

## Homomorphisms of measure algebras on the unit circle

By Satoru IGARI and Yûichi KANJIN

(Received Dec. 1, 1977)

### 1. Introduction.

Let  $T$  be the unit circle. Let  $L(T)$  be the Lebesgue space and  $M(T)$  the set of all bounded regular Borel measures on  $T$ .  $M(T)$  is a commutative Banach algebra with the convolution product and the norm of total variation, and contains  $L(T)$  as a closed ideal. The object of this paper is to investigate the homomorphisms of  $M(T)$  which are different from the type given by W. Rudin [6].

W. Rudin characterized the homomorphisms of  $L(T)$  into  $M(T)$  in the following way. Let  $\Psi$  be a homomorphism of  $L(T)$  into  $M(T)$ . Then for every integer  $n$  the mapping  $f \rightarrow (\Psi f)^\wedge(n)$  defines a multiplicative linear functional on  $L(T)$ , where  $\wedge$  denotes the Fourier-Stieltjes transform. Thus there exists a mapping  $\phi$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $(\Psi f)^\wedge(n) = \hat{f}(\phi(n))$ ,  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ , where  $\mathbf{Z}$  is the set of integers and  $\infty$  means the trivial functional, that is,  $\hat{f}(\infty) = 0$  for all  $f$  in  $L(T)$ .

THEOREM A (W. Rudin [6], cf. [7; p. 95]). *Let  $\phi$  be a mapping of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ . The mapping  $\phi$  induces a homomorphism  $\Psi$  of  $L(T)$  into  $M(T)$  satisfying  $(\Psi f)^\wedge = \hat{f} \circ \phi$  if and only if*

(i) *the set  $P = \{n; \phi(n) \neq \infty\}$  belongs to the smallest ring of subsets of  $\mathbf{Z}$  containing all cosets in  $\mathbf{Z}$ ;*

(ii) *there exists a mapping  $\phi$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbf{Z}$  such that  $\phi(n) = \phi(n) + q$  for  $n \in P$  except possibly a finite number of  $n$ 's and*

$$\phi(n+q) + \phi(n-q) = 2\phi(n) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbf{Z}.$$

This theorem is extended by P. J. Cohen [3] to the homomorphisms of  $L(G_1)$  into  $M(G_2)$ , where  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are locally compact abelian groups. On the other hand J. Inoue [5] proved that P. J. Cohen's characterization holds good if we replace  $L(G_1)$  by the smallest closed subalgebra of  $M(G_1)$  containing all  $L(G_1^\tau)$ , where  $G_1^\tau$  denotes the group  $G_1$  with a locally compact topological group topology  $\tau$  stronger than the original one of  $G_1$  or equal to that of  $G_1$ .

Let  $\Psi$  be a homomorphism of  $L(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . Then it is extended to a homomorphism of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . In fact let  $\phi$  be the mapping of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathbf{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$  such that  $(\Psi f)^\wedge = \hat{f} \circ \phi$  for  $f$  in  $L(\mathbf{T})$  and define the mapping  $\tilde{\Psi}$  of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$  by  $(\tilde{\Psi} \mu)^\wedge(n) = \hat{\mu}(\phi(n))$  if  $\phi(n) \neq \infty$  and  $= 0$  otherwise. Then it is a homomorphism of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\tilde{\Psi} = \Psi$  on  $L(\mathbf{T})$  (see [6]). But the extension of the homomorphism  $\Psi$  is not unique. We shall show in § 2 that there exists a non trivial homomorphism of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$  which vanishes on  $L(\mathbf{T})$  (cf. [4] and [7]).

In this paper we shall obtain a sufficient condition for a mapping  $\Psi$  of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$  to be a homomorphism. It coincides with the Rudin's conditions (i) and (ii) of Theorem A when we restrict the domain of mappings to  $L(\mathbf{T})$ . We shall also prove that our condition on  $\Psi$  in Theorem 2 is necessary in a sense when it is applied to a certain class of  $L$ -subalgebras of  $M(\mathbf{T})$ , which consist of singular measures (see Theorem 3). Our theorems enable us to treat homomorphisms of a subalgebra of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$  which is essentially different from the algebra considered by J. Inoue [5] (see Remark in § 3).

