

The role of boundary Harnack principle in the study of Picard principle

By Toshimasa TADA

(Received Nov. 26, 1980)

A nonnegative locally Hölder continuous function P on $0 < |z| \leq 1$ will be referred to as a *density* on $\Omega: 0 < |z| < 1$. Here we consider Ω as an end of the punctured sphere $0 < |z| \leq +\infty$ so that the point $z=0$ is viewed as the ideal boundary $\delta\Omega$ of Ω , the unit circle $|z|=1$ as the relative boundary $\partial\Omega$ of Ω , and the punctured closed unit disk $0 < |z| \leq 1$ as the relative closure $\bar{\Omega}$ of Ω . Similar notations are used for subregions of Ω . For example we denote by $\partial\Omega_a$ and $\bar{\Omega}_a$ the relative boundary $|z|=a$ and the relative closure $0 < |z| \leq a$ of the subregion $\Omega_a: 0 < |z| < a$ ($a \in (0, 1]$) of Ω , respectively. A density P on Ω gives rise to an elliptic operator $L=L_P$ on Ω defined by

$$Lu=L_Pu=\Delta u-Pu, \quad \Delta=\partial^2/\partial x^2+\partial^2/\partial y^2.$$

Since $\delta\Omega$ is of parabolic character, there exists a unique bounded solution $e(z, a)=e_P(z, a)$, referred to as the P -unit on Ω_a , of $Lu=0$ on Ω_a with continuous boundary values 1 on $\partial\Omega_a$. We simply denote by $e(z)=e_P(z)$ the P -unit $e(z, 1)=e_P(z, 1)$ on Ω . With the operator $L=L_P$ we associate an elliptic operator $\hat{L}=\hat{L}_P$ on Ω , referred to as the *associate operator* to $L=L_P$, given by

$$\hat{L}v=\hat{L}_Pv=\Delta v+2\nabla \log e_P \cdot \nabla v, \quad \nabla=(\partial/\partial x, \partial/\partial y).$$

After Bouligand we say that the *Picard principle* is valid for P at $\delta\Omega$ if the dimension of the half module of nonnegative solutions of $Lu=0$ on Ω with continuous boundary values 0 on $\partial\Omega$ is 1. We also say that the *Riemann theorem* is valid for \hat{L} at $\delta\Omega$ if the limit $\lim_{z \rightarrow \delta\Omega} v(z)$ exists for every bounded solution v of $\hat{L}v=0$ on Ω . Then we have the following *duality theorem* (cf. Heins [3], Hayashi [2], Nakai [8]): The Picard principle is valid for P at $\delta\Omega$ if and only if the Riemann theorem is valid for \hat{L} at $\delta\Omega$. As a sufficient condition of the Riemann theorem for \hat{L} at $\delta\Omega$ we have, what we call, the following *boundary Harnack principle* for L at $\delta\Omega$:

(1) For every a in $(0, 1]$ there exists a Jordan curve K_a in Ω_a which separates $\delta\Omega$ from $\partial\Omega_a$ and satisfies $C(K_a; \Omega_a, L)=O(1)$ ($a \rightarrow 0$), where for every

This work was done partly supported by Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (No. 374055), Ministry of Education.

subregion S of Ω and compact subset K of S $C(K; S, L)$ is the Harnack constant given by

$$C(K; S, L) = \sup \left\{ \frac{u(\zeta)}{u(\xi)}; \zeta, \xi \in K \text{ and } u \text{ is any positive solution of} \right. \\ \left. Lu = 0 \text{ on } S \text{ with } C^2 \text{ boundary values on } \partial S \right\}.$$

The boundary Harnack principle (1) for L at $\partial\Omega$ implies the following boundary Harnack principle for \hat{L} at $\partial\Omega$ in the same fashion as (1) originally considered by Kawamura [6]:

(2) For every a in $(0, 1]$ there exists a Jordan curve K_a in Ω_a which separates $\partial\Omega$ from $\partial\Omega_a$ and satisfies $C(K_a; \Omega_a, \hat{L}) = O(1)$ ($a \rightarrow 0$), where $C(K_a; \Omega_a, \hat{L})$ is the Harnack constant given by the similar way in (1).

