

## The normality of $\Sigma$ -products and the perfect $\kappa$ -normality of Cartesian products

By Yukinobu YAJIMA

(Received March 3, 1983)

(Revised Nov. 29, 1983)

### § 0. Introduction.

Corson [3] introduced the concept of  $\Sigma$ -products, which are quite important subspaces of Cartesian products of topological spaces. He studied there the normality of  $\Sigma$ -products. On the other hand, Blair [2], Ščepin [16] and Terada [19] independently introduced the concept of perfect  $\kappa$ -normality (or Oz) which is analogous to that of normality. The former two studied there when Cartesian products of topological spaces are perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal. In these connections, the following two results (I) and (II) seem to be most remarkable:

(I) A  $\Sigma$ -product of metric spaces is (collectionwise) normal.

(II) A Cartesian product of metric spaces is perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal.

The former was proved by Gul'ko [4] and Rudin [9]. The latter was given by Ščepin [16]. Subsequently, Kombarov [8] obtained a nice extension of (I) as follows:

(III) For a  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma$  of paracompact  $p$ -spaces, (a)  $\Sigma$  is normal, (b)  $\Sigma$  is collectionwise normal and (c)  $\Sigma$  has countable tightness are equivalent.

As another generalized metric spaces, Okuyama [13] introduced the concept of  $\sigma$ -spaces. Subsequently, Nagami [11] introduced the class of  $\Sigma$ -spaces which contains both ones of  $\sigma$ -spaces and paracompact  $p$ -spaces. These generalized metric spaces play important roles in this paper.

Recently, the author [21] has proved that for a  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma$  of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces the implication (c) $\Rightarrow$ (b) in (III) is true. The first purpose of this paper is to prove that for such a  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma$  the implication (a) $\Leftrightarrow$ (b) is true. We also discuss the countable paracompactness of  $\Sigma$ -products. The second purpose of this paper is to obtain an extension of (II) for a Cartesian product of paracompact  $\sigma$ -spaces, the form of which is resemble to that of (c) $\Rightarrow$ (a) in (III). In process of proving this result, we consider the union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes in a Cartesian product of  $\sigma$ -spaces. This is closely related to a certain question of R. Pol and E. Pol [14] though it has been already solved by Klebanov [5].

All spaces considered here are assumed to be Hausdorff. The letters  $n, i, j$ ,

$k$  and  $r$  denote non-negative integers. The letter  $m$  denotes an infinite cardinal number. For a set  $A$ , the cardinality of  $A$  is denoted by  $|A|$ . For a subset  $T$  of a space  $S$ , the closure of  $T$  in  $S$  is denoted by  $\text{Cl}T$ .

### §1. Main theorems.

Let  $X = \prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda$  be a Cartesian product of spaces. Take a point  $s = \{s_\lambda\} \in X$ . For each  $x = \{x_\lambda\} \in X$ , let  $\text{Supp}(x) = \{\lambda \in A : x_\lambda \neq s_\lambda\}$ . The subspace  $\Sigma = \{x \in X : |\text{Supp}(x)| \leq \aleph_0\}$  of  $X$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -product [3] of spaces  $X_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in A$ . Such an  $s \in \Sigma$  is called the *base point* of  $\Sigma$ , which is often omitted. For a finite subset  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$  of  $A$ , the finite product  $\prod_{i=1}^n X_{\lambda_i}$  is called a *finite subproduct* of  $X$  or  $\Sigma$ .

A space  $S$  is called a  $\Sigma$ -space [11] if there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of locally finite closed covers of  $S$  such that each sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of  $S$ , with  $x_n \in \bigcap \{F : x \in F \in \mathcal{F}_n\}$  for each  $n \geq 1$  and some  $x \in S$ , has a cluster point.

A space  $S$  is called a  $\sigma$ -space [13] if it has a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed net.

A space  $S$  has *tightness*  $\leq m$  if for any  $T \subset S$  and  $x \in \text{Cl}T$  there exists some  $A \subset T$  such that  $|A| \leq m$  and  $x \in \text{Cl}A$ . In particular, we say that the space  $S$  has *countable tightness* if  $m = \aleph_0$ .

Normal spaces and collectionwise normal spaces are quite well-known. A space  $S$  is said to be *perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal* [16] (or Oz [2], [19]) if for each disjoint open sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  in  $S$  there exist disjoint cozero-sets  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  in  $S$  such that  $V_k \subset U_k$  ( $k=1, 2$ ).

The author [21] has proved the following theorem, which causes the motivations for our main theorems.

**THEOREM 0.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\Sigma$ -product of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces. If (each finite subproduct of)  $\Sigma$  has countable tightness, then it is collectionwise normal.*

The first main theorem is

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\Sigma$ -product of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces. Then  $\Sigma$  is collectionwise normal if and only if it is normal.*

The proof is performed in §2.

