magnetic field B . Suppose that there exists no pair of conjugate points under B along an arbitrary solution curve of the equation (1) whose velocity is 1. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M S(p) dV_M \leq -\frac{n}{4} \text{tr } \Omega^\dagger \Omega,$$

and the equality holds if and only if (M, g) is flat and $B \equiv 0$ on M .

For the case where (M, g) is a compact orientable surface with a uniform magnetic field $B = b \text{vol}_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$), the above inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M R(p) dV_M \leq -\frac{1}{2} b^2,$$

and the equality holds if and only if (M, g) is flat and $b = 0$. Theorem 3.3 contains Hopf's result in the special case where $b = 0$. However, we would like to look for a geometric inequality which is sharp in the case of $b \neq 0$. In the next section, we will derive such a geometric inequality from exponential map non-conjugation.

4. Exponential map non-conjugation.

In this section, we will introduce the exponential maps associated with B and find the other geometric inequality by assuming that there exist no singular values of the exponential maps associated with B , what is called, *exponential map non-conjugation*.

DEFINITION 4.1. Let $w \in TM$. Then, the exponential maps $\exp^{\pm\Omega} : TM \rightarrow M$ associated with B are respectively defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \exp^\Omega(w) &= c_{v(w)}(\sqrt{g(w, w)}), \\ \exp^{-\Omega}(w) &= c_{v(w)}(-\sqrt{g(w, w)}) \end{aligned}$$

where $v(w) = w/\sqrt{g(w, w)} \in S_1M$.

We investigate the geometrical meaning of exponential map non-conjugation. We shall look at the real $(n - 1) \times (n - 1)$ matrix differential equation along c_v

$$\ddot{\mathcal{X}} + \Omega_{\dot{c}_v, \perp} \dot{\mathcal{X}} + \tilde{R}_{\dot{c}_v, \perp} \mathcal{X} = 0. \tag{11}$$

This is the equation of the normal components of a Jacobi field under B along c_v with $g((D/dt)J, \dot{c}_v) \equiv 0$. Note that $\Omega_{\dot{c}_v, \perp} \equiv \Omega_{v, \perp}$ on c_v and that $\tilde{R}_{\dot{c}_v, \perp}$ is symmetric on c_v . Let $\mathcal{X}_{v, v}(t)$ be a solution of the equation (11) with $\mathcal{X}_{v, v}(v) = 0$ and $\dot{\mathcal{X}}_{v, v}(v) = I_{n-1}$.

LEMMA 4.2. Let $d_w \exp^{\pm\Omega}$ denote the differentials of $\exp^{\pm\Omega}$ at $w \in TM$ respectively. Then,

1. $\det(d_w \exp^\Omega) = g(w, w)^{-(n-1)/2} \det \mathcal{X}_{v(w), 0}(\sqrt{g(w, w)})$,
2. $\det(d_w \exp^{-\Omega}) = -g(w, w)^{-(n-1)/2} \det \mathcal{X}_{v(w), 0}(-\sqrt{g(w, w)})$.

PROOF. Let V_1, \dots, V_n be orthonormal vector fields along $c_{v(w)}$ defined in Section

1. Note that $(v(w), \dots, v_n)$ is an orthonormal basis in $T_{\pi(w)}M$. First,

$$\begin{aligned} d_w \exp^\Omega(v(w)) &= \frac{d}{ds} \exp^\Omega(w + sv(w))|_{s=0} \\ &= \frac{d}{ds} c_{v(w)}(\sqrt{g(w, w)} + s)|_{s=0} \\ &= \dot{c}_{v(w)}(\sqrt{g(w, w)}). \end{aligned}$$