The Riemann theorem for \hat{L} at $\partial\Omega$ follows from the boundary Harnack principle (2) for \hat{L} at $\partial\Omega$ (cf. Kawamura [6]). Thus we have the following implications: (1) \rightarrow (2) \rightarrow 'The Picard principle'.

The purpose of this paper is to give an estimate of the Harnack constant $C(K_a; \Omega_a, L)$ and apply it to the study of the Picard principle. In §1 we will show that the boundary Harnack principle for L at $\partial\Omega$ follows from the Picard principle for P at $\partial\Omega$. Therefore, with the above implications, we can conclude that the boundary Harnack principle for L and \hat{L} , the Riemann theorem for \hat{L} , and the Picard principle for P are all equivalent to each other at $\partial\Omega$. In §2 we will give the Fourier series representation of the normal derivative of the P -Green's function for a rotation free density P , i.e. a density satisfying $P(z) = P(|z|)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. Since we cannot locate the exact reference to the representation which may be well known and also it plays an essential role in §3, we will include its proof for the sake of completeness. In §3 applying results in §2 to the study of the Picard principle for densities Q on Ω with $P(z) \leq Q(z) \leq P(z) + C/|z|^2$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ for a rotation free density P on Ω , we will show that the Picard principle is valid for Q at $\partial\Omega$ if the Picard principle is valid for P at $\partial\Omega$.

The author is very grateful to Professor M. Nakai for his helpful suggestions.

§1. The boundary Harnack principle.

1. In nos. 1-3 we consider a density P on Ω for which the Picard principle is valid at $\partial\Omega$ and denote by $G_P^a(z, \zeta)$ the P -Green's function on Ω_a with pole at ζ . The function $G_P^a(z, \zeta)/e(\zeta)$ in z uniformly converges on every compact subset of $\bar{\Omega}_a$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ and hence, the inner normal derivative $\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_P^a(z, \zeta)/e(\zeta)$ uniformly converges to a positive continuous function $k(z, a) = k_P(z, a)$ on $\partial\Omega_a$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \partial\Omega$ (cf. Itô [5]). If we set

$$\varepsilon = \varepsilon(C, a) = \frac{\sqrt{C}-1}{\sqrt{C}+1} \min_{z \in \partial\Omega_a} k(z, a)$$

for every constant $C > 1$, then there exists $\delta = \delta(C, a)$ in $(0, a)$ such that

$$(3) \quad \left| \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta)}{e(\zeta)} - k(z, a) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for any z in $\partial\Omega_a$ and ζ in Ω_δ .

2. We estimate the Harnack constant $C(K_a; \Omega_a, L)$ for an appropriate Jordan curve K_a in Ω_a . In order to determine K_a we consider two cases separately: $\limsup_{\zeta \rightarrow \delta\Omega} e(\zeta) = 0$ and > 0 . First we consider the case $\limsup_{\zeta \rightarrow \delta\Omega} e(\zeta) = 0$, i.e. $\lim_{\zeta \rightarrow \delta\Omega} e(\zeta) = 0$. For every λ in $(0, 1)$ consider the relatively noncompact connected component A_λ of $\{\zeta \in \Omega; e(\zeta) < \lambda\}$. Since $\bar{A}_\lambda \downarrow \emptyset$ as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, there exists $\mu = \mu(C, a)$ in $(0, 1)$ with $\bar{A}_\mu \subset \Omega_\delta$. Then from (3) it follows that for any λ in $(0, \mu]$, ζ_1, ζ_2 in ∂A_λ , and z in $\partial\Omega_a$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_1)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_2)} &= \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_1)}{e(\zeta_1)} \frac{e(\zeta_2)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_2)} < \frac{k(z, a) + \varepsilon}{k(z, a) - \varepsilon} \\ &\leq \frac{k(z, a) + (\sqrt{C}-1)(\sqrt{C}+1)^{-1}k(z, a)}{k(z, a) - (\sqrt{C}-1)(\sqrt{C}+1)^{-1}k(z, a)} = \sqrt{C} < C. \end{aligned}$$