## 2. A sufficient condition.

DEFINITION. A subset  $N$  of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  is called an  $L$ -subalgebra if it has the following properties:

- (i)  $N$  is a closed subspace.
- (ii)  $\mu * \nu \in N$  for every  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  in  $N$ , where  $*$  denotes the convolution of  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ .
- (iii)  $\mu \in N$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ , that is,  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$ , imply  $\nu \in N$ .

We use the following representation of the maximal ideal space of an  $L$ -subalgebra.

DEFINITION. Let  $N$  be an  $L$ -subalgebra of  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . A system  $\phi = \{\phi_\mu; \mu \in N\}$  of functions is called a generalized character if

- (i)  $\phi_\mu \in L^\infty(d|\mu|)$  and  $\sup_\mu \mu\text{-ess sup}_t |\phi_\mu(t)| > 0$ ;
- (ii)  $\phi_\mu = \phi_\nu$   $\nu$ -a. e. if  $\nu \ll \mu$ ;
- (iii)  $\phi_{\mu * \nu}(s+t) = \phi_\mu(s)\phi_\nu(t)$  for  $\mu \times \nu$ -a. a.  $(s, t)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{A}(N)$  be the set of non-trivial multiplicative linear functional on  $N$ . Then the set of generalized characters is identified with  $\mathcal{A}(N)$  by the bijection  $\theta$ ;

$$(\theta\phi)(\nu) = \int_{\mathbf{T}} \phi_\nu(t) d\nu(t), \quad \phi = \{\phi_\mu\}, \quad \nu \in N.$$

Thus we may use the notation  $\mathcal{A}(N)$  for the set of generalized characters and denote  $(\theta\phi)(\nu)=\hat{\nu}(\phi)$  without confusion.

For  $\phi=\{\phi_\mu\}$  and  $\bar{\phi}=\{\bar{\phi}_\mu\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(N)$  we define systems  $\phi\phi, \bar{\phi}$  and  $|\phi|$  by  $(\phi\phi)_\mu=\phi_\mu\phi_\mu, (\bar{\phi})_\mu=\bar{\phi}_\mu$  and  $|\phi|_\mu=|\phi_\mu|$ , where these operations are defined pointwise in  $L^\infty(d|\mu|)$  for each  $\mu\in N$ . These operations yield new elements of  $\mathcal{A}(N)$ . We denote the trivial linear functional by 0 (cf. Yu. A. Šreider [8]).

When  $N=L(\mathbf{T})$ , the maximal ideal space of  $L(\mathbf{T})$  is identified with  $\mathbf{Z}$  and embedded in  $\mathcal{A}(M(\mathbf{T}))$ . We remark that if  $\phi\in\mathcal{A}(N)-\mathbf{Z}$ , then  $\hat{f}(\phi)=0$  for all  $f\in L(\mathbf{T})$  (cf. J. L. Taylor [9]).

DEFINITION. Let  $N$  be an  $L$ -subalgebra of  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . A mapping  $\phi(\cdot)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N)\cup\{0\}$  is said to satisfy the condition (C),  $C>0$ , if

$$\lambda_\nu(t, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n)_\nu(t) e^{in\theta}$$

is a Fourier-Stieltjes series in  $\theta$  for  $\nu$ -a. a.  $t$  and

$$\nu\text{-ess sup}_t \|\lambda_\nu(t, \cdot)\|_{M(\mathbf{T})} \leq C \quad \text{for all } \nu \in N.$$

THEOREM 1. Let  $N$  be an  $L$ -subalgebra of  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . Then a mapping  $\Psi$  of  $N$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$  is a homomorphism if and only if there exists a mapping  $\phi(\cdot)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N)\cup\{0\}$  and  $C>0$  such that

- (i)  $(\Psi\nu)^\wedge(n)=\hat{\nu}(\phi(n))$  for every  $n\in\mathbf{Z}$ ;
- (ii)  $\{\phi(n)\}$  satisfies the condition (C).