Next, let us set $v_i(w; \theta) = v(w) \cos \theta + v_i \sin \theta \in S_1M$ ($i = 2, \dots, n$). Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d_w \exp^\Omega(v_i) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(w, w)}} \frac{d}{d\theta} \exp^\Omega(\sqrt{g(w, w)}v_i(w; \theta))|_{\theta=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(w, w)}} \frac{d}{d\theta} c_{v_i(w; \theta)}(\sqrt{g(w, w)})|_{\theta=0} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{g(w, w)}} J_i(\sqrt{g(w, w)}), \end{aligned}$$

where $J_i = (d/d\theta)c_{v_i(w; \theta)}|_{\theta=0}$ is a Jacobi field under B along $c_{v(w)}$ with $J_i(0) = 0$ and $(D/dt)J_i(0) = v_i$. Note that $g((D/dt)J_i, \dot{c}_{v(w)}) \equiv g(v_i, v(w)) = 0$. If $J_i = \sum_{j=1}^n f_{i,j}V_j$, then $f_{i, \perp} = (f_{i,2}, \dots, f_{i,n})$ is a solution of the equation (5) with $f_{i, \perp}(0) = 0$ and $\dot{f}_{i, \perp}(0) = e_{i-1}$ where (e_1, \dots, e_{n-1}) is a canonical orthonormal basis in \mathbb{R}^{n-1} . Therefore, $f_{i, \perp} = \mathcal{X}_{v(w), 0}e_{i-1}$. This implies the first identity. In the same way, the second identity is shown. □

By Lemma 4.2 we see that exponential map non-conjugation is equivalent to the condition that $\det \mathcal{X}_{v, 0}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$ and $v \in S_1M$, namely, that for all $v \in S_1M$, the

normal components of a nonzero Jacobi field J under B along c_v with $g((D/dt)J, \dot{c}_v) = 0$ vanishes in at most one point.

Since $\Omega_{v,\perp} = \Omega_{\varphi_v(v),\perp}$ and $\tilde{R}_{\dot{c}_v,\perp}(t) = \tilde{R}_{\dot{c}_{\varphi_v(v),\perp}}(t - v)$ for all $v \in \mathbf{R}$, we have $\mathcal{X}_{v,v}(t) = \mathcal{X}_{\varphi_v(v),0}(t - v)$ for all $v \in \mathbf{R}$. After all, exponential map non-conjugation implies that $\det \mathcal{X}_{v,v}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq v$ and $v \in S_1M$. Therefore, we may apply the results in Section 2 to the equation (11) along c_v for all $v \in S_1M$. Let $\mathcal{U}_v(t)$ denote the matrix along c_v which corresponds to $U(t)$ in Section 2.

DEFINITION 4.3. For each $v \in S_1M$, a linear endomorphism \tilde{K}_v of $T_{\pi(v)}M$ is defined by

$$\tilde{K}_v(w) = R(v, w)v + \frac{1}{4}\Omega^\dagger\Omega(w) + \frac{3}{4}g(\Omega(v), w)\Omega(v),$$

where $w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$.

For all $v \in S_1M$ and $w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$, we have

$$\tilde{R}_{v,\perp}(w) + \frac{1}{4}\Omega_{v,\perp}^\dagger\Omega_{v,\perp}(w) = \tilde{K}_{v,\perp}(w),$$

where $\tilde{K}_{v,\perp} = \text{pr}_v \tilde{K}_v \text{pr}_v$. See [2] for details. Therefore, the equations which $\mathcal{U}_v(t)$ should satisfy are

$$\dot{\mathcal{U}}_v(t) + \mathcal{U}_v^2(t) + e^{(t/2)\Omega_{v,\perp}} \tilde{K}_{\varphi_t(v),\perp} e^{-(t/2)\Omega_{v,\perp}} = 0, \quad \mathcal{U}_v(t)^\dagger = \mathcal{U}_v(t). \tag{12}$$

In the same way as the proof of Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\mathcal{U}_{\varphi_t(v)}(s) = e^{-(t/2)\Omega_{v,\perp}} \mathcal{U}_v(s + t) e^{(t/2)\Omega_{v,\perp}}$$

for all $s, t \in \mathbf{R}$ and $v \in S_1M$.

