

## Some statement which implies the existence of Ramsey ultrafilters on $\omega$

By Shizuo KAMO

(Received March 1, 1982)

### 1. Introduction and results.

Throughout this paper, we work in Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory with choice (ZFC). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter on  $A$  and let  $f$  be a function from  $A$  to  $B$ .  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  denotes the filter  $\{y \subset B; f^{-1}y \in \mathfrak{F}\}$ .  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be *free* if  $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ .  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be *ample* if there exists an infinite subset  $A_0$  of  $A$  such that, for any  $x \in \mathfrak{F}$ ,  $A_0 - x$  is finite.  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be *weakly ample* if, for any free ultrafilter (uf)  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\omega$ , there exists a function  $g$  from  $\omega$  to  $A$  such that  $g(\mathfrak{U}) \supset \mathfrak{F}$ . It is trivial that any free, ample filter is weakly ample. For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ , we denote by  $\text{AN}(\kappa)$  the statement: "any free, weakly ample filter on  $\kappa$  is ample". It is easy to see that, whenever  $\kappa \leq \lambda$ ,  $\text{AN}(\lambda)$  implies  $\text{AN}(\kappa)$ . Puritz proved the following Theorem 1.

THEOREM 1 (Puritz [5]).

- (a) The continuum hypothesis (CH) implies  $\text{AN}(c)$ , where  $c$  denotes  $2^\omega$ .
- (b)  $\text{AN}(\omega)$  implies that there are  $P$ -points on  $\omega$ .
- (c)  $\text{AN}(2^c)$  does not hold.

He asked whether the existence of  $P$ -points implies  $\text{AN}(\omega)$ . This question is answered negatively by Theorem 5 which appears below. By Theorem 1 (a), (c), under the assumption  $\text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ ,  $\text{AN}(\kappa)$  holds if and only if  $\kappa = \omega$  or  $\kappa = \omega_1$ . Let  $P$  be the statement: "any free,  $\kappa$ -generated filter on  $\omega$  is ample, for all  $\kappa < c$ ". Then, the proof of Theorem 1 (a) (in [5; p. 222]) yields a proof of that  $P$  implies  $\text{AN}(c)$ . Since Martin's Axiom (MA) implies  $P$  (cf. [4; Theorem 5]), it holds that MA implies  $\text{AN}(c)$ . By this, Theorem 1 (b) and a result of Shelah that the existence of  $P$ -points is unprovable (in ZFC), the negation of CH implies neither  $\text{AN}(c)$  nor  $\neg \text{AN}(c)$ .

We shall consider what cardinals  $\kappa$  satisfy  $\text{AN}(\kappa)$  in the cases where  $\text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$  fails. Our results are the following Theorems which are proved in Sections 3~6.

THEOREM 2.

- (a)  $\text{AN}(\omega)$  implies  $\text{AN}(c)$ .
- (b)  $\text{AN}(\omega)$  implies that there are  $c^+$  Ramsey ufs on  $\omega$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal such that  $2^c \leq \kappa^\omega$ . Then,  $\text{AN}(\kappa)$  does not hold.

THEOREM 5. The existence of  $c^+$  Ramsey ufs on  $\omega$  does not imply  $\text{AN}(\omega)$ .

THEOREM 6.

- (a)  $\text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3$  does not imply  $\text{AN}(\omega_2)$ .
- (b)  $\text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3$  does not imply  $\neg \text{AN}(\omega_2)$ .

## 2. Notations and definitions.

We adopt the notions and conventions of current set theory. In particular, an ordinal is the set of its predecessors and cardinals are initial ordinals.  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  are used to denote cardinals and other lower case Greek letters are used to denote ordinals. For any cardinals  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ ,  $\kappa^\lambda$  is the cardinality of the set of all functions from  $\lambda$  to  $\kappa$ .  $\omega$  is the first infinite cardinal and  $\omega_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -th infinite cardinal. For any set  $X$ ,  $|X|$  denotes the cardinality of  $X$ ,  $P(X)$  denotes the power set of  $X$  and  $P_\omega(X)$  denotes the set  $\{x \subset X; |x| = \omega\}$ .  $A \subset P_\omega(X)$  is said to be *almost disjoint* (mod. finite) if, for any distinct  $a, b$  in  $A$ ,  $a \cap b$  is finite.  $A$  is said to be a *maximal* almost disjoint subset of  $P_\omega(X)$  if  $A$  is almost disjoint and, for any  $B \subset P_\omega(X)$ , whenever  $A$  is a proper subset of  $B$ ,  $B$  is not almost disjoint.  $S$  is said to be a *partition* of  $X$  if  $\bigcup S = X$  and, for any distinct  $s, t$  in  $S$ ,  $s \cap t = \emptyset$ . For any function  $f$  and for any set  $a$ ,  $f^{-1}(a)$  (also  $f^{-1}a$ ) denotes the set  $\{x \in \text{dom}(f); f(x) \in a\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a filter on  $X$  and  $T$  a subset of  $P(X)$ .  $\mathfrak{F}$  is said to be *free* if  $\emptyset \in \mathfrak{F}$  and  $\bigcap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ .  $T$  is a *generator* of  $\mathfrak{F}$  if  $\mathfrak{F}$  is the smallest filter on  $X$  which includes  $T$ .  $\mathfrak{F}$  is  $\kappa$ -*generated* if there exists a generator  $T$  of  $\mathfrak{F}$  such that  $|T| \leq \kappa$ . For any function  $f$  from  $X$  to  $Y$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{F})$  denotes the filter  $\{y \subset Y; f^{-1}y \in \mathfrak{F}\}$  on  $Y$ . Let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be a free uf on  $\omega$ .  $\mathfrak{U}$  is said to be a *Ramsey uf* (resp. a *P-point*) if, for any partition  $\langle x_n | n < \omega \rangle$  of  $\omega$ , whenever  $x_n \in \mathfrak{U}$  for all  $n < \omega$ , there exists some  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that

$$|y \cap x_n| \leq 1 \quad (\text{resp. } |y \cap x_n| < \omega) \quad \text{for all } n < \omega.$$

## 3. Proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.

LEMMA 1. There exists a free, not ample filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $\omega$  which satisfies the following (3.1).