PROOF. Let  $\Psi$  be a homomorphism of  $N$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . Then for every  $n$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  the mapping  $\nu\rightarrow(\Psi\nu)^\wedge(n)$  defines a multiplicative linear functional. Thus there exists  $\phi(n)\in\mathcal{A}(N)\cup\{0\}$  such that  $(\Psi\nu)^\wedge(n)=\hat{\nu}(\phi(n))$ . Let  $p(\theta)=\sum a_n e^{in\theta}$  be a polynomial. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbf{T}} \sum a_n \phi(n)_\nu(t) f(t) d\nu(t) \right| &= \left| \sum a_n \Psi(f d\nu)^\wedge(n) \right| \\ &\leq \|p\|_\infty \|\Psi(f d\nu)\|_{M(\mathbf{T})} \leq \|\Psi\| \|p\|_\infty \|\nu\|_{M(\mathbf{T})} \end{aligned}$$

for every  $f\in L(d|\nu|)$  such that  $\int |f| d|\nu|=1$ . Thus taking the supremum over  $f$ , we have

$$\nu\text{-ess sup}_t \left| \sum a_n \phi(n)_\nu(t) \right| \leq \|\Psi\| \|p\|_\infty$$

for every polynomial  $p$ . Thus for  $\nu$ -a. a.  $t$   $\sum \phi(n)_\nu(t) e^{in\theta}$  is a Fourier-Stieltjes series of a measure with norm  $\leq \|\Psi\|$  (cf. [7; p. 32]). Thus  $\phi(\cdot)$  satisfies the condition ( $\|\Psi\|$ ).

From the above argument the if part of the theorem is obvious.

DEFINITION. Let  $N$  be an  $L$ -subalgebra of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\phi(\cdot)$  be a mapping of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N)\cup\{0\}$ . Suppose that there exist

(i) positive integers  $l$  and  $m$ , and a set  $R = \{n_{m+1}, n_{m+2}, \dots, n_l\}$  of  $l-m$  integers;

(ii)  $\phi_j \in \mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, l$ );

(iii)  $\pi_j \in \mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) such that  $|\pi_j|^2 = |\pi_j|$ ;

(iv) mappings  $\rho_j(\cdot)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) and a positive constant  $C > 0$  such that  $\rho_j(\cdot)$  satisfies the condition (C) for each  $j$  and  $\rho_j(n) = |\rho_j(n)|$  for  $j=1, 2, \dots, m$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ; and that  $\phi$  has the following expression

$$\phi(n)_\nu(t) = \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_{j\nu}(t)^k \phi_{j\nu}(t) \rho_j(n)_\nu(t) C_{m\mathbf{Z}+j}(n) \quad (\nu \in N)$$

for  $n \in R$  and  $\phi(n) = \phi_j$  for  $n = n_j \in R$ , where  $k = [n/m]$  denotes the integral part of  $n/m$  and  $C_E$  the characteristic function of the set  $E$ .

Then we call  $\phi$  an *almost piecewise affine* mapping from  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  or simply an almost piecewise affine mapping. Furthermore, if  $\rho_j(n) = \{1\}$ , the constant systems, we call  $\phi$  a *piecewise affine* mapping from  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  or simply a piecewise affine mapping.

We remark that the definition of the piecewise affine mappings given here is essentially same to the Rudin's one in [7] when  $N = L(\mathbf{T})$  and the conditions (i) and (ii) on  $\phi$  in Theorem A imply that  $\phi$  is a piecewise affine mapping from  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(L(\mathbf{T})) \cup \{0\}$ .

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $N$  be an  $L$ -subalgebra of  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . If a mapping  $\phi(\cdot)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  is almost piecewise affine, then the mapping  $\Psi$  defined by*

$$(\Psi\nu)^\wedge(n) = \mathfrak{D}(\phi(n)) \quad (n \in \mathbf{Z})$$

is a homomorphism of  $N$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ .

**REMARK.** If a mapping  $\phi(\cdot)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  satisfies

$$\nu\text{-ess sup}_t \left\{ \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\phi(n)_\nu(t)|^2 \right\}^{1/2} \leq C \quad \text{for all } \nu \in N,$$

then the series  $\sum \phi(n)_\nu(t) e^{in\theta}$  is a Fourier series with norm  $\leq C$  for every  $\nu \in N$  and  $\nu$ -a. a.  $t$  by the Riesz-Fischer theorem. Thus it satisfies the condition (C). Therefore our theorem may not be relevant in this case.

**PROOF.** Assume that  $\phi(\cdot)$  is an almost piecewise affine mapping and use the notations in Definition. By Theorem 1 it suffices to prove that  $\phi(\cdot)$  satisfies the condition (C') for some positive constant  $C'$ . We may assume that the set  $R$  is empty, since a change of finite number of  $\phi(n)$ 's does not affect our conclusion.

$\{C_{m\mathbf{Z}+j}(n) : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  and  $\{\pi_{j\nu}(t)^k : k \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) are the sequences of Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of measures with norms  $\leq 1$  for all  $\nu \in N$  and  $\nu$ -a. a.  $t$ . Thus by a simple computation,  $\{\pi_{j\nu}(t)^{[n/m]} C_{m\mathbf{Z}+j}(n) : n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$  is the sequence of Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients of a measure with norm  $\leq 1$ . Thus  $\phi(\cdot)$  satisfies the condition (C') with  $C' = mC$ .

There exist non-trivial homomorphisms of  $M(\mathbf{T})$ , which vanish on  $L(\mathbf{T})$  (see W. Rudin [7; p. 78] and R.E. Edwards [4; p. 80]). Here we shall construct such a homomorphism of a different type. We remark also that our method is applied to get the examples cited above.

Let  $\pi, \rho$  and  $\phi$  be elements of  $\mathcal{A}(M(\mathbf{T}))$ . Assume  $|\pi|^2=|\pi|$  and  $\rho=|\rho|$ . Put  $\phi(n)=\pi^n \rho^{|n|} \phi$ . Then  $\phi(\cdot)$  satisfies the condition (C) with  $C=1$ . Thus the mapping  $\Psi$  defined by (i) in Theorem 1 is a homomorphism of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a measure in  $M(\mathbf{T})$  such that every Fourier-Stieltjes coefficient is real, that is,  $\mu$  is hermitian and such that

$$\{\hat{\xi}_\mu(t); \xi = \{\xi_\nu\} \in \mathcal{A}(M(\mathbf{T}))\} = \{ae^{int}; a \in \mathbf{C}, |a| \leq 1, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$$

(cf. for example G. Brown [1]). Let  $0 < r < 1$  and  $t_0$  be a real number such that  $t_0$  divided by  $2\pi$  is irrational. Choose generalized characters  $\pi, \rho$  and  $\phi$  such that  $\pi_\mu = e^{it_0}, \rho_\mu = r$  and  $\phi_\mu = i$ .

Then the homomorphism  $\Psi$  defined by  $\phi(n) = \pi^n \rho^{|n|} \phi$  has the property that  $\Psi$  maps the singular hermitian measure  $\mu$  to the absolutely continuous measure  $\Psi(\mu)$  whose Fourier-Stieltjes coefficients are not real. On the other hand  $\Psi$  vanishes on  $L(\mathbf{T})$ . In fact  $\phi(n) \in \mathcal{A}(M(\mathbf{T})) - \mathbf{Z}$ . Thus  $\hat{f}(\phi(n)) = 0$  for all  $f$  in  $L(\mathbf{T})$  and  $n$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$  (cf., for example, [9; p. 187]).

### 3. Homomorphisms of $N(\mu)$ into $M(\mathbf{T})$ .

Let  $N$  be an  $L$ -subalgebra of  $M(\mathbf{T})$  and  $\Psi$  be a homomorphism of  $N$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . Let  $\phi$  be the mapping of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  defined by  $(\Psi \nu)^\wedge(n) = \hat{\nu}(\phi(n))$  for all  $\nu$  in  $N$  and  $n$  in  $\mathbf{Z}$ . If  $N=L(\mathbf{T})$ , then  $\mathcal{A}(N)$  is identified with  $\{e^{int}; n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ . Thus if  $\Psi$  is a homomorphism of  $L(\mathbf{T})$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ , then it induces a (almost) piecewise affine mapping of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(L(\mathbf{T})) \cup \{0\}$  by Theorem A.

