

Additivity of Jordan $*$ -maps between operator algebras

By Jōsuke HAKEDA and Kazuyuki SAITŌ

(Received Dec. 3, 1984)

The addition and Jordan product in operator algebras seem to be closely related. Our aim in this paper is to present a positive answer to the following problem.

Let M be a unital C^* -algebra and N be an associative $*$ -algebra. A map ϕ is said to be a Jordan $*$ -map from M to N , if ϕ satisfies the following conditions (i)~(iii) [2].

- (i) $\phi(x \circ y) = \phi(x) \circ \phi(y)$ for all x and y in M , where $x \circ y = (1/2)(xy + yx)$.
- (ii) $\phi(x^*) = \phi(x)^*$ for all $x \in M$.
- (iii) ϕ is bijective.

Can we conclude that ϕ is additive?

Unfortunately, the answer to this problem is negative in the one dimensional case, even if ϕ is uniformly continuous, as the following example shows. Let $\phi(\alpha) = \alpha|\alpha|$ for $\alpha \in \mathbf{C}$ (the complex number field). Then ϕ is a uniformly continuous Jordan $*$ -map from \mathbf{C} to \mathbf{C} and it is not additive. If, however, M has a system of $n \times n$ matrix units for some $n \geq 2$, we obtain the following:

THEOREM. *Let M be a C^* -algebra, N be an associative $*$ -algebra and ϕ be a Jordan $*$ -map from M to N . Suppose that M has a system of $n \times n$ matrix units for some $n \geq 2$. Then ϕ is additive.*

In [2], additivity of a Jordan $*$ -map on an AW^* -algebra with no abelian direct summand was established under the hypothesis of continuity. S. Sakai conjectured that the hypothesis of continuity is redundant (see [2]). This follows from our theorem:

COROLLARY. *Let M be a von Neumann algebra (or more generally an AW^* -algebra) which has no abelian direct summand, let N be a C^* -algebra and let ϕ be a Jordan $*$ -map from M to N . Then ϕ is additive. Moreover, there exist central projections e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 in M such that ϕ is a linear $*$ -ring isomorphism on Me_1 , ϕ is a linear $*$ -ring antiisomorphism on Me_2 , ϕ is a conjugate linear $*$ -ring isomorphism on Me_3 and ϕ is a conjugate linear $*$ -ring antiisomorphism on Me_4 .*

Throughout this paper, we always assume that M is a unital C^* -algebra, N

is an associative $*$ -algebra, ϕ satisfies the conditions (i)~(iii) and M has a system of $n \times n$ matrix units for some $n \geq 2$.

1. Preliminaries.

An element e is called a projection if it is idempotent ($e^2=e$) and selfadjoint ($e^*=e$). The relation $e=ef$ defines a partial ordering of projections, denoted $e \leq f$. Projections e and f will be said to be orthogonal if $ef=0$. We shall break up the proof of the theorem into a sequence of lemmas.

LEMMA 1 ([2, Lemma 1.2]). *Let e and f be projections in M . Then*

- (i) $ef=0$ if and only if $e \circ f=0$,
- (ii) $e \leq f$ if and only if $e=e \circ f$.

Thus ϕ is an order isomorphism from the partially ordered set M_p of the projections in M to N_p in N which preserves orthogonality. So $\phi(1)=1$ and $\phi(0)=0$ follow.