- (3.1) For any free uf  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\omega$ , (a) and (b) are equivalent.
- (a)  $\mathfrak{U}$  is Ramsey.
  - (b)  $f(\mathfrak{U}) \not\supset \mathfrak{F}$ , for all  $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega$ .

PROOF. Since there is a bijection from  $\omega$  to  $\omega \times \omega$ , it suffices to show that there exists a free, not ample filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $\omega \times \omega$  which satisfies the following (3.2).

- (3.2) For any free uf  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\omega$ , (a) and (b)' are equivalent.  
 (a)  $\mathfrak{U}$  is Ramsey.  
 (b)'  $g(\mathfrak{U}) \not\supseteq \mathfrak{F}$ , for all  $g: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ .

For any function  $f$  on  $\omega$ , let  $a(f)$  be the set  $\{(m, n) \in \omega \times \omega; f(m) \neq n\}$ . For any  $k < \omega$ , let  $b(k)$  be the set  $(\omega - k) \times \omega (= \{(m, n) \in \omega \times \omega; k \leq m\})$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be the filter on  $\omega \times \omega$  which is generated by  $\{a(f); f \text{ is a function on } \omega\} \cup \{b(k); k < \omega\}$ . It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is free and not ample. To show (3.2), let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be an arbitrary free uf on  $\omega$ .

(a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b)'. Suppose that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is Ramsey. Let  $g$  be any function from  $\omega$  to  $\omega \times \omega$ . For any  $k < \omega$ , set  $x_k = g^{-1}(\{k\} \times \omega)$ .

Case 1.  $x_k \in \mathfrak{U}$ , for some  $k < \omega$ .

Since  $\{k\} \times \omega$  and  $b(k+1)$  are disjoint, we have that

$$b(k+1) \notin g(\mathfrak{U}).$$

Case 2.  $x_k \notin \mathfrak{U}$ , for all  $k < \omega$ .

Since  $\langle x_k \mid k < \omega \rangle$  be a partition of  $\omega$  and  $\mathfrak{U}$  is Ramsey, there exists some  $y \in \mathfrak{U}$  such that

$$|y \cap x_k| \leq 1, \quad \text{for all } k < \omega.$$

Since, for any  $k < \omega$ ,  $g''y \cap (\{k\} \times \omega) = g''(x_k \cap y)$ ,  $g''y$  is a (graph of) partial function on  $\omega$ . So, we can choose a function  $f$  on  $\omega$  such that

$$g''y \subset \{(m, n) \in \omega \times \omega; f(m) = n\}.$$

Since  $a(f) \subset \omega \times \omega - g''y$ , it holds that  $\omega \times \omega - g''y \subset \mathfrak{F}$ . So,  $\omega \times \omega - g''y \in \mathfrak{F} - g(\mathfrak{U})$ .

(b)'  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Suppose that  $\mathfrak{U}$  satisfies (b)'. Let  $h$  be any function on  $\omega$  such that, for any  $n < \omega$ ,  $h^{-1}\{n\} \in \mathfrak{U}$ . We need to show that  $h$  is one to one on some set in  $\mathfrak{U}$ . Define the function  $g$  from  $\omega$  to  $\omega \times \omega$  by

$$g(n) = (h(n), n) \quad \text{for all } n < \omega.$$

Since  $g(\mathfrak{U}) \not\supseteq \mathfrak{F}$ , there are  $k < \omega$  and functions  $f_0, \dots, f_{m-1}$  on  $\omega$  such that

$$a(f_0) \cap \dots \cap a(f_{m-1}) \cap b(k) \notin g(\mathfrak{U}).$$

Since  $h^{-1}\{n\} \in \mathfrak{U}$  for all  $n < \omega$ , it holds that  $b(k) \in g(\mathfrak{U})$ . From this, since  $g(\mathfrak{U})$  is an uf on  $\omega \times \omega$ , there exists some  $i < m$  such that

$$\omega \times \omega - a(f_i) \in g(\mathfrak{U}).$$

Set  $y = g^{-1}(\omega \times \omega - a(f_i)) \in \mathfrak{U}$ . Since  $\omega \times \omega - a(f_i)$  is a graph of function,  $h$  is one to one on  $y$ . ⊥

LEMMA 2. Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. Then, there exists a subset  $A$  of  $P_\omega(\kappa)$  which satisfies

- (i)  $|A| = \kappa^\omega$ ,
- (ii)  $A$  is almost disjoint (mod. finite).

PROOF. Set  $X = \{x \subset \omega \times \kappa; x \text{ is finite}\}$ . Since  $|X| = \kappa$ , it suffices to construct a subset  $A$  of  $P_\omega(X)$  which satisfies (i) and (ii). For any function  $f$  from  $\omega$  to  $\kappa$ , let  $a_f = \{f \upharpoonright n; n < \omega\}$ . Then, for any distinct functions  $f, g: \omega \rightarrow \kappa$ ,  $a_f \cap a_g$  is finite. So,  $A = \{a_f; f \text{ is a function from } \omega \text{ to } \kappa\}$  is as required.  $\perp$

LEMMA 3. Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal. Then, the following (a) and (b) are equivalent.

(a)  $\neg \text{AN}(\kappa)$ .

