

## Orbits on affine symmetric spaces under the action of the isotropy subgroups

By Toshio OSHIMA and Toshihiko MATSUKI

(Received Aug. 17, 1978)

(Revised April 9, 1979)

### Introduction.

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group,  $\sigma$  an involutive automorphism of  $G$  and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  satisfying  $(G_\sigma)_0 \subset H \subset G_\sigma$  where  $G_\sigma = \{x \in G \mid \sigma(x) = x\}$  and  $(G_\sigma)_0$  is the identity component of  $G_\sigma$ . Then the triple  $(G, H, \sigma)$  is called an affine symmetric space ([7, p. 223 and p. 225]). Suppose that  $G_\sigma$  is real semi-simple, and consider the double coset decomposition  $H \backslash G / H$ .

In the case of a Riemannian symmetric space  $(G, K, \theta)$  of noncompact type the double coset decomposition is the Cartan decomposition  $G = KA_rK$ . Secondly consider an affine symmetric space  $(G \times G, \Delta G, \sigma)$  where  $G$  is real semi-simple,  $\Delta G$  denotes the diagonal of  $G \times G$ , and  $\sigma$  is the mapping  $(x, y) \rightarrow (y, x)$  ( $x, y \in G$ ). If we identify  $G \times G / \Delta G$  with  $G$  in the natural way, then the structure of  $\Delta G \backslash G \times G / \Delta G$  is, for the most part, known by the following Harish-Chandra's theorem (see [3, p. 102], [4, p. 556] and [12, p. 113]).

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G'$  be the set of regular elements in  $G$ ,  $\{j_i \mid i=1, \dots, r\}$  representatives of conjugacy classes of Cartan subalgebras in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and  $J_i$  the Cartan subgroup associated with  $j_i$ . Then*

$$G' = \bigcup_{i=1}^r \bigcup_{x \in G} x J_i x^{-1}$$

where  $J'_i = J_i \cap G'$ .

In this paper we will extend this theorem to an arbitrary affine symmetric space  $(G, H, \sigma)$  such that  $G$  is real semisimple.

Let  $\varphi$  be the mapping of  $G$  into  $G$  defined by  $\varphi(g) = g\sigma(g)^{-1}$  for  $g \in G$  (see [1], [8, p. 182]). Then  $G/G_\sigma$  and  $\varphi(G)$  are diffeomorphic by this mapping, and the  $H$ -orbits on  $G/G_\sigma$  correspond to the  $H$ -orbits on  $\varphi(G)$  under the action  $(h, x) \rightarrow h x h^{-1}$  ( $h \in H, x \in \varphi(G)$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  denote the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively, and let the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  be the one induced by the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$ . Put  $\mathfrak{q} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma(X) = -X\}$ . A subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathfrak{q}$  is called an  $A$ -subspace if the following two conditions are satisfied: (i)  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$  is

maximal abelian in  $\mathfrak{q}$ ; (ii) Every element of  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$  is a semi-simple element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . The centralizer  $A_\mathfrak{q}$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$  in  $\varphi(G)$  is called the  $A$ -subset associated with  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$ . Then every connected component of  $A_\mathfrak{q}$  is diffeomorphic to  $\exp \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{q}$  (§ 3, Proposition 1). Consider the polynomial

$$\det(t - \text{ad}(X)) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i(X)t^i, \quad X \in \mathfrak{q},$$

where  $d_i$  are polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $k$  be the least integer such that  $d_k \neq 0$ . Then the elements of  $\mathfrak{q}' = \{X \in \mathfrak{q} \mid d_k(X) \neq 0\}$  are called the  $\mathfrak{q}$ -regular elements. Next consider the polynomial

$$\det(1 + t - \text{Ad}(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^n D_i(x)t^i, \quad x \in \varphi(G).$$

Then the elements of  $\varphi(G)' = \{x \in \varphi(G) \mid D_k(x) \neq 0\}$  are called the  $\varphi(G)$ -regular elements. Let  $\{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \mid i \in I\}$  be a set of representatives of  $H$ -conjugacy classes of  $A$ -subspaces and  $A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  the  $A$ -subsets associated with  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ . Then we have the following theorem (§ 6, Theorem 2).

**THEOREM.** (i)  $\mathfrak{q}' = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{a}'_i$  (disjoint union) where  $\mathfrak{a}'_i = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \cap \mathfrak{q}'$ . The mapping  $\zeta_i: H/Z_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}) \times \mathfrak{a}'_i \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}'$  defined by  $\zeta_i(hZ_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}), Y) = \text{Ad}(h)Y$  ( $h \in H, Y \in \mathfrak{a}'_i$ ) is an everywhere regular  $|W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H)|$ -to-one mapping where  $W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H) = N_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i})/Z_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i})$ .

(ii) Put  $\varphi(G)_i = \bigcup_{h \in H} hA'_{\mathfrak{q}_i}h^{-1}$  where  $A_{\mathfrak{q}_i} = A_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \cap \varphi(G)'$ . Then  $\varphi(G)' = \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi(G)_i$  (disjoint union). The mapping  $\eta_i: H/Z_H(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}) \times A'_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \rightarrow \varphi(G)'$  defined by  $\eta_i(hZ_H(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}), y) = h y h^{-1}$  ( $h \in H, y \in A'_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ ) is an everywhere regular  $|W(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H)|$ -to-one mapping where  $W(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H) = N_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i})/Z_H(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i})$ .

Moreover we will prove the following results. For every affine symmetric space  $(G, H, \sigma)$ , there exists a (finite) covering group  $G_2$  of  $G$  such that  $G_2/(G_2)_\sigma \cong G/H$  and  $(G_2)_\sigma \backslash G_2/(G_2)_\sigma \cong H \backslash G/H$  (Lemma 3).

An element  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is called semi-simple (resp. nilpotent) when  $\text{ad}(X)$  is a semi-simple (resp. nilpotent) endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . An element  $x$  of  $G$  is called semi-simple (resp. unipotent) when  $\text{Ad}(x)$  is a semi-simple endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$  (resp.  $x = \exp X$  with a nilpotent element  $X$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). Then every semi-simple element in  $\mathfrak{q}$  (resp.  $\varphi(G)$ ) is contained in some  $A$ -subspace (resp.  $A$ -subset) (Corollary to Theorem 2). Moreover every element in  $\mathfrak{q}$  (resp.  $\varphi(G)$ ) is decomposed to the semi-simple component and the nilpotent (resp. unipotent) component contained in  $\mathfrak{q}$  (resp.  $\varphi(G)$ ) with respect to the Jordan decomposition (§ 5, Proposition 2).

The determination of  $H$ -conjugacy classes of  $A$ -subspaces is equivalent to that of  $K_+$ -conjugacy classes of  $\bar{\theta}$ -stable maximal abelian subspaces of  $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$  (§ 7), where  $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{k}}, \bar{\theta})$  is the symmetric Lie algebra dual to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  (cf. [2, p. 111]),

$K_+ = K \cap H$  and  $K = G_\theta$ . This is studied in [9, §2]. Theorem 3 which is a corollary to [9, Theorem 2] gives an explicit construction of representatives of  $H$ -conjugacy classes of  $A$ -subspaces.

### Notations.

Let  $G$  be a Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{s}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{s}_2$  be subsets of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and let  $S_1, S_2$  and  $S$  be subsets of  $G$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{z}_{S_1}(\mathfrak{s}_2) &= \{X \in \mathfrak{s}_1 \mid [Y, X] = 0 \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{s}_2\} \\ \mathfrak{z}_{S_1}(S_2) &= \{X \in \mathfrak{s}_1 \mid \text{Ad}(y)X = X \text{ for all } y \in S_2\}, \\ Z_{S_1}(\mathfrak{s}_2) &= \{x \in S_1 \mid \text{Ad}(x)Y = Y \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{s}_2\}, \\ Z_{S_1}(S_2) &= \{x \in S_1 \mid xyx^{-1} = y \text{ for all } y \in S_2\}, \\ N_{S_1}(\mathfrak{s}_2) &= \{x \in S_1 \mid \text{Ad}(x)\mathfrak{s}_2 = \mathfrak{s}_2\}, \\ N_{S_1}(S_2) &= \{x \in S_1 \mid xS_2x^{-1} = S_2\}. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\sigma$  be an automorphism of  $G$ . Then

$$S_\sigma = \{x \in S \mid \sigma(x) = x\}.$$

Let  $H$  be a closed subgroup of  $G$ . Then  $H_0$  denotes the identity component of  $H$ . Let  $W$  be a finite set. Then  $|W|$  denotes the number of the elements of  $W$ .