In this section we restrict our attention to a class of  $L$ -subalgebras which consist of singular measures and are defined later. We shall show in Theorem 3 that the converse of Theorem 2 is true in a sense, that is, the mapping  $\phi$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N) \cup \{0\}$  is piecewise affine under a condition for such an  $L$ -subalgebra  $N$ .

For a measure  $\mu$  in  $M(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $N(\mu)$  will denote the smallest  $L$ -subalgebra which contains  $\mu$ . We use the following properties of  $\mathcal{A}(N(\mu))$ .

LOCALIZATION LEMMA (cf. G. Brown and W. Moran [2]). For  $\mu \in M(\mathbf{T})$ ,  $\mathcal{A}(N(\mu))$  is identified with

$$S(\mu) = \{\xi_\mu; \xi = \{\xi_\nu\} \in \mathcal{A}(N(\mu))\}.$$

Let  $\xi, \phi$  and  $\chi$  be elements in  $\mathcal{A}(N(\mu))$ . If  $\xi_\mu, \phi_\mu, \chi_\mu \in S(\mu)$  and  $\xi_\mu = \phi_\mu \chi_\mu$ , then  $\xi = \phi \chi$  by the localization lemma. We remark also that if  $\mu$  is a measure such that  $\mu^n$  ( $n=1, 2, \dots$ ) are mutually singular and  $c \in S(\mu)$  is a constant func-

tion, then  $\{c_\nu\} \in \mathcal{A}(N(\mu))$  is defined by

$$c_\nu = c^n \quad \mu^n\text{-a. e.}$$

Now we specify the measure  $\mu$  as follows. Let  $\{a_n; n \geq 1\}$  be a sequence of integers such that  $a_n \geq 2$ . Let  $d_n = 2\pi \prod_{r=1}^n a_r^{-1}$  and define the Bernoulli convolution product

$$\mu = \underset{*}{\underset{n=1}{\infty}} \frac{1}{2} [\delta(0) + \delta(d_n)],$$

where  $\delta(a)$  is the Dirac measure concentrated on  $\{a\}$ . We remark that the infinite product of convolution converges in the weak\*-topology and it defines a positive measure with norm 1.

Denote by  $B'$  the class of the measures as is obtained above with  $a_n > 2$  for infinitely many  $n$ . The measures in  $B'$  are continuous and singular. Furthermore  $\mu^n, n=1, 2, \dots$ , are mutually singular (cf. [2]).

For  $\mu = \underset{*}{\underset{n=1}{\infty}} \frac{1}{2} [\delta(0) + \delta(d_n)]$  in  $B'$  let  $D$  be the subgroup of  $T$  generated by  $\{d_n; n=1, 2, \dots\}$  with the discrete topology. Put

$$\mu_r = \underset{*}{\underset{n=r+1}{\infty}} \frac{1}{2} [\delta(0) + \delta(d_n)]$$

and

$$D_r = \left\{ \sum_{n=1}^r \varepsilon_n d_n; \varepsilon_n = 0 \text{ or } 1 \right\}.$$

We recall the following properties of the measures in  $B'$ .