Now we set $K_a = \partial A_\mu$. Applying this inequality to the integral formula of a solution of Dirichlet problem of $Lu = 0$ on Ω_a with the normal derivative of P -Green's function on $\partial\Omega_a$, we have

$$(4) \quad C(K_a; \Omega_a, L) \leq C$$

for any a in $(0, 1]$.

3. Assume next that $\limsup_{\zeta \rightarrow \delta\Omega} e(\zeta) \equiv \alpha > 0$. There exists a closed set E thin at $\delta\Omega$ in Ω such that $e(\zeta) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \delta\Omega$ with $\zeta \in E$ (cf. Brelot [1]).*) Then we may take a decreasing sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ in $(0, 1)$ with $E \cap \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_{\lambda_n} = \emptyset$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_n = 0$. Since $e(\zeta) \rightarrow \alpha$ as $\zeta \rightarrow \delta\Omega$ with ζ in $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_{\lambda_n}$, there exists a positive integer $m = m(C, a)$ such that $\lambda_m < \delta$ and

$$|e(\zeta) - \alpha| < \frac{\sqrt{C}-1}{\sqrt{C}+1} \alpha$$

for any ζ in $\bigcup_{n=m}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_{\lambda_n}$. Then from this inequality and (3) it follows that for

*) This can be deduced, e.g., by using the following results successively in this order: Theorem VII, 2 in p. 55, Theorem III, 1 in p. 18, and Theorem I, 3 in p. 3.

any ζ_1, ζ_2 in $\cup_{n=m}^{\infty} \partial\Omega_{\lambda_n}$ and z in $\partial\Omega_a$

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_1)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_2)} = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_1)}{e(\zeta_1)} \frac{e(\zeta_2)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_{P^a}(z, \zeta_2)} \frac{e(\zeta_1)}{e(\zeta_2)}$$

$$< \sqrt{C} \frac{\alpha + (\sqrt{C} - 1)(\sqrt{C} + 1)^{-1}\alpha}{\alpha - (\sqrt{C} - 1)(\sqrt{C} + 1)^{-1}\alpha} = C.$$

Now setting $K_a = \partial\Omega_{\lambda_m}$ we have

$$(5) \quad C(K_a; \Omega_a, L) \leq C.$$

4. We compile (4) and (5) in the following form: If the Picard principle is valid for P , then for every λ in $(0, 1]$ there exists a Jordan curve K_λ in Ω separating $\delta\Omega$ from $\partial\Omega$ such that for every constant $C > 1$ and a in $(0, 1]$ we may take $\nu = \nu(C, a)$ in $(0, 1]$ with $K_\lambda \subset \Omega_a$ and $C(K_\lambda; \Omega_a, L) < C$ for any λ in $(0, \nu]$. Then we have the following

THEOREM. For every density on Ω following three principles at $\delta\Omega$ are all equivalent to each other: The boundary Harnack principle for L_P , the boundary Harnack principle for \hat{L}_P , and the Picard principle for P .

§ 2. Normal derivative of the P -Green's function.