(b) There exists a partition  $\langle X_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  of the set of all Ramsey ufs on  $\omega$  such that, for any  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$ ,

$$X_\alpha = \emptyset \quad \text{or} \quad \bigcap X_\alpha \text{ is not ample.}$$

PROOF. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Suppose that  $\neg \text{AN}(\kappa)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a free, weakly ample, not ample filter on  $\kappa$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is weakly ample, for any free uf  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\omega$ , there exists a function  $f$  from  $\omega$  to  $\kappa$  such that  $f(\mathfrak{U}) \supset \mathfrak{F}$ . Moreover, since  $\mathfrak{F}$  is free, if  $\mathfrak{U}$  is Ramsey, then  $f$  can be chosen to be one to one. So, for each Ramsey uf  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\omega$ , let  $f_{\mathfrak{U}}$  be a one to one function from  $\omega$  to  $\kappa$  such that  $f_{\mathfrak{U}}(\mathfrak{U}) \supset \mathfrak{F}$ . Let  $\langle g_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  be an enumeration of the set of all functions from  $\omega$  to  $\kappa$ . For each  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$ , let

$$X_\alpha = \{\mathfrak{U}; \mathfrak{U} \text{ is a Ramsey uf on } \omega \ \& \ f_{\mathfrak{U}} = g_\alpha\}.$$

Then,  $\langle X_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  satisfies (b).

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Suppose that  $\langle X_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  satisfies (b). Let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be a free, not ample filter on  $\omega$  which satisfies the condition (3.1) in Lemma 1. Let  $A$  be a subset of  $P_\omega(\kappa)$  which satisfies (i) and (ii) in Lemma 2. Let  $\langle a_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  be a one to one enumeration of  $A$ . Define the  $\kappa^\omega$ -sequence  $\langle \mathfrak{F}_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  of filter on  $\omega$  by

$$\mathfrak{F}_{\alpha+1} = \bigcap X_\alpha, \quad \text{if } \alpha < \kappa^\omega \text{ and } X_\alpha \neq \emptyset,$$

$$\mathfrak{F}_\xi = \mathfrak{F}, \quad \text{otherwise.}$$

For any  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}_\alpha$  is free and not ample. Let  $\langle h_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  be such that, for any  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$ ,  $h_\alpha$  is a bijection from  $\omega$  to  $a_\alpha$ . Define the  $\kappa^\omega$ -sequence  $\langle \mathfrak{G}_\alpha | \alpha < \kappa^\omega \rangle$  of filters on  $\kappa$  by

$$\mathfrak{G}_\alpha = h_\alpha(\mathfrak{F}_\alpha) \quad \text{for each } \alpha < \kappa^\omega.$$

For any  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$ , since  $h_\alpha$  is one to one,  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$  is a free, not ample filter on  $\kappa$ . Define the filter  $\mathfrak{G}$  on  $\kappa$  by, for any  $x \subset \kappa$ ,

$$x \in \mathfrak{G} \quad \text{if and only if, for all } \alpha < \kappa^\omega, x \cap a_\alpha \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha.$$

The following Claim completes the proof.

Claim.  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a free, weakly ample, not ample filter on  $\kappa$ .

Proof of Claim. Since  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$  is free, for all  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is free. Since  $A$  is almost disjoint (mod. finite) and, for all  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$  is not ample,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is not ample. To show that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is weakly ample, let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be any free uf on  $\omega$ .

Case 1.  $\mathfrak{U}$  is Ramsey.

Let  $\alpha < \kappa^\omega$  be such that  $\mathfrak{U} \in X_\alpha$ . Then, it holds that  $\mathfrak{U} \supset \bigcap X_\alpha = \mathfrak{F}_{\alpha+1}$ . So,  $h_{\alpha+1}(\mathfrak{U}) \supset \mathfrak{G}_{\alpha+1} \supset \mathfrak{G}$ .

Case 2.  $\mathfrak{U}$  is not Ramsey.

Since  $\mathfrak{F}$  satisfies the condition (3.1) in Lemma 1, there exists a function  $g$  on  $\omega$  such that  $g(\mathfrak{U}) \supset \mathfrak{F}$ . Set  $f = h_0 \circ g$ . Then, it holds that

$$f(\mathfrak{U}) = h_0(g(\mathfrak{U})) \supset h_0(\mathfrak{F}) = \mathfrak{G}_0 \supset \mathfrak{G}. \quad \text{Q. E. D. of Claim. } \perp$$

COROLLARY 1. For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\text{AN}(\kappa)$  holds if and only if  $\text{AN}(\kappa^\omega)$  holds.

PROOF. This corollary follows immediately from Lemma 3.  $\perp$

Theorems 2 and 3 follow immediately from Lemma 3 and Corollary 1.

#### 4. Theorem 4.

The purpose of this section is to state Theorem 4 which is used in the proof of Theorem 6 (a). First, we need some definitions.

DEFINITION. Let  $f$  and  $g$  be functions on  $\omega_1$ .  $f$  dominates  $g$  (denoted by  $g \prec f$ ) if there is an ordinal  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that, whenever  $\alpha \leq \xi < \omega_1$ ,  $g(\xi) < f(\xi)$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $\langle f_\delta \mid \delta < \omega_2 \rangle$  be an  $\omega_2$ -sequence of functions on  $\omega_1$ .  $\langle f_\delta \mid \delta < \omega_2 \rangle$  is said to be an  $\omega_2$ -scale on  $\omega_1$  if the following (i) and (ii) are satisfied.

- (i) For any  $\delta < \eta < \omega_2$ ,  $f_\delta \prec f_\eta$ .
- (ii) For any function  $g$  on  $\omega_1$ , there is some  $\delta < \omega_2$  such that  $g \prec f_\delta$ .

THEOREM 4. Assume that CH holds and that there exists an  $\omega_2$ -scale on  $\omega_1$ . Then,  $\text{AN}(\omega_2)$  does not hold.

Throughout this section, we assume that CH holds. To show Theorem 4, we need some definitions and lemmas.

DEFINITION. For any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $S_\alpha$  denotes the set of all functions from  $\alpha$  to  $\omega_1$ .

