

## On the irreducible characters of the finite unitary groups

By Noriaki KAWANAKA\*

(Received April 22, 1976)

### Introduction.

Let  $k$  be a finite field of  $q$  elements, and  $k_2$  the quadratic extension of  $k$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of the finite general linear group  $GL_n(k_2)$  defined by

$$(x_{ij})^\sigma = (x_{ji}^q)^{-1}$$

for any element  $(x_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  of  $GL_n(k_2)$ . The group  $U_n(k_2)$  of  $\sigma$ -fixed elements of  $GL_n(k_2)$  is called the finite unitary group over  $k_2$ . So far, the irreducible complex characters of  $U_n(k_2)$  have been determined only for small  $n$  (see Ernola [4] and Nozawa [8], [9]), while those of  $GL_n(k_2)$  have been determined completely by J. A. Green [7]. The purpose of the present paper is to give a method by which one can construct the irreducible complex characters of  $U_n(k_2)$  using those of  $GL_n(k_2)$ , at least if the characteristic of  $k$  is not 2. As an application, we also obtain a parametrization of the irreducible characters of  $U_n(k_2)$  which is dual to a known parametrization of the conjugacy classes.

Let  $\chi$  be an irreducible character of  $GL_n(k_2)$  which is fixed by  $\sigma$ , i. e. satisfies  $\chi(x) = \chi(x^\sigma)$  for all  $x \in GL_n(k_2)$ . Then, by a well-known elementary lemma,  $\chi$  can be extended to an irreducible character  $\tilde{\chi}$  of the semi-direct product  $AGL_n(k_2)$  of  $GL_n(k_2)$  with the group  $A = \{1, \sigma\}$ . Our main theorem is:

*Assume that  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Let  $\chi$  be a  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible character of  $GL_n(k_2)$ , and  $\tilde{\chi}$  an extension of  $\chi$  to an irreducible character of  $AGL_n(k_2)$ . Then, there exists a unique irreducible character  $\phi_\chi$  of  $U_n(k_2)$  which depends only on  $\chi$  and satisfies*

$$\tilde{\chi}(\sigma x) = \varepsilon(\tilde{\chi}) \phi_\chi(n(x)) \quad (x \in GL_n(k_2)),$$

where  $\varepsilon(\tilde{\chi}) = \pm 1$  and  $n(x)$  is an arbitrary element of  $U_n(k_2)$  conjugate to  $x^\sigma x$  in  $GL_n(k_2)$ . Moreover, the correspondence  $\chi \rightarrow \phi_\chi$  is a bijection between the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible characters of  $GL_n(k_2)$  and the set of irreducible characters of  $U_n(k_2)$ .

This paper consists of five sections. §1 is a recollection of some known

---

\* This research was supported in part by the Sakkokai Foundation.

results on linear representations of finite groups. §2 concerns finite groups realized as groups of fixed points of surjective endomorphisms of connected linear algebraic groups. As a special case of a fairly general lemma proved there, we can see that there is a close relation between the conjugacy classes of  $AGL_n(k_2)$  and those of  $U_n(k_2)$ . §3 is devoted to prove an analogue of the main theorem for the irreducible Brauer characters of finite Chevalley groups. In §4, we prove the main theorem. The formulation given there is slightly more general than the one stated above. In the last §5, combining the main theorem with Green's results [7], we obtain a parametrization of the irreducible characters of  $U_n(k_2)$  ( $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ ).

The author is glad to acknowledge the debt he owes to Dr. T. Shintani, who has kindly let him know the results of [10] before its publication.

A short summary of the results of this paper has appeared in [16].

### Notation.

Let  $S$  be a set. If  $\sigma$  is a transformation of  $S$ ,  $S_\sigma$  denotes the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed elements of  $S$ . Let  $f$  be a mapping from  $S$  into another set, and  $T$  is a subset of  $S$ . Then  $f|T$  denotes the restriction of  $f$  to  $T$ . If  $S$  is a finite set,  $|S|$  means the number of its elements. For a group  $G$  and an element  $x$  of  $G$ ,  $Z_G(x)$  and  $\mathfrak{C}_G(x)$  denote the centralizer group and the conjugacy class of  $x$ . If  $K$  is a field,  $K^*$  is the multiplicative group of  $K$ . We denote by  $\mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{Z}$  the field of complex numbers and the ring of rational integers respectively.

### §1. Preliminaries on representations of finite groups.

Let  $G$  be a finite group, and  $A$  a finite cyclic group of order  $m$  with a fixed generator  $\sigma$ . Suppose that  $A$  acts on  $G$ . In such situations we shall often assume that  $G$  and  $A$  are embedded in their semi-direct product  $AG$ ; the multiplication rule in  $AG$  is defined by

$$x^\delta = \delta^{-1}x\delta \quad (x \in G, \delta \in A).$$

Let  $K$  be an algebraically closed field of characteristic  $p$ . Assume that  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$ . The following lemma is well-known.

LEMMA 1.1. (a) *Let  $\hat{T}$  be an irreducible representation of  $AG$  over  $K$ , and  $T$  its restriction to  $G$ . If  $T$  is still irreducible, then two representations  $T$  and  $T \circ \sigma$  of  $G$  are equivalent to each other.*

(b) *Conversely, if an irreducible representation  $T$  of  $G$  is equivalent to  $T \circ \sigma$ , then there exist  $m$  mutually inequivalent irreducible representations of  $AG$  whose restrictions to  $G$  are equivalent to  $T$ . If  $\hat{T}$  is one of them, any other one is equivalent to  $\xi \otimes \hat{T}$  for a suitable character  $\xi$  of  $AG/G$ .*

We may assume that there exists an injective homomorphism  $\phi$  of  $K^*$  into  $C^*$ . For a representation  $R$  of a finite group  $H$  over  $K$ , we denote by  $\beta_\phi[R]$  the  $C$ -valued function on  $H$  defined by

$$(1.1) \quad \beta_\phi[R](h) = \sum_{\mathfrak{f}} \phi(r_i(h)) \quad (h \in H),$$

where  $r_i(h)$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, \dim R$ ) are the characteristic roots of  $R(h)$ .

LEMMA 1.2. *Let  $\tilde{T}$  be an irreducible representation of  $AG$  over  $K$  whose restriction to  $G$  is reducible. Then,*

$$\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}](\sigma x) = 0 \quad (x \in G).$$

PROOF. By a theory of Clifford [2], the matrix representation of  $\tilde{T}(\sigma x)$  for a suitable base is written as

$$\begin{pmatrix} B_{11}(x), \dots, B_{1l}(x) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ B_{l1}(x), \dots, B_{ll}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $l$  is a divisor of  $m$ ,  $B_{ij}(x)$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ ) are square matrices of the same size, and  $B_{ij}(x) = 0$  if  $j - i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ . Hence the assertion follows from

LEMMA 1.3. *Let  $l$  be a positive integer which is not divisible by  $p$ , and*

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11}, \dots, B_{1l} \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ B_{l1}, \dots, B_{ll} \end{pmatrix}$$

a square matrix of  $(N, N)$ -type over  $K$ , where  $B_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ ) are square matrices of  $(N/l, N/l)$ -type, and  $B_{ij} = 0$  if  $j - i \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ .

(a) *The characteristic polynomial  $\det(zE_N - B)$  ( $E_N$  is the unit matrix of  $(N, N)$ -type) is a polynomial in  $z^l$ .*

(b) *Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_N$  be the characteristic roots of  $B$ . Then  $\sum_{i=1}^N \phi(\alpha_i) = 0$ .*

PROOF. (a) It is sufficient to show that

$$(1.2) \quad \det(zE_N - B) = \det(\eta zE_N - B)$$

for an arbitrary  $l$ -th root  $\eta$  of unity in  $K$ . Let  $B'_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq i, j \leq l$ ) be the  $(i, j)$ -blocks of the matrix  $zE_N - B$ , i. e.

$$B'_{ij} = \begin{cases} zE_{N/l} & \text{if } i = j, \\ -B_{ij} & \text{if } j - i \equiv 1 \pmod{l}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Multiply  $\eta^i$  to  $B'_{i1}, B'_{i2}, \dots, B'_{il}$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ), and  $\eta^{1-j}$  to  $B'_{1j}, B'_{2j}, \dots, B'_{lj}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq l$ ). Then the resultant matrix is  $zE_N - B$ . The equality (1.2) follows from this fact.