**REMARK 1.** There exists a non-normal  $\Sigma$ -product of compact spaces (cf. [6]). The normality of  $\Sigma$ -products of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces does not imply that they have countable tightness. Because there are two Lašnev spaces  $S$  and  $T$  such that  $S \times T$  has not countable tightness (cf. [1, p. 68]).

The second main theorem is

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $X$  be a Cartesian product of paracompact  $\sigma$ -spaces. If each finite subproduct of  $X$  has countable tightness, then  $X$  is perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal.*

The proof is obtained in the final part of §4. It may be interesting to compare the forms of Theorems 0 and 2.

REMARK 2. Since perfect  $\kappa$ -normality is hereditary with respect to dense subspaces (cf. [2], [19]), the “Cartesian product” in Theorem 2 can be replaced by the “ $\Sigma$ -product”.

REMARK 3. Ščepin [17] introduced the concept of  $\kappa$ -metrizable, in terms of which he obtained an extension of his result (II) in the introduction. Of course, the form of it is quite different from that of Theorem 2.

§2. Proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 1 ([11]). Let  $S$  be a (strong)  $\Sigma$ -space. Then there exists a sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of locally finite closed covers of  $S$ , satisfying the following conditions:

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}_n = \{F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in \Omega\}$  for each  $n \geq 1$ .
- (2) Each  $F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$  is the sum of all  $F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n \alpha_{n+1})$ ,  $\alpha_{n+1} \in \Omega$ .
- (3) For each  $x \in S$  there exists a sequence  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots \in \Omega$ , satisfying

(i)  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$  contains  $x$  (and is compact),

(ii) if  $\{K_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is a decreasing sequence of non-empty closed sets in  $S$  such that  $K_n \subset F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)$  for each  $n \geq 1$ , then  $\bigcap_{n=1}^\infty K_n \neq \emptyset$ .

The above sequence  $\{\mathcal{F}_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  is called a spectral (strong)  $\Sigma$ -net of  $S$ . Moreover, we say that the above sequence  $\{F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n)\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in (3) is a local  $\Sigma$ -net of  $x$ . Note that paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces and  $\sigma$ -spaces are strong  $\Sigma$ -spaces and that the classes of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces and strong  $\Sigma$ -spaces are countably productive (cf. [11]).

The idea of the proof of Theorem 1 is essentially due to that of Theorem 0. So we use again the following notations which have been used in [21].

Notations for  $\Sigma$ : Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\Sigma$ -product of spaces  $X_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in A$ . For the set  $A$ , let  $A_\omega$  be the set of all non-empty countable subsets of  $A$ . Let  $\mathcal{E}$  be an index set such that  $R_\xi \in A_\omega$  is assigned for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . Then a countable subproduct  $\prod_{\lambda \in R_\xi} X_\lambda$  of  $\Sigma$  is abbreviated by  $X_\xi$  and the projection of  $\Sigma$  onto  $X_\xi$  is denoted by  $p_\xi$  for each  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . For a collection  $\mathcal{A}$  of subsets of  $\Sigma$ ,  $\bigcup \mathcal{A}$  denotes  $\bigcup \{A : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$ .

Notations for a  $n \times n$  matrix  $\xi = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq n}$ : The  $k \times k$  matrix  $(\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq k}$  is denoted by  $\xi_k$  for  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . In particular,  $\xi_{n-1}$  is often abbreviated by  $\xi_-$  and  $\xi_0$  implies the  $0 \times 0$  matrix which is the empty matrix ( $\emptyset$ ).

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\Sigma$ -product of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces  $X_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in A$ , with a base point  $s \in \Sigma$ . Assume that  $\Sigma$  is normal. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a discrete collection of closed sets in  $\Sigma$ .

Now, for each  $n \geq 0$  we construct a collection  $\mathcal{U}_n$  of open sets in  $\Sigma$  and an

index set  $\Xi_n$  of  $n \times n$  matrices such that  $R_\xi \in \Lambda_\omega$ ,  $\Omega(\xi)$ ,  $E(\xi) \subset \Sigma$ ,  $G(\xi) \subset \Sigma$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\xi) \subset \mathcal{D}$  and  $\{x(\xi, D) : D \in \mathcal{D}(\xi)\} \subset \Sigma$  are given for each  $\xi \in \Xi_n$ , satisfying the following conditions (1)-(7):

(1) Each  $\mathcal{U}_n$  is locally finite in  $\Sigma$  such that for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}_n$   $\text{Cl}U$  intersects at most one member of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

(2) For each  $\xi \in \Xi_n$ ,  $\{F(\alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_k) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Omega(\xi)\}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , is a spectral  $\Sigma$ -net of  $X_\xi$ .