LEMMA 4. There exists an  $\omega_1$ -sequence  $\langle \langle x_s \mid s \in S_{\alpha+1} \rangle \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  such that, for any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,

- (i)  $x_s \neq x_t$  for any distinct  $s, t \in S_{\alpha+1}$ ,
- (ii)  $\{x_s \mid s \in S_{\alpha+1}\}$  is a maximal almost disjoint subset of  $P_\omega(\omega)$ ,
- (iii) for any  $\xi < \alpha$ ,  $s \in S_{\xi+1}$ ,  $t \in S_{\alpha+1}$ , if  $s \subset t$ , then  $x_t - x_s$  is finite,
- (iv) for any  $y \subset \omega$ , there exists some  $\beta < \omega_1$  such that, for any  $s \in S_{\beta+1}$ ,  $y \cap x_s = \emptyset$  or  $x_s \subset y$ .

PROOF. Using CH, the lemma is proved by the induction on  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .  $\perp$

In the rest of this section,  $\langle \langle x_s \mid s \in S_{\alpha+1} \rangle \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  denotes some fixed  $\omega_1$ -sequence which satisfies (i)~(iv) in Lemma 4.

DEFINITION. For any function  $h$  on  $\omega_1$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_h$  denotes the filter on  $\omega$  which is generated by  $\{x_{h \upharpoonright (\alpha+1)}; \alpha < \omega_1\}$ .

LEMMA 5. For any function  $h$  on  $\omega_1$ ,  $\mathbb{U}_h$  is a free uf on  $\omega$ .

PROOF. This is easy.  $\perp$

DEFINITION.  $W$  denotes the set of all functions on  $\omega_1$ .

DEFINITION. For any nonempty subset  $Y$  of  $W$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$  denotes the filter  $\bigcap \{\mathbb{U}_h; h \in Y\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$  is free.

LEMMA 6. Let  $Y$  be a nonempty subset of  $W$ . Then, (a) and (b) are equivalent.

(a)  $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$  is not ample.

(b) For any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $s \in S_{\alpha+1}$ , there exists  $\beta < \omega_1$  and  $t \in S_{\beta+1}$  such that

$$\alpha \leq \beta \quad \& \quad s \subset t \quad \& \quad \forall h \in Y (t \not\subset h).$$

PROOF. (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b). Suppose that  $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$  is not ample. Let  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $s \in S_{\alpha+1}$  be any elements. Then, since  $x_s$  is an infinite subset of  $\omega$ , there exists some  $y \in \mathfrak{F}(Y)$  such that  $x_s - y$  is infinite. Set  $a = x_s - y$ . Pick an ordinal  $\beta < \omega_1$  such that  $\alpha \leq \beta$  and, for any  $t \in S_{\beta+1}$ ,

$$x_t \cap a \text{ is finite or } x_t - a \text{ is finite.}$$

Since  $\{x_t; t \in S_{\beta+1}\}$  is a maximal almost disjoint subset of  $P_\omega(\omega)$ , there is some  $t \in S_{\beta+1}$  such that  $x_t - a$  is finite. Then, since  $x_t - x_s \subset x_t - a$ , it holds that  $s \subset t$ . We claim that  $t$  is as required. Suppose not. There is a function  $h \in Y$  such that  $t \subset h$ . Since  $t = h \upharpoonright (\beta+1)$ , it holds that  $x_t \in \mathbb{U}_h$ . So,  $\omega - x_t \notin \mathbb{U}_h$ . Since  $\mathfrak{F}(Y) \subset \mathbb{U}_h$ , we have that  $\omega - x_t \notin \mathfrak{F}(Y)$ . This contradicts the facts that  $y \in \mathfrak{F}(Y)$  and that  $y \subset \omega - x_t$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (a). Suppose that  $Y$  satisfies (b). Let  $x$  be any infinite subset of  $\omega$ . Pick  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $s \in S_{\alpha+1}$  such that  $x_s - x$  is finite. By virtue of the fact that  $Y$  satisfies (b), there exist  $\beta < \omega_1$  and  $t \in S_{\beta+1}$  such that

$$\alpha \leq \beta \quad \& \quad s \subset t \quad \& \quad \forall h \in Y (t \not\subset h).$$

Set  $y = \omega - x_t$ . Then, because  $x - y$  is infinite, the following Claim completes the proof.

Claim.  $y \in \mathfrak{F}(Y)$ .

Proof of Claim. By the definition of  $\mathfrak{F}(Y)$ , it suffices to show that, for any  $h \in Y$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{U}_h$ . Let  $h$  be any function in  $Y$ . Since  $t \not\subset h$ , it holds that  $x_t \cap x_{h \upharpoonright (\beta+1)}$  is finite. So,

$$x_{h \upharpoonright (\beta+1)} - y \text{ is finite.}$$

By this and the fact that  $x_{h \uparrow (\beta+1)} \in \mathbb{U}_h$ , we have that  $y \in \mathbb{U}_h$ .

Q. E. D. of Claim.  $\perp$

DEFINITION. For any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $\mathbb{G}_\alpha$  denotes the filter on  $\omega$  which is generated by  $\{\omega - x_s; s \in S_{\alpha+1}\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $\mathbb{G}_\alpha$  is free and not ample.

LEMMA 7. For any free uf  $\mathbb{U}$  on  $\omega$ , the following (a) or (b) holds.

(a)  $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{U}_h$  for some  $h \in W$ .

(b)  $\mathbb{G}_\alpha \subset \mathbb{U}$  for some  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

PROOF. Suppose that (b) fails.

Claim. For any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , there exists the unique  $s \in S_{\alpha+1}$  such that  $x_s \in \mathbb{U}$ .

Proof of Claim. Obvious.

Q. E. D. of Claim.

For each  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , let  $u(\alpha)$  be the unique  $s \in S_{\alpha+1}$  such that  $x_s \in \mathbb{U}$ . Then, for any  $\alpha < \beta < \omega_1$ , since  $x_{u(\alpha)} \cap x_{u(\beta)} \in \mathbb{U}$ , it holds that  $u(\alpha) \subset u(\beta)$ . Set  $h = \bigcup_{\alpha < \omega_1} u(\alpha)$ .