(b) Since  $p$  does not divide  $l$ , there are  $l$  distinct  $l$ -th roots  $\eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_l$  of unity in  $K$ . By the injectivity of  $\phi$ ,  $\phi(\eta_1), \phi(\eta_2), \dots, \phi(\eta_l)$  are the  $l$  distinct roots of unity in  $\mathbf{C}$ . Hence  $\sum_{i=1}^l \phi(\eta_i) = 0$ . Now the assertion follows from part (a).

Let  $T$  be an irreducible representation of  $G$  over the complex number field  $\mathbf{C}$ , and  $\chi$  its character. If  $\chi$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ , i. e. satisfies  $\chi(x) = \chi(x^\sigma)$  for all  $x \in G$ , then  $\chi$  can be extended to an irreducible character  $\tilde{\chi}$  of  $AG$  by Lemma 1.1(b).

LEMMA 1.4. *Let  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  be  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible complex characters of  $G$ , and  $\tilde{\chi}_1$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_2$  irreducible characters of  $AG$  such that  $\tilde{\chi}_1|_G = \chi_1$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_2|_G = \chi_2$ . Then, for  $l = 0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ ,*

$$|G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \tilde{\chi}_1(\sigma^l x) \tilde{\chi}_2(\sigma^l x)$$

*equals  $\xi(\sigma^l)$  if  $\chi_1 = \chi_2$  and  $\tilde{\chi}_1 = \xi \tilde{\chi}_2$  with an irreducible character  $\xi$  of  $AG/G$ , and equals 0 if  $\chi_1 \neq \chi_2$ .*

PROOF. This is proved in Glauberman [6] and Shintani [10]. Here we follow Glauberman's proof. Let  $\Phi_i (i=1, 2)$  be the class functions on  $AG$  defined by

$$\Phi_i = \sum_{\xi \in \mathcal{E}} \xi(\sigma^{-l}) \xi \tilde{\chi}_i,$$

where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of irreducible characters of  $AG/G$ . Clearly,  $\Phi_i(\sigma^n x) = 0$  ( $x \in G$ ) if  $n \neq l$ , and  $\Phi_i(\sigma^l x) = m \tilde{\chi}_i(\sigma^l x)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \chi_1(\sigma^l x) \overline{\chi_2(\sigma^l x)} &= |G|^{-1} m^{-2} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{\delta \in A} \Phi_1(\delta x) \overline{\Phi_2(\delta x)} \\ &= m^{-1} \sum_{\xi, \xi' \in \mathcal{E}} \{ \xi(\sigma^{-l}) \overline{\xi'(\sigma^{-l})} |AG|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} \sum_{\delta \in A} (\xi \tilde{\chi}_1)(\delta x) \overline{(\xi' \tilde{\chi}_2)(\delta x)} \}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1.1(b),  $\xi \tilde{\chi}_i$  are irreducible characters for all  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ . Hence, using orthogonality relations of irreducible characters, we obtain the required result.

LEMMA 1.5. *For a positive integer  $m$ , put  $\zeta_m = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ . Let  $\phi$  be a complex valued class function on  $G$ . Assume that  $\phi$  satisfies the following two conditions:*

(1) *The restriction  $\phi|_E$  of  $\phi$  to an arbitrary elementary subgroup  $E$  of  $G$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -linear combination of irreducible characters of  $E$ .*

$$(2) \quad |G|^{-1} \sum_{x \in G} |\phi(x)|^2 = 1.$$

*Then there exists an irreducible character  $\chi$  of  $G$ , an integer  $a$ , and a sign  $\epsilon$  such that*

$$\phi(x) = \epsilon \zeta_m^a \chi(x) \quad (x \in G).$$

PROOF. By a version [5; § 15] of Brauer's characterization of characters, the condition (1) implies that  $\psi$  can be written as

$$\psi = \sum_i c_i \chi_i,$$

where  $\chi_i$  are the irreducible characters of  $G$ , and  $c_i$  are elements of  $Z[\zeta_m]$ . Using the condition (2), we see that

$$\sum_i c_i \bar{c}_i = 1.$$

Denote by  $\Gamma$  the Galois group of  $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_m)$  with respect to  $\mathbf{Q}$ . Since the complex conjugation is an element of  $\Gamma$  and since  $\Gamma$  is abelian, we have

$$\sum_i c_i^\gamma \bar{c}_i^\gamma = 1$$

for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Setting  $d = |\Gamma|$  we have

$$\sum_i \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_i^\gamma \bar{c}_i^\gamma = d.$$

Since  $c_i \in Z[\zeta_m]$ , if  $c_i \neq 0$ ,

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_i^\gamma \bar{c}_i^\gamma \geq d \left| \prod_{\gamma \in \Gamma} c_i^\gamma \right|^{2/d} \geq d$$

and the equality holds if and only if  $|c_i^\gamma| = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Hence  $c_i = 0$  except for a single index  $i_0$ , and  $c_{i_0} = \pm \zeta_m^a$  for some integer  $a$ . This proves the lemma.

## § 2. Preliminaries on algebraic groups.

In this section we denote by  $\mathfrak{G}$  a connected linear algebraic group, and by  $\sigma$  a surjective endomorphism of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that  $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma$  is finite. In such situation the following theorem is of fundamental importance.

**THEOREM 2.1** (Steinberg [15; 10.1]). *The mapping  $f: x \rightarrow x^{-\sigma}x$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  into  $\mathfrak{G}$  is surjective.*

Let  $m$  be a fixed positive integer such that  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma^m}$  is finite. Put  $G = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma^m}$ . Let  $A$  be a finite cyclic group of order  $m$  with a generator  $\sigma'$ . We suppose that  $A$  acts on  $G$  by

$$x^{\sigma'} = x^\sigma \quad (x \in G).$$

In the following we write  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma'$ , because there is no fear of confusion.

**LEMMA 2.2.** (a) *Let  $\mathfrak{C}$  be an  $AG$ -conjugacy class of the set  $\{\sigma\} \times G$ , and  $\sigma x$  an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{C}$ . Take an element  $\alpha_x$  of  $f^{-1}(x)$  (see Theorem 2.1), and put  $N(x) = x^{\sigma^{m-1}}x^{\sigma^{m-2}} \dots x^\sigma x$ . Then  $\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}$  is an element of  $G_\sigma$ ; its  $G_\sigma$ -conjugacy class is determined by  $\mathfrak{C}$ .*

(b) *For all  $x \in G$ ,*

$$|\mathfrak{C}_{AG}(\sigma x)| |G|^{-1} = |\mathfrak{C}_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1})| |G_\sigma|^{-1}.$$

(c) The correspondence  $\mathcal{N}$  from the set of  $AG$ -conjugacy classes of  $\{\sigma\} \times G$  into the set of conjugacy classes of  $G_\sigma$  defined by

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{E}_{AG}(\sigma x)) = \mathfrak{E}_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}) \quad (x \in G)$$

is bijective.

PROOF. (a) Since  $xN(x)x^{-1} = N(x)^\sigma$ , we have  $\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1} = (\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1})^\sigma$ , i. e.  $\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1} \in G_\sigma$ . Let  $\beta$  be another element of  $f^{-1}(x)$ . Then  $\alpha_x^{-\sigma} \alpha_x = \beta^{-\sigma} \beta$ . Hence  $\beta \alpha_x^{-1} \in G_\sigma$ . Next, let  $y$  be an element of  $G$  such that  $\sigma y$  is  $AG$ -conjugate to  $\sigma x$ . Then there is an element  $z$  of  $G$  such that  $y = z^\sigma x z^{-1}$ . Hence  $\alpha_x z^{-1}$  is an element of  $f^{-1}(y)$ . Moreover, since  $z^{\sigma^m} = z$ , we have  $N(y) = z N(x) z^{-1}$ . Therefore

$$(\alpha_x z^{-1}) N(y) (\alpha_x z^{-1})^{-1} = \alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}.$$

This proves part (a).