### §1. Definitions.

Let  $G$  be a connected Lie group,  $\sigma$  an involutive automorphism of  $G$ , and  $H$  a closed subgroup of  $G$  satisfying  $(G_\sigma)_0 \subset H \subset G_\sigma$ . Then the triple  $(G, H, \sigma)$  is called an *affine symmetric space*. Let  $\mathfrak{g}$  be a Lie algebra,  $\sigma$  an involutive automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{h} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma(X) = X\}$ . Then the triple  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  is called a *symmetric Lie algebra*. To every affine symmetric space  $(G, H, \sigma)$  there corresponds a symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  where  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$  are the Lie algebras of  $G$  and  $H$ , respectively, and the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  is the one induced by the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$ . We assume that  $G$  and  $\mathfrak{g}$  are semi-simple for every affine symmetric space  $(G, H, \sigma)$  and symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  appeared in this paper.

Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  be a symmetric Lie algebra. Put  $\mathfrak{q} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \sigma(X) = -X\}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{q}$  (direct sum).

DEFINITION. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  be a symmetric Lie algebra. Then a subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  of  $\mathfrak{q}$  is called an *A-subspace* if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  is a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{q}$ ;
- (ii) Every element of  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  is a semi-simple element of  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

Let  $(G, H, \sigma)$  be an affine symmetric space and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  the corresponding symmetric Lie algebra. Define a mapping  $\varphi$  of  $G$  into  $G$  by

$$\varphi(g) = g\sigma(g)^{-1}, \quad g \in G.$$

If  $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g_2)$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ , then  $g_2^{-1}g_1 = \sigma(g_2^{-1}g_1)$ . Hence  $g_2^{-1}g_1 \in G_\sigma$  and so the mapping  $\varphi$  gives an injection of  $G/G_\sigma$  into  $G$ . For each element  $x$  of  $G$ , define a transformation  $a(x)$  of  $G$  by

$$a(x)y = xy\sigma(x)^{-1}, \quad y \in G.$$

Clearly  $G$  acts transitively on  $\varphi(G)$  under the action of  $a$  and we have

$$(1.1) \quad \varphi(xy) = a(x)\varphi(y), \quad x, y \in G.$$

Thus the  $H$ -orbit structure on  $G/G_\sigma$  is identified with the  $H$ -orbit structure on  $\varphi(G)$  under the action  $(h, y) \rightarrow h y h^{-1}$  ( $h \in H, y \in \varphi(G)$ ).

Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  commutative with  $\sigma$  ([2], [8, p. 153, Theorem 2.1]) and  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{k} + \mathfrak{p}$  the corresponding Cartan decomposition. Put  $\mathfrak{k}_+ = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $\mathfrak{k}_- = \mathfrak{k} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ , and  $\mathfrak{p}_- = \mathfrak{p} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ . Let  $K$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{k}$ . Then the mapping  $(k, X, Y) \rightarrow k \exp X \exp Y$  is an analytic diffeomorphism of  $K \times \mathfrak{p}_- \times \mathfrak{p}_+$  onto  $G$  ([8, p. 161], [10]). Thus we have

$$(1.2) \quad \varphi(G) = a(K) \exp \mathfrak{p}_-.$$

Though the following three lemmas are proved also in [8], we will give them with proofs for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 1.  $\varphi(G)$  is a closed submanifold of  $G$ .

PROOF. If  $x \in \varphi(G)$ , then  $\sigma(x) = x^{-1}$ . Thus there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of the identity in  $G$  such that  $\varphi(G) \cap V = \exp \mathfrak{q} \cap V$ . Since  $G$  acts transitively on  $\varphi(G)$  under the action of  $a$ , and since  $a(y)$  is a transformation of  $G$  for every  $y \in G$ , it follows that  $\varphi(G)$  is a regular submanifold.

Next we will prove that  $\varphi(G)$  is closed in  $G$ . Let  $Z$  denote the center of  $G$ . Since  $\varphi(Z)$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  are closed in  $K$  and  $\mathfrak{p}$ , respectively, it follows from the Cartan decomposition  $G = K \exp \mathfrak{p}$  that  $\varphi(Z) \exp \mathfrak{p}_-$  is a closed subset of  $G$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $G$  which is not contained in  $\varphi(G)$ . Then for every  $k \in K$ , there exist a neighborhood  $V$  of  $x$  in  $G$  and a neighborhood  $W$  of the identity in  $K$  such that

$$a(W)V \cap a(Zk) \exp \mathfrak{p}_- = \emptyset.$$

Hence

$$V \cap a(ZW^{-1}k) \exp \mathfrak{p}_- = \emptyset.$$

Since  $K/Z$  is compact, there exists a neighborhood  $V'$  of  $x$  in  $G$  such that

$$V' \cap a(K) \exp \mathfrak{p}_- = \emptyset.$$

This implies that  $\varphi(G)$  is closed in  $G$  (see (1.2)).

q. e. d.

LEMMA 2. *The number of connected components of  $G_\sigma$  is finite.*

PROOF. Since  $G_\sigma = K_\sigma \exp \mathfrak{p}_+$ , we have only to prove that the number of connected components of  $K_\sigma$  is finite. Let  $\mathfrak{c}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{k}$  and put  $\mathfrak{k}' = [\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}]$ . Then  $\mathfrak{k} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{k}'$  (direct sum). Let  $D$  and  $K'$  be the analytic subgroups of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{c}$  and  $\mathfrak{k}'$ , respectively. Then  $K = DK'$ ,  $K'$  is compact and  $D \cap K'$  is a finite set. Since  $D$ ,  $K'$  and  $D \cap K'$  are  $\sigma$ -stable,  $\sigma$  acts on  $D/D \cap K'$  and  $K'/D \cap K'$ . Since the natural mapping  $K \rightarrow D/D \cap K' \times K'/D \cap K'$  is a finite covering, it is enough to prove that the numbers of connected components of  $(D/D \cap K')_\sigma$  and  $(K'/D \cap K')_\sigma$  are finite, which is easy to prove.

q. e. d.

LEMMA 3. *There exists a covering group  $G_2$  ( $\pi_2: G_2 \rightarrow G$  the covering map) such that  $\sigma$  lifts to  $G_2$  and that  $\pi_2^{-1}(H) = (G_2)_\sigma$ . Moreover  $\pi_2$  can be taken to be a finite covering map.*

PROOF. Let  $G_1$  be the universal covering group of  $G$  and  $\pi_1: G_1 \rightarrow G$  the covering map. Then  $\sigma$  lifts to  $G_1$ . Let  $Z$  denote the center of  $G_1$ . Put  $\Gamma = \varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(H)) = \{g\sigma(g^{-1}) \mid g \in \pi_1^{-1}(H)\}$ . Then  $\Gamma \subset \pi_1^{-1}(1) \subset Z$  and therefore  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\pi_1^{-1}(1)$ . Put  $G_2 = G_1/\Gamma$  and let  $\pi_2: G_2 \rightarrow G$  be the covering map induced from  $\pi_1$ . Since  $\Gamma$  is  $\sigma$ -stable,  $\sigma$  lifts to  $G_2$ . We will prove  $\pi_2^{-1}(H) = (G_2)_\sigma$ . If  $g\Gamma \in \pi_1^{-1}(H)$ , then  $g\Gamma\sigma(g\Gamma)^{-1} = g\sigma(g)^{-1}\Gamma = \varphi(g)\Gamma = \Gamma$  and therefore  $g\Gamma \in (G_2)_\sigma$ . Hence  $\pi_2^{-1}(H) \subset (G_2)_\sigma$ . Conversely suppose  $g\Gamma \in (G_2)_\sigma$ .  $(G_1)_\sigma = \varphi^{-1}(1)$  is connected. In fact, let  $K_1$  be the analytic subgroup of  $G_1$  for  $\mathfrak{k}$  and define  $K'_1$  and  $D$  as in Lemma 2. Then  $K_1 = K'_1 \times D_1$  where  $K'_1$  and  $D$  are  $\sigma$ -stable. Since  $(G_1)_\sigma = (K_1)_\sigma \exp \mathfrak{p}_+$ , we have only to prove that  $(K_1)_\sigma = (K'_1)_\sigma \times (D_1)_\sigma$  is connected. Since  $D_1$  is a vector group,  $(D_1)_\sigma$  is connected. For the connectedness of  $(K'_1)_\sigma$ , see [5, P. 272]. Thus we have  $\pi_1^{-1}(H) \supset (G_1)_\sigma$  and therefore  $\pi_1^{-1}(H) = \varphi^{-1}(\Gamma)$ . Since  $\varphi(g) \in \Gamma$ ,  $g \in \pi_1^{-1}(H)$ . Hence  $(G_2)_\sigma \subset \pi_2^{-1}(H)$ .