Then,  $h$  is a function on  $\omega_1$  and  $\mathbb{U}_h \subset \mathbb{U}$ . But, since  $\mathbb{U}_h$  is an uf on  $\omega$ , we have that  $\mathbb{U}_h = \mathbb{U}$ .  $\perp$

LEMMA 8. Suppose that there exists an  $\omega_2$ -sequence  $\langle Y_\delta | \delta < \omega_2 \rangle$  such that

(i)  $\bigcup_{\delta < \omega_2} Y_\delta = W$ ,

(ii) for any  $\delta < \omega_2$ ,  $\mathfrak{F}(Y_\delta)$  is not ample.

Then,  $AN(\omega_2)$  does not hold.

PROOF. Let  $\langle Y_\delta | \delta < \omega_2 \rangle$  be an  $\omega_2$ -sequence which satisfies (i) and (ii) in the Lemma. Define the filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $\omega \times (\omega_2 + \omega_1)$  by, for any  $x \subset \omega \times (\omega_2 + \omega_1)$ ,

$x \in \mathfrak{F}$  if and only if the following (a) and (b) hold.

(a)  $\{n < \omega; (n, \delta) \in x\} \in \mathfrak{F}(Y_\delta)$  for all  $\delta < \omega_2$ .

(b)  $\{n < \omega; (n, \omega_2 + \alpha) \in x\} \in \mathbb{G}_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha < \omega_1$ .

Then, using Lemma 7, it is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is free, weakly ample and not ample.  $\perp$

PROOF OF THEOREM 4. Let  $\langle f_\delta | \delta < \omega_2 \rangle$  be an  $\omega_2$ -scale on  $\omega_1$ . For any  $\delta < \omega_2$ ,  $\alpha < \omega_1$ , define the subset  $Y_{\delta\alpha}$  of  $W$  by, for any  $h \in W$ ,

$h \in Y_{\delta\alpha}$  if and only if, whenever  $\alpha \leq \xi < \omega_1$ ,  $h(\xi) < f_\delta(\xi)$ .

Since  $\langle f_\delta | \delta < \omega_2 \rangle$  is an  $\omega_2$ -scale on  $\omega_1$ , we have that

$$\bigcup \{Y_{\delta\alpha}; \delta < \omega_2 \ \& \ \alpha < \omega_1\} = W.$$

To complete the proof of Theorem 4, by Lemma 8, it suffices to show that, for any  $\delta < \omega_2$ ,  $\gamma < \omega_1$ ,

(4.1)  $\mathfrak{F}(Y_{\delta\gamma})$  is not ample.

Let  $\delta < \omega_2$  and  $\gamma < \omega_1$  be any elements. To show (4.1), by Lemma 6, it suffices to show that

(4.2) for any  $\alpha < \omega_1$ ,  $s \in S_{\alpha+1}$ , there exists  $\beta < \omega_1$  and  $t \in S_{\beta+1}$  such that

$$\alpha \leq \beta \ \& \ s \subset t \ \& \ \forall h \in Y_{\delta\gamma} (t \not\subset h).$$

Let  $\alpha < \omega_1$  and  $s \in S_{\alpha+1}$  be any elements. Pick  $\beta < \omega_1$  and  $s_1 \in S_{\beta+1}$  such that

$$\alpha \leq \beta \ \& \ \gamma \leq \beta \ \& \ s \subset s_1.$$

Define  $t \in S_{\beta+2}$  by

$$t \upharpoonright (\beta+1) = s_1, \quad t(\beta+1) = f_\delta(\beta+1).$$

Then, it holds that  $s \subset t$  and that, for any  $h \in Y_{\delta\gamma}$ ,

$$h(\beta+1) < f_\delta(\beta+1) = t(\beta+1).$$

Thus, (4.2) holds. ⊥

### 5. Proofs of Theorems 5 and 6 (b).

In this section, we assume that the reader is familiar with forcing (see [1; Chapter 3], [3]). Throughout this section,  $M$  denotes a countable transitive model of ZFC+GCH. To prove Theorem 5 (resp. 6 (b)), it suffices to show that there is a generic extension  $M_1$  (resp.  $M_2$ ) of  $M$  which satisfies

$$(5.1) \ M_1 \models \neg \text{AN}(\omega) + \text{“there are } (2^\omega)^+ \text{ Ramsey ufs on } \omega \text{”}$$

(resp. (5.2)  $M_2 \models \text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3 + \text{AN}(\omega_2)$ ). We shall exhibit below such generic extensions which are both well known.

I. Model  $M_1$ . Let  $M_1$  be the generic extension of  $M$  adding  $\omega_2^M$  Cohen generic reals. Then,  $M_1$  satisfies (5.1). To show this, let  $P$  be the notion of forcing in  $M$  such that, in  $M$ ,

$$P = \{p; p \text{ is a function \& dom}(p) \text{ is a finite subset of } \omega \times \omega_2 \\ \& \text{rang}(p) \subset \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Let  $G$  be an  $M$ -generic filter on  $P$  such that  $M_1 = M[G]$ . Then, the following facts seem to be folklores. We omit the proofs.

FACT 1. *The following statement holds in  $M[G]$ .*

“Let  $A$  be a subset of  $P_\omega(\omega)$  such that

- (i)  $|A| \leq \omega_1$ ,
- (ii)  $\forall x, y \in A (x \cap y \in A)$ .

Let  $\langle x_n \mid n < \omega \rangle$  be an  $\omega$ -sequence of elements in  $A$  such that

- (iii)  $x_{n+1} \subset x_n$  for all  $n < \omega$ .

Then, there exist infinite subsets  $y$  and  $z$  of  $\omega$  such that

- (iv)  $y \cap z = \emptyset$ ,
- (v) both  $A \cup \{y\}$  and  $A \cup \{z\}$  have the finite intersection property,
- (vi)  $|y \cap (x_n - x_{n+1})| \leq 1$  and  $|z \cap (x_n - x_{n+1})| \leq 1$ , for all  $n < \omega$ .”