(b) An element  $g$  of  $G$  is contained in  $Z_G(\sigma x) = \{g \in G \mid g(\sigma x) = (\sigma x)g\}$ , if and only if it satisfies

$$(2.1) \quad x g x^{-1} = g^\sigma.$$

From (2.1) and the fact that  $g^{\sigma^m} = g$ , we have  $g \in Z_G(N(x))$ . Hence

$$(2.2) \quad \alpha_x g \alpha_x^{-1} \in Z_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}).$$

On the other hand, (2.1) also implies that

$$(2.3) \quad \alpha_x g \alpha_x^{-1} \in G_\sigma.$$

Therefore, from (2.2), (2.3) and part (a) we see that (2.1) is equivalent to

$$\alpha_x g \alpha_x^{-1} \in Z_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}).$$

Hence

$$(2.4) \quad |Z_G(\sigma x)| = |Z_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1})|.$$

It is easy to see that

$$(2.5) \quad Z_{AG}(\sigma x) = \bigcup_{i=0}^{m-1} (\sigma x)^i Z_G(\sigma x) \quad (\text{disjoint union}).$$

From (2.4) and (2.5) we have

$$|Z_{AG}(\sigma x)| = m |Z_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1})|.$$

Hence we get

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathfrak{E}_{AG}(\sigma x)| &= |AG| |Z_{AG}(\sigma x)|^{-1} = |G| |Z_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1})|^{-1} \\ &= |\mathfrak{E}_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1})| |G| |G_\sigma|^{-1}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

(c) First we show that the correspondence  $\mathcal{N}$  is surjective. Take any  $y \in G_\sigma$ . Then by Theorem 2.1 and the assumption that  $|\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma m}| < \infty$ , there exists an element  $\gamma$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad \gamma\gamma^{-\sigma^m} = y.$$

Since  $y = y^\sigma$ , we have  $\gamma\gamma^{-\sigma^m} = \gamma^\sigma\gamma^{-\sigma^{m+1}}$ . Hence  $\gamma^{-\sigma}\gamma \in G$ . Put  $x = \gamma^{-\sigma}\gamma$ . Then

$$\gamma N(x)\gamma^{-1} = y$$

by (2.6). This proves the surjectivity of the correspondence  $\mathcal{N}$ . Let  $\{c_1, c_2, \dots, c_l\}$  be the set of conjugacy classes of  $G_\sigma$ , and  $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l\}$   $AG$ -conjugacy classes of  $\{\sigma\} \times G$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(C_i) = c_i$ . Then, from part (b), we have

$$|C_i||G|^{-1} = |c_i||G_\sigma|^{-1} \quad (1 \leq i \leq l).$$

Hence

$$\sum_{i=1}^l |C_i| = \sum_{i=1}^l |c_i||G_\sigma|^{-1}|G| = |G|.$$

This implies that  $\{C_1, C_2, \dots, C_l\}$  is the set of  $AG$ -conjugacy classes of  $\{\sigma\} \times G$ , and that  $\mathcal{N}$  is certainly bijective.

**COROLLARY 2.3.** *The number of  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible complex characters of  $G$  is equal to the number of irreducible complex characters of  $G_\sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** The dimension of the linear space spanned by restrictions of irreducible characters of  $AG$  to  $\{\sigma\} \times G$  equals to the number of  $AG$ -conjugacy classes of  $\{\sigma\} \times G$ . The former is equal to the number of  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible characters by Lemma 1.1, 1.2 and 1.4; the latter is, by Lemma 2.2 (c), equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $G_\sigma$ , which is equal to the number of irreducible characters of  $G_\sigma$ . This proves the corollary.

The following result is not used in the sequel.

**COROLLARY 2.4.** *The number of  $\sigma$ -fixed conjugacy classes of  $G$  is equal to the number of conjugacy classes of  $G_\sigma$ .*

**PROOF.** Applying a theorem of Brauer ([5; 12.1]) to the character table of  $G$ , we see that the number of  $\sigma$ -invariant irreducible characters of  $G$  is equal to the number of  $\sigma$ -fixed conjugacy classes of  $G$ . Combining this fact with Corollary 2.3 we obtain the required result.

**LEMMA 2.5.** *Assume that  $\mathfrak{G}$  is abelian. Let  $\tilde{\chi}$  be an irreducible complex character of  $AG$ , and  $\chi$  its restriction to  $G$ . Then, for  $x \in G$ , we have*

$$\tilde{\chi}(\sigma x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \chi \text{ is reducible,} \\ \zeta_m^a \phi_\chi(N(x)) & \text{if } \chi \text{ is irreducible,} \end{cases}$$

where  $\zeta_m = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ ,  $a$  is an integer, and  $\phi_\chi$  is an irreducible character of  $G_\sigma$  determined by  $\chi$ .

PROOF. By Lemma 1.2,  $\tilde{\chi}(\sigma x)=0$  if  $\chi$  is reducible. Assume that  $\chi$  is irreducible, i.e. one dimensional representation of  $G$ . Since  $\chi(x)=\chi(x^\sigma)$  for all  $x \in G$ , we have

$$(2.7) \quad \chi(x^{-\sigma}x)=1 \quad (x \in G).$$

On the other hand, from Theorem 2.1, it is easy to see that

$$(2.8) \quad \{x \in G \mid N(x)=1\} = \{x^{-\sigma}x \mid x \in G\}.$$

By (2.7), (2.8) and the surjectivity of the mapping  $N$  from  $G$  into  $G_\sigma$ , we have

$$(2.9) \quad \chi = \phi_\chi \circ N$$

for a unique irreducible character  $\phi_\chi$  of  $G_\sigma$ . By Lemma 1.1 (b),  $\tilde{\chi}$  can be written as

$$(2.10) \quad \tilde{\chi}(\sigma^n x) = \zeta^n \chi(x) \quad (x \in G, 0 \leq n \leq m-1)$$

The assertion follows from (2.9) and (2.10).

THEOREM 2.6 (Springer and Steinberg [12; 1, 3.4]). *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which is fixed by  $\sigma$ . Assume that the centralizer  $Z_G(x)$  of  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$  is connected. Then  $\mathfrak{G} \cap \mathfrak{G}_\sigma$  forms a single conjugacy class of  $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma$ .*

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be a conjugacy class of  $G(=\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma^m})$  which is fixed by  $\sigma$ . Assume that  $Z_G(x)$  is connected for  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{G} \cap G_\sigma$  forms a single conjugacy class of  $G_\sigma$ .*

PROOF. Let  $x$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{G}^\sigma$ ,  $x^\sigma$  is also contained in  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{G}_\mathfrak{G}(x)$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ . Therefore, by Theorem 2.6,  $\mathfrak{G}_\mathfrak{G}(x) \cap G$  is a single conjugacy class of  $G$ . This implies that  $\mathfrak{G}=\mathfrak{G}_\mathfrak{G}(x) \cap G$ . Using again Theorem 2.6 we see that

$$\mathfrak{G} \cap G_\sigma = (\mathfrak{G}_\mathfrak{G}(x) \cap G) \cap G_\sigma = \mathfrak{G}_\mathfrak{G}(x) \cap G_\sigma$$

is a single conjugacy class of  $G_\sigma$ .

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let  $\mathfrak{G}=GL_{n_1} \times GL_{n_2} \times \dots \times GL_{n_l}$  for some positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_l$ . Then, for any  $\sigma$ -fixed conjugacy class  $\mathfrak{G}$  of  $G$ ,  $\mathfrak{G} \cap G_\sigma$  forms a single conjugacy class of  $G_\sigma$ .*

PROOF. This follows from Corollary 2.7 and the fact that  $Z_\mathfrak{G}(x)$  is connected for all  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$  (see [12; III, 3.22]).

COROLLARY 2.9. (a) *Let  $\mathfrak{G}$  be semisimple and simply connected. If  $x$  is an element of  $G$  such that  $N(x)$  is semisimple, then we have*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{G}_{G_A}(\sigma x)) = \mathfrak{G}_G(N(x)) \cap G_\sigma.$$

(b) *Let  $\mathfrak{G}=GL_{n_1} \times GL_{n_2} \times \dots \times GL_{n_l}$  for some positive integers  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_l$ . Then we have*

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{E}_{GA}(\sigma x)) = \mathfrak{E}_G(N(x)) \cap G_\sigma$$

for all  $x \in G$ .