LEMMA 2 ([3]). *Let e and f be projections of M . If $ef=0$, then $\phi(\alpha e + \beta f) = \phi(\alpha e) + \phi(\beta f)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$; in particular, $\phi(e+f) = \phi(e) + \phi(f)$.*

In fact, if $ef=0$, then, there exists the least upper bound $e \vee f$ in M_p , and $e \vee f = e+f$. Since $\phi|_{M_p}$ is an order isomorphism and preserves orthogonality, there exists $\phi(e) \vee \phi(f)$ in N_p and $\phi(e \vee f) = \phi(e) \vee \phi(f)$. So $\phi(e+f) = \phi(e) + \phi(f)$. Put $a = \alpha e + \beta f$ for arbitrary $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{C}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) &= \phi(a \circ (e+f)) = \phi(a) \circ \phi(e+f) = \phi(a) \circ (\phi(e) + \phi(f)) \\ &= \phi(a) \circ \phi(e) + \phi(a) \circ \phi(f) = \phi(\alpha e) + \phi(\beta f). \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3 ([2, Lemma 2.1]). $\phi|_{\mathbf{C} \cdot 1}$ is additive.

Let $\{e_{ij}\}$ be a system of $n \times n$ matrix units in M with $n \geq 2$. Put $e = e_{ii}$, $v = e_{ij}$ ($i \neq j$), $p = (1/2)(e+v^*)(e+v)$ and $q = (1/2)(e-v^*)(e-v)$. Then p and q are orthogonal projections in M . Since $\phi(e)\phi(x)\phi(e) = \phi(exe)$ (note that $exe = ((2e-1) \circ x) \circ e$; see [2, Lemma 1.6]) and by Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi((\alpha + \beta) \cdot 1) \circ \phi(e_{ii}) &= \phi((\alpha + \beta) \cdot 1) \circ \phi(e) = \phi(e(2\alpha p + 2\beta q)e) \\ &= \phi(e)\phi(2\alpha p + 2\beta q)\phi(e) = \phi(e)(\phi(2\alpha p) + \phi(2\beta q))\phi(e) \\ &= (\phi(\alpha \cdot 1) + \phi(\beta \cdot 1)) \circ \phi(e) = (\phi(\alpha \cdot 1) + \phi(\beta \cdot 1)) \circ \phi(e_{ii}) \end{aligned}$$

for each i . So our Lemma 3 follows.

COROLLARY 4. (i) $\phi(-x) = -\phi(x)$ for all $x \in M$. (ii) $\phi(\rho x) = \rho\phi(x)$ for all $x \in M$ and all rational number ρ .

Since $0 = \phi(0) = \phi(1 + (-1)) = \phi(1) + \phi(-1) = 1 + \phi(-1)$, by Lemma 3, $\phi(-x) = \phi(-1) \cdot \phi(x) = -\phi(x)$. For arbitrary integers $m (m \neq 0)$ and n , $m\phi((n/m)x) = \phi(nx) = n\phi(x)$. So $\phi((n/m)x) = (n/m)\phi(x)$.

LEMMA 5 ([2]). Let $\{e_i : i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ be an orthogonal family of projections in M such that $\sum_i e_i = 1$. Then

$$\phi(x) = \sum_i \phi(e_i)\phi(x)\phi(e_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \{\phi(e_i), \phi(x), \phi(e_j)\}$$

where $\{x, y, z\} = (1/2)(xyz + zyx)$.

Since $\{\phi(e_i) : i=1, 2, \dots, n\}$ is an orthogonal family of projections in N such that $\sum_i \phi(e_i) = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x) &= \sum_{i,j} \phi(e_i)\phi(x)\phi(e_j) \\ &= \sum_i \phi(e_i)\phi(x)\phi(e_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \{\phi(e_i), \phi(x), \phi(e_j)\}. \end{aligned}$$

2. Additivity of Jordan *-maps.

LEMMA 6. Let e and f be projections in M . Then

$$\phi(\alpha \cdot 1 + \beta e + \gamma f) = \phi(\alpha \cdot 1) + \phi(\beta e) + \phi(\gamma f)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$.

