

A note on Arhangel'skii's inequality

By Shu-Hao SUN

(Received July 29, 1985)

As we know, the famous Arhangel'skii's inequality, " $X \in \mathfrak{T}_2, |X| \leq 2^{L(X) \cdot \chi(X)}$ " has been generalized to " $|X| \leq 2^{L(X) \cdot \psi(X) \cdot t(X)}$ " ([1]) and the latter has not been improved so far. In this paper, we will do this, see Theorem below.

Let X be a topological space and k, λ infinite cardinal, ω_0 the smallest infinite ordinal and the smallest infinite cardinal. $[X]^{\leq k}$ will denote the collection of subsets A of X with $|A| \leq k$. Let us write ([3]) $qL(X) = \omega_0 \cdot \min\{k \mid \text{there exists an } A \in [X]^{\leq 2^k}, \text{ such that } (*) \text{ for each open cover } \mathcal{U} \text{ of } X, \text{ there exists a } \mathcal{C} \in [\mathcal{U}]^{\leq k}, \text{ and } B \in [A]^{\leq k} \text{ satisfying } \bigcup \mathcal{C} \cup \bar{B} = X\}$.

Clearly, $d(X) \geq qL(X)$, $L(X) \geq qL(X)$, $s(X) \geq qL(X)$, and all the inequalities are strict.

It is only slightly less trivial to show that $s(X) \geq qL(X)$, where $s(X) = \sup\{|A| : A \text{ is a discrete subspace of } X\}$. To do this, we have to apply the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1 (Šapirovskii [4]). *Let $X \in \mathfrak{T}_2$, if $s(X) = k$, then there is a set S of X with $|S| \leq 2^k$ such that $X = \bigcup \{\bar{A} : A \subseteq S, |A| \leq k\}$.*

LEMMA 2 (Šapirovskii). *Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of a topological space X , let $s(X) = k$, then there is a subset A of X with $|A| \leq k$ and a subcollection \mathcal{C} of \mathcal{U} with $|\mathcal{C}| \leq k$ such that $X = \bar{A} \cup (\bigcup \mathcal{C})$.*

By virtue of Lemma 1, we only show that the set S in Lemma 1 satisfies (*) in the definition of $qL(X)$. In fact, for each open cover \mathcal{U} of X , let subset B satisfy the condition in Lemma 2, for each $b \in B$, there is an $A_b \subseteq S$ with $|A_b| \leq k$ such that $b \in \bar{A}_b$. Let $A = \bigcup_{b \in B} A_b$, then $|A| \leq k \cdot k = k$, $A \subseteq S$, $\bar{B} \subseteq \bar{A}$ and $X = \bar{B} \cup (\bigcup \mathcal{C}) \subseteq \bar{A} \cup (\bigcup \mathcal{C})$. This completes the proof of the inequality $s(X) \geq qL(X)$.

Again let us write $S\psi(X) = \omega_0 \cdot \min\{k \mid \text{for each } x \in X, \text{ there exists a family of open neighborhoods } \{U_\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha < k}, \text{ such that } \{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \overline{U_\alpha(x)}\}$.

LEMMA. *Let X be a space with $t(X) \cdot S\psi(X) \leq k$, then for each $A \in [X]^{\leq a^k}$, we have $|\bar{A}| \leq a^k$, where $a \geq 2$.*

PROOF. For each $x \in \bar{A}$, by $S\psi(X) \leq k$, there exists a family of open neighborhoods $\{U_\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha < k}$, such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \overline{U_\alpha(x)}$. Thus $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \overline{U_\alpha(x) \cap A}$. By

$t(X) \leq k$, $\forall \alpha < k$, there exists $A_\alpha \subset U_\alpha(x) \cap A \subset A$ satisfying $|A_\alpha| \leq k$ and $x \in \bar{A}_\alpha$, hence, $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \bar{A}_\alpha$ and $\{A_\alpha\}_{\alpha < k} \in [[A]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k}$. Therefore, $|\bar{A}| \leq |[[A]^{\leq k}]^{\leq k}| = a^k$.

THEOREM. *If $X \in \mathcal{T}_2$, then $|X| \leq 2^{qL(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot S\phi(X)}$.*

PROOF. Let $qL(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot S\phi(X) = k$, then there exists a subset $A \subset X$ with $|A| \leq 2^k$ satisfying (*). For each $x \in X$, let \mathfrak{B}_x denote a family of open neighborhoods $\{U_\alpha(x)\}_{\alpha < k}$ such that $\{x\} = \bigcap_{\alpha < k} \overline{U_\alpha(x)}$. Construct an increasing sequence $\{H_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < k^+\}$ of closed sets of X and a sequence $\{\mathfrak{B}_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < k^+\}$ of open collections in X such that

- (1) $|H_\alpha| \leq 2^k$, $0 \leq \alpha < k^+$,
- (2) $\mathfrak{B}_\alpha = \{V : V \in \mathfrak{B}_p, p \in \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta\}$, $0 < \alpha < k^+$,
- (3) if W is the union of $\leq k$ elements of \mathfrak{B}_α , $B \in [A]^{\leq k}$ and $X \setminus (W \cup \bar{B}) \neq \emptyset$, then $H_\alpha \setminus (W \cup \bar{B}) \neq \emptyset$.