FACT 2. *Let  $x$  be an infinite subset of  $\omega$  in  $M[G]$ . Then, there are  $y$  and*

$z$  in  $M$  such that

- (i)  $y \cap z = \emptyset$ ,
- (ii)  $y \cap x$  and  $z \cap x$  are infinite.

By Fact 1, it is easy to see that, in  $M[G]$ , there exist  $(2^\omega)^+$  Ramsey ufs on  $\omega$ .<sup>1)</sup> To show that  $\text{AN}(\omega)$  does not hold in  $M[G]$ , by Theorem 5, it suffices to show that  $\text{AN}(\omega_2)$  does not hold in  $M[G]$ . From now on, we work in  $M[G]$ . Let  $\Gamma$  be the set of free, not ample,  $\omega_1$ -generated filters on  $\omega$ . Since  $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_2$ , it holds that  $|\Gamma| \leq \omega_2$ . Let  $\langle \mathfrak{G}_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$  be an enumeration of  $\Gamma$ . Define the filter  $\mathfrak{F}$  on  $\omega \times \omega_2$  by

$$x \in \mathfrak{F} \text{ if and only if } \{n < \omega; (n, \alpha) \in x\} \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha \text{ for all } \alpha < \omega_2.$$

It is easy to check that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is free and not ample. To show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is weakly ample, let  $\mathfrak{U}$  be any free uf on  $\omega$ . Set  $\mathfrak{B}$  be the filter on  $\omega$  which is generated by  $M \cap \mathfrak{U}$ . By Fact 2,  $\mathfrak{B}$  is a free, not ample,  $\omega_1$ -generated filter. Let  $\alpha < \omega_2$  be such that  $\mathfrak{B} = \mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ . Define the function  $h$  from  $\omega$  to  $\omega \times \omega_2$  by

$$h(n) = (n, \alpha) \quad \text{for all } n < \omega.$$

Then,  $h(\mathfrak{U}) \supset h(\mathfrak{B}) \supset \mathfrak{F}$ .

II. Model  $M_2$ . Let  $Q$  be the notion of forcing in  $M$  such that, in  $M$ ,

$$Q = \{q; q \text{ is a function \& dom}(q) \text{ is a countable subset of } \omega_1 \times \omega_3 \\ \& \text{rang}(q) \subset \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Let  $H$  be an  $M$ -generic filter on  $Q$ . Then,  $M_2 = M[H]$  satisfies (5.2). To show this, since it is clear that  $M[H] \models \text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3$ , it suffices to show that

$$(5.3) \quad M[H] \models \text{AN}(\omega_2).$$

First, we shall state Lemma 9 which is used later. Let  $I$  be the ideal  $\{x \subset \omega; |x| < \omega\}$  on  $\omega$ , and  $B$  the quotient algebra  $P(\omega)/I$ .

LEMMA 9. Assume CH. Let  $C$  be the algebra of regular open sets in  $\{q \in Q; \text{dom}(q) \subset \omega_1 \times \{0\}\}$ . Then, the completion of  $B$  is isomorphic to  $C$ .

PROOF. It suffices to show that there exist  $X$  and  $Y$  which satisfy

$$(5.4) \quad X \text{ is a dense subset of } B,$$

$$(5.5) \quad Y \text{ is a dense subset of } \{q \in Q; \text{dom}(q) \subset \omega_1 \times \{0\}\},$$

$$(5.6) \quad X \text{ and } Y \text{ are order isomorphic.}$$

Let  $\langle \langle x_s \mid s \in S_{\alpha+1} \rangle \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  be an  $\omega_1$ -sequence which satisfies (i)~(iv) in Lemma 4. Set

---

1) Kunen [2; p. 397] remarked that, in  $M[G]$ , there are Ramsey ufs on  $\omega$ .

$$X = \{x_s/I; \exists \alpha < \omega_1 (s \in S_{\alpha+1})\},$$

$$Y = \{q \in Q; \exists \alpha < \omega_1 (\text{dom}(q) = (\omega\alpha + \omega) \times \{0\})\}.$$

Then, it is easy to see that  $X$  and  $Y$  satisfy (5.4)~(5.6). ⊥

Henceforth,  $\omega_\alpha$  denotes the  $\alpha$ -th infinite cardinal in  $M$ . Since  $M$  and  $M[H]$  have the same cardinals,  $\omega_\alpha$  is the  $\alpha$ -th infinite cardinal in  $M[H]$ . To show (5.3), let  $\mathfrak{F}$  be any free, not ample filter on  $\omega_2$  in  $M[H]$ . Set  $\mathfrak{G} = \{\omega_2 - x; x \subset \omega_2 \ \& \ |x| \leq \omega\} \cap \mathfrak{F}$ . Then, we have that, in  $M[H]$ ,  $\mathfrak{G}$  is a free, not ample filter with  $|\mathfrak{G}| = \omega_2$ . Since  $|\mathfrak{G}| = \omega_2$ , we can choose  $\beta < \omega_3$  such that  $\mathfrak{G} \in M[H \cap \{q \in Q; \text{dom}(q) \subset \omega_1 \times \beta\}]$ . Set

$$Q_\beta = \{q \in Q; \text{dom}(q) \subset \omega_1 \times \beta\},$$

$$\bar{Q} = \{q \in Q; \text{dom}(q) \subset \omega_1 \times \{\beta\}\},$$

$$H_\beta = H \cap Q_\beta,$$

$$\bar{H} = H \cap \bar{Q},$$

$$N = M[H_\beta].$$

Then, it hold that  $\bar{H}$  is an  $N$ -generic filter on  $\bar{Q}$  and that  $M[H]$  is a generic extension of  $N[\bar{H}]$ . To show that  $\mathfrak{F}$  is not weakly ample in  $M[H]$ , since  $Q$  is  $\sigma$ -closed, it suffices to prove the following Lemma 10.