Note that  $\pi_1^{-1}(G_\sigma) = \{g \in G_1 \mid \varphi(g) \in \pi_1^{-1}(1)\}$  and suppose that there exists a  $\sigma$ -stable subgroup  $\Gamma'$  of  $\pi_1^{-1}(1)$  such that  $\pi_1^{-1}(1)/\Gamma'$  is finite and that  $\varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(G_\sigma)) \cap \Gamma' = \varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(H))$ . Then we can easily prove in the same way that  $G_3 = G_1/\Gamma'$  satisfies the conditions of the last half of Lemma 3. Such a  $\Gamma'$  is given as follows. Since  $\pi_1^{-1}(1)/\varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(H))$  is a finitely generated abelian group and since  $\varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(G_\sigma))/\varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(H))$  is finite (Lemma 2), it follows from the fundamental theorem for finitely generated abelian group that there exists a subgroup  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\pi_1^{-1}(1)$  such that  $\pi_1^{-1}(1)/\Gamma_1$  is finite and  $\varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(G_\sigma)) \cap \Gamma_1 = \varphi(\pi_1^{-1}(H))$ .  $\Gamma' = \Gamma_1 \cap \sigma(\Gamma_1)$  is a desired subgroup of  $\pi_1^{-1}(1)$ .

q. e. d.

The (finite) covering map  $\pi_2: G_2 \rightarrow G$  obtained by Lemma 3 induces a

diffeomorphism  $G_2/(G_2)_\sigma \simeq G/H$  and a bijection  $(G_2)_\sigma \backslash G_2/(G_2)_\sigma \simeq H \backslash G/H$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $(G, H, \sigma)$  be an affine symmetric space and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  the corresponding symmetric Lie algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  be an  $A$ -subspace. Then the centralizer  $A_q$  of  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  in  $\varphi(G)$  is called the  $A$ -subset associated with  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ .

For every  $X \in \mathfrak{q}$ , consider the eigenpolynomial

$$\det(t - \text{ad}(X)) = \sum_{i=0}^n d_i(X)t^i$$

of the endomorphism  $\text{ad}(X)$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  where  $t$  is an indeterminate,  $n = \dim \mathfrak{g}$  and the  $d_i$  are polynomial functions on  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Let  $k$  be the least integer such that  $d_k \neq 0$ .

DEFINITION. An element  $X \in \mathfrak{q}$  is said to be  $q$ -regular if  $d_k(X) \neq 0$ . The set of  $q$ -regular elements is denoted by  $q'$ .

For every  $x \in \varphi(G)$ , put

$$\det(t+1 - \text{Ad}(x)) = \sum_{i=0}^n D_i(x)t^i.$$

Then  $D_i$  are analytic functions on  $\varphi(G)$  and  $D_k \neq 0$ .

DEFINITION. An element  $x \in \varphi(G)$  is said to be  $\varphi(G)$ -regular if  $D_k(x) \neq 0$ . The set of  $\varphi(G)$ -regular elements is denoted by  $\varphi(G)'$ .

REMARK. Let  $G_c$  be a connected complex semi-simple Lie group,  $\sigma$  a complex analytic involutive automorphism of  $G_c$ , and  $H_c$  a closed subgroup of  $G_c$  satisfying  $(G_c)_\sigma \subset H_c \subset G_c$ . Then  $H_c$  is a complex subgroup of  $G_c$  and  $(G_c, H_c, \sigma)$  is an affine symmetric space. Let  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c, \sigma)$  be the corresponding symmetric Lie algebra. It is well known that a complex endomorphism  $f$  (such as  $\text{ad } X$  for  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_c$  or  $\text{Ad } x$  for  $x \in G_c$ ) of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  is semi-simple if and only if it is semi-simple when  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  is regarded as a  $2n$ -dimensional real vector space ( $n = \dim_c \mathfrak{g}_c$ ). As for the  $q$ -regularity and the  $\varphi(G)$ -regularity a similar statement holds.

DEFINITION. Such an affine symmetric space  $(G_c, H_c, \sigma)$  is called a *complex affine symmetric space* and  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c, \sigma)$  is called a *complex symmetric Lie algebra*.

Let  $(G, H, \sigma)$  be an affine symmetric space and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  the corresponding symmetric Lie algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  and  $\mathfrak{h}_c$  be the complexifications of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\mathfrak{h}$ , respectively, and extend  $\sigma$  to the complex linear automorphism of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$ . The inclusion mapping of  $\mathfrak{g}$  into  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  is denoted by  $\iota$ . Let  $G_c$  be a connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  such that the mappings  $\iota: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_c$  and  $\sigma: \mathfrak{g}_c \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_c$  lift to Lie group homomorphisms  $\iota: G \rightarrow G_c$  and  $\sigma: G_c \rightarrow G_c$ , respectively. A complex affine symmetric space  $(G_c, H_c, \sigma)$  satisfying the above conditions will be called a *complexification* of the affine symmetric space  $(G, H, \sigma)$ . Every affine symmetric space  $(G, H, \sigma)$  has at least one complexification  $(G_c, (G_c)_\sigma, \sigma)$  where  $G_c = \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g}_c)$ .

**§ 2.  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ -regular elements.**

For an  $A$ -subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ , let  $\mathfrak{a}_{qC}$  be the complexification of  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ .

Then  $\mathfrak{a}_{qC}$  is an  $A$ -subspace of  $(\mathfrak{g}_C, \mathfrak{h}_C, \sigma)$ . Let  $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{qC})$  denote the root system of the pair  $(\mathfrak{g}_C, \mathfrak{a}_{qC})$ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g}_C = \mathfrak{g}_C(\mathfrak{a}_{qC}) + \mathfrak{a}_{qC} + \sum_{\lambda \in \Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{qC})} \mathfrak{g}_{C\lambda} \quad (\text{direct sum})$$

where  $\mathfrak{g}_{C\lambda} = \{X \in \mathfrak{g}_C \mid [Y, X] = \lambda(Y)X \text{ for all } Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{qC}\}$ .

DEFINITION. An element  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{qC}$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ ) is said to be  $\mathfrak{a}_{qC}$ -regular (resp.  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ -regular) if  $\lambda(Y) \neq 0$  for all  $\lambda \in \Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{qC})$ . The set of  $\mathfrak{a}_{qC}$ -regular (resp.  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ -regular) elements is denoted by  $\mathfrak{a}'_C$  (resp.  $\mathfrak{a}'_q$ ).

Retain the above notations and put  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_C = \sum_{\lambda \in \Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{qC})} \mathfrak{g}_{C\lambda}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_C \cap \mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\tilde{\mathfrak{h}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{h}$  and  $\tilde{\mathfrak{q}} = \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \cap \mathfrak{q}$ . Then it follows from (2.1) that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{h}(\mathfrak{a}_q) + \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} & (\text{direct sum}) \\ \mathfrak{q} = \mathfrak{a}_q + \tilde{\mathfrak{q}} & (\text{direct sum}). \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 4. The mapping  $\zeta$  of  $H/Z_H(\mathfrak{a}_q) \times \mathfrak{a}'_q$  into  $\mathfrak{q}$  defined by

$$\zeta(hZ_H(\mathfrak{a}_q), Y) = \text{Ad}(h)Y, \quad h \in H, \quad Y \in \mathfrak{a}'_q$$

is an everywhere regular  $|W(\mathfrak{a}_q, H)|$ -to-one mapping onto  $\text{Im } \zeta$ , where

$$W(\mathfrak{a}_q, H) = N_H(\mathfrak{a}_q)/Z_H(\mathfrak{a}_q).$$

PROOF. If  $h \in H$ ,  $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}$ ,  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}'_q$ ,  $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_q$  and  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ , then

$$\text{Ad}(h \exp tX)(Y + tY_1) = \text{Ad}(h)Y + t \text{Ad}(h)([X, Y] + Y_1) + o(t).$$

Since  $Y$  is  $\mathfrak{a}_q$ -regular, the mapping  $-\text{ad } Y|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{h}}}: \tilde{\mathfrak{h}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{q}}$  is a bijection. Hence the regularity of  $\zeta$  follows from (2.2).