PROOF. (a) By [15; 8.1],  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(N(x))$  is connected. Hence, by Theorem 2.6, we see that  $\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}) \cap G$  is a single conjugacy class of  $G$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{E}_G(N(x)) = \mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}) \cap G$ . Using again Theorem 2.6 we also have

$$\mathfrak{E}_{\mathfrak{G}}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}) \cap G_\sigma = \mathfrak{E}_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}).$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{N}(\mathfrak{E}_{AG}(\sigma x)) = \mathfrak{E}_{G_\sigma}(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}) = G_\sigma(\alpha_x N(x) \alpha_x^{-1}) \cap G_\sigma = \mathfrak{E}_G(N(x)) \cap G_\sigma.$$

(b) By [12; III, 3.22],  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(N(x))$  is connected. Hence (b) follows by the same argument as in the proof of (a).

### § 3. Modular representations of finite Chevalley groups.

In this section we denote by  $\mathfrak{G}$  a simply connected semisimple linear algebraic group. We consider  $\mathfrak{G}$  as a subgroup of some  $GL_t(K)$  for a fixed algebraically closed field  $K$ . Assume that  $\mathfrak{G}$  has a surjective endomorphism  $\sigma$  such that  $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma$  is finite. Then the characteristic  $p$  of  $K$  must be positive ([15; 10.5]). The main result of this section is Theorem 3.6. Before stating this, we summarize some known facts on  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $\sigma$ . These are mostly due to C. Chevalley and R. Steinberg ([1], [13], [14], [15]).

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a Borel subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}$  a maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{G}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{B}$ . One can choose  $\mathfrak{B}$  and  $\mathfrak{H}$  to be fixed by  $\sigma$ . Then the unipotent radical  $\mathfrak{U}$  of  $\mathfrak{B}$  is also fixed by  $\sigma$ . Let  $X(\mathfrak{H})$  be the character module of  $\mathfrak{H}$ , and  $\Sigma \subset X(\mathfrak{H})$  the root system of  $\mathfrak{G}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{H}$ . For each  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  there is an isomorphism  $x_\alpha$  of the additive group of  $K$  onto a closed subgroup  $\mathfrak{U}_\alpha$  of  $G$  such that

$$hx_\alpha(t)h^{-1} = x_\alpha(\alpha(h)t) \quad (h \in \mathfrak{H}, t \in K).$$

Take an order on  $\Sigma$  so that  $\mathfrak{U} = \prod_{\alpha > 0} \mathfrak{U}_\alpha$ . Let  $\Pi$  be the set of simple roots with respect to this order. From the construction of  $\mathfrak{G}$  given in [14] we have the following

LEMMA 3.1. *One can choose  $x_\alpha (\alpha \in \Sigma)$  so that the following statements hold.*

(a) *Put  $h_\alpha(t) = w_\alpha(t)w_\alpha(-1)$  ( $t \in K^*$ ) for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ , where  $w_\alpha(t) = x_\alpha(t)x_{-\alpha}(-t^{-1})x_\alpha(t)$ . Then  $h_\alpha(t)$  are multiplicative as functions of  $t \in K^*$ .*

(b) *Put  $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha = \{h_\alpha(t) | t \in K^*\}$  for  $\alpha \in \Sigma$ . These are subgroups of  $\mathfrak{H}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{H}$  is a direct product of the subgroups  $\mathfrak{H}_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Pi$ .*

(c) *For  $\alpha \in \Pi$ , define the element  $\omega_\alpha$  of  $X(\mathfrak{H})$  by*

$$\omega_\alpha(\prod_{\beta \in \Pi} h_\beta(t_\beta)) = t_\alpha.$$

*Then  $\omega_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ) are the fundamental dominant weights ([1; 16-07]).*

Henceforth, we assume that  $x_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ) have been chosen as in Lemma 3.1.

LEMMA 3.2. *There exists a permutation  $\rho$  of  $\Sigma$  and for each  $\alpha \in \Sigma$  a power  $q(\alpha)$  of  $p$  such that the following statements hold.*

- (a)  $\Pi$  is stable under  $\rho$ .
- (b)  $x_\alpha(t)^\sigma = x_{\rho\alpha}(c_\alpha t^{q(\alpha)}) \quad (t \in K)$

for some  $c_\alpha \in K^*$ .

- (c) One can normalize  $x_\alpha$  ( $\alpha \in \Sigma$ ) so that

$$x_\alpha(t)^\sigma = x_{\rho\alpha}(t^{q(\alpha)}) \quad (t \in K)$$

for all  $\alpha \in \Pi$ . Then

$$x_{-\rho\alpha}(t) = x_{-\alpha}(t^{q(\alpha)}) \quad (t \in K)$$

for all  $\alpha \in \Pi$ .

PROOF. Part (a) and part (b) are proved in [15; 11.2]. Part (c) follows from part (b) and [14; p. 160, (2)].

For each  $\lambda \in X(\mathfrak{G})$  there exists an irreducible rational representation  $R_\lambda$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  whose highest weight ([14; p. 209]) is  $\lambda$ ; the equivalence class of  $R_\lambda$  is uniquely determined by  $\lambda$ . Since  $\{\omega_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \Pi\}$  (see Lemma 3.1 (d)) is a basis of  $X(\mathfrak{G})$ , any element  $\lambda$  of  $X(\mathfrak{G})$  can be written as  $\lambda = \sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \lambda(\alpha)\omega_\alpha$  for some  $\lambda(\alpha) \in \mathbf{Z}$ .

THEOREM 3.3 (Steinberg [15; 13.1, 13.3]). *Let  $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{G}$  denote the set of irreducible rational representations of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for which the highest weight  $\lambda = \sum \lambda(\alpha)\omega_\alpha$  satisfies  $0 \leq \lambda(\alpha) \leq q(\alpha) - 1$  ( $\alpha \in \Pi$ ).*

- (a) *The elements of  $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{G}$  remain distinct and irreducible on restriction to  $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma$ .*
- (b) *A complete set of irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma$  over  $K$  is obtained in this way.*
- (c) *The collection  $\{\otimes_{i=0}^\infty R_i \circ \sigma^i \mid R_i \in \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{G}, \text{ most } R_i \text{ trivial}\}$  is a complete set of irreducible rational representations of  $G$ , each counted exactly once.*

LEMMA 3.4. (a) *Let  $R$  be an irreducible rational representation of  $\mathfrak{G}$  whose highest weight is  $\sum \lambda(\alpha)\omega_\alpha$ . Then the highest weight of the irreducible representation  $R \circ \sigma$  is  $\sum q(\alpha)\lambda(\rho\alpha)\omega_\alpha$ .*

(b) *Let  $R_i$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \dots$ ) be irreducible rational representations of  $\mathfrak{G}$  whose highest weights are  $\sum \lambda_i(\alpha)\omega_\alpha$  respectively. Then the highest weight of the irreducible representation  $\otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} R_i \circ \sigma^i$  is  $\sum_{\alpha \in \Pi} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} q(\alpha)q(\rho\alpha) \cdots q(\rho^{i-1}\alpha)\lambda_i(\rho^i\alpha) \right\} \omega_\alpha$ .*

PROOF. (a) Let  $V$  be a left  $G$ -module which affords  $R$ , and  $v \in V$  a highest weight vector, i. e.

$$(1) \quad xv = v \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{U},$$

and

$$(2) \quad hv = (\sum \lambda(\alpha)\omega_\alpha)(h)v \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

For a proof of part (a) it suffices to show that

$$(1') \quad x^\sigma v = v \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathfrak{U},$$

and

$$(2') \quad h^\sigma v = (\sum q(\alpha)\lambda(\rho\alpha)\omega_\alpha)(h)v \quad \text{for all } h \in \mathfrak{H}.$$

(1') follows from (1) and the fact that  $\mathfrak{U}$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ . For  $h \in \mathfrak{H}$  we can write  $h = \prod_{\beta \in \Pi} h_\beta(t_\beta)$  by Lemma 3.1 (c). Then, by Lemma 3.1 (a) and Lemma 3.2 (c), we get

$$h^\sigma = \prod_{\beta \in \Pi} h_{\rho\beta}(t_\beta^{q(\beta)}).$$

Hence, by Lemma 3.1 (d),

$$\omega_\alpha(h^\sigma) = t_{\eta\alpha}^{q(\eta\alpha)} = (q(\eta\alpha)\omega_{\eta\alpha})(h),$$

where  $\eta = \rho^{-1}$ . Therefore, we see from (2) that

$$h^\sigma v = (\sum q(\eta\alpha)\lambda(\alpha)\omega_{\eta\alpha})(h)v = (\sum q(\alpha)\lambda(\rho\alpha)\omega_\alpha)(h)v,$$

which is (2'). The proof of part (a) is over. Next, we prove part (b). Let  $v_i (i=0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1)$  be highest weight vectors of  $R_i \circ \sigma^i$  respectively. Using part (a) repeatedly we see that  $\otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} v_i$  is a highest weight vector of  $\otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} R_i \circ \sigma^i$  with the required weight.