5. In this section we consider a rotation free density P on Ω , i. e. a density satisfying $P(z) = P(|z|)$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. For every nonnegative integer n $P_n(z) = P(z) + n^2/|z|^2$ is also a rotation free density on Ω . Since for every a in $(0, 1]$ the P_n -unit $e_n(z, a) = e_{P_n}(z, a)$ on Ω_a , i. e. the unique bounded solution of $L_{P_n}u = 0$ on Ω_a with continuous boundary values 1 on $\partial\Omega_a$, is also rotation free, every $e_n(z, a)$ may be viewed as a function in r in $(0, a]$. In other words, $e_n(r, a)$ is considered as the unique bounded solution of

$$l_n\phi(r) \equiv l_{P_n}\phi(r) \equiv \frac{d^2}{dr^2}\phi(r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr}\phi(r) - P_n(r)\phi(r) = 0$$

on $(0, a)$ with continuous boundary values 1 at $r = a$, where we follow the convention $P_0 = P$ and $e_0(r, a) = e(r, a) = e_P(r, a)$. We recall some of fundamental properties of $e_n(r, a)$ (cf. Nakai [7]): For every r in $(0, a]$, ρ in $[r, a]$, and nonnegative integer n

$$(6) \quad e_n(r, \rho) = \frac{e_n(r, a)}{e_n(\rho, a)};$$

$$(7) \quad e_n(r, a) \geq e_{n+1}(r, a),$$

where the equality is valid if and only if $r = a$;

$$(8) \quad \frac{e_{n+1}(r, a)}{e_n(r, a)} \geq \frac{e_{n+2}(r, a)}{e_{n+1}(r, a)};$$

$$(9) \quad \left[\frac{e_{n+1}(r, a)}{e_n(r, a)} \right]^3 \leq \frac{e_{n+2}(r, a)}{e_{n+1}(r, a)};$$

the Picard principle is valid for P at $\delta\Omega$ if and only if

$$(10) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{e_1(r)}{e_0(r)} = 0,$$

where we simply denote by $e_n(r)$ the P_n -unit $e_n(r, 1)$ on Ω .

6. For a continuous function w on $\bar{\Omega}_a$ the Fourier coefficients

$$\begin{cases} c_0(r; w) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} w(re^{i\theta}) d\theta, \\ a_n(r; w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} w(re^{i\theta}) \cos n\theta d\theta, \\ b_n(r; w) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} w(re^{i\theta}) \sin n\theta d\theta \end{cases}$$

of w are continuous function in r in $(0, a]$. If w is further a bounded solution of $Lu=0$ on Ω_a , then the Fourier coefficients of w satisfy that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} c_0(r; w) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} c_0(r; w) &= c_0 \left(r; \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial w}{\partial r} \right) \\ &= c_0 \left(r; \Delta w - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) = P(r) c_0(r; w), \\ \frac{d^2}{dr^2} a_n(r; w) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} a_n(r; w) &= a_n \left(r; \Delta w - \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial \theta^2} \right) \\ &= P(r) a_n(r; w) - \frac{n^2}{r^2} b_n \left(r; \frac{\partial w}{\partial \theta} \right) = P_n(r) a_n(r; w), \end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\frac{d^2}{dr^2} b_n(r; w) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} b_n(r; w) = P_n(r) b_n(r; w)$$

on $(0, a)$. Therefore they are bounded solutions of $l_0\phi=0$ or $l_n\phi=0$ and hence, we have

$$(11) \quad \begin{cases} c_0(r; w) = c_0(a; w) e_0(r, a), \\ a_n(r; w) = a_n(a; w) e_n(r, a), \\ b_n(r; w) = b_n(a; w) e_n(r, a) \end{cases}$$

on $(0, a]$. For every τ in $(0, 2\pi]$ we consider a sequence $\{\Phi_{\tau, n}\}$ of C^2 functions on $\partial\Omega_a$ such that $0 \leq \Phi_{\tau, n} \leq \Phi_{\tau, n+1} \leq 1$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \Phi_{\tau, n}(ae^{i\theta}) = 1$ ($0 < \theta < \tau$), 0 ($\tau \leq \theta \leq 2\pi$). Since $\partial\Omega$ is of parabolic character, the unique bounded solution $w_{\tau, n}$ of $Lu=0$ on Ω_a with continuous boundary values $\Phi_{\tau, n}$ on $\partial\Omega_a$ is represented in the following form:

$$(12) \quad w_{\tau, n}(\zeta) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r} G_P^{\partial a}(re^{i\theta}, \zeta)|_{r=a} \Phi_{\tau, n}(ae^{i\theta}) a d\theta$$

for any ζ in Ω_a , where $G_P^{\partial a}(z, \zeta)$ is the P -Green's function on Ω_a with pole at ζ . On the other hand, applying (11) to $w_{\tau, n}$ we have also

$$(13) \quad w_{\tau, n}(se^{i\sigma}) = c_0(a; w_{\tau, n})e_0(s, a) + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \{a_m(a; w_{\tau, n})\cos m\sigma + b_m(a; w_{\tau, n})\sin m\sigma\} e_m(s, a)$$

for any s in $(0, a)$ and σ in $[0, 2\pi)$. Observe the facts that $|c_0(a; w_{\tau, n})|$, $|a_m(a; w_{\tau, n})|$, $|b_m(a; w_{\tau, n})|$ are less than 2 and by (7), (8) we have

$$(14) \quad \frac{e_1(s, a)}{e_0(s, a)} < 1,$$

$$(15) \quad e_m(s, a) = e_0(s, a) \frac{e_1(s, a)}{e_0(s, a)} \dots \frac{e_m(s, a)}{e_{m-1}(s, a)} \leq e_0(s, a) \left\{ \frac{e_1(s, a)}{e_0(s, a)} \right\}^m.$$

Then on making $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (13) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{\tau, n}(se^{i\sigma}) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_0(a; w_{\tau, n})e_0(s, a) \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{a_m(a; w_{\tau, n})\cos m\sigma + b_m(a; w_{\tau, n})\sin m\sigma\} e_m(s, a) \\ &= \frac{e_0(s, a)}{2\pi} \int_0^{\tau} 1 d\theta \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_m(s, a)}{\pi} \left\{ \cos m\sigma \int_0^{\tau} \cos m\theta d\theta + \sin m\sigma \int_0^{\tau} \sin m\theta d\theta \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (14) and (15) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{\tau, n}(se^{i\sigma}) &= \frac{e_0(s, a)}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \int_0^{\tau} 1 d\theta \\ &\quad + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_m(s, a)}{\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left\{ \cos m\sigma \int_0^{\tau} \cos m\theta d\theta + \sin m\sigma \int_0^{\tau} \sin m\theta d\theta \right\} \\ &= \frac{e_0(s, a)}{2\pi} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{e_m(s, a)}{\pi} \cos m(\sigma - \tau). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand from (12) it also follows that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w_{\tau, n}(\zeta) = -\frac{a}{2\pi} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} G_P^{\rho a}(re^{i\tau}, \zeta)|_{r=a}.$$

Thus identifying ζ with $se^{i\sigma}$ we have the following

LEMMA. Let P be a rotation free density on Ω . Then for every a in $(0, 1]$, s in $(0, a)$, and σ, τ in $[0, 2\pi)$

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial r} G_P^{\rho a}(re^{i\tau}, se^{i\sigma})|_{r=a} = a^{-1} \left\{ e_0(s, a) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} e_m(s, a) \cos m(\sigma - \tau) \right\}.$$

Estimating the right hand side of the above equality by using (14) and (15), we obtain the following inequalities:

$$(16) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} G_P^{\rho a}(re^{i\tau}, se^{i\sigma})|_{r=a} \leq a^{-1} e_0(s, a) \left\{ 1 + \frac{e_1(s, a)}{e_0(s, a)} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{e_1(s, a)}{e_0(s, a)} \right\}^{-1}$$

and

$$(17) \quad -\frac{\partial}{\partial r} G_P^{\rho a}(re^{i\tau}, se^{i\sigma})|_{r=a} \geq a^{-1} e_0(s, a) \left\{ 1 - 3 \frac{e_1(s, a)}{e_0(s, a)} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{e_1(s, a)}{e_0(s, a)} \right\}^{-1}.$$

§ 3. An application of Lemma in § 2.