Put

$$x = \alpha \cdot 1 + \beta e + \gamma f, \quad y = \phi(\alpha \cdot 1) + \phi(\beta e) + \phi(\gamma f) \quad \text{and} \quad e' = 1 - e.$$

Since $\{\phi(e), \phi(x), \phi(e')\} = \phi(\{e, x, e'\})$ ([2, Corollary 2.2]; note that $2(e \cdot x) \cdot e' = \{e, x, e'\}$), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(e)\phi(x)\phi(e) &= \phi(exe) = \phi(e((\alpha + \beta) \cdot 1 + \gamma f)e) \\ &= \phi(e)\phi((\alpha + \beta + \gamma)f + (\alpha + \beta)(1 - f))\phi(e) \\ &= \phi(e)(\phi((\alpha + \beta + \gamma)f) + \phi((\alpha + \beta)(1 - f)))\phi(e) \\ &= \phi(e)(\phi(\alpha f) + \phi(\beta f) + \phi(\gamma f) + \phi(\alpha(1 - f)) + \phi(\beta(1 - f)))\phi(e) \\ &= \phi(e)(\phi(\alpha \cdot 1) + \phi(\beta \cdot 1) + \phi(\gamma f))\phi(e) = \phi(e)y\phi(e), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(e')\phi(x)\phi(e') &= \phi(e'xe') = \phi(e'(\alpha \cdot 1 + \gamma f)e') \\ &= \phi(e')(\phi((\alpha + \gamma)f) + \phi(\alpha(1 - f)))\phi(e') \\ &= \phi(e')(\phi(\alpha f) + \phi(\gamma f) + \phi(\alpha(1 - f)))\phi(e') \\ &= \phi(e')(\phi(\alpha \cdot 1) + \phi(\gamma f))\phi(e') = \phi(e')y\phi(e') \quad \text{and} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \{\phi(e), \phi(x), \phi(e')\} &= \phi(\{e, x, e'\}) = \phi(\{e, \gamma f, e'\}) \\ &= \{\phi(e), \phi(\gamma f), \phi(e')\} = \{\phi(e), y, \phi(e')\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \phi(e)\phi(x)\phi(e) + \phi(e')\phi(x)\phi(e') + 2\{\phi(e), \phi(x), \phi(e')\} \\ &= \phi(e)y\phi(e) + \phi(e')y\phi(e') + 2\{\phi(e), y, \phi(e')\} = y\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 5.

LEMMA 7. *Let u and v be symmetries (selfadjoint unitaries) in M . Then $\phi(\alpha u + \beta v) = \phi(\alpha u) + \phi(\beta v)$ for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.*

Put $e = (1/2)(1+u)$ (resp. $f = (1/2)(1+v)$). Then e (resp. f) is a projection in M . Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\alpha u + \beta v) &= \phi(2\alpha e + 2\beta f - (\alpha + \beta) \cdot 1) \\ &= \phi(2\alpha e) + \phi(2\beta f) - \phi((\alpha + \beta) \cdot 1) \\ &= \phi(2\alpha e) + \phi(2\beta f) - (\phi(\alpha \cdot 1) + \phi(\beta \cdot 1)) \\ &= \phi(\alpha \cdot 1) \circ (2\phi(e) - 1) + \phi(\beta \cdot 1) \circ (2\phi(f) - 1)\end{aligned}$$

by Lemma 6, Corollary 4 and Lemma 3. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}2\phi(e) - 1 &= \phi(e) - (1 - \phi(e)) = \phi(e) - \phi(1 - e) \\ &= \phi(e - (1 - e)) = \phi(u)\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$2\phi(f) - 1 = \phi(v).$$

Therefore

$$\phi(\alpha u + \beta v) = \phi(\alpha u) + \phi(\beta v).$$

LEMMA 8. *Let h and k be selfadjoint elements in M . Then*

$$\phi(\alpha h + \beta k) = \phi(\alpha h) + \phi(\beta k)$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$.