Let  $m$  be a positive integer. Then  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma^m}$  is also finite by [15; 10.6].

LEMMA 3.5. *Let  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{G}}$  be as in Theorem 3.3. For a positive integer  $m$ , let  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{G},m}$  be the set  $\{\otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} R_i \circ \sigma^i \mid R_i \in \mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{G}}\}$  of irreducible rational representations of  $\mathfrak{G}$ .*

- (a) *The elements of  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{G},m}$  remain distinct and irreducible on restriction to  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma^m}$ .*
- (b) *A complete set of irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{G}_{\sigma^m}$  is obtained in this way.*

PROOF. By theorem 3.3 and the definition (Lemma 3.2 (b)) of  $q(\alpha)$ , it suffices to show that  $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathfrak{G},m}$  is the set of irreducible representations of  $\mathfrak{G}$  for which the highest weight  $\lambda = \sum \lambda(\alpha)\omega_\alpha$  satisfies  $0 \leq \lambda(\alpha) \leq Q(\alpha) - 1$ , where  $Q(\alpha) = q(\alpha)q(\rho\alpha)q(\rho^2\alpha) \dots q(\rho^{m-1}\alpha)$ . This, in turn, follows easily from Lemma 3.4 (b).

Put  $G = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma^m}$ . As in § 2, we denote by  $A$  the cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by  $\sigma|G$ . In the following we write  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma|G$ . Assume that  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Then it is easy to see that an element  $\sigma\lambda$  of the semi-direct product  $AG$  is  $p$ -regular if and only if  $N(x)$  is a  $p$ -regular (i. e. semi-simple) element of  $G$ . The main result of this section is:

THEOREM 3.6. *Assume that  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$  and that  $K$  is the algebraic closure of the finite field with  $p$  elements. Let  $\hat{T}$  be an irreducible representation of the semi-direct product  $AG$  over  $K$ , and  $T$  its restriction to  $G$ . Let  $\phi$  be an injective homomorphism from  $K^*$  into  $\mathbf{C}^*$ .*

- (a) *If the representation  $T$  of  $G$  is reducible, we have*

$$\beta_\phi[\hat{T}](\sigma x) = 0 \quad (x \in G),$$

where  $\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}]$  is defined by (1.1).

(b) If  $T$  is still irreducible, then there exists an irreducible representation  $S_T$  of  $G_\sigma$  over  $K$  which depends only on  $T$  and satisfies

$$\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}](\sigma x) = \zeta_m^a \beta_\phi[S_T](n(x))$$

for all  $x \in G$  such that  $N(x) = x^{\sigma^{m-1}} x^{\sigma^{m-2}} \cdots x^\sigma x$  is semisimple, where  $n(x)$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{G}_G(N(x)) \cap G_\sigma$ ,  $\zeta_m = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ , and  $a$  is an integer.

(c) The correspondence  $T \rightarrow S_T$  induces a bijection between the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $G$  and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $G_\sigma$ .

PROOF. (a) This is a special case of Lemma 1.2.

(b) For each  $R \in \mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{G}$ , we put

$$T_R = \{(R \circ \sigma^{m-1}) \otimes (R \circ \sigma^{m-2}) \otimes \cdots \otimes (R \circ \sigma) \otimes R\} | G.$$

By Lemma 3.5, these representations of  $G$  are irreducible and pairwise inequivalent. Since the action of  $\sigma^m$  is trivial on  $G$ ,  $T_R$  is equivalent to  $T_R \circ \sigma$ . Conversely, by Lemma 3.5, irreducible representation  $T$  of  $G$  over  $K$  is equivalent to  $T \circ \sigma$  if and only if it is equivalent to some  $T_R$ . Let  $R$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{R}_\mathfrak{G}$  and  $V$  its representation space. Define a linear transformation  $I_\sigma$  of  $V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$  ( $m$  times) by

$$I_\sigma(v_{m-1} \otimes v_{m-2} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes v_0) = v_{m-2} \otimes v_{m-3} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_1 \otimes v_0 \otimes v_{m-1} \quad (v_i \in V).$$

Put

$$(3.1) \quad \tilde{T}_R(\sigma^l x) = I_\sigma^l \circ T_R(x)$$

for  $x \in G$  and  $l=0, 1, \dots, m-1$ . Then  $\tilde{T}_R$  is an irreducible representation of  $AG$  and  $T_R$  is its restriction to  $G$ . Let  $x$  be an element of  $G$  such that  $N(x)$  is semisimple, and  $n(x)$  an element of  $\mathfrak{G}_G(N(x)) \cap G_\sigma$ . By [12; II, 1.1],  $n(x)$  is contained in a maximal torus  $\mathfrak{H}$  of  $\mathfrak{G}$  fixed by  $\sigma$ . To calculate  $\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}_R](\sigma x)$  we may assume that  $x$  is contained in  $\mathfrak{G}$  and  $N(x) = n(x)$ , by Lemma 2.2 (c) and Corollary 2.9 (a). Then  $x^{\sigma^i}$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1$ ) are semisimple and commute with each other. So we can choose a basis  $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_d\}$  ( $d = \dim R$ ) of  $V$  for which there exist  $\lambda_{ij} \in K$  ( $i=0, 1, 2, \dots, m-1; j=1, 2, \dots, d$ ) such that

$$(3.2) \quad R(x^{\sigma^i})e_j = \lambda_{ij}e_j.$$

Put  $\mathcal{B} = \{e_{j_{m-1}} \otimes e_{j_{m-2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_1} \otimes e_{j_0} | 1 \leq j_i \leq d\}$ ; this is a basis of  $V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$  ( $m$  times). The operator  $I_\sigma$  on  $V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$  permutes the set  $\mathcal{B}$ . Let  $o$  be an  $I_\sigma$ -orbit in  $\mathcal{B}$ , and  $W_o$  a linear subspace of  $V \otimes V \otimes \cdots \otimes V$  spanned by elements of  $\mathcal{B}$  contained in  $o$ . Then  $\tilde{T}_R(\sigma x)W_o \subset W_o$  by (3.1) and (3.2). Clearly, the cardinality  $l$  of  $o$  is a divisor of  $m$ . First, assume that  $l > 1$ . Let  $b$  be a fixed element of  $o$ , and  $(a_{st})$  ( $1 \leq s, t \leq l$ ) the matrix representation of  $\tilde{T}_R(\sigma x)|W_o$

with respect to the basis  $\{I_\sigma^{l-1}b, I_\sigma^{l-2}b, \dots, I_\sigma b, b\}$  of  $W_o$ . Then, from (3.1) and (3.2), we see that  $a_{st} = 0$  if  $t - s \not\equiv 1 \pmod{l}$ . Hence, if  $r_i(\sigma x)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq l$ ) are the characteristic roots of  $\tilde{T}_R(\sigma x)|W_o$ , we have

$$(3.3) \quad \sum_i \phi(r_i(\sigma x)) = 0$$

from Lemma 1.3. Next, consider the case that  $l=1$ , i. e.  $o = \{e_j \otimes e_j \otimes \dots \otimes e_j\}$  for some  $j$ . From (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$(3.4) \quad \tilde{T}_R(\sigma x)e_j \otimes e_j \otimes \dots \otimes e_j = \lambda_{m-1,j} \lambda_{m-2,j} \dots \lambda_{1j} \lambda_{0j} e_j \otimes e_j \otimes \dots \otimes e_j$$

$$(j=1, 2, \dots, d).$$

Combining (3.3) with (3.4) we get

$$(3.5) \quad \beta_\phi[\tilde{T}_R](\sigma x) = \sum_{j=1}^d \phi(\lambda_{m-1,j} \lambda_{m-2,j} \dots \lambda_{1j} \lambda_{0j}).$$