By taking the trace, we have functions $\mathcal{F}(v) = \text{tr} \mathcal{U}_v(0)$, $\mathcal{G}(v) = \text{tr} \dot{\mathcal{U}}_v(0)$ and $\mathcal{H}(v) = \text{tr} \mathcal{U}_v^2(0)$ on S_1M . From the equation (12), the functions $\mathcal{F}(\varphi_t(v))$ and $\mathcal{H}(\varphi_t(v))$ satisfy the equation

$$\dot{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_t(v)) + \mathcal{H}(\varphi_t(v)) + \text{tr} \tilde{K}_{\varphi_t(v),\perp} = 0,$$

where we have used the identity $\mathcal{G}(\varphi_t(v)) = \dot{\mathcal{F}}(\varphi_t(v))$. By the same argument as that in Section 3, we have

$$\int_{S_1M} \text{tr} \tilde{K}_{v,\perp} dV_{S_1M} = - \int_{S_1M} \mathcal{H}(v) dV_{S_1M} \leq 0.$$

Now, let us add the assumption that $n = 2m$ and all eigenvalues of $\Omega^\dagger\Omega$ are b^2 ($b \in \mathbf{R}$) in order to compute the lefthand side of the above identity. Such an example is a Kähler manifold with a Kähler magnetic field. See [1] for a Kähler manifold with a Kähler magnetic field. In particular, note that if $n = 2$, this assumption is always satisfied. Then, because of $\text{tr}(\Omega^\dagger\Omega)_{v,\perp} = (2m - 1)b^2$ for all $v \in S_1M$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_1M} \text{tr} \tilde{K}_{v,\perp} dV_{S_1M} &= \int_{S_1M} \text{Ric}(v, v) dV_{S_1M} + \frac{1}{4} \int_{S_1M} \text{tr}(\Omega^\dagger\Omega)_{v,\perp} dV_{S_1M} \\ &\quad + \frac{3}{4} \int_{S_1M} g(\Omega(v), \Omega(v)) dV_{S_1M} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{\omega_{2m-1}}{2m} \int_M S(p) dV_M + \frac{(2m-1)b^2}{4} \omega_{2m-1} \text{vol}(M) \\
&\quad + \frac{3b^2}{4} \omega_{2m-1} \text{vol}(M) \\
&= \frac{\omega_{2m-1}}{2m} \int_M S(p) dV_M + \frac{(m+1)b^2}{2} \omega_{2m-1} \text{vol}(M).
\end{aligned}$$

For this reason, we derive

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M S(p) dV_M + m(m+1)b^2 = -\frac{2m}{\text{vol}(S_1M)} \int_{S_1M} \mathcal{H}(v) dV_{S_1M} \leq 0.$$

The equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{H}(v) = 0$ for all $v \in S_1M$, that is to say, $\mathcal{U}_v(t) \equiv 0$ along c_v for all $v \in S_1M$. This means that $\tilde{K}_{v,\perp} = 0$ for all $v \in S_1M$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
g(R(v, \text{pr}_v(w))v, \text{pr}_v(w)) &= -\frac{1}{4}g(\Omega(\text{pr}_v(w)), \Omega(\text{pr}_v(w))) - \frac{3}{4}g(\Omega(v), \text{pr}_v(w))^2 \\
&= -\frac{b^2}{4}g(\text{pr}_v(w), \text{pr}_v(w)) - \frac{3}{4}g(\Omega(v), \text{pr}_v(w))^2
\end{aligned}$$

for all $w \in T_{\pi(v)}M$ and $v \in S_1M$. Therefore, the following results are obtained.

THEOREM 4.4. *Let (M, g) be an even dimensional compact Riemannian manifold with a uniform magnetic field B . Suppose that all eigenvalues of $\Omega^\dagger\Omega$ are b^2 ($b \in \mathbf{R}$) and that there exist no singular values of $\exp^{\pm\Omega}$. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M S(p) dV_M \leq -\frac{n(n+2)}{4} b^2,$$

and the equality holds if and only if $\tilde{K}_{v,\perp} = 0$ for all $v \in S_1M$.