Assume  $\text{Ad}(h_1)Y_1 = \text{Ad}(h_2)Y_2$ ,  $h_1, h_2 \in H$  and  $Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathfrak{a}'_q$ . Since  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{q}(Y_1) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{q}(Y_2) = \mathfrak{a}_q$ , it follows that  $\text{Ad}(h_2^{-1}h_1)\mathfrak{a}_q = \mathfrak{a}_q$  and that  $h_2^{-1}h_1 \in N_H(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ .

q. e. d.

It follows from Lemma 4 that  $\text{Ad}(H)(\mathfrak{a}'_q)$  is an open subset of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}'$  is an open dense subset of  $\mathfrak{q}$ ,  $\mathfrak{q}' \cap \text{Ad}(H)(\mathfrak{a}'_q) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathfrak{q}'$  is  $\text{Ad}(H)$ -invariant, we have  $\mathfrak{q}' \cap \mathfrak{a}'_q \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $F$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{q}' \cap \mathfrak{a}'_q$ . If  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}'_q$ , then  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{q}(Y) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{q}(F) = \mathfrak{g}_\mathfrak{q}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Hence  $Y \in \mathfrak{q}'$  and  $\mathfrak{a}'_q \subset \mathfrak{q}'$ . It follows easily that  $\mathfrak{a}_q \cap \mathfrak{q}' = \mathfrak{a}'_q$ .

**§ 3. Connected components of  $A_q$ .**

LEMMA 5. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  be an  $A$ -subspace of a symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ . Then there exists a Cartan involution  $\theta$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$  satisfying (i)  $\theta$  is commutative with

$\sigma$  and (ii)  $\mathfrak{a}_\sigma$  is  $\theta$ -stable.

PROOF. If  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}'_\sigma$ , then  $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma) = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(Y)$  is reductive in  $\mathfrak{g}$  ([10, p. 105]). Hence  $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma) = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{l}$  where  $\mathfrak{c}$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma)$  and  $\mathfrak{l} = [\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma), \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma)]$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_\sigma \subset \mathfrak{c}$  and  $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{l}$  be a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{l}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{c} + \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{l} = \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{h} + \mathfrak{a}_\sigma$  ( $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{a} \cap \mathfrak{h}$ ) is a Cartan subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$  and is  $\sigma$ -stable. Let  $\theta'$  be a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\theta'$ -stable ([12, Proposition 1.3.1.1]). Since  $\mathfrak{a}$  is  $\sigma\theta'$ -stable, there exists an  $x \in \text{Int}(\mathfrak{g})$  such that  $x\theta'x^{-1}$  is commutative with  $\sigma$  and that  $x(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$  ([9, Lemma 3]). Put  $\theta = x\theta'x^{-1}$ . Then  $\theta(\mathfrak{a}) = \mathfrak{a}$ . Since  $\theta\sigma = \sigma\theta$ , then  $\theta(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma) = \mathfrak{a}_\sigma$ . q. e. d.

REMARK. Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  commutative with  $\sigma$ . Since every Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  commutative with  $\sigma$  can be written as  $h\theta h^{-1}$ , with an  $h \in \text{Ad}(H_0)$  ([8, p. 153, Theorem 2.1]), it follows from Lemma 5 that every  $A$ -subspace is  $H_0$ -conjugate to a  $\theta$ -stable  $A$ -subspace.

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_\sigma$  be an  $A$ -subspace and  $\theta$  a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\sigma\theta = \theta\sigma$  and that  $\theta(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma) = \mathfrak{a}_\sigma$  (Lemma 5). Let  $A_\sigma$  be the  $A$ -subset associated with  $\mathfrak{a}_\sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{f}, \mathfrak{f}_+, \mathfrak{f}_-, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+, \mathfrak{p}_-$  and  $K$  be as in §1 and put  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{f}_-} = \mathfrak{a}_\sigma \cap \mathfrak{f}_-$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-} = \mathfrak{a}_\sigma \cap \mathfrak{p}_-$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_\sigma = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{f}_-} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}$  (direct sum). Let  $x$  be an element of  $A_\sigma$ . Following (1.2),  $x$  can be written as  $x = k \exp X\sigma(k)^{-1}$  where  $k \in K$  and  $X \in \mathfrak{p}_-$ . If  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{f}_-}$ , then

$$\text{Ad}(k \exp X\sigma(k)^{-1})Y = Y.$$

Hence

$$\text{Ad}(\exp X)\text{Ad}(\sigma(k)^{-1})Y = \text{Ad}(k^{-1})Y.$$

Since  $\text{Ad}(\sigma(k)^{-1})Y \in \mathfrak{f}$  and  $\text{Ad}(k^{-1})Y \in \mathfrak{f}$ , it follows that  $[X, \text{Ad}(\sigma(k)^{-1})Y] = 0$  ([12, p. 28, Lemma 1.1.3.7]). Therefore

$$\text{Ad}(k\sigma(k)^{-1})Y = Y.$$

When  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}$ , we have the same result. Summarizing, we have

$$(3.1) \quad A_\sigma = \{k \exp X\sigma(k)^{-1} \mid k \in K, X \in \mathfrak{p}_-, \varphi(k) \in Z_{\varphi(K)}(\mathfrak{a}_\sigma), X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}(\text{Ad}(k)^{-1}\mathfrak{a}_\sigma)\}.$$

Let  $\mathfrak{u}$  be a compact real form of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\sigma(\mathfrak{u}) = \mathfrak{u}$ , and  $\tau$  the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{u}$ , which is a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}_\mathbb{C}$ . Put  $\mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{C}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_\mathfrak{u} = \mathfrak{u} \cap \mathfrak{q}_\mathbb{C}$ . Let  $(G_\mathbb{C}, H_\mathbb{C}, \sigma)$  be a complexification of  $(G, H, \sigma)$  and let  $U$  denote the analytic subgroup of  $G_\mathbb{C}$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{u}$ .

LEMMA 6.  $\varphi(U) = \exp \mathfrak{q}_\mathfrak{u}$ .

PROOF. In the compact symmetric space  $U/U_\sigma$ , every geodesic starting from the origin is of the form  $\exp(tX)U_\sigma$  with an  $X \in \mathfrak{q}_\mathfrak{u}$  ( $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ). Since  $U/U_\sigma$  is complete,  $U = \exp(\mathfrak{q}_\mathfrak{u})U_\sigma$ . Hence  $\varphi(U) \subset \exp \mathfrak{q}_\mathfrak{u}$ . The reverse inclusion is clear. q. e. d.

LEMMA 7. *A maximal torus contained in  $\exp \mathfrak{q}_\mathfrak{u}$  is a maximal abelian subset of  $\exp \mathfrak{q}_\mathfrak{u}$ .*



The proof of this Lemma is the same as that of [5, p. 247, Corollary 2.7].

Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$  be a  $\tau$ -stable  $A$ -subspace with respect to the symmetric Lie algebra  $(\mathfrak{g}_C, \mathfrak{h}_C, \sigma)$  and  $A_{q_C}$  the associated  $A$ -subset with respect to  $(G_C, H_C, \sigma)$ . Put  $\mathfrak{a}_{q_u} = \mathfrak{a}_{q_C} \cap \mathfrak{u}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{a}_{q_C} = \mathfrak{a}_{q_u} + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_{q_u}$ .

It follows from Lemma 6 and Lemma 7 that  $Z_{\varphi(\mathcal{W})}(\mathfrak{a}_{q_C}) = Z_{\varphi(\mathcal{W})}(\mathfrak{a}_{q_u}) = \exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_u}$ . Hence it follows from (3.1) that  $A_{q_C}$  is connected. On the other hand  $\exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C} = \exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_u} \exp \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_{q_u}$  is a closed subgroup of  $G_C$  since  $\exp \mathfrak{a}_q$  is closed.

LEMMA 8.  $A_{q_C} = \exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$ .