THEOREM B ([2]). Let  $\mu = \underset{*}{\underset{n=1}{\infty}} \frac{1}{2} [\delta(0) + \delta(d_n)]$  be a measure in  $B'$ . Then we have

(i) for every  $\chi_\mu \in S(\mu)$  and  $n=1, 2, \dots$ , there exists a unique element  $\gamma(\chi_\mu)$  in  $\hat{D}$ , the dual group of  $D$ , such that

$$(1) \quad \chi_\mu(d+t) = \beta(d) \chi_\mu(t) \quad \text{for } \mu_n\text{-a. a. } t \text{ and } d \in D_n$$

where  $\beta = \gamma(\chi_\mu)$ ,

(ii) the mapping  $\gamma$  of  $S(\mu)$  to  $\hat{D}$  defined by (1) is a continuous semigroup homomorphism, and

(iii) if  $\beta \in \text{Image of } \gamma$ , then  $\gamma^{-1}(\beta) = \{af; a \in \mathbf{C}, 0 < |a| \leq 1\}$ , where  $f$  is a member of  $S(\mu)$  with constant unit modulus which is a pointwise limit point of the sequence  $\left\{ \sum_{a \in D_n} \beta(d) C_n(d) \right\}$ ,  $C_n(d)$  being the characteristic function of the interval  $[d, d+d_n)$ .

THEOREM 3. Let  $\mu$  be a measure in  $B'$ . Let  $\Psi$  be a homomorphism of  $N(\mu)$  into  $M(T)$  and  $\phi(\cdot)$  be the mapping of  $Z$  into  $\mathcal{A}(N(\mu)) \cup \{0\}$  defined by  $\Psi$ .

Suppose that  $|\phi(n)|^2=|\phi(n)|$  for all  $n$ . Then the mapping  $\phi(\cdot)$  is piecewise affine.

PROOF. By Theorem B (iii)  $|\phi_\mu(n)|=1$   $\mu$ -a. e. or 0. Put  $P=\{n \in \mathbf{Z}; |\phi_\mu(n)|=1\}$ , and  $\beta(n)=\gamma(\phi_\mu(n))$  for  $n \in P$  and  $=$  the unit of  $\hat{D}$  otherwise, where  $\gamma$  is the mapping given by Theorem B. The first step of our proof is to show that the mapping  $n \rightarrow \beta(n)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  into  $\hat{D}$  defines a homomorphism of  $L(D)$  into  $M(\mathbf{T})$ .

By Theorem 1

$$(2) \quad \lambda(\nu; t, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n)_{\nu}(t) e^{in\theta}$$

is a Fourier-Stieltjes series for  $\nu$ -a. a.  $t$  and  $\|\lambda(\nu; t, \cdot)\|_{M(\mathbf{T})} \leq \|\Psi\|$  for every  $\nu \in N(\mu)$ . Now put  $\nu = \nu_1 * \nu_2 * \dots * \nu_k$ , where  $\nu_j \geq 0$  and  $\nu_j \in N(\mu)$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ ). Then, by (2)

$$(3) \quad \lambda(\nu; t_1+t_2+\dots+t_k, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(n)_{\nu}(t_1+t_2+\dots+t_k) e^{in\theta}$$

is the Fourier-Stieltjes series of a measure with norm  $\leq \|\Psi\|$  for  $\nu_1 \times \nu_2 \times \dots \times \nu_k$ -a. a.  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ .

Let  $r$  be a positive integer. For  $k$  elements  $d^1, d^2, \dots, d^k$  in  $D_r$  put

$$\nu_j = \delta(d^j) * \mu_r \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Then  $\nu_j \ll \mu$ . Thus  $\nu_j \in N(\mu)$ . By the property of the generalized characters and Theorem B, we have

$$\phi(n)_{\mu}(d^j+t_j) = \beta(n)(d^j) \phi(n)_{\mu}(t_j) \quad \mu_r\text{-a. e. in } t_j$$

for every  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$  and  $j=1, 2, \dots, k$ . Thus by (3), the multiplicative property of the generalized characters and Theorem B,

$$(4) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \beta(n)(d^j) \prod_{j=1}^k \phi(n)_{\mu}(t_j) \right] e^{in\theta}$$

is the Fourier-Stieltjes series of a measure with norm  $\leq \|\Psi\|$  for  $\mu_r \times \mu_r \times \dots \times \mu_r$ -a. a.  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ .

By the same way for  $k$  convolution products  $\mu_r^k = \mu_r * \dots * \mu_r$  we have

$$(5) \quad \lambda(\mu_r^k; t_1+t_2+\dots+t_k, \theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \phi(n)_{\mu}(t_j) \right] e^{in\theta}$$

and  $\|\lambda(\mu_r^k; t_1+t_2+\dots+t_k, \cdot)\|_{M(\mathbf{T})} \leq \|\Psi\|$  for  $\mu_r \times \mu_r \times \dots \times \mu_r$ -a. a.  $(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k)$ . Since  $|\phi(n)_{\mu}(t)|=1$  or 0 by our assumption, the composition of the series (4) and the series of  $\bar{\lambda}(\mu_r^k; t_1+t_2+\dots+t_k, -\theta)$

$$(6) \quad \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \prod_{j=1}^k \beta(n)(d^j) \right] C_P(n) e^{in\theta}$$

is the Fourier-Stieltjes series of a measure with norm  $\leq \|\Psi\|^2$ .

Since  $d_i + (a_1 a_2 \cdots a_i - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{2\pi}$ ,

$$D = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} n_i d_i; n_i \in \mathbf{Z}, n_i \geq 0 \text{ and } n_i = 0 \text{ except a finite number of } i\text{'s} \right\}$$

Thus by (6),  $\sum \beta(n)(d) e^{in\theta}$  is the Fourier-Stieltjes series of a measure with norm  $\leq \|\Psi\|^2$  for every  $d$  in  $D$ . Thus the mapping

$$\Phi f(\theta) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \sum_{d \in D} f(d) \beta(n)(d) \right] C_P(n) e^{in\theta} \quad \text{for } f \in L(D)$$

defines a homomorphism of  $L(D)$  to  $M(\mathbf{T})$ . Thus by P. J. Cohen's theorem [3],  $P$  belongs to the coset ring of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and the mapping  $n \rightarrow \beta(n)$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  to  $\hat{D}$  is piecewise affine. Thus there exist a positive integer  $m$ , a finite subset  $R = \{n_{m+1}, n_{m+2}, \dots, n_l\}$  of  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\zeta_j, \eta_j \in \hat{D}$  ( $j=1, 2, \dots, m$ ) such that

$$(7) \quad \beta(n) = \sum_{j=1}^m \zeta_j^k \eta_j C_{mz+j}(n)$$

for  $n \in P - R$  with  $k = [n/m]$  and  $(P - R) \cup F$  is periodic with the period  $m$  for some finite set  $F$ .

To complete the proof we pull back the relation (7) to another relation involving  $\{\phi(n)\}$ . For each  $j$  in  $[(P - R) \cup F] \cap \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$  choose  $\pi_j$  and  $\phi_j$  in  $\mathcal{A}(N(\mu))$  such that  $\gamma(\pi_j) = \zeta_j, \gamma(\phi_j) = \eta_j$  and  $|\pi_j| = 1, |\phi_j| = 1$ . Then by Theorem B (iii) there exist unitary constants  $c_n$  such that

$$(8) \quad \phi_\mu(n) = c_n \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_{j\mu}^k \phi_{j\mu} C_{mz+j}(n)$$

for  $n \in P - R$  with  $k = [n/m]$ . For  $j \in [(P - R) \cup F], 1 \leq j \leq m$ , let  $\phi_j$  be the zero system, that is, the trivial functional. Then (8) holds for  $n \in \mathbf{Z} - R$ .

Put  $a_n = c_n$  for  $n \in P - R$  and  $= 1$  for  $n \notin P - R$ . Let  $\phi_j = \phi(n)$  for  $n = n_j \in R$ . Then we have

$$(9) \quad \phi_\mu(n) = \sum_{j=1}^m \pi_{j\mu}^k a_n \phi_{j\mu} C_{mz+j}(n)$$

for  $n \in R$  with  $k = [n/m]$  and  $\phi_\mu(n) = \phi_{j\mu}$  for  $n = n_j \in R$ .