7. In this section we consider rotation free densities P and R on Ω with $P \leq R$ on $\bar{\Omega}$. For rotation free densities $P_n(z) = P(z) + n^2/|z|^2$ and $R_n(z) = R(z) + n^2/|z|^2$ ($n=0, 1, \dots$) on Ω we denote by $e_n(z, a)$ and $f_n(z, a)$ the P_n -unit and R_n -unit on Ω_a ($0 < a \leq 1$), respectively. As a fundamental relation between the functions $e_n(r, a)$ and $f_n(r, a)$ in r in $(0, a]$, it is known that

$$(18) \quad \frac{e_{n+1}(r, a)}{e_n(r, a)} \leq \frac{f_{n+1}(r, a)}{f_n(r, a)} \quad (n=0, 1, \dots)$$

(cf. Imai [4]). In this no. we give some relations between $e_n(r, a)$ and $f_n(r, a)$ in the case $R(z) = P(z) + 9k^2/|z|^2$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ for a positive integer k . From (9) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f_{4k}(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} &= \frac{f_{4k}(r, a)}{f_{4k-1}(r, a)} \dots \frac{f_1(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} \\ &\geq \left\{ \frac{f_1(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} \right\}^{3^{4k-1} + 3^{4k-2} + \dots + 1} = \left\{ \frac{f_1(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} \right\}^{(81^k - 1)/2} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\frac{e_{3k}(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \geq \left\{ \frac{e_1(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \right\}^{3^{3k-1} + \dots + 1} = \left\{ \frac{e_1(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \right\}^{(27^k - 1)/2}.$$

Observe that $R = P_{3k}$, $R_{4k} = P_{5k}$ and hence, $f_0(r, a) = e_{3k}(r, a)$, $f_{4k}(r, a) = e_{5k}(r, a)$.

Then above two inequalities are rewritten in the following forms:

$$(19) \quad \frac{f_1(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} \leq \left\{ \frac{e_{5k}(r, a)}{e_{3k}(r, a)} \right\}^{2/(81^k - 1)}$$

and

$$(20) \quad \frac{e_1(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \leq \left\{ \frac{f_0(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \right\}^{2/(27^k - 1)},$$

respectively. On the other hand by (8) we have

$$(21) \quad \frac{e_{5k}(r, a)}{e_{3k}(r, a)} = \frac{e_{5k}(r, a)}{e_{5k-1}(r, a)} \cdots \frac{e_{3k+1}(r, a)}{e_{3k}(r, a)} \leq \left\{ \frac{e_1(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \right\}^{2k}.$$

Therefore we compile (19), (20), and (21) in the following inequality:

$$(22) \quad \frac{f_1(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} \leq \left\{ \frac{f_0(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \right\}^{\alpha_k}, \quad \text{i. e.} \quad \frac{e_0(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} \leq \left\{ \frac{f_0(r, a)}{f_1(r, a)} \right\}^{\alpha_k^{-1}}$$

for any a in $(0, 1]$ and r in $(0, a]$, where $\alpha_k = 8k(81^k - 1)^{-1}(27^k - 1)^{-1}$.