PROOF. It is clear that  $A_{q_C} \supset \exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$ . Since  $a(\exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C})$  acts on  $A_{q_C}$  and acts transitively on  $\exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$  and since  $A_{q_C}$  is connected, it suffices to show that there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of the identity in  $G_C$  such that  $A_{q_C} \cap V \subset \exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$ . Let  $V'$  be a neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathfrak{q}_C$  such that the restriction of the exponential map to  $V'$  is a diffeomorphism onto  $V \cap \varphi(G_C)$  for some neighborhood  $V$  of the identity in  $G_C$ . Let  $Y$  be an element of  $V'$  such that  $\exp Y \in A_{q_C} \cap V$ . Then  $e^{\text{ad} Y} Y_1 = Y_1$  for all  $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$ . If  $V'$  is sufficiently small, it follows that  $[Y, Y_1] = 0$  for all  $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$  which implies  $Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$ . q. e. d.

LEMMA 9. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  be an  $A$ -subspace and  $A_q$  the associated  $A$ -subset. Then every element of  $A_q$  is semi-simple and  $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(A_q) = \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ .

PROOF. Let  $(G_C, H_C, \sigma)$  be a complexification of  $(G, H, \sigma)$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$  the complexification of  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  and  $A_{q_C}$  the associated  $A$ -subset. Let  $y \in A_q$ . Then  $\text{Ad}(y) = \text{Ad}(\iota(y)) \in \text{Ad}(A_{q_C})$ . Since  $A_{q_C} = \exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$ , it follows that  $y$  is semi-simple. Let  $X \in \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Then  $\text{Ad}(y)X = \text{Ad}(\iota(y))X = X$  because  $A_{q_C} = \exp \mathfrak{a}_{q_C}$ . This implies  $X \in \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(A_q)$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{a}_q) \subset \mathfrak{z}_\mathfrak{g}(A_q)$ . The reverse inclusion is clear. q. e. d.

It follows from (3.1) that every connected component of  $A_q$  contains an element of  $\varphi(K)$ . Let  $A_{q_j}$  ( $j \in J$ ) be the connected components of  $A_q$  and let  $k_j$  ( $j \in J$ ) be an element of  $A_{q_j} \cap \varphi(K)$ . Note that the subgroup  $\exp \mathfrak{a}_q$  of  $G$  is closed in  $G$ . This follows from the fact that the Lie algebra of the closure of  $\exp \mathfrak{a}_q$  is an abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{q}$  and the fact that  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  is maximal abelian in  $\mathfrak{q}$ .

PROPOSITION 1.  $A_{q_j} = k_j \exp \mathfrak{a}_q$  ( $j \in J$ ). If  $G$  is of finite center then  $J$  is a finite set.

PROOF. It is clear that  $A_{q_j} \supset k_j \exp \mathfrak{a}_q$ , so it suffices to prove  $A_{q_j} \subset k_j \exp \mathfrak{a}_q$ . Since  $a(y)$  with a  $y \in \exp \mathfrak{a}_q$  stabilizes  $A_{q_j}$  and since  $a(\exp \mathfrak{a}_q)$  acts transitively on  $k_j \exp \mathfrak{a}_q$ , we have only to prove that there exists a neighborhood  $V$  of the identity in  $G$  such that  $k_j V \cap A_{q_j} \subset k_j \exp \mathfrak{a}_q$ .

Let  $V'$  be an open neighborhood of the origin in  $\mathfrak{g}$  such that:

(i)  $V' = -V' = \sigma(V')$ ;

(ii) the restriction of the exponential mapping to  $V'$  is a diffeomorphism into  $G$ ;

$$(iii) \quad \exp(V' \cap \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)) = \exp V' \cap Z_G(\mathfrak{a}_q).$$

Putting  $V = \exp V'$ , every element  $x$  of  $V \cap k_j^{-1} A_{qj}$  can be uniquely written as  $x = \exp X$  with an  $X \in V' \cap \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  since  $k_j^{-1} A_{qj} \subset Z_G(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Then

$$x^{-1} k_j^{-1} = \sigma(k_j x) = k_j^{-1} \sigma(x).$$

Since  $\text{Ad}(k_j)X = X$  (Lemma 9),  $\exp(-X) = \exp \sigma(X)$ . Hence  $X \in \mathfrak{q}$ , which proves  $X \in \mathfrak{a}_q$ . Thus we have  $k_j V \cap A_{qj} \subset k_j \exp \mathfrak{a}_q$ .

We have an open covering  $C = \{a(y)(k_j V \cap \varphi(K)) \mid y \in \exp \mathfrak{a}_q, j \in J\}$  of  $A_q \cap \varphi(K)$  in  $\varphi(K)$ . If  $J$  is an infinite set, there exist no finite subsets of  $C$  which cover  $A_q \cap \varphi(K)$ . Hence  $A_q \cap \varphi(K)$  is non-compact. On the other hand, if  $G$  is of finite center, then  $K$  is compact, so are  $\varphi(K)$  and  $A_q \cap \varphi(K)$ , which is a contradiction. q. e. d.

#### § 4. $A_q$ -regular elements.

Let  $(G, H, \sigma)$  be an affine symmetric space and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  the corresponding symmetric Lie algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  be an  $A$ -subspace and  $A_q$  the associated  $A$ -subset. Let  $Z$  be the center of  $G$  and  $L$  denote the analytic subgroup of  $G$  corresponding to  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Since the Lie algebra of  $Z_G(A_q)$  is  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  (Lemma 9),  $Z_G(A_q) \supset ZL$ . On the other hand  $N_G(\mathfrak{a}_q) \subset N_G(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)) = N_G(L)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  is reductive in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and since  $\text{rank}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)) = \text{rank } \mathfrak{g}$ , it follows from [12, Proposition 1.4.2.4] that  $N_G(L)/ZL$  is finite. Hence  $N_G(\mathfrak{a}_q)/Z_G(A_q)$  is finite, so is  $N_H(\mathfrak{a}_q)/Z_H(A_q)$ . Put

$$W(A_q, H) = N_H(\mathfrak{a}_q)/Z_H(A_q)$$

and put  $A'_q = A_q \cap \varphi(G)'$ . Then  $A'_q$  is an open dense subset of  $A_q$ .

LEMMA 10. *Let  $\eta$  be the mapping of  $H/Z_H(A_q) \times A'_q$  into  $\varphi(G)'$  defined by  $\eta(hZ_H(A_q), y) = hyh^{-1}$  ( $h \in H, y \in A'_q$ ). Then  $\text{Im } \eta$  is an open subset of  $\varphi(G)'$  and  $\eta$  is an everywhere regular  $|W(A_q, H)|$ -to-one mapping.*

PROOF. Suppose  $h_1 y_1 h_1^{-1} = h_2 y_2 h_2^{-1}$  ( $h_1, h_2 \in H$  and  $y_1, y_2 \in A'_q$ ). Then  $\text{Ad}(h_2^{-1} h_1) \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{g}}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  and therefore  $\text{Ad}(h_2^{-1} h_1) \mathfrak{a}_q = \mathfrak{a}_q$ . Hence  $h_2^{-1} h_1 \in N_H(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Conversely suppose  $h \in N_H(\mathfrak{a}_q)$ . Then  $hZ_G(\mathfrak{a}_q)h^{-1} = Z_G(\mathfrak{a}_q)$  and  $h\varphi(G)h^{-1} = \varphi(G)$ . Hence  $hA_qh^{-1} = A_q$  and therefore  $hA'_qh^{-1} = A'_q$ . Thus  $\eta$  is a  $|W(A_q, H)|$ -to-one mapping.

Let  $h \in H, X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{h}}, y \in A'_q, Y \in \mathfrak{a}_q$  and  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & h \exp(tX) y \exp tY (h \exp tX)^{-1} \\ &= h y h^{-1} \exp(t \text{Ad}(h)((\text{Ad}(y^{-1}) - 1)X + Y) + o(t)). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y \in A'_q$ ,  $(\text{Ad}(y^{-1}) - 1)|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}: \tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$  is a bijection. Thus it follows from (2.2) that  $\eta$  is regular and that  $\text{Im } \eta$  is an open subset of  $\varphi(G)'$ . q. e. d.

REMARK. Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  commutative with  $\sigma$ ,  $K=G_\theta$  and  $K_+=K\cap H$ . Let  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  be a  $\theta$ -stable  $A$ -subspace and  $A_q$  the associated  $A$ -subset. Put

$$W(\mathfrak{a}_q, K_+) = N_{K_+}(\mathfrak{a}_q) / Z_{K_+}(\mathfrak{a}_q)$$

and

$$W(A_q, K_+) = N_{K_+}(A_q) / Z_{K_+}(A_q).$$

Then  $W(\mathfrak{a}_q, H) \cong W(\mathfrak{a}_q, K_+)$  and  $W(A_q, H) \cong W(A_q, K_+)$ . This is proved easily by using [12, Lemma 1.1.3.7].