On the other hand, from (3.2), we have

$$R(N(x))e_j = \lambda_{m-1,j} \lambda_{m-2,j} \dots \lambda_{1j} \lambda_{0j} e_j \quad (j=1, 2, \dots, d).$$

Hence

$$(3.6) \quad \beta_\phi[R](N(x)) = \sum_{j=1}^d \phi(\lambda_{m-1,j} \lambda_{m-2,j} \dots \lambda_{1j} \lambda_{0j}).$$

Put  $S_{TR} = R|G_\sigma$ . This is an irreducible representation of  $G_\sigma$  by Theorem 3.3, and depends only on  $T_R = \bigotimes_{i=0}^{m-1} R \circ \sigma^i|G$  by Lemma 3.5 (a). From (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}_R](\sigma x) = \beta_\phi[S_{TR}](N(x)).$$

This, combined with Lemma 1.1, implies part (b) of the Theorem.

(c) The defining domain of the correspondence is the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $G$  by Lemma 1.1 (a). The remaining assertions follow from the proof of (b) and Theorem 3.3.

**§ 4. Main theorem.**

In this section we denote by  $\mathfrak{G}$  the general linear group  $GL_n$  considered as an algebraic group defined over an algebraically closed field  $K$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Let  $k$  be a fixed finite subfield of  $K$ . For a positive integer  $l$ , we denote by  $k_l(\subset K)$  the extension of  $k$  of degree  $l$ . Let  $\tau$  and  $\sigma$  be the surjective endomorphisms of  $\mathfrak{G}$  defined by

$$(4.1) \quad x^\tau = (x_{ij}^q)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n} \quad \text{for } x = (x_{ij}) \in \mathfrak{G}$$

and

$$(4.2) \quad x^\sigma = (({}^t x)^\tau)^{-1} \quad \text{for } x \in \mathfrak{G},$$

where  $q = |k|$  and  ${}^t x$  is the transposed matrix of  $x \in \mathfrak{G}$ . If  $m$  is a positive integer,

$$(4.3) \quad \mathfrak{G}_{\tau m} = GL_n(k_m)$$

and

$$(4.4) \quad \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma m} = \begin{cases} GL_n(k_m) & \text{if } m \text{ is even,} \\ U_n(k_{2m}) & \text{if } m \text{ is odd} \end{cases}$$

where  $U_n(k_{2m})$  is the group of unitary matrices over  $k_{2m}$ . Put  $G = \mathfrak{G}_{\sigma m}$  for a fixed  $m$ . Then  $\sigma|G$  is an automorphism of the finite group  $G$ , and will be denoted simply by  $\sigma$ . Let  $A$  be the cyclic group of order  $m$  generated by the automorphism  $\sigma$  of  $G$ , and  $AG$  the semi-direct product of  $G$  with  $A$ . Now we can state the main result of the paper.

**THEOREM 4.1.** *Assume that  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Let  $\tilde{\chi}$  be an irreducible character of  $AG$ , and  $\chi$  its restriction to  $G$ .*

(a) *If the character  $\chi$  of  $G$  is reducible, then*

$$\tilde{\chi}(\sigma x) = 0 \quad (x \in G).$$

(b) *If  $\chi$  is still irreducible, then there exists an irreducible character  $\phi$  of  $G_\sigma (= U_n(k_2))$  which depends only on  $\chi$  and satisfies*

$$\tilde{\chi}(\sigma x) = \varepsilon \zeta_m^a \phi_\chi(n(x)) \quad (x \in G, n(x) \in \mathfrak{G}_G(N(x)) \cap G_\sigma),$$

where  $N(x) = x^{\sigma^{m-1}} x^{\sigma^{m-2}} \dots x^\sigma x$ ,  $\zeta_m = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ ,  $\varepsilon = \pm 1$ , and  $a$  is an integer.

(c) *The correspondence  $\chi \rightarrow \phi_\chi$  is a bijection between the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible characters of  $G$  and the set of irreducible characters of  $G_\sigma$ .*

**REMARK 4.2.** Theorem 4.1, and its proof, are valid even if one replaces  $\sigma$  with  $\tau$  defined by (4.1). Using Green's construction [7] of irreducible characters of finite general linear groups, Shintani [10] proved the  $\tau$ -case without assuming that  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$ . Our proof is independent of the Green's construction.

**REMARK 4.3.** It may be possible to extend Theorem 4.1 to a more general case. See Lemma 2.2 and Corollary 2.3.

For the proof of Theorem 4.1 we need some preliminary results. Let  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$  be a partition of  $n$ , i. e. an integer sequence such that  $n = \sum_{i=1}^s \alpha_i$  and  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_s > 0$ . Put

$$\mathfrak{G}_\alpha = \{(B_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq s} \in \mathfrak{G} \mid B_{ii} \in GL_{\alpha_i}(1 \leq i \leq s), B_{ij} = 0(i \neq j)\}.$$

This is a  $\sigma$ -fixed connected algebraic subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . A subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  is

called of type  $\alpha$  if it is conjugate to  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ .

LEMMA 4.4. (a) Let  $u$  be a unipotent element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then

$$(4.5) \quad Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(u) = \mathfrak{X} \cdot \mathfrak{U} \quad (\text{semi-direct product}),$$

where  $\mathfrak{U}$  is the unipotent radical of  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(u)$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which is of type  $\alpha$  for some partition  $\alpha$  of  $n$ . Moreover, if  $u$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}$  is fixed by  $\sigma$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  can be chosen to be fixed by  $\sigma$ .

(b) Let  $s$  be a ( $\sigma$ -fixed) semisimple element of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Then  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(s)$  is a (resp.  $\sigma$ -fixed) subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  of type  $\alpha$  for some partition  $\alpha$  of  $n$ .

PROOF. (a) The decomposition (4.5) is proved, for example, in [12; IV, 1.7]. If  $u$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ , we have

$$Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(u) = \mathfrak{X} \cdot \mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{X}^\sigma \cdot \mathfrak{U}^\sigma.$$

Since  $\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{U}^\sigma$  are both unipotent radicals of  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(u)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{U}^\sigma$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the center of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}^\sigma$  is the center of  $\mathfrak{X}^\sigma$ . Since  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{U}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}^\sigma\mathfrak{U}$  are both radicals of  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(u)$ , we have  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{S}^\sigma\mathfrak{U}$ . Moreover,  $\mathfrak{S}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}^\sigma$  are maximal tori of the connected algebraic group  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{U} = \mathfrak{S}^\sigma\mathfrak{U}$ . Hence  $\mathfrak{S}^\sigma = x\mathfrak{S}x^{-1}$  for some element  $x$  of  $\mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{U}$ . By Theorem 2.1, there exists  $y \in \mathfrak{S}\mathfrak{U}$  such that  $x = y^{-1}y$ . Put  $\mathfrak{S}' = y\mathfrak{S}y^{-1}$ . Then  $\mathfrak{S}'$  is  $\sigma$ -stable. Therefore,  $\mathfrak{X}' = Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathfrak{S}')$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ . We also have  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(u) = \mathfrak{X}'\mathfrak{U}$ , because  $\mathfrak{X}' = yZ_{\mathfrak{G}}(\mathfrak{S})y^{-1} = y\mathfrak{X}y^{-1}$ . This proves part (a).

(b) This is well-known.