We denote by  $\alpha(n)$  the generalized character of  $\mathcal{A}(N(\mu))$  such that  $\alpha_\mu(n) = a_n$ . The final step of the proof is to show that  $\{\alpha(n)\}$  is expressed in the form

$$(10) \quad \alpha(n) = \sum_{j=1}^{m'} \pi_j^k \phi_j' C_{m'z+j}(n)$$



outside a finite set  $R'$ , where  $m'$  is a positive integer,  $k=\lceil n/m' \rceil$  and  $\pi'_j, \phi'_j \in \mathcal{A}(N(\mu))$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots, m'$ . Then our theorem follows from (9) and (10) replacing  $m$  by  $mm'$  and  $R$  by  $R \cup R'$ . Furthermore,  $\pi_j$  and  $\phi_j$  are replaced by the generalized characters of the form  $\pi_i^p \pi_i^{p'}$  and  $\phi_i^q \phi_i^{q'}$  respectively.

Put  $\phi'(n)=\overline{\phi(n)}$  for  $n \in R$  and

$$\phi'(n) = \sum_{j=1}^m \overline{\pi_j^k} \overline{\phi_j} C_{mz+j}(n)$$

for  $n \in R$  with  $k=\lceil n/m \rceil$ . Then by Theorem 2  $\{\phi'(n)\}$  defines a homomorphism. We have  $\phi(n)\phi'(n)=\alpha(n)$  for all  $n$  except a finite number of  $n$ 's, so that  $\{\alpha(n)\}$  defines a homomorphism in the obvious way. Let  $c>0$  be the norm of that homomorphism. Then  $\|\sum \alpha_\nu(n)e^{in\theta}\|_{M(T)} \leq c$  for every  $\nu=\mu^k$ ,  $k>0$ . As we have mentioned in the section 2,  $\alpha_\nu(n)=a_n^k$  for  $\nu=\mu^k$ ,  $k>0$  and  $|\alpha_\nu(n)|=1$ . Thus

$$\|\sum a_n^k e^{in\theta}\|_{M(T)} \leq c$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This implies, by the theorem in [7; p. 93], that the mapping  $n \rightarrow a_n$  of  $\mathbb{Z}$  to  $T$  is piecewise affine. Thus we get (10). Thus our proof is complete.

REMARK. Let  $N$  be the smallest closed subalgebra of  $M(T)$  which contains all  $L(T^\tau)$ , where  $T^\tau$  is the group  $T$  with a locally compact topological group topology  $\tau$  stronger than the original one or equal to that of  $T$ . Since the discrete topology and the natural one are only such topologies,  $N=L(T)+L(T^d)$ , where  $d$  is the discrete topology on  $T$ . Thus  $N$  contains no continuous singular measures. On the other hand the algebras  $N(\mu)$  in Theorem 3 consist of continuous singular measures.

### References

- [1] G. Brown, Riesz products and generalized characters, Proc. London Math. Soc., (3) 30 (1975), 209-238.
- [2] G. Brown and W. Moran, Bernoulli measure algebras, Acta Math., 132 (1974), 77-109.
- [3] P. J. Cohen, On homomorphisms of group algebras, Amer. J. Math., 82 (1960), 213-226.
- [4] R. E. Edwards, Fourier Series, vol. 2, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, Inc., New York, 1967.
- [5] J. Inoue, Some closed subalgebras of measure algebras and a generalization of P. J. Cohen's theorem, J. Math. Soc. Japan, 23 (1971), 278-294.
- [6] W. Rudin, The automorphisms and the endomorphisms of the group algebra of the unit circle, Acta Math., 95 (1956), 39-55.
- [7] W. Rudin, Fourier Analysis on Groups, Interscience Publ., New York, 1962.
- [8] Yu. A. Šreider, The structure of maximal ideals in rings of measures with convolution, Mat. Sb., 27 (1950), 297-318, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl., (1) 8 (1962),

365-391.

- [ 9 ] J.L. Taylor, Inverses, logarithms, and idempotents in  $M(G)$ , Rocky mountain J. Math., 2 (1972), 183-206.

Satoru IGARI

Mathematical Institute  
Tôhoku University  
Sendai 980  
Japan

Yûichi KANJIN

Mathematical Institute  
Tôhoku University  
Sendai 980  
Japan