(3) For each  $\hat{\xi} = (\alpha_{ij})_{i, j \leq n} \in \Xi_n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\hat{\xi}_{k-1} \in \Xi_{k-1}$  and  $\alpha_{k1}, \dots, \alpha_{kn} \in \Omega(\hat{\xi}_{k-1})$ .

(4)  $\{G(\xi) : \xi \in \Xi_n\}$  is a locally finite collection of open sets in  $\Sigma$  such that for each  $\hat{\xi} = (\alpha_{ij})_{i, j \leq n} \in \Xi_n$

$$E(\hat{\xi}) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n p_{\hat{\xi}_{i-1}}^{-1}(F(\alpha_{i1} \cdots \alpha_{in})) \subset G(\hat{\xi})$$

and  $p_{\hat{\xi}}^{-1} p_{\hat{\xi}_-}(G(\hat{\xi})) = G(\hat{\xi})$ .

(5) Let  $\mu = (\alpha_{ij})_{i, j \leq n-1} \in \Xi_{n-1}$ ,  $\alpha_{in} \in \Omega(\mu_{i-1})$  and  $\alpha_{nj} \in \Omega(\mu)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Then

$$\bigcap_{i=1}^n p_{\mu_{i-1}}^{-1}(F((\alpha_{i1} \cdots \alpha_{in})) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D})) \not\subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}_n$$

implies  $(\alpha_{ij})_{i, j \leq n} \in \Xi_n$ .

(6) For each  $\xi \in \Xi_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(\xi)$  is an infinite countable subcollection of  $\mathcal{D}$  with  $x(\xi, D) \in E(\xi) \cap D$  for each  $D \in \mathcal{D}(\xi)$ .

(7) For each  $\xi \in \Xi_n$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$R_\xi = R_{\xi_-} \cup \bigcup \{\text{Supp}(x(\xi, D)) : D \in \mathcal{D}(\xi)\}.$$

Let  $\Xi_0 = \{\xi_0\}$  and  $\mathcal{U}_0 = \{\emptyset\}$ . Let  $E(\xi_0) = G(\xi_0) = \Sigma$ . Take an arbitrary  $R_{\xi_0} \in \Lambda_\omega$ .

Assume that the above construction has been already performed for no greater than  $n$ . Take a  $\hat{\xi} \in \Xi_n$ . Since  $\hat{\xi}_i \in \Xi_i$  and  $\Omega(\hat{\xi}_i)$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$  have been already constructed, we set

$$\Xi(\hat{\xi}) = \{\eta = (\alpha_{ij})_{i, j \leq n+1} : \eta_- = \hat{\xi}, \alpha_{in+1} \in \Omega(\hat{\xi}_{i-1})$$

$$\text{and } \alpha_{n+1j} \in \Omega(\hat{\xi}) \text{ for } 1 \leq i, j \leq n+1\}.$$

Moreover, for each  $\eta = (\alpha_{ij})_{i, j \leq n+1} \in \Xi(\hat{\xi})$  we set

$$E(\eta) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} p_{\hat{\xi}_{i-1}}^{-1}(F(\alpha_{i1} \cdots \alpha_{in+1})).$$

Then we have

$$p_{\hat{\xi}}(E(\eta)) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{n+1} p_{\hat{\xi}} p_{\hat{\xi}_{i-1}}^{-1}(F(\alpha_{i1} \cdots \alpha_{in+1})).$$

By (2),  $\{p_{\hat{\xi}}(E(\eta)) : \eta \in \Xi(\hat{\xi})\}$  is locally finite in  $X_{\hat{\xi}}$ . Since  $X_{\hat{\xi}}$  is paracompact and  $E(\eta) \subset E(\hat{\xi}) \subset G(\hat{\xi})$ , there exists a locally finite collection  $\{G(\eta) : \eta \in \Xi(\hat{\xi})\}$  of open

sets in  $\Sigma$  such that

$$E(\eta) \subset G(\eta) \subset G(\xi) \quad \text{and} \quad p_{\xi}^{-1}p_{\xi}(G(\eta)) = G(\eta)$$

for each  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}(\xi)$ . We set

$$\mathcal{E}_+(\xi) = \{\eta \in \mathcal{E}(\xi) : E(\eta) \text{ intersects at most finitely many members of } \mathcal{D}\}$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_-(\xi) = \mathcal{E}(\xi) \setminus \mathcal{E}_+(\xi)$ . Since  $\Sigma$  is normal, for each  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_+(\xi)$  there exists a finite collection  $\mathcal{U}(\eta)$  of open sets in  $\Sigma$  such that

- (i) for each  $U \in \mathcal{U}(\eta)$ ,  $\text{Cl}U$  intersects exactly one member of  $\mathcal{D}$ ,
- (ii)  $E(\eta) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{D}) \subset \bigcup \mathcal{U}(\eta)$ ,
- (iii)  $\bigcup \mathcal{U}(\eta) \subset G(\eta)$ .