The following lemma will be used in § 6.

LEMMA 11. Let  $x$  be a semi-simple element of  $\varphi(G)$ . Let  $\mu$  be the mapping of  $H \times \mathfrak{h}_q(x)$  into  $\varphi(G)$  defined by  $\mu(h, X) = hx \exp Xh^{-1}$  ( $h \in H$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{h}_q(x)$ ). Then the rank of  $\mu$  at  $(1, 0)$  equals the dimension of  $\varphi(G)$ .

PROOF. Since the rank of the mapping  $\mu: G \times \mathfrak{h}(x) \rightarrow G$  defined by  $\mu(g, X) = gx \exp Xg^{-1}$  equals the dimension of  $G$  ([12, Lemma 1.4.3.1]) and since  $\mathfrak{h}_q(x) = \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{g}(x) + \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{a}(x)$  (direct sum), we have only to prove the following. If a curve  $\gamma_t = \mu(\exp tY, tX)$  ( $Y \in \mathfrak{q}$ ,  $X \in \mathfrak{h}_\mathfrak{g}(x)$ ) is tangent to  $\varphi(G)$  at  $t=0$ , then the tangent vector to  $\gamma_t$  at  $t=0$  is zero. This is proved as follows. The tangent vector to  $\sigma(\gamma_t)^{-1}$  at  $t=0$  is equal to that of  $\gamma_t$ . Then comparing

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(\gamma_t)^{-1} &= (\exp(tY)x \exp tX \exp(-tY))^{-1} \\ &= \exp(-tY) \exp(-tX)x \exp tY \\ &= x \exp(t(-\text{Ad}(x^{-1})Y + Y - X) + o(t)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\gamma_t = x \exp(t(\text{Ad}(x^{-1})Y - Y + X) + o(t)),$$

we have  $\text{Ad}(x^{-1})Y - Y + X = 0$  and therefore the tangent vector to  $\gamma_t$  at  $t=0$  is zero.

### § 5. Jordan decomposition.

Let  $S_\mathfrak{g}$  denote the set of semi-simple elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $N_\mathfrak{g}$  the set of nilpotent elements in  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $X$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{q}$  and  $X = X_s + X_n$  ( $X_s \in S_\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $X_n \in N_\mathfrak{g}$ ) be the Jordan decomposition of  $X$ . Then  $-X_s - X_n = -X = \sigma(X) = \sigma(X_s) + \sigma(X_n)$ . It follows from the uniqueness of the Jordan decomposition that  $\sigma(X_s) = -X_s$  and  $\sigma(X_n) = -X_n$ . Hence  $X_s, X_n \in \mathfrak{q}$ .

Let  $S_G$  denote the set of semi-simple elements in  $G$  and  $N_G$  the set of unipotent elements in  $G$ . Let  $x \in \varphi(G)$  and  $x = x_s x_u$  ( $x_s \in S_G$ ,  $x_u \in N_G$ ) be the Jordan decomposition of  $x$ . Then  $x_s^{-1} x_u^{-1} = x_u^{-1} x_s^{-1} = x^{-1} = \sigma(x) = \sigma(x_s) \sigma(x_u)$ . It follows from the uniqueness of the Jordan decomposition that  $\sigma(x_s) = x_s^{-1}$  and

$\sigma(x_u)=x_u^{-1}$ . Moreover since  $\exp|_{N_g}: N_g \rightarrow N_G$  is a bijection,  $x_u = \exp X_n$  for some  $X_n \in N_g \cap \mathfrak{q}$ . Hence  $x_u = \varphi\left(\exp \frac{1}{2} X_n\right) \in \varphi(G)$ . On the other hand, since  $\text{Ad}(x_s)X_n = X_n$ ,

$$x = x_s x_u = a\left(\exp \frac{1}{2} X_n\right) x_s.$$

Hence  $x_s = a\left(\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} X_n\right)\right) x \in \varphi(G)$ .

LEMMA 12. *If  $X \in N_g \cap \mathfrak{q}$ , then there exists a  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$  such that  $[Y, X] = 2X$ .*

PROOF. It is known that there is a  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $[Y, X] = 2X$  ([6, p. 100]). Let  $Y = Y_1 + Y_2$  ( $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $Y_2 \in \mathfrak{q}$ ). Since  $[Y_1, X] \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $[Y_2, X] \in \mathfrak{h}$ , we have  $[Y_2, X] = 0$  and therefore  $[Y_1, X] = 2X$ . q. e. d.

PROPOSITION 2. (i) *Let  $X \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $X = X_s + X_n$  be the Jordan decomposition of  $X$ . Then  $X_s, X_n \in \mathfrak{q}$  and  $X_s \in (\text{Ad}(H_0)X)^{cl}$ .*

(ii) *Let  $x \in \varphi(G)$  and  $x = x_s x_u$  be the Jordan decomposition of  $x$ . Then  $x_s, x_u \in \varphi(G)$  and  $x_s \in (a(H_0)x)^{cl}$ .*

This proposition can be proved in the same way as in [12, p. 106 and p. 121] using Lemma 12.

## § 6. The main theorems.

THEOREM 1. *Let  $(G_c, H_c, \sigma)$  be a complex affine symmetric space and  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c, \sigma)$  the corresponding complex symmetric Lie algebra. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}$  be an  $A$ -subspace and  $A_{q_c}$  the associated  $A$ -subset. Then*

(i) *The mapping  $\zeta_c: H_c/Z_{H_c}(\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}) \times \mathfrak{a}'_{q_c} \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}'_c$  defined by  $\zeta_c(hZ_{H_c}(\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}), Y) = \text{Ad}(h)Y$  ( $h \in H_c, Y \in \mathfrak{a}'_{q_c}$ ) is an everywhere regular  $|W(\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}, H_c)|$ -to-one mapping onto  $\mathfrak{q}'_c$ .*

(ii) *The mapping  $\eta_c: H_c/Z_{H_c}(\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}) \times A'_{q_c} \rightarrow \varphi(G_c)'$  defined by  $\eta_c(hZ_{H_c}(\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}), Y) = h y h^{-1}$  ( $h \in H_c, y \in A'_{q_c}$ ) is an everywhere regular  $|W(\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}, H_c)|$ -to-one mapping onto  $\varphi(G_c)'$ .*

PROOF. Seeing Lemma 4 and Lemma 10, we have only to prove the onto-ness of  $\zeta_c$  and  $\eta_c$ . Moreover since  $d_k$  and  $D_k$  are holomorphic functions on  $\mathfrak{q}_c$  and  $\varphi(G_c)$ , respectively,  $\mathfrak{q}'_c$  and  $\varphi(G_c)'$  are connected. Since  $\text{Im } \zeta_c$  and  $\text{Im } \eta_c$  are open sets, it suffices to prove that  $\text{Im } \zeta_c$  and  $\text{Im } \eta_c$  are closed subsets of  $\mathfrak{q}'_c$  and  $\varphi(G_c)'$  respectively.

(i)  $\text{Im } \zeta_c$  is closed in  $\mathfrak{q}'_c$ . Suppose  $\text{Im } \zeta_c$  is not closed in  $\mathfrak{q}'_c$ . Then there exists an  $X \in (\text{Im } \zeta_c)^{cl} \cap \mathfrak{q}'_c$  such that  $X \notin \text{Im } \zeta_c$ . Let  $X = X_s + X_n$  be the Jordan decomposition of  $X$ . Then it follows from Proposition 2 that  $X_s \in (\text{Ad}(H_c)_0 X)^{cl}$  and therefore  $X_s \in ((\text{Im } \zeta_c)^{cl} \cap \mathfrak{q}'_c) - \text{Im } \zeta_c$ .

Let  $\xi$  be the mapping of  $\mathfrak{h}_c \times_{\mathfrak{a}_{q_c}}(X_s)$  into  $\mathfrak{q}_c$  defined by  $\xi(Y, Y_1) = e^{\text{ad } Y}(X_s + Y_1)$  ( $Y \in \mathfrak{h}_c, Y_1 \in \mathfrak{a}_{q_c}(X_s)$ ). Then the differential of  $\xi$  at  $(0, 0)$  is given by the linear

map  $(Y, Y_1) \rightarrow Y_1 + [Y, X_s]$  of  $\mathfrak{h}_C \times \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(X_s)$  onto  $\mathfrak{q}_C$ . Hence  $\text{Im } \xi$  contains a neighborhood of  $X_s$  in  $\mathfrak{q}_C$  and therefore  $\text{Im } \xi \cap \text{Im } \zeta_C \neq \emptyset$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(X_s) \cap \text{Im } \zeta_C \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $Y_2$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(X_s) \cap \text{Im } \zeta_C$ . Then  $Y_2 = \text{Ad}(h)Y_3$  for some  $h \in H_C$  and  $Y_3 \in \mathfrak{a}'_{\mathfrak{q}_C}$ . Therefore  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(Y_2) = \text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(Y_3) = \text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}$ . Since  $X_s \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(Y_2)$ , we have  $X_s \in \text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{a}'_{\mathfrak{q}_C} \subset \text{Im } \zeta_C$  a contradiction.