LEMMA 4.5. Let  $\mathfrak{X} = y\mathfrak{G}_\alpha y^{-1}$  ( $y \in \mathfrak{G}$ ) be a  $\sigma$ -fixed algebraic subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  which is of type  $\alpha$  for some partition  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_s)$  of  $n$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be an algebraic subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}$  defined by

$$\mathfrak{Y} = \{y(B_{ij})y^{-1} \in \mathfrak{X} \mid B_{ii} \in SL_{\alpha_i}(1 \leq i \leq s), B_{ij} = 0(i \neq j)\}.$$

Then

(a)  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ .

(b) For any positive integer  $l$ , there exist sequences  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$   $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k\}$  of positive integers and sequences  $\{D_1, D_2, \dots, D_n\}$   $\{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_k\}$  of finite fields such that

$$(4.6) \quad \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma^l} \cong GL_{\alpha_1}(D_1) \times GL_{\alpha_2}(D_2) \times \dots \times GL_{\alpha_n}(D_n) \times U_{b_1}(F_1) \times U_{b_2}(F_2) \times \dots \times U_{b_k}(F_k)$$

and

$$(4.7) \quad \mathfrak{Y}_{\sigma^l} \cong SL_{\alpha_1}(D_1) \times SL_{\alpha_2}(D_2) \times \dots \times SL_{\alpha_n}(D_n) \times SU_{b_1}(F_1) \times SU_{b_2}(F_2) \times \dots \times SU_{b_k}(F_k),$$

where  $SU_{b_i}(F_i) = U_{b_i}(F_i) \cap SL_{b_i}(F_i)$ .

PROOF. (a) This follows from the fact that  $\mathfrak{Y}$  is the commutator sub-

group of  $\mathfrak{X}$ .

(b) Put  $\rho = \sigma^l$ . Since  $\mathfrak{X} = \mathfrak{X}^\rho$ ,  $n = y^{-\rho}y$  normalizes  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ . For any element  $x = ygy^{-1}$  ( $g \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ ) of  $\mathfrak{X}$ , we have

$$x^\rho = y^\rho g^\rho y^{-\rho} = y(n^{-1}g^\rho n)y^{-1}.$$

Hence

$$(4.8) \quad \mathfrak{X}_\rho \cong \{g \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha \mid n^{-1}g^\rho n = g\}.$$

For each index  $1 \leq i \leq s$  satisfying  $\alpha_i = \alpha_{i+1}$ , let  $w_i \in \mathfrak{G}$  be the permutation matrix such that

$$w_i g w_i^{-1} = (g_i, g_2, \dots, g_{i-1}, g_{i+1}, g_i, g_{i+2}, \dots, g_s)$$

for any element  $g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_s)$  ( $g \in GL_{\alpha_i}$ ) of  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ ; we denote by  $\mathfrak{W}_\mathfrak{X}$  the subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$  generated by  $w_i$ 's. The normalizer group of  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$  in  $\mathfrak{G}$  is generated by  $\mathfrak{W}_\mathfrak{X}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}_\alpha$ . Hence  $n = aw$  for some  $w \in \mathfrak{W}_\mathfrak{X}$  and  $a \in \mathfrak{X}$ . By Theorem 2.1, there exists  $b \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha$  such that  $a = b^{-\rho}b$ . Therefore, by (4.9),

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{X}_\rho &\cong \{g \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha \mid w^{-1}b^{-1}b^\rho g^\rho b^{-\rho}bw = g\} \\ &\cong \{g \in \mathfrak{G}_\alpha \mid (bgb^{-1})^\rho = b(wgw^{-1})b^{-1}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Using this and (4.4) we can easily prove (4.6); (4.7) can be proved in a similar way.

LEMMA 4.6. *Let  $\mathfrak{X}$  be as in Lemma 4.5. For a fixed positive integer  $m$  which is not divisible by  $p$ , we put  $X = \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma^m}$ . Assume that  $K$  is the algebraic closure of the finite field with  $p$  elements. Let  $\phi$  be an injective homomorphism from  $K^*$  into  $\mathbf{C}^*$ . Let  $\tilde{T}$  be an irreducible representation of the semi-direct product  $AX$  over  $K$ , and  $T$  its restriction to  $X$ .*

(a) *If the representation  $T$  of  $X$  is reducible we have*

$$\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}](\sigma x) = 0 \quad (x \in X),$$

where  $\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}]$  is defined by (1.1).

(b) *If  $T$  is still irreducible, then there exists an irreducible representation  $S_T$  of  $X_\sigma$  whose equivalence class depends only on the equivalence class of  $T$  and satisfies*

$$\beta_\phi[\tilde{T}](\sigma x) = \zeta_m^a \beta_\phi[S_T](n(x))$$

for any  $x \in X$  such that  $N(x) = x^{\sigma^{m-1}}x^{\sigma^{m-2}} \dots x^\sigma x$  is semisimple, where  $n(x)$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{G}_X(N(x)) \cap X_\sigma$ ,  $\zeta_m = \exp(2\pi i/m)$ , and  $a$  is an integer.

(c) *The correspondence  $T \rightarrow S_T$  induces a bijection between the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $X$  and the set of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $X_\sigma$ .*

PROOF. (a) is a special case of Lemma 1.2. The proof of (b) and (c)

depends on the following two results.

(1) The number of  $\sigma$ -fixed equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $X$  over  $K$  is equal to the number of equivalence classes of irreducible representations of  $X_\sigma$  over  $K$ .

(2) Let  $\mathfrak{Y}$  be as in Lemma 4.5. For an irreducible rational representation  $R$  of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ , there exists an irreducible rational representation  $R'$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $R'| \mathfrak{Y}$  is equivalent to  $R$ .

Let us deduce (b) and (c) from (1) and (2). Let  $\mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{Y}$  be the set of irreducible rational representations of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  defined in Theorem 3.3. For each  $R \in \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{Y}$ , let  $R'$  be an irreducible rational representation of  $\mathfrak{X}$  such that  $R'| \mathfrak{Y}$  is equivalent to  $R$ . Then  $R'| X_\sigma$  is an irreducible representation of  $X_\sigma$ , since its restriction to  $Y_\sigma$  (where  $Y = \mathfrak{Y}_{\sigma^m}$ ) is already irreducible by Theorem 3.3. Hence, by a theorem of Clifford (see [2] or [3; Theorem (51.7)]) and Theorem 3.3,

$$\{(R'| X_\sigma) \otimes \xi \mid R \in \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{Y}, \xi \in \mathcal{E}\}$$

is a complete set of irreducible representations of  $X_\sigma$  over  $K$ , each counted exactly once, where  $\mathcal{E}$  is the set of irreducible representations of  $X_\sigma/Y_\sigma$ . By Lemma 4.5, each  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$  can be extended to a rational 1-dimensional representation  $\xi'$  of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Then, by Corollary 2.9 (b),

$$(4.9) \quad (\otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} (\xi' \circ \sigma^i))(x) = \xi(n(x)) \quad (x \in X),$$

where  $n(x)$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{G}_\mathfrak{X}(N(x)) \cap X_\sigma$ . For  $R \in \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{Y}$  and  $\xi \in \mathcal{E}$ , we put

$$\begin{aligned} T_{R,\xi} &= \{ \otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} (R' \otimes \xi') \circ \sigma^i \mid X \\ &= \{ (\otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} R' \circ \sigma^i) \otimes (\otimes_{i=0}^{m-1} \xi' \circ \sigma^i) \mid X. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $T_{R,\xi}$  is an irreducible representation of  $X$ , since its restriction to  $Y$  is already irreducible by Lemma 3.5. Two representations  $T_{R,\xi}$  and  $T_{S,\eta}$  ( $R, S \in \mathcal{R}_\mathfrak{Y}$ ;  $\xi, \eta \in \mathcal{E}$ ) are equivalent to each other if and only if  $R=S$  and  $\xi=\eta$ . This follows from Lemma 3.5 and (4.9). Clearly,  $T_{R,\xi}$  is equivalent to  $T_{R,\xi \circ \sigma}$ . Conversely, by (1), an irreducible representation  $T$  of  $X$  over  $K$  is equivalent to  $T \circ \sigma$  if and only if it is equivalent to some  $T_{R,\xi}$ . The rest of the proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.6, and is omitted. We now prove (1). The table of irreducible Brauer characters of  $X$  is a non-singular matrix by orthogonality relations ([3; (84.11)]). Hence we may apply a theorem of Brauer ([5; §12.1]). By this theorem the number of  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible Brauer characters of  $X$  equals the number of  $\sigma$ -fixed  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $X$ . By Corollary 2.8, the latter number equals the number of  $p$ -regular conjugacy classes of  $X_\sigma$ , which, in turn, equals the number of irreducible Brauer characters of  $X_\sigma$ . This proves (1). Next, we prove (2). For this purpose we