Here, running  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_n$ , we set

$$\mathcal{U}_{n+1} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{U}(\eta) : \eta \in \mathcal{E}_+(\xi) \text{ and } \xi \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$$

and  $\mathcal{E}_{n+1} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{E}_-(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$ . Then (1), (3), (4) and (5) are satisfied. By the choices of  $\mathcal{E}_-(\xi)$  and  $\mathcal{E}_{n+1}$ , for each  $\eta \in \mathcal{E}_{n+1}$  we can take some  $\mathcal{D}(\eta) \subset \mathcal{D}$  and  $\{x(\eta, D) : D \in \mathcal{D}(\eta)\}$ , satisfying (6). Moreover, we define  $R_\eta \in \mathcal{A}_\omega$  as it satisfies (7). Since  $X_\eta$  is a  $\Sigma$ -space, it follows from Lemma 1 that there exists a spectral  $\Sigma$ -net of  $X_\eta$  with an index set  $\mathcal{Q}(\eta)$ , which satisfies (2). Thus, we have inductively accomplished the desired construction.

Set  $\mathcal{U} = \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty \mathcal{U}_n$ . Then, by (1),  $\mathcal{U}$  is a  $\sigma$ -locally finite collection of open sets in  $\Sigma$  such that the closure of each member of  $\mathcal{U}$  intersects at most one member of  $\mathcal{D}$ . In order to prove that  $\Sigma$  is collectionwise normal, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{U}$  covers  $\bigcup \mathcal{D}$ . Assume the contrary and pick some  $y \in \bigcup \mathcal{D} \setminus \bigcup \mathcal{U}$ . By (2) and (5), we can inductively choose a sequence  $(\alpha_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,\dots}$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$   $\xi^n = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq n} \in \mathcal{E}_n$  and  $\{F(\alpha_{n1} \cdots \alpha_{nk})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a local  $\Sigma$ -net of  $p_{\xi^{n-1}}(y)$  in  $X_{\xi^{n-1}}$ , where  $\alpha_{nk} \in \mathcal{Q}(\xi^{n-1})$  and  $\xi^0 = (\emptyset)$ . By (6), we can also choose a sequence  $\{D_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  of distinct members of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $D_n \in \mathcal{D}(\xi^n)$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Let  $x_n = x(\xi^n, D_n)$  for each  $n \geq 1$ . Moreover, for each  $n, k$  with  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , we set  $L_{nk} = \{p_{\xi^n}(x_i) : i \geq k\}$ . Then we have

$$\text{Cl}L_{nk} \subset F(\alpha_{n1} \cdots \alpha_{nk}) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Cl}L_{n,k+1} \subset \text{Cl}L_{nk}.$$

In the same way as the both proofs of [6, Theorem 1] and [21, Theorem 1], one can find a point  $x_\infty$  of  $\Sigma$  such that each basic open neighborhood of  $x_\infty$  in  $\Sigma$  contains infinitely many  $x_n$ 's. This verification is a standard one. So the detail of it is left to the reader. Thus the infinite subcollection  $\{D_n : n \geq 1\}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is not discrete at  $x_\infty$  in  $\Sigma$ . This is a contradiction. The proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

Recall that a space  $S$  is said to be *collectionwise Hausdorff* if for each closed discrete set  $D$  in  $S$  there exists a disjoint collection  $\{V_x : x \in D\}$  of open sets

such that each  $V_x$  contains  $x$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *A  $\Sigma$ -product of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces is collectionwise Hausdorff.*

In the proof of Theorem 1, we consider a discrete closed set  $D$  and the regularity of  $\Sigma$  instead of the above  $\mathcal{D}$  and the normality of it, respectively. Then the proof of Theorem 3 is quite parallel to that of Theorem 1.

For a Cartesian product  $X = \prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda$  of spaces, the subspace  $\Sigma_m = \{x \in X : |\text{Supp}(x)| \leq m\}$  is called a  $\Sigma_m$ -product [7] (with a base point  $s \in \Sigma_m$ ). We can also obtain the following result which is more general than Theorem 1.

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\Sigma_m$  be a  $\Sigma_m$ -product of paracompact  $\Sigma$ -spaces. Then  $\Sigma_m$  is collectionwise normal if and only if it is normal.*

Using [12, Theorem 2.7], one will notice that the proof is also quite parallel to that of Theorem 1.

### §3. The countable paracompactness of $\Sigma$ -products.

Until now, the countable paracompactness of  $\Sigma$ -products has been hardly discussed. Because, as in [3], the normality of  $\Sigma$ -products often yields the countable paracompactness of them as a corollary. Here, for a  $\Sigma$ -product which may be non-normal, we consider when it is a  $P$ -space (in the sense of Morita [10]). In the sequel, such a  $\Sigma$ -product is countably paracompact if it is normal.