The construction is by transfinite induction. Let $0 < \alpha < k^+$ and assume that $\{H_\beta : \beta < \alpha\}$ have been constructed. Note that \mathfrak{B}_α is defined by (2), so $|\mathfrak{B}_\alpha| \leq 2^k$. For each set W which is the union of $\leq k$ elements of \mathfrak{B}_α , and for each $B \in [A]^{\leq k}$ which $X \setminus (W \cup \bar{B}) \neq \emptyset$. Choose one point $P_{W,B}$ of $X \setminus (W \cup \bar{B})$, let A_α be the set of points chosen in this way. Since $|\mathfrak{B}_\alpha| \leq 2^k$, $|A| \leq 2^k$, thus $|A_\alpha| \leq 2^k$. Let $H_\alpha = \overline{A_\alpha \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} H_\beta}$, by lemma, $|H_\alpha| \leq 2^k$ and H_α is closed, $H_\alpha \supset H_\beta$, for $\beta < \alpha$. This completes the construction of $\{H_\alpha : 0 \leq \alpha < k^+\}$.

Now let $H = \bigcup_{\alpha < k^+} H_\alpha$, it is closed (since $t(X) \leq k$ and $\forall \alpha < k^+$, H_α is closed). We will show that $H \cup \bar{A} = X$, i.e., $X \setminus H \subset \bar{A}$. Let $q \in X \setminus H$, for each $p \in H$, take $V_p \in \mathfrak{B}_p$ such that $q \notin \bar{V}_p$. So $\bigcup \{V_p : p \in H\} \supset H$, by $qL(X) \leq k$, there exist $\mathcal{V} \in [\{V_p : p \in H\}]^{\leq k}$, $B \in [A]^{\leq k}$ such that $H \subset \bigcup \mathcal{V} \cup \bar{B}$. If $q \in \bar{B} \subset \bar{A}$, then the proof is complete. If $q \notin \bar{B}$, then $q \notin \bigcup \mathcal{V} \cup \bar{B}$, i.e., $\bigcup \mathcal{V} \cup \bar{B} \neq X$. Thus, we have $P_{\bigcup \mathcal{V}, B} \in X \setminus (\bigcup \mathcal{V} \cup \bar{B}) \subset X \setminus H$. On the other hand, there exists $\beta < k^+$ with $\mathcal{V} \in [\mathfrak{B}_\beta]^{\leq k}$, so $P_{\bigcup \mathcal{V}, B} \in H_{\beta+1} \subset H$, a contradiction with the fact $P_{\bigcup \mathcal{V}, B} \in X \setminus H$.

COROLLARY 1. *If $X \in \mathcal{T}_2$, then $|X| \leq 2^{L(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot \phi(X)}$.*

PROOF. Since $S\phi(X) \leq L(X) \cdot \phi(X)$ (it is easy). Thus $L(X) \cdot \phi(X) = L(X) \cdot S\phi(X)$. We have $|X| \leq 2^{qL(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot S\phi(X)} \leq 2^{L(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot S\phi(X)} = 2^{L(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot \phi(X)}$.

EXAMPLE. Let X be Niemytzki plane [2, Example 1.2.4], then $d(x) = qL(X) = \chi(X) = t(X) = S\phi(X) = \omega_0$. But $L(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot \phi(X) \geq L(X) > \omega_0$.

COROLLARY 2 ([3]). *If $X \in \mathcal{T}_3$, then $|X| \leq 2^{qL(X) \cdot t(X) \cdot \phi(X)}$.*

PROOF. Since $X \in \mathcal{T}_3$, we have $S\phi(X) = \phi(X)$.

COROLLARY 3 ([3]). *If $X \in \mathcal{T}_2$, then $|X| \leq 2^{qL(X) \cdot \chi(X)}$.*

REMARK 1. In the theorem, $S\phi(X)$ cannot be replaced by $\phi(X)$, since there

exists a Hausdorff space X of cardinality 2^c that contains a countable dense subset A consisting of isolated points of X such that the subspace $X \setminus A$ is discrete. ([2, Ex. 3.1. F.(d) Hint]). It is easy to check $qL(X) = d(X) = \omega_0 = \psi(X) = i(X)$. But $|X| > c = 2^{qL(X) \cdot i(X) \cdot \psi(X)}$.

For example, "Assume $(2^{\omega_0} < 2^{\omega_1})$, let X be a normal space with $qL(X) = \omega_0$, then $e(X) = \omega_0$, where $e(X)$, called the extent of X , is the smallest cardinal number $m \geq \aleph_0$ such that every closed subset of X consisting exclusively of isolated points has cardinality $\leq m$." which generalized Jones' theorem that if $2^{\omega_0} < 2^{\omega_1}$, then every separable normal Moore space is metrizable.

References

- [1] I. Juhász, Cardinal functions in topology—ten years later, Math. Centrum, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [2] R. Engelking, General Topology, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warszawa, 1977.
- [3] Liu Xiao-Shi, Two kinds of cardinal function of topological spaces and improvements of some famous cardinal inequalities, Acta Math. Sinica, 29-4 (1986), 494-497.
- [4] B. Šapirovkii, On discrete subspaces of topological spaces. Weight, tightness and the souslin number, English transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl., 13 (1972), 215-219.

Shu-Hao SUN

Department of Mathematics
Sichuan University
PRC