8: Consider a *general* density Q on Ω with $P(z) \leq Q(z) \leq P(z) + C/|z|^2$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ for some nonnegative constant C . We take a positive integer k with $9k^2 \geq C$ and denote by R the rotation free density P_{3k} on Ω . In view of (16), (17), and (18) the inner normal derivative of the Q -Green's function $G_Q^a(z, \zeta)$ on Ω_a with pole at ζ satisfies that

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_Q^a(z, \zeta) &\leq \frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_R^a(z, \zeta) \\ &\leq a^{-1} e_0(|\zeta|, a) \left\{ 1 + \frac{e_1(|\zeta|, a)}{e_0(|\zeta|, a)} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{e_1(|\zeta|, a)}{e_0(|\zeta|, a)} \right\}^{-1} \\ &\leq a^{-1} e_0(|\zeta|, a) \left\{ 1 + \frac{f_1(|\zeta|, a)}{f_0(|\zeta|, a)} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{f_1(|\zeta|, a)}{f_0(|\zeta|, a)} \right\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(24) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_Q^a(z, \zeta) &\geq \frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_R^a(z, \zeta) \\ &\geq a^{-1} f_0(|\zeta|, a) \left\{ 1 - 3 \frac{f_1(|\zeta|, a)}{f_0(|\zeta|, a)} \right\} \left\{ 1 - \frac{f_1(|\zeta|, a)}{f_0(|\zeta|, a)} \right\}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

for any z in $\partial\Omega_a$. By (6), (19), and (21) we have

$$\frac{f_1(r, a)}{f_0(r, a)} \leq \left\{ \frac{e_1(r, a)}{e_0(r, a)} \right\}^{4k/(81^k - 1)} = \left\{ \frac{e_1(r)}{e_0(r)} \frac{e_0(a)}{e_1(a)} \right\}^{4k/(81^k - 1)},$$

where $e_n(r) = e_n(r, 1)$ and $f_n(r) = f_n(r, 1)$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$). Assume that the Picard

principle is valid for P at $\delta\Omega$. Then from (10) it follows that there exists $s=s(C, a)$ in $(0, a)$ with $f_1(s, a)/f_0(s, a)=1/4$. Applying (23) and (24) to ζ_1 and ζ_2 in $\partial\Omega_s$, respectively we obtain

$$\frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_Q^{\partial a}(z, \zeta_1)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial n_z} G_Q^{\partial a}(z, \zeta_2)} \leq \frac{e_0(s, a)}{f_0(s, a)} \frac{1 + \frac{f_1(s, a)}{f_0(s, a)}}{1 - 3 \frac{f_1(s, a)}{f_0(s, a)}} = 5 \frac{e_0(s, a)}{f_0(s, a)}.$$

Therefore in view of (22) we have the following estimate:

$$C(\partial\Omega_s; \Omega_a, Q) \leq 5 \cdot 4^{\alpha_k^{-1}}.$$

Thus we deduce the following

THEOREM. *Let P be a rotation free density on Ω for which the Picard principle is valid at $\delta\Omega$. Then the Picard principle is valid for every density Q on Ω at $\delta\Omega$ with $P(z) \leq Q(z) \leq P(z) + C/|z|^2$ on $\bar{\Omega}$ for a nonnegative constant C .*

References

- [1] M. Brelot, On topologies and boundaries in potential theory, Lecture Notes in Math., 175, Springer-Verlag, 1971.
- [2] K. Hayashi, Les solutions positives de l'équation $\Delta u = Pu$ sur une surface de Riemann, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 13 (1961), 20-24.
- [3] M. Heins, Riemann surfaces of infinite genus, Ann. of Math., 55 (1952), 296-317.
- [4] H. Imai, On singular indices of rotation free densities, Pacific J. Math., 80 (1979), 179-190.
- [5] S. Itô, Martin boundary for linear elliptic differential operator of second order in a manifold, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 16 (1964), 307-334.
- [6] M. Kawamura, On a conjecture of Nakai on Picard principle, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 31 (1979), 359-372.
- [7] M. Nakai, Martin boundary over isolated singularity of rotation free density, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 26 (1974), 483-507.
- [8] M. Nakai, Picard principle and Riemann theorem, Tôhoku Math. J., 28 (1976), 277-292.

Toshimasa TADA

Department of Mathematics
Daido Institute of Technology
Daido, Minami, Nagoya 457
Japan