We use a certain characterization of  $P$ -spaces in [18]: A space  $S$  is called a  $P$ -space if for each finite decreasing sequence  $\{K_1, \dots, K_r\}$  of closed sets in  $S$  one can assign a closed set  $\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r)$  in  $S$ , satisfying

- (i)  $\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r) \cap K_r = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii) for each decreasing sequence  $\{K_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$  of closed sets in  $S$  with  $\bigcap_{r=1}^\infty K_r = \emptyset$ ,  $\{\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r) : r \geq 1\}$  covers  $S$ .

**THEOREM 5.** *A  $\Sigma$ -product of strong  $\Sigma$ -spaces is a  $P$ -space.*

**PROOF.** Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\Sigma$ -product of strong  $\Sigma$ -spaces  $X_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in A$ , with a base point  $s \in \Sigma$ . We also use the notations in §2.

Let  $\{K_1, \dots, K_r\}$  be a finite decreasing sequence of closed sets in  $\Sigma$ . For each  $0 \leq n \leq r$ , we construct two index sets  $E_n$  and  $E_n^*$  of  $n \times n$  matrices with  $E_n^* \subset E_n$  such that for each  $\xi \in E_n$   $E(\xi) \subset \Sigma$  is given and for each  $\xi \in E_n^*$   $R_\xi \in A_\omega$ ,  $\Omega(\xi)$  and  $x_\xi \in \Sigma$  are given, satisfying the following conditions (1)-(6):

- (1) For each  $\xi \in E_n^*$ ,  $\{F(\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_k) : \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k \in \Omega(\xi)\}$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , is a spectral strong  $\Sigma$ -net of  $X_{x_\xi}$ .
- (2) For each  $\xi = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq n} \in E_n$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ ,  $\xi_{k-1} \in E_{k-1}^*$  and  $\alpha_{k1}, \dots, \alpha_{kn} \in \Omega(\xi_{k-1})$ .

- (3) For each  $\xi = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq n} \in E_n$ ,  $E(\xi) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n p_{\xi_{i-1}}^{-1}(F(\alpha_{i1} \dots \alpha_{in}))$ .

(4) If  $\mu = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq n-1} \in \mathcal{E}_{n-1}^*$ ,  $\alpha_{in} \in \Omega(\mu_{i-1})$  and  $\alpha_{nj} \in \Omega(\mu)$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , then  $\xi = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq n} \in \mathcal{E}_n$ . If  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_n$  and  $E(\xi) \cap K_n \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_n^*$ .

(5) For each  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_n^*$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $x_\xi \in E(\xi) \cap K_n$ .

(6) For each  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}_n^*$ ,  $n \geq 1$ ,  $R_\xi = R_{\xi^-} \cup \text{Supp}(x_\xi)$ .

The above construction is rather easier than that of the proof of Theorem 1. So the detail is left to the reader.

Now, we set

$$\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r) = \bigcup \{E(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}_n \setminus \mathcal{E}_n^* \text{ and } n \leq r\}.$$

Since it follows from (1) and (3) that  $\{E(\xi) : \xi \in \mathcal{E}_n\}$  is locally finite in  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r)$  is closed in  $\Sigma$ . Moreover, by (4),  $\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r)$  is disjoint from  $K_r$ .

Let  $\{K_r\}_{r=1}^\infty$  be a decreasing sequence of closed sets in  $\Sigma$  with the empty intersection. It suffices to show that  $\{\Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r)\}_{r=1}^\infty$  covers  $\Sigma$ . Assuming the contrary, pick some  $y \in \Sigma \setminus \bigcup_{r=1}^\infty \Phi(K_1, \dots, K_r)$ . By (1) and (4), we can inductively choose a sequence  $(\alpha_{ij})_{i,j=1,2,\dots}$  such that for each  $n \geq 1$   $\xi^n = (\alpha_{ij})_{i,j \leq n} \in \mathcal{E}_n^*$  and  $\{F(\alpha_{n1} \dots \alpha_{nk})\}_{k=1}^\infty$  is a local strong  $\Sigma$ -net of  $p_{\xi^{n-1}}(y)$  in  $X_{\xi^{n-1}}$ , where  $\alpha_{nk} \in \Omega(\xi^{n-1})$  and  $\xi^0 = (\emptyset)$ . For each  $n, k$  with  $1 \leq n \leq k$ , we set  $L_{nk} = \{p_{\xi^n}(x_{\xi^i}) : i \geq k\}$ . In the same way as the both proofs of [6, Theorem 1] and [21, Theorem 1], one can find a point  $x_\infty \in \Sigma$  such that each basic open neighborhood of  $x_\infty$  in  $\Sigma$  intersects all  $K_r$ 's. This implies  $x_\infty \in \bigcap_{r=1}^\infty K_r$ , which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