(ii)  $\text{Im } \eta_C$  is closed in  $\varphi(G_C)'$ . Suppose that  $\text{Im } \eta_C$  is not closed in  $\varphi(G_C)'$ . Then we have a semi-simple element  $x$  contained in  $((\text{Im } \eta_C)^{\text{cl}} \cap \varphi(G_C)') - \text{Im } \eta_C$  by a similar argument given in (i). Let  $\mu$  be the mapping of  $H_C \times \exp \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(x)$  into  $\varphi(G_C)$  defined by  $\mu(y, y_1) = yx y_1 y^{-1}$  ( $y \in H_C, y_1 \in \exp \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(x)$ ). Then it follows from Lemma 11 that  $\text{Im } \mu$  contains a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $\varphi(G)$ .

We put  $W = N_{G_C}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C})) / Z_{G_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C})$ . Then  $W$  is finite as is stated in the beginning of §4 and for every  $w \in W$  the natural mappings  $w: \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C} \rightarrow \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C})$  and  $w: A_{\mathfrak{q}_C} \rightarrow (Z_{G_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}))_0$  are well-defined. Let  $W' = \{w \in W \mid w(Y) \neq Y \text{ for some } Y \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}\}$  and

$$(6.1) \quad A''_{\mathfrak{q}_C} = \{y \in A'_{\mathfrak{q}_C} \mid w(y) \neq y \text{ for all } w \in W'\}.$$

Then  $A''_{\mathfrak{q}_C}$  is a dense open subset of  $A_{\mathfrak{q}_C}$  since  $W'$  is finite.

Thus  $\text{Im } \mu \cap \eta_C(H_C / Z_{H_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}) \times A''_{\mathfrak{q}_C}) \neq \emptyset$ , and therefore there exist  $h \in H_C$  and  $y_1 \in A''_{\mathfrak{q}_C}$  such that  $h y_1 h^{-1}$  is commutative with  $x$ . Put  $x_1 = h^{-1} x h$ . Then  $x_1$  is commutative with  $y_1$  and  $x_1 \notin \text{Im } \eta_C$ . Since

$$\text{Ad}(x_1)\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(y_1) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(y_1),$$

we have  $\text{Ad}(x_1)\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}) = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C})$ . Hence  $x_1 \in N_{G_C}(\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}))$ . Since  $y_1 \in A''_{\mathfrak{q}_C}$ , it follows from (6.1) that  $x_1 \in Z_{G_C}(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_C})$  and therefore  $x_1 \in A'_{\mathfrak{q}_C}$  which is a contradiction. q. e. d.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $(G, H, \sigma)$  be an affine symmetric space and  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  the corresponding symmetric Lie algebra. Let  $\{\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \mid i \in I\}$  be a set of representatives of  $H$ -conjugacy classes of  $A$ -subspaces and  $A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  the  $A$ -subset associated with  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ . Then*

(i)  $\mathfrak{q}' = \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{a}'_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  (disjoint union) and the mapping  $\zeta_i: H/Z_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}) \times \mathfrak{a}'_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \rightarrow \mathfrak{q}'$  defined by  $\zeta_i(hZ_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}), Y) = \text{Ad}(h)Y$  ( $h \in H, Y \in \mathfrak{a}'_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ ) is an everywhere regular  $|W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H)|$ -to-one mapping.

(ii) Put  $\varphi(G)_i = \bigcup_{h \in H} hA_{\mathfrak{q}_i}h^{-1}$ . Then  $\varphi(G)' = \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi(G)_i$  (disjoint union) and the mapping  $\eta_i: H/Z_H(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}) \times A_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \rightarrow \varphi(G)'$  defined by  $\eta_i(hZ_H(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}), y) = h y h^{-1}$  ( $h \in H, y \in A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ ) is an everywhere regular  $|W(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H)|$ -to-one mapping. Moreover if the affine symmetric space  $(G, H, \sigma)$  has a complexification  $(G_C, H_C, \sigma)$  such that  $\iota: G \rightarrow G_C$  is injective, then  $Z_H(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}) = Z_H(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i})$  and  $W(A_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H) = W(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}, H)$ .

**PROOF.** (i) Disjointness is clear. In view of Lemma 4 it suffices to prove  $\mathfrak{q}' \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \text{Ad}(H)\mathfrak{a}'_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ . Let  $X \in \mathfrak{q}'$ . It follows from (i) of Theorem 1 that  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_C}(X)$  is

an  $A$ -subspace of  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c, \sigma)$ . Since  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_c}(X)$  is stable by the conjugation of  $\mathfrak{g}_c$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(X)$  is an  $A$ -subspace of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ . Hence there exist an  $i \in I$  and an  $h \in H$  such that  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(X) = \text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$  and therefore  $X \in \text{Ad}(h)\mathfrak{a}'_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ .

(ii) In view of Lemma 10 it suffices to prove that  $\varphi(G)' \subset \bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi(G)_i$  and that  $\bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi(G)_i$  is disjoint union. Let  $x \in \varphi(G)'$  and let  $(G_c, H_c, \sigma)$  be a complexification of  $(G, H, \sigma)$ . Then it follows from Theorem 1 that  $\iota(x)$  is contained in the  $A$ -subset  $A_{\mathfrak{q}_c}$  associated with some  $A$ -subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_c}$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}_c, \mathfrak{h}_c, \sigma)$ . Clearly  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{q}_c} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}_c}(x)$  because  $x$  is  $\varphi(G)$ -regular. Hence  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)$  is an  $A$ -subspace of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  and therefore  $x \in hA_{\mathfrak{q}_i}h^{-1}$  for some  $i \in I$  and  $h \in H$ . Disjointness of  $\bigcup_{i \in I} \varphi(G)_i$  and the last statement is clear. q. e. d.

COROLLARY. (i) *Let  $X \in \mathfrak{q}$ . Then  $X$  is semi-simple if and only if  $X$  is contained in some  $A$ -subspace.*

(ii) *Let  $x \in \varphi(G)$ . Then  $x$  is semi-simple if and only if  $x$  is contained in some  $A$ -subset.*

PROOF (cf. [12, p. 105 and p. 120]). (i) Let  $X$  be a semi-simple element in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Consider the map  $\xi$  of  $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(X)$  into  $\mathfrak{q}$  given by  $\xi(Y, Y_1) = e^{\text{ad}Y}(X + Y_1)$  for  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$ ,  $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(X)$  (cf. the proof of Theorem 1). Then the image of  $\xi$  contains a neighborhood of  $X$  in  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Thus there is a  $\mathfrak{q}$ -regular element  $\Gamma$  such that  $\Gamma = e^{\text{ad}Y}(X + Y_1)$  for some  $Y \in \mathfrak{h}$  and  $Y_1 \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(X)$ . Since  $X + Y_1$  is also  $\mathfrak{q}$ -regular,  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(X + Y_1)$  is an  $A$ -subspace (Theorem 2) containing  $X$ . The converse assertion follows from the definition of  $A$ -subspaces.