In fact, let $\{e_i\}$ be the diagonal projections of the given system of matrix units $\{e_{ij}\}$ of M . Put $\gamma = \|h\| + \|k\|$, $h_1 = \gamma^{-1}h$ and $k_1 = \gamma^{-1}k$. Then there exist symmetries u_i, u_{ij} (resp. v_i, v_{ij}) such that $e_i h_1 e_i = e_i u_i e_i$ and $\{e_i, h_1, e_j\} = \{e_i, u_{ij}, e_j\}$ ($i \neq j$) (resp. $e_i k_1 e_i = e_i v_i e_i$ and $\{e_i, k_1, e_j\} = \{e_i, v_{ij}, e_j\}$ ($i \neq j$)) (see the proof of Lemma 1 in [1] and Lemma 3.5 in [2]; in fact, let

$$\begin{aligned}u_i &= e_i h_1 e_i + (e_i - e_i h_1 e_i h_1 e_i)^{1/2} e_{ij} + e_{ji} (e_i - e_i h_1 e_i h_1 e_i)^{1/2} \\ &\quad - e_{ji} h_1 e_{ij} + 1 - e_i - e_j \quad (i \neq j)\end{aligned}$$

and let

$$\begin{aligned}u_{ij} &= e_i h_1 e_j + e_j h_1 e_i + (e_i - e_i h_1 e_j h_1 e_i)^{1/2} \\ &\quad - (e_j - e_j h_1 e_i h_1 e_j)^{1/2} + 1 - e_i - e_j \quad (i \neq j),\end{aligned}$$

then u_i and u_{ij} enjoy all the requirements). Put

$$\begin{aligned}x &= \alpha h + \beta k, \quad y = \phi(\alpha h) + \phi(\beta k), \quad w_i = \alpha u_i + \beta v_i, \quad w_{ij} = \alpha u_{ij} + \beta v_{ij}, \\z_i &= \phi(\alpha u_i) + \phi(\beta v_i) \quad \text{and} \quad z_{ij} = \phi(\alpha u_{ij}) + \phi(\beta v_{ij}).\end{aligned}$$

Then $\phi(w_i) = z_i$ and $\phi(w_{ij}) = z_{ij}$ by Lemma 7. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x) &= \sum_i \phi(e_i) \phi(x) \phi(e_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \{ \phi(e_i), \phi(x), \phi(e_j) \} \\&= \sum_i \phi(e_i x e_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \phi(\{ e_i, x, e_j \}) \\&= \phi(\gamma \cdot 1) \circ (\sum_i \phi(e_i w_i e_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \phi(\{ e_i, w_{ij}, e_j \})) \\&= \phi(\gamma \cdot 1) \circ (\sum_i \phi(e_i) z_i \phi(e_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \{ \phi(e_i), z_{ij}, \phi(e_j) \}) \\&= \phi(\gamma \cdot 1) \circ (\sum_i \phi(e_i) (\phi(\alpha u_i) + \phi(\beta v_i)) \phi(e_i) \\&\quad + 2 \sum_{i < j} \{ \phi(e_i), \phi(\alpha u_{ij}) + \phi(\beta v_{ij}), \phi(e_j) \}) \\&= \phi(\gamma \cdot 1) \circ (\sum_i \phi(e_i (\alpha h_1) e_i) + \sum_i \phi(e_i (\beta k_1) e_i) \\&\quad + 2 \sum_{i < j} \phi(\{ e_i, \alpha h_1, e_j \}) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \phi(\{ e_i, \beta k_1, e_j \})) \\&= \phi(\gamma \cdot 1) \circ (\sum_i \phi(e_i) (\phi(\alpha h_1) + \phi(\beta k_1)) \phi(e_i) \\&\quad + 2 \sum_{i < j} \{ \phi(e_i), \phi(\alpha h_1) + \phi(\beta k_1), \phi(e_j) \}) \\&= \sum_i \phi(e_i) y \phi(e_i) + 2 \sum_{i < j} \{ \phi(e_i), y, \phi(e_j) \} \\&= y.\end{aligned}$$

PROOF OF THEOREM. Now we come to prove our theorem. Let h_j, k_j ($j=1, 2$) be selfadjoint elements in M such that $x = h_1 + i h_2, y = k_1 + i k_2$ ($i^2 = -1$). By Lemma 8,