Immediately, we have

**COROLLARY 1.** *A (normal)  $\Sigma$ -product of strong  $\Sigma$ -spaces is countably meta-compact (paracompact).*

#### §4. Subsets of Cartesian products of $\sigma$ -spaces.

Let  $X = \prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda$  be a Cartesian product of spaces. For a subset  $R$  of  $A$ , the subproduct  $\prod_{\lambda \in R} X_\lambda$  of  $X$  is denoted by  $X_R$  and the projection of  $X$  onto  $X_R$  is denoted by  $p_R$ . A subset of the form  $\prod_{\lambda \in A} K_\lambda$ , where  $K_\lambda \subset X_\lambda$  for each  $\lambda \in A$ , is called an  $m$ -cube in  $X$  if  $|\{\lambda \in A : K_\lambda \neq X_\lambda\}| \leq m$ . In particular, we call it an  $\aleph_0$ -cube if  $m = \aleph_0$ .

R. Pol and E. Pol [14] raised the question of whether, for a Cartesian product of completely metric spaces, a closed union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes in it is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Recently, Klebanov [5] gave an affirmative answer to this question, showing that, for a Cartesian product of metric spaces, the closure of union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes in it is a zero-set. Here, we prove the following result, which yields an extension of his one in the sequel (see our Theorem 2' below).

**THEOREM 6.** *Let  $X$  be a Cartesian product of  $\sigma$ -spaces. Then a closed set in  $X$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set if and only if it is a union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X$  be a Cartesian product of  $\sigma$ -spaces  $X_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ . Let  $K$  be a closed set in  $X$  which is a union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes.

As before, let  $\Lambda_\omega$  be the set of all non-empty countable subset of  $\Lambda$ . In the below, for an  $R(F) \in \Lambda_\omega$ , the subproduct of  $X_{R(F)}$  of  $X$  and the projection  $p_{R(F)}$  are abbreviated by  $X_F$  and  $p_F$ , respectively.

For each  $n \geq 0$ , we construct two collections  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  of closed sets in  $X$ , a function  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  and two functions  $x$  and  $R$  of  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  into  $X$  and  $\Lambda_\omega$ , respectively, satisfying the following conditions (1)-(5) for each  $n \geq 0$ :

- (1)  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is  $\sigma$ -locally finite in  $X$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_0 = \{X\}$ .
- (2)  $\mathcal{F}_n^* = \{F \in \mathcal{F}_n : F \cap K \neq \emptyset\}$ .
- (3) For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $p_{F_-}(F)$  is a closed set in  $X_{F_-}$  and  $p_{F_-}^{-1}p_{F_-}(F) = F$ , where  $F_- = \phi(F)$ .
- (4) For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$ ,  $\{p_F(H) : H \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1} \text{ with } \phi(H) = F\}$  forms a closed net of the closed set  $p_F(F)$  in  $X_F$ .
- (5) For each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$ ,  $x(F) \in F \cap K$ ,  $R(\phi(F)) \subset R(F)$  and  $p_{F_-}^{-1}p_{F_-}(x(F)) \subset K$ .

For the case of  $n=0$ , the construction is easily performed. Assume that the construction has been already performed for no greater than  $n$ . Fix an  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$  with  $\phi(F) = E$ . It should be noted by (3) that  $p_E(F)$  is closed in  $X_E$  and  $p_F(F) = p_F p_E^{-1} p_E(F)$ . Since  $X_F$  is a  $\sigma$ -space (cf. [13, Theorem 2.2]), so is  $p_F(F)$ . There exists a  $\sigma$ -locally finite closed net  $\mathcal{N}_{n+1}(F)$  of  $p_F(F)$ . We set  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(F) = \{p_{F_-}^{-1}(N) : N \in \mathcal{N}_{n+1}(F)\}$ . Here, running  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$ , we set  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(F) : F \in \mathcal{F}_n^*\}$  and define the function  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}$  into  $\mathcal{F}_n^*$  as  $\phi(\mathcal{F}_{n+1}(F)) = \{F\}$  for each  $F \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}^*$  is defined as in (2). Then  $\mathcal{F}_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^*$  and  $\phi$  satisfy (1)-(4). For each  $H \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^*$ , pick any point  $x(H)$  of  $H \cap K$ . Since  $x(H)$  is a point of some  $\aleph_0$ -cube contained in  $K$ , we can take some  $R(H) \in \Lambda_\omega$  satisfying (5). Thus we have inductively accomplished the desired construction.