LEMMA 10. *In  $N[\bar{H}]$ , there exists a free uf  $\mathfrak{U}$  on  $\omega$  such that, for any  $f: \omega \rightarrow \omega_2$ ,  $f(\mathfrak{U}) \not\subset \mathfrak{G}$ .*

PROOF. Since  $N \models \text{CH}$ , by Lemma 9, we identify  $\bar{H}$  with some  $N$ -generic set on  $B (= P^N(\omega)/I)$ . Define  $\mathfrak{U}$  by, in  $N[\bar{H}]$ ,

$$\mathfrak{U} = \{x \subset \omega; x/I \in \bar{H}\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is a free uf on  $\omega$  in  $N[\bar{H}]$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is as required. Let  $f$  be any function from  $\omega$  to  $\omega_2$  in  $N[\bar{H}]$ . Then,  $f$  is in  $M$ . Define  $D$  by, in  $N$ ,

$$D = \{x/I \in B; x/I > 0 \ \& \ \exists y \in \mathfrak{G} (y \cap f''x = \emptyset)\}.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{G}$  is free and not ample in  $N$ , it holds that, in  $N$ ,  $D$  is a dense subset of  $B$ . So, there exists some  $x/I$  in  $\bar{H} \cap D$ . Then,  $x$  is in  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $y \cap f''x$  is finite, for some  $y \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Thus,  $\omega_2 - f''x$  is in  $\mathfrak{G} - f(\mathfrak{U})$ . ⊥

REMARK 1. Let  $M'$  be a countable transitive model of  $\text{ZFC} + \text{MA} + 2^\omega = \omega_2 + 2^{\omega_2} = \omega_3$ , and let  $Q'$  be the notion of forcing in  $M'$  which is defined by, in  $M'$ ,

$$Q' = \{q; q \text{ is a function} \ \& \ \text{dom}(q) \subset \omega_1 \ \& \ |q| \leq \omega_1 \ \& \ \text{rang}(q) \subset \{0, 1\}\}.$$

Let  $H'$  be an  $M'$ -generic filter on  $Q'$ . Then, by a similar argument in II, it

holds that

$$M'[H'] \models 2^\omega = \omega_2 + 2^{\omega_2} = \omega_4 + \text{AN}(\omega_3).$$

So,  $\text{ZFC} + 2^\omega > \omega_1 + 2^c > c^+ + \forall \kappa < 2^c \text{AN}(\kappa)$  is consistent.

### 6. Proof of Theorem 6 (a).

To show Theorem 6 (a), similarly to the proofs of Theorems 5 and 6 (b), it suffices to show that there exists a generic model  $N$  such that

$$(6.1) \quad N \models \text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3 + \neg \text{AN}(\omega_2).$$

By Theorem 4, if

$$(6.2) \quad N \models \text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3 + \text{“there is an } \omega_2\text{-scale on } \omega_1\text{”},$$

then  $N$  satisfies (6.1). Throughout this section,  $M$  denotes an arbitrary but fixed countable transitive model of  $\text{ZFC} + \text{CH} + 2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3$ . We shall construct a generic extension  $N$  of  $M$  satisfying (6.2). Our method is so called countable support iterated forcing. We assume that the reader is familiar with this iterated forcing (see [3; Chapter 8, Section 7]). From now on, we work in  $M$  till after Corollary 2 except Lemma 11.

DEFINITION.  $S$  denotes the set of all functions from a countable ordinal to  $\omega_1$ .

DEFINITION. For any complete Boolean algebra  $B$ , define  $\mathbf{Q} = \mathbf{Q}(B) \in V^B$  by

$$\text{dom}(\mathbf{Q}) = \{(\check{s}, \mathbf{J})^B; s \in S \ \& \ \mathbf{J} \in V^B \ \& \ \|\mathbf{J}\| \text{ is a set of functions on } \omega_1 \\ \text{with } |\mathbf{J}| \leq \omega \|\mathbf{J}\| = 1\},$$

$$\mathbf{Q}(x) = 1 \quad \text{for all } x \in \text{dom}(\mathbf{Q}).$$

And, we regard  $\mathbf{Q}$  as the notion of forcing in  $V^B$  whose order is defined by, for any  $(\check{s}, \mathbf{J})^B, (\check{t}, \mathbf{K})^B \in \text{dom}(\mathbf{Q})$ ,

$$\|(\check{s}, \mathbf{J})^B \leq (\check{t}, \mathbf{K})^B\| \\ = \|\check{s} \supset \check{t} \ \& \ \mathbf{J} \supset \mathbf{K} \ \& \ \forall \alpha \in \text{dom}(\check{s} - \check{t}) \forall f \in \mathbf{K} (f(\alpha) < \check{s}(\alpha))\|.$$

LEMMA 11. Suppose that, in  $M$ ,  $B$  is an  $\omega$ -distributive,  $\omega_2$ -saturated, complete Boolean algebra. Let  $G$  be an  $M$ -generic filter on  $B$ ,  $\mathbf{Q} = i_G(\mathbf{Q}(B)^M)$  and  $H$  an  $M[G]$ -generic filter on  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Set  $h = \bigcup \{s; \exists \mathbf{J} ((s, \mathbf{J}) \in H)\}$ . Then,

- (a) in  $M[G]$ ,
  - (i)  $\mathbf{Q} = S \times \{\mathbf{J}; \mathbf{J} \text{ is a set of functions on } \omega_1 \text{ with } |\mathbf{J}| \leq \omega\}$ ,
  - (ii)  $\mathbf{Q}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed and has the  $\omega_2$ -chain condition,
- (b) in  $M[G][H]$ ,
  - (i)  $h$  is a function on  $\omega_1$ ,
  - (ii)  $f < h$  for any function  $f$  on  $\omega_1$  in  $M[G]$ .