COROLLARY 4.5. *Let (M, g) be a compact Kähler manifold with a Kähler magnetic field $B = bB_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$) where B_M denotes the Kähler form. Suppose that there exist no singular values of $\exp^{\pm\Omega}$. Then,*

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M S(p) dV_M \leq -\frac{n(n+2)}{4} b^2,$$

and the equality holds if and only if (M, g) is a compact Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $-b^2$.

For the case where (M, g) is a compact orientable surface with a uniform magnetic field $b \text{vol}_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$), the above inequality becomes

$$\frac{1}{\text{vol}(M)} \int_M R(p) dV_M \leq -b^2,$$

and the equality holds if and only if the curvature of M is constant $-b^2$. Thanks to Gauss-Bonnet formula, this is expressed as

$$-\frac{b^2}{2\pi} \text{vol}(M) \geq \chi(M),$$

where $\chi(M)$ denotes the Euler characteristic of M . Therefore, the proof of Theorem 1 is completed.

REMARK. In this paper, we have assumed that M is compact so that functions on S_1M are integrable. We expect that the noncompact case is treated. Refer to [4], [6].

5. Relation between two non-conjugation.

By comparing Theorem 3.3 with Theorem 4.4, we may conjecture that exponential map non-conjugation is stronger than Jacobi field non-conjugation. For the case where (M, g) is a compact orientable surface with a uniform magnetic field $B = b \text{ vol}_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$), we will show that this conjecture is true.

Let $v \in S_1M$. Let $(\alpha_v(t), \beta_v(t))$ be a solution of the equation (6) along c_v with $(\alpha_v(0), \beta_v(0)) = (0, 0)$ and $(\dot{\alpha}_v(0), \dot{\beta}_v(0)) = (1, 0)$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\alpha}_v = b\beta_v + 1, \\ \ddot{\beta}_v + \{R(c_v) + b^2\}\beta_v + b = 0. \end{cases}$$

Let $(\gamma_v(t), \delta_v(t))$ be a solution of the equation (6) along c_v with $(\gamma_v(0), \delta_v(0)) = (0, 0)$ and $(\dot{\gamma}_v(0), \dot{\delta}_v(0)) = (0, 1)$. Then,

$$\begin{cases} \dot{\gamma}_v = b\delta_v, \\ \ddot{\delta}_v + \{R(c_v) + b^2\}\delta_v = 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $X_{v,0}(t) = \delta_v(t)$ and

$$X_{v,0}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_v(t) & \gamma_v(t) \\ \beta_v(t) & \delta_v(t) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $b > 0$ for the sake of simplicity.

LEMMA 5.1. *Suppose that $\delta_v(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. Then, $\gamma_v(t) > 0$ for all $t \neq 0$.*

LEMMA 5.2. *Suppose that $\delta_v(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. Then, β_v/δ_v is a monotone decreasing function of C^1 -class on c_v .*

PROOF. Since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t) = \frac{\dot{\beta}_v}{\dot{\delta}_v}(0) = 0,$$

β_v/δ_v is well defined and continuous at $t = 0$. If $t \neq 0$, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v} = \frac{\dot{\beta}_v\delta_v - \beta_v\dot{\delta}_v}{\delta_v^2}.$$

Since

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\dot{\beta}_v\delta_v - \beta_v\dot{\delta}_v) = \ddot{\beta}_v\delta_v - \beta_v\ddot{\delta}_v = -b\delta_v = -\dot{\gamma}_v,$$

we find

$$\frac{d}{dt} \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v} = -\frac{\gamma_v}{\delta_v^2} < 0$$

for all $t \neq 0$. Moreover, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{d}{dt} \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t) = -\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\gamma_v}{\delta_v^2}(t) = -\frac{b\dot{\delta}_v}{2(\ddot{\delta}_v\delta_v + \dot{\delta}_v^2)}(0) = -\frac{b}{2} < 0.$$