Now, we set  $G = \bigcup \{F \in \mathcal{F}_n : F \cap K = \emptyset \text{ and } n \geq 0\}$ . It follows from (1) that  $G$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -set disjoint from  $K$ . Assume  $G \neq X \setminus K$ . Pick a point  $y$  of  $X \setminus (G \cup K)$  and take a basic open neighborhood  $U$  of  $y$  in  $X$ , disjoint from  $K$ . Then we can inductively choose a sequence  $\{F_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  such that for each  $n \geq 0$

- (i)  $F_n \in \mathcal{F}_n^*$  with  $\phi(F_n) = F_{n-1}$ ,
- (ii)  $p_{F_n}(y) \in p_{F_n}(F_{n+1}) \subset p_{F_n}(U)$ .

Indeed, assume that  $F_i, i \leq n$ , have been already chosen. By (ii) and (3), we have  $p_{F_n}(y) \in p_{F_n}(F_n)$ . By (4), we can choose some  $F_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}$ , satisfying (i) and (ii). Again by (3), we have  $y \in F_{n+1}$ . So  $F_{n+1} \notin \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^*$  implies  $y \in F_{n+1} \subset G$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $F_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}_{n+1}^*$ .

We set  $R_\infty = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty R(F_n)$ . Since  $\{R(F_n)\}_{n=0}^\infty$  is non-decreasing, we can take



some  $k \geq 1$  such that

$$p_{F_{k-1}}(U) \times \prod \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in R_\infty \setminus R(F_{k-1})\} \times p_{A \setminus R_\infty}(U) = U.$$

We take the point  $z$  of  $X$  defined by  $p_{R_\infty}(z) = p_{R_\infty}(x_k)$ , where  $x_k = x(F_k)$ , and  $p_{A \setminus R_\infty}(z) = p_{A \setminus R_\infty}(y)$ . Then we have  $z \in U \subset X \setminus K$ . On the other hand, by (5), we have

$$z \in p_{R_\infty}^{-1} p_{R_\infty}(x_k) \subset p_{F_k}^{-1} p_{F_k}(x_k) \subset K.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence  $K$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set in  $X$ . Since the converse is obvious, the proof is complete.

Recall that a space  $S$  is said to be *perfect* if each closed set in  $S$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $Y$  be a space which is a closed continuous image of a Cartesian product of  $\sigma$ -spaces. Then  $Y$  is perfect if and only if each point of  $Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X$  be a Cartesian product of  $\sigma$ -spaces and  $f$  a closed continuous map of  $X$  onto  $Y$ . Assume that each  $y \in Y$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Let  $F$  be a closed set in  $Y$ . Since  $f^{-1}(F)$  is a closed set in  $X$  which is a union of  $G_\delta$ -sets, it is a union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes. It follows from Theorem 6 that  $f^{-1}(F)$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Since  $f$  is a closed map,  $F$  is also a  $G_\delta$ -set.

Next, we show a generalization of [14, Corollary 2].

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $X$  be a Cartesian product of spaces, each finite subproduct of which has tightness  $\leq m$ . If  $Y$  is a union of  $m$ -cubes in  $X$ , then  $\text{Cl}Y$  is also a union of  $m$ -cubes.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X = \prod_{\lambda \in A} X_\lambda$ . Pick a point  $y$  of  $\text{Cl}Y$ . We construct two sequences  $\{A_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  and  $\{R_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  of subsets of  $Y$  and  $A$ , respectively, satisfying for each  $n \geq 0$

- (1)  $|A_n| \leq m, |R_n| \leq m,$
- (2)  $p_{R_{n-1}}(y) \in \text{Cl} p_{R_{n-1}}(A_n),$
- (3)  $p_{R_n}^{-1} p_{R_n}(A_n) \subset Y$  and  $R_n \subset R_{n+1}.$

Assume that the construction has been already performed for no greater than  $n$ . It follows from [9, Remark 3] and (1) that  $X_{R_n}$  has tightness  $\leq m$ . Since  $p_{R_n}(y) \in \text{Cl} p_{R_n}(Y)$ , we can take some  $A_{n+1} \subset Y$  satisfying (1) and (2). For each  $a \in A_{n+1}$ , there exists some  $R_a \subset A$  such that  $|R_a| \leq m$  and  $p_{R_a}^{-1} p_{R_a}(a) \subset Y$ . Here, we set  $R_{n+1} = \bigcup \{R_a : a \in A_{n+1}\} \cup R_n$ . Then  $R_{n+1}$  and  $A_{n+1}$  satisfy (1) and (3). The construction has been accomplished.