PROOF. This is well-known. ⊥

Define the sequence  $\langle R_\alpha \mid \alpha \leq \omega_2 \rangle$  of partially ordered sets by the following induction on  $\alpha$  ( $\leq \omega_2$ ).

For each  $\alpha \leq \omega_2$ , set

$$B_\alpha = \text{the algebra of regular open sets in } R_\alpha.$$

Case 1.  $\alpha = 0$ .

$$R_0 = \{\emptyset (=1)\}.$$

Case 2.  $\alpha = \gamma + 1$  for some  $\gamma$ .

Set  $Q_\gamma = Q(B_\gamma)$  ( $\in V^{B_\gamma}$ ). For any  $p = \langle p_\xi \mid \xi < \alpha \rangle$ ,

$$p \in R_\alpha \text{ if and only if } p \upharpoonright \gamma \in R_\gamma \ \& \ p(\gamma) \in \text{dom}(Q_\gamma).$$

Define the order  $\leq$  on  $R_\alpha$  by, for any  $p, q \in R_\alpha$ ,

$$p \leq q \text{ if and only if } p \upharpoonright \gamma \leq q \upharpoonright \gamma \ \& \ p \upharpoonright \gamma \Vdash p(\gamma) \leq q(\gamma).$$

(For any  $p, q \in R_\alpha$ , whenever  $p \leq q$  and  $q \leq p$ , we identify  $p$  and  $q$ .)

Define the function  $e$  from  $R_\gamma$  to  $R_\alpha$  by, for any  $p \in R_\gamma$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} e(p) \upharpoonright \gamma &= p, \\ e(p)(\gamma) &= (\check{\emptyset}, \check{\emptyset})^{B_\gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

And, for convention, we regard  $R_\gamma$  as the subset  $e''R_\gamma$  of  $R_\alpha$ .

Case 3.  $\alpha$  is limit.

For any  $p = \langle p_\xi \mid \xi < \alpha \rangle$ ,

$p \in R_\alpha$  if and only if  $\forall \xi < \alpha$  ( $p \upharpoonright \xi \in R_\xi$ ) and  $\{\xi < \alpha; \|p(\xi) < 1 (= (\emptyset, \emptyset))\| > 0\}$  is at most countable.

Define the order  $\leq$  on  $R_\alpha$  by, for any  $p, q \in R_\alpha$ ,

$$p \leq q \text{ if and only if } \forall \xi < \alpha \ (p \upharpoonright \xi \leq q \upharpoonright \xi).$$

In the same way in Case 2, for each  $\xi < \alpha$ , we regard  $R_\xi$  as the subset of  $R_\alpha$ .

REMARK 2. In Case 3, if  $\text{cof}(\alpha) > \omega$ , then  $R_\alpha$  coincides with the direct limit of  $\langle R_\xi \mid \xi < \alpha \rangle$ .

The following Lemma 12 can be proved by the induction on  $\alpha$  ( $\leq \omega_2$ ) using standard arguments (see [3; Lemma 7.2 (p. 282) and Lemma 7.10 (p. 286)]). So, we omit the proof.

LEMMA 12. For any  $\alpha \leq \omega_2$ ,

- (a)  $|R_\alpha| \leq \omega_3$ ,
- (b)  $R_\alpha$  is  $\sigma$ -closed,
- (c)  $R_\alpha$  has the  $\omega_2$ -chain condition.

Set  $R = R_{\omega_2}$ .

COROLLARY 2.

- (a)  $|R| = \omega_3$ .
- (b)  $R$  is  $\sigma$ -closed.
- (c)  $R$  has the  $\omega_2$ -chain condition.

PROOF. This follows immediately from Lemma 12. ⊥

Let  $G$  be an  $M$ -generic filter on  $R$ . Set  $N = M[G]$ . For each  $\alpha < \omega_2^M$ , set

$$G_\alpha = G \cap R_\alpha,$$

$$M_\alpha = M[G_\alpha].$$

Define  $\langle h_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_2^M \rangle \in N$  by, for each  $\alpha < \omega_2^M$ ,

$$h_\alpha = \bigcup \{s \mid \exists p \in G \exists J((\check{s}, J)^{p_\alpha} = p(\alpha))\}.$$

Then, by Corollary 2, the following (6.3)~(6.6) hold.

(6.3)  $P^N(\omega) = P^M(\omega)$ .

(6.4)  $N$  and  $M$  have the same cardinals.

(6.5)  $2^{\omega_1} = \omega_3$  holds in  $N$ .

(6.6) For any function  $f$  on  $\omega_1$  in  $N$ , there is an  $\alpha < \omega_2^M$  such that  $f \in M_\alpha$ .

Now, we shall show (6.2). Let  $f$  be any function on  $\omega_1$  in  $N$ . By (6.6), there is an  $\alpha < \omega_2^M$  such that  $f \in M_\alpha$ . By Lemma 11, since  $H = \{(s, i_G(J)) \mid \exists p \in G((\check{s}, J)^{p_\alpha} = p(\alpha))\}$  is an  $M[G_\alpha]$ -generic filter on  $i_{G_\alpha}(Q_\alpha)$ , it holds that

$$M[G_\alpha][H] \models "f \in h_\alpha".$$

Thus, in  $N$ ,  $\langle h_\alpha \mid \alpha < \omega_2 \rangle$  is an  $\omega_2$ -scale on  $\omega_1$ .

### References

- [1] T. Jech, Set theory, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [2] K. Kunen, Some points in  $\beta N$ , Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **80** (1976), 385-398.
- [3] K. Kunen, Set theory, An introduction to independence proofs, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1980.
- [4] K. Kunen and F.D. Tall, Between Martin's axiom and Souslin's hypothesis, Fund. Math., **102** (1979), 173-181.
- [5] C.W. Puritz, Skies, constellations and monads, in: Contributions to nonstandard analysis, ed. W.A. Luxemburg and A. Robinson, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1972.

Shizuo KAMO  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of Osaka Prefecture  
 Sakai, Osaka 591  
 Japan