Therefore, $(d/dt)(\beta_v/\delta_v)$ is well defined and continuous at $t = 0$. □

LEMMA 5.3. *Suppose that $\delta_v(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. Then,*

1. *if $t > 0$,*

$$\alpha_v(t) > \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t)\gamma_v(t) + t,$$

2. *if $t < 0$,*

$$\alpha_v(t) < \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t)\gamma_v(t) + t.$$

PROOF. Let $0 < s < t$. By Lemma 5.2, we have

$$\frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(s) > \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t).$$

Because of $\delta_v(s) > 0$, we find

$$\beta_v(s) > \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t)\delta_v(s).$$

Integrating the both sides with respect to s , we obtain

$$\alpha_v(t) = b \int_0^t \beta_v(s) ds + t > \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t)b \int_0^t \delta_v(s) ds + t = \frac{\beta_v}{\delta_v}(t)\gamma_v(t) + t,$$

which implies the first inequality. The second inequality is proved in the same way. □

COROLLARY 5.4. *Suppose that $\delta_v(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq 0$. Then,*

$$(\alpha_v\delta_v - \beta_v\gamma_v)(t) > t\delta_v(t) > 0$$

for all $t \neq 0$.

Let us note that Lemma 5.3 and Corollary 5.4 are satisfied for $b < 0$. Because of $X_{v,v}(t) = X_{\varphi_v(v),0}(t - v)$ and $\delta_{v,v}(t) = \delta_{\varphi_v(v),0}(t - v)$ for all $v \in \mathbf{R}$. Corollary 5.4 implies that if $\delta_{v,v}(t) \neq 0$ for all $t \neq v$, then

$$\det X_{v,v}(t) > (t - v)\delta_{v,v}(t) > 0$$

for all $t \neq v$. Therefore, we obtain the following result.

THEOREM 5.5. *Let (M, g) be a compact orientable surface with a uniform magnetic field $B = b \text{vol}_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$). If there exist no singular values of $\exp^{\pm\Omega}$, then there exists no*

pair of conjugate points under B along an arbitrary solution curve of the equation (1) whose velocity is 1.

COROLLARY 5.6. *Let (M, g) be a compact orientable surface with a uniform magnetic field $B = b \operatorname{vol}_M$ ($b \in \mathbf{R}$), and let $\kappa_{\max}(M)$ denote the maximum of curvature of M . If $\kappa_{\max}(M) + b^2 \leq 0$, then there exists no pair of conjugate points under B along an arbitrary solution curve of the equation (1) whose velocity is 1.*

References

- [1] T. Adachi, Kähler magnetic flows for a manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, *Tokyo J. Math.* **18** (1995) 473–483.
- [2] N. Gouda, Magnetic flows of Anosov type, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, to appear.
- [3] L. W. Green, A theorem of E. Hopf, *Michigan Math. J.* **5** (1958) 31–34.
- [4] F. Guimarães, The integral of the scalar curvature of complete manifolds without conjugate points, *J. Differential Geom.* **36** (1992) 651–662.
- [5] E. Hopf, Closed surfaces without conjugate points, *Pros. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **34** (1948) 47–51.
- [6] N. Innami, Manifolds without conjugate points and with integral curvature zero, *J. Math. Soc. Japan* **41** (1989) 251–261.
- [7] S. Sasaki, On the differential geometry of tangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds, *Tôhoku Math. J.* **10** (1958) 338–354.
- [8] T. Sunada, Magnetic flows on a Riemannian surface, *Proc. of KAIST Math. Workshop* **8** (1993), Analysis and Geometry, Korea, 93–108.

Norio GOUDA

High Performance Computing Center
General Purpose Computer Division
Hitachi, Ltd.
1 Horiyamashita
Hadano-shi, Kanagawa-ken 259-1322
Japan