Now, we set  $R = \bigcup_{n=0}^\infty R_n$ . Then  $|R| \leq m$  is clear. We show that the  $m$ -cube  $p_R^{-1} p_R(y)$  is contained in  $\text{Cl}Y$ . Pick any point  $x$  of  $p_R^{-1} p_R(y)$  and take any basic open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $X$ . We can take some  $k \geq 1$  such that

$$p_{R_k}(U) \times \prod \{X_\lambda : \lambda \in R \setminus R_k\} \times p_{A \setminus R}(U) = U.$$

Since  $p_{R_k}(y) = p_{R_k}(x) \in p_{R_k}(U)$ , by (2), there exists some  $a \in R_{k+1}$  such that  $p_{R_k}(a) \in p_{R_k}(U)$ . So we take the point  $z$  of  $X$  defined by  $p_R(z) = p_R(a)$  and  $p_{\Lambda R}(z) = p_{\Lambda R}(x)$ . Then we have  $z \in U$ . On the other hand, by (3), we have  $z \in Y$ . Hence  $U$  intersects  $Y$ , which implies  $x \in \text{Cl}Y$ . The proof is complete.

In the case of  $m = \aleph_0$ , we have

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $X$  be a Cartesian product of spaces, each finite subproduct of which has countable tightness. Then the closure of a union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes in  $X$  is also a union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes.*

Let's complete the proof of Theorem 2 in § 1. Note that a perfectly  $\kappa$ -normal space is equivalently a space whose regular closed sets are always zero-sets (cf. [2], [16]). So, in order to prove Theorem 2, it suffices to show the following result, which is barely more general than it.

**THEOREM 2'.** *Let  $X$  be a Cartesian product of paracompact  $\sigma$ -spaces. If each finite subproduct of  $X$  has countable tightness, then the closure of a union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes in  $X$  is a zero-set.*

**PROOF.** Let  $X = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda} X_\lambda$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $\Sigma$ -product of the spaces  $X_\lambda$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . It follows from Theorem 0 and [20, Theorem 1] that  $\Sigma$  is normal and  $C$ -embedded. Let  $F$  be the closure of a union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes in  $X$ . By Corollary 3,  $F$  is a closed union of  $\aleph_0$ -cubes. Moreover, by Theorem 6,  $F$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set. Hence it follows from [14, Proposition 2] that  $F$  is a zero-set. The proof is complete.

## References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, Structure and classification of topological spaces and cardinal invariants, Russian Math. Surveys, **33** (1978), 33-96.
- [2] R. L. Blair, Spaces in which special sets are  $z$ -embedded, Canad. J. Math., **28** (1976), 673-690.
- [3] H. H. Corson, Normality in subsets of product spaces, Amer. J. Math., **81** (1959), 785-796.
- [4] S. P. Gul'ko, On the properties of subsets of  $\Sigma$ -products, Soviet Math. Dokl., **18** (1977), 1438-1442.
- [5] B. S. Klebanov, Remarks on subsets of Cartesian products of metric spaces, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **23** (1982), 767-784.
- [6] A. P. Kombarov, On  $\Sigma$ -products of topological spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl., **12** (1971), 1101-1104.
- [7] A. P. Kombarov, On the normality of  $\Sigma_m$ -products, Soviet Math. Dokl., **14** (1973), 1050-1054.
- [8] A. P. Kombarov, On tightness and normality of  $\Sigma$ -products, Soviet Math. Dokl., **19** (1978), 403-407.
- [9] V. I. Malyhin, On tightness and Souslin number in  $\exp X$  and in a product of spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl., **13** (1972), 496-499.
- [10] K. Morita, Products of normal spaces with metric spaces, Math. Ann., **154** (1964),

- 365-382.
- [11] K. Nagami,  $\Sigma$ -spaces, *Fund. Math.*, **65** (1969), 169-192.
  - [12] K. Nagami, Countable paracompactness of inverse limits and products, *Fund. Math.*, **73** (1972), 261-270.
  - [13] A. Okuyama, Some generalizations of metric spaces, their metrization theorems and product spaces, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A*, **9** (1967), 236-254.
  - [14] R. Pol and E. Pol, Remarks on Cartesian products, *Fund. Math.*, **93** (1976), 57-69.
  - [15] M. E. Rudin, Book Review, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **84** (1978), 271-272.
  - [16] V. E. Ščepin, On topological products, groups and a new class of spaces more general than metric spaces, *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, **17** (1976), 152-155.
  - [17] V. E. Ščepin, Topology of limit spaces of uncountable inverse spectra, *Russian Math. Surveys*, **31** (1976), 155-191.
  - [18] R. Telgársky, A characterization of  $P$ -spaces, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **51** (1975), 802-807.
  - [19] T. Terada, Note on  $z$ -,  $C^*$ -, and  $C$ -embedding, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A*, **13** (1975), 129-132.
  - [20] M. G. Tkačenko, The notion of  $\mathfrak{o}$ -tightness and  $C$ -embedded subspaces of products, *Topology and Appl.*, **15** (1983), 93-98.
  - [21] Y. Yajima, On  $\Sigma$ -products of  $\Sigma$ -spaces, *Fund. Math.*, to appear.

Yukinobu YAJIMA  
Department of Mathematics  
Kanagawa University  
Yokohama 221, Japan