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(x + y) &= \phi((h_1 + k_1) + i(h_2 + k_2)) \\&= \phi(h_1 + k_1) + \phi(i \cdot 1) \circ \phi(h_2 + k_2) \\&= (\phi(h_1) + \phi(k_1)) + \phi(i \cdot 1) \circ (\phi(h_2) + \phi(k_2)) \\&= (\phi(h_1) + \phi(i h_2)) + (\phi(k_1) + \phi(i k_2)) \\&= \phi(x) + \phi(y).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

PROOF OF COROLLARY. We need the following lemma which is well known to specialists. But for the sake of completeness we give here a proof.

LEMMA 9. *Keep the notations and assumptions in Corollary in mind, let a and b be any mutually commuting elements in M , then $\phi(a)\phi(b)=\phi(b)\phi(a)$. In particular, $\phi(\text{the center of } M) = \text{the center of } N$.*

In fact, if $ab=ba$, then $a \circ (b \circ x) = b \circ (a \circ x)$ for all $x \in M$, and so $\phi(a) \circ (\phi(b) \circ \phi(x)) = \phi(b) \circ (\phi(a) \circ \phi(x))$ for all $x \in M$. So $\phi(a)\phi(b) - \phi(b)\phi(a)$ is a central element in N , which implies that $\phi(a)\phi(b) - \phi(b)\phi(a)$ commutes with $\phi(a)$. Hence, by a theorem of Kleinecke ([4]), $z = \phi(a)\phi(b) - \phi(b)\phi(a)$ is a normal quasi-nilpotent element in N , and so, $z=0$, that is, $\phi(a)\phi(b) = \phi(b)\phi(a)$.

Let $\{p_i\}$ be a family of central orthogonal projections in M such that $\bigvee p_i = 1$ where Mp_1 has no finite type I direct summand and Mp_i ($i \geq 2$) is homogeneous of type I_{n_i} ($n_i \geq 2$). Then $\phi|Mp_i$ is a Jordan $*$ -map from Mp_i to $N\phi(p_i)$, because $\phi(p_i)$ is a central projection in N for each i by Lemma 9. By our theorem, it follows, for each i , that

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(x+y)\phi(p_i) &= \phi(xp_i + yp_i) = \phi(x)\phi(p_i) + \phi(y)\phi(p_i) \\ &= (\phi(x) + \phi(y))\phi(p_i) \end{aligned}$$

for every pair x and y in M , because each Mp_i has a system of $n_i \times n_i$ matrix units for some integer n_i with $n_i \geq 2$. Let $a = \phi(x+y) - \phi(x) - \phi(y)$ ($\in N$) and let b be the inverse image of a under ϕ in M . Then $\phi(bp_i) = \phi(b)\phi(p_i) = a\phi(p_i) = 0$ for each i . The injectivity of ϕ tells us that $bp_i = 0$ for each i . Since M is an AW^* -algebra, this implies that $b=0$ and so $a=0$, that is, $\phi(x+y) = \phi(x) + \phi(y)$ for all x and y in M . The rest of the proof is the same as in [2, Theorem 3.10].

Finally, the authors express their thanks to Professor S. Sakai for his valuable comments.

References

- [1] J. Hakeda, Characterizations of properly infinite von Neumann algebras, *Math. Japon.*, to appear.
- [2] J. Hakeda, Additivity of Jordan $*$ -maps on AW^* -algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [3] J. Hakeda, Additivity of $*$ -semigroup isomorphisms among $*$ -algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [4] D.C. Kleinecke, On operator commutators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 8 (1957), 535-536.

Jôsuke HAKEDA
Department of Basic Technology
Yamagata University
Yonezawa 992
Japan

Kazuyuki SAITÔ
Mathematical Institute
Tôhoku University
Sendai 980
Japan