(ii) Every element of an  $A$ -subset is semi-simple (Lemma 9). Conversely let  $x$  be a semi-simple element in  $\varphi(G)$ . Define an analytic function  $\delta$  on  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)$  by

$$\delta(Y) = \det((\text{Ad}(x \exp Y) - 1)|_{(\text{Ad}(x) - 1)\mathfrak{g}}).$$

Then  $\delta(0) \neq 0$ . Put  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)' = \{Y \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x) \mid \delta(Y) \neq 0\}$ . Since  $\bigcup_{h \in H} hx \exp \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)'h^{-1}$  contains a neighborhood of  $x$  in  $\varphi(G)$  (Lemma 11), there exists a  $\varphi(G)$ -regular element  $y$  such that  $y \in x \exp \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)'$ . Then  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(y)$  is an  $A$ -subspace (Theorem 2). Let  $X \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(y)$ . Since  $x$  is semi-simple,  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x) + (\text{Ad}(x) - 1)\mathfrak{g}$  (direct sum). Therefore  $X$  can be written as  $X = X_1 + X_2$  for some  $X_1 \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)$  and  $X_2 \in (\text{Ad}(x) - 1)\mathfrak{g}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)$  and  $(\text{Ad}(x) - 1)\mathfrak{g}$  are  $\text{Ad}(y)$ -stable, we have  $(\text{Ad}(y) - 1)X_2 = 0$ . Then  $X_2 = 0$  because  $y \in x \exp \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)'$ . Hence  $X = X_1 \in \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)$ . Thus  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(y) \subset \mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(x)$ . This implies that  $x$  is contained in the  $A$ -subset associated with the  $A$ -subspace  $\mathfrak{z}_{\mathfrak{q}}(y)$ . q. e. d.

## §7. $H$ -conjugacy classes of $A$ -subspaces.

Let  $\theta$  be a Cartan involution of  $\mathfrak{g}$  commutative with  $\sigma$ . Then as is stated in the remark following Lemma 5, every  $A$ -subspace is  $H_0$ -conjugate to a  $\theta$ -

stable  $A$ -subspace. Moreover two  $\theta$ -stable  $A$ -subspaces are  $H$ -conjugate if and only if they are  $K_+$ -conjugate. Here we put  $K_+ = K \cap H$  and  $K = G_\theta$ . This is proved easily by using [12, Lemma 1.1.3.7]. Hence in order to determine the  $H$ -conjugacy classes of  $A$ -subspaces, we have only to consider the  $K_+$ -conjugacy classes of  $\theta$ -stable  $A$ -subspaces.

Let  $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{k}_+, \mathfrak{k}_-, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{p}_+$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_-$  be as in §1 and extend  $\sigma$  and  $\theta$  to the complex linear automorphisms of  $\mathfrak{g}_C$ . Put  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{k}_+ + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}_- + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_+ + \mathfrak{p}_-$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{k}} = \mathfrak{k}_+ + \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}_-$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{p}_+ + \mathfrak{p}_-$ ,  $\bar{\theta} = \theta|_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}$ , and  $\bar{\sigma} = \sigma|_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}$ . Then the triple  $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{k}}, \bar{\theta})$  is a symmetric Lie algebra dual to  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$  and  $\bar{\sigma}$  is a Cartan involution of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$  commutative with  $\bar{\theta}$ . For a  $\theta$ -stable  $A$ -subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_q = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}$  of  $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \sigma)$ ,  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}$  is a  $\bar{\theta}$ -stable maximal abelian subspace of  $\bar{\mathfrak{q}} = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{k}_- + \mathfrak{p}_-$ . Hence the problem is reduced to the determination of the  $K_+$ -conjugacy classes of  $\bar{\theta}$ -stable maximal abelian subspace of  $\bar{\mathfrak{q}}$ , which is studied in [9, §2].

Theorem 2 of [9] can be rewritten as the following Theorem 3. Let  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{k}_-$  and let  $\mathfrak{a}_q = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}$  be a maximal abelian subspace of  $\mathfrak{q}$  containing  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-}$ . We fix this  $A$ -subspace  $\mathfrak{a}_q$  in the following. Put  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q = \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-} + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}$  and let  $\Phi(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q)$  denote the root system of the pair  $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q)$ . Put  $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-}) = \{\lambda \in \Phi(\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q) \mid H_\lambda \in \sqrt{-1}\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-}\}$  where  $H_\lambda$  is the unique element of  $\bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q$  such that  $\lambda(H) = B(H_\lambda, H)$  for all  $H \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q$  ( $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the Killing form of  $\mathfrak{g}_C$ ).

Let  $\alpha_i$  ( $i=1, \dots, k$ ) be elements of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-})$  and  $X_{\alpha_i}$  ( $i=1, \dots, k$ ) be non-zero elements of  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha_i}$  where  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\alpha_i} = \{X \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \mid [Y, X] = \alpha_i(Y)X \text{ for all } Y \in \bar{\mathfrak{a}}_q\}$ . Then  $\{X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_{\alpha_k}\}$  is said to be a  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ -orthogonal system of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-})$  ([9]) if the following two conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $X_{\alpha_i} \in \bar{\mathfrak{p}}$  for  $i=1, \dots, k$ ,
- (ii)  $[X_{\alpha_i}, X_{\alpha_j}] = 0$  and  $[X_{\alpha_i}, \sigma(X_{\alpha_j})] = 0$  for  $i, j=1, \dots, k, i \neq j$ .

Two  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ -orthogonal systems  $\{X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_{\alpha_k}\}$  and  $\{Y_{\beta_1}, \dots, Y_{\beta_k}\}$  are said to be conjugate under  $W(\mathfrak{a}_q, K_+)$  if there is a  $w \in W(\mathfrak{a}_q, K_+)$  such that  $w\left(\sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{R}H_{\alpha_i}\right) = \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{R}H_{\beta_i}$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the  $H$ -conjugacy classes of  $A$ -subspaces and the  $W(\mathfrak{a}_q, K_+)$ -conjugacy classes of  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ -orthogonal systems of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-})$ . The correspondence is given as follows. Let  $P = \{X_{\alpha_1}, \dots, X_{\alpha_k}\}$  be a  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ -orthogonal system of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-})$ . Put  $\mathfrak{r} = \sqrt{-1} \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{R}H_{\alpha_i}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-}^* = \{H \in \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-} \mid B(H, \mathfrak{r}) = 0\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}^* = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-} + \sum_{i=1}^k \mathbf{R}(X_{\alpha_i} - \sigma(X_{\alpha_i}))$  and  $\mathfrak{a}_q^* = \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-}^* + \mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{p}_-}^*$ . Then the  $W(\mathfrak{a}_q, K_+)$ -conjugacy class of  $\bar{\mathfrak{p}}$ -orthogonal systems of  $\Phi(\mathfrak{a}_{\mathfrak{k}_-})$  containing  $P$  corresponds to the  $H$ -conjugacy class of  $A$ -subspaces containing  $\mathfrak{a}_q^*$ . Moreover if  $X_{\alpha_i}$  is normalized such that  $2\alpha_i(H_{\alpha_i})B(X_{\alpha_i}, \sigma(X_{\alpha_i})) = -1$  for  $i=1, \dots, k$ , then*

$$(\mathfrak{a}_q^*)_c = \text{Ad} \left( \exp \frac{\pi}{2} (X_{\alpha_1} + \sigma(X_{\alpha_1})) \cdots \exp \frac{\pi}{2} (X_{\alpha_k} + \sigma(X_{\alpha_k})) \right) (\mathfrak{a}_q)_c$$

(in a complexification  $(G_c, H_c, \sigma)$  of  $(G, H, \sigma)$ ).

### References

- [1] K. Aomoto, On some double coset decompositions of complex semi-simple Lie groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **18** (1966), 1-44.
- [2] M. Berger, Les espace symétriques non compacts, *Ann. Sci. Ecole Norm. Sup.*, **74** (1957), 85-177.
- [3] Harish-Chandra, The characters of semi-simple Lie groups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **83** (1956), 98-163.
- [4] Harish-Chandra, Some results on an invariant integral on a semi-simple Lie algebras, *Ann. of Math.*, **80** (1964), 551-593.
- [5] S. Helgason, *Differential geometry and symmetric spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [6] N. Jacobson, *Lie algebras*, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [7] S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of differential geometry*, II, Interscience, New York, 1969.
- [8] O. Loos, *Symmetric spaces*, I, Benjamin, New York, 1969.
- [9] T. Matsuki, The orbits of affine symmetric spaces under the action of minimal parabolic subgroups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **31** (1979), 331-357.
- [10] G.D. Mostow, Some new decomposition theorems for semi-simple groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **14** (1955), 31-54.
- [11] M. Sugiura, Conjugate classes of Cartan subalgebras in real semi-simple Lie algebras, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **11** (1959), 374-434.
- [12] G. Warner, *Harmonic analysis on semi-simple Lie groups*, I, Springer Verlag, Berlin and New York, 1972.

Toshio OSHIMA  
 Department of Mathematics  
 College of General Education  
 University of Tokyo  
 Tokyo 153  
 Japan

Toshihiko MATSUKI  
 Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Science  
 Hiroshima University  
 Hiroshima 730  
 Japan