need some results on rational representations of  $\mathfrak{X}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{B}_x$  be a Borel subgroup of  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}_x$  the unipotent radical of  $\mathfrak{B}_x$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}_x$  a maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{X}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{B}_x$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{B}_y = \mathfrak{B}_x \cap \mathfrak{Y}$  is a Borel subgroup of  $\mathfrak{Y}$ ,  $\mathfrak{U}_y = \mathfrak{U}_x$  is the unipotent radical of  $\mathfrak{B}_y$ , and  $\mathfrak{H}_y = \mathfrak{H}_x \cap \mathfrak{Y}$  is a maximal torus of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{B}_y$ . Let  $\mathfrak{W}$  be the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{X}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{H}_x$ . This can be identified with the Weyl group of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  with respect to  $\mathfrak{H}_y$ . We denote by  $w_0$  the element of  $\mathfrak{W}$  such that  $(w_0 \mathfrak{B}_x w_0^{-1}) \cap \mathfrak{B}_x = \mathfrak{H}_x$ . Let  $\lambda$  be a rational character of  $\mathfrak{H}_y$ . Put  $\mathfrak{W}_\lambda = \{w \in \mathfrak{W} \mid \lambda(w_0 h w_0^{-1}) = \lambda(w_0 w h w^{-1} w_0^{-1}) \text{ for all } h \in \mathfrak{H}_y\}$ . We define the  $K$ -valued function  $a_\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{Y}$  by

$$(4.10) \quad a_\lambda(y) = \lambda(h^{-1})$$

if  $y \in \mathfrak{Y}$  is in  $\mathfrak{B}_y w_0 w \mathfrak{B}_y$  and is written  $y = u h w_0 w u_1$  with  $u, u_1 \in \mathfrak{U}_y$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{H}_y$ ,  $w \in \mathfrak{W}_\lambda$ , and

$$(4.11) \quad a_\lambda(y) = 0$$

otherwise. For  $z \in \mathfrak{Y}$ , we also define the function  $z a_\lambda$  on  $\mathfrak{Y}$  by

$$(z a_\lambda)(y) = a_\lambda(z^{-1} y).$$

Let  $V_\lambda$  be the  $K$ -linear space spanned by  $\{z a_\lambda \mid z \in \mathfrak{Y}\}$ , considered as a  $\mathfrak{Y}$ -module. Then, by [14; pp. 213-217], the function  $a_\lambda$  is rational on  $\mathfrak{Y}$ , and the  $\mathfrak{Y}$ -module  $V_\lambda$  affords an irreducible rational representation with the highest weight  $\lambda$ . To prove (2), it is sufficient to show that the action of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  on  $V_\lambda$  can be extended to a rational action of  $\mathfrak{X}$  on  $V_\lambda$ . Using explicit descriptions of  $\mathfrak{H}_x$ ,  $\mathfrak{H}_y$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mathfrak{W}$ , we can see that there exists a rational character  $\lambda'$  of  $\mathfrak{H}_x$  which satisfies

$$\lambda' \mid \mathfrak{H}_y = \lambda$$

and

$$(4.12) \quad \mathfrak{W}_\lambda = \{w \in \mathfrak{W} \mid \lambda'(w_0 h' w_0^{-1}) = \lambda'(w_0 w h' w^{-1} w_0^{-1}) \text{ for all } h' \in \mathfrak{H}_x\}.$$

We choose one such  $\lambda'$  and fix it. Since any  $f \in V_\lambda$  satisfies

$$f(yh) = f(y) \lambda(w_0 h^{-1} w_0^{-1}) \quad (y \in \mathfrak{Y}, h \in \mathfrak{H}_y),$$

and since  $\mathfrak{X}$  can be written as a semi-direct product of  $\mathfrak{Y}$  with a torus  $\mathfrak{I} \subset \mathfrak{H}_x$ , any  $f \in V_\lambda$  can be uniquely extended to a rational function on  $\mathfrak{X}$  satisfying

$$(4.13) \quad f(xh') = f(x) \lambda'(w_0 h'^{-1} w_0^{-1}) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{X}, h' \in \mathfrak{H}_x).$$

For  $v \in X$  and  $f \in V_\lambda$ , define the function  $vf$  on  $\mathfrak{X}$  by

$$(vf)(x) = f(v^{-1}x) \quad (x \in \mathfrak{X}).$$

Our purpose is to show that  $vf \in V_\lambda$ . It is sufficient to prove this in the case

$v=t \in \mathfrak{X}$  and  $f=a_\lambda$ . If  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  is written  $x=uhw_0wu_1t_1$  with  $u, u_1 \in \mathfrak{U}_\mathfrak{q}$ ,  $h \in \mathfrak{H}_\mathfrak{q}$ ,  $w \in \mathfrak{W}_\lambda$ ,  $t_1 \in \mathfrak{X}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (ta_\lambda)(x) &= a_\lambda(t^{-1}x) = a_\lambda(t^{-1}xw^{-1}w_0^{-1}tw_0w)\lambda'(w_0w^{-1}w_0^{-1}tw_0ww_0^{-1}) \\ &= a_\lambda(x)\lambda'(t) \end{aligned}$$

by (4.10), (4.12) and (4.13). If  $x \in \mathfrak{X}$  is not in  $\mathfrak{B}_xw_0\mathfrak{W}_\lambda\mathfrak{B}_x$ ,

$$(ta_\lambda)(x) = a_\lambda(t^{-1}x) = 0$$

by (4.11) and the fact that  $t^{-1}x \notin B_Xw_0WB_X$ . Hence we have

$$ta_\lambda = \lambda'(t)a_\lambda \in V_\lambda,$$

as required. This completes the proof of Lemma 4.6.

LEMMA 4.7. Let  $\mathfrak{X}$ ,  $m$  and  $X$  be as in Lemma 4.6. Let  $\tilde{\chi}$  be an irreducible character of the semi-direct product  $AX$ . Define the class function  $\phi$  on  $X_\sigma$  by

$$\tilde{\chi}(\sigma x) = \phi(n(x)) \quad (x \in X, n(x) \in \mathfrak{C}_X(N(x)) \cap X_\sigma).$$

(This is possible by Lemma 2.2 (c) and Corollary 2.10 (b).) Let  $X_\sigma^0$  be the set of semisimple elements of  $X_\sigma$ . Then there exists a  $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -linear combination  $\phi'$  of irreducible characters of  $X_\sigma$  such that

$$\phi|X_\sigma^0 = \phi'|X_\sigma^0.$$

PROOF. Let  $\{\tilde{\beta}_1, \tilde{\beta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\beta}_l\}$  be the set of irreducible Brauer characters of  $AX$ . Then

$$(4.14) \quad \tilde{\chi}(\sigma x) = \sum_{i=1}^l d_i \tilde{\beta}_i(\sigma x)$$

for all  $x$  in  $\{x \in X | \sigma x \text{ is } p\text{-regular}\} = \{x \in X | N(x) \text{ is semisimple}\}$ , where  $d_i$  are non-negative integers called decomposition numbers (see, for example, [3; § 83]). From Lemma 4.5 we have

$$(4.15) \quad \tilde{\beta}_i(\sigma x) = \zeta_m^{a_i} \beta_i(n(x)) \quad \text{or } 0$$

for all  $x \in X$  such that  $N(x)$  is semisimple, where  $a_i$  are integers and  $\beta_i$  are irreducible Brauer characters of  $X_\sigma$ . By a theorem [7; Theorem 1] of Green, we can write  $\beta_i$  as

$$(4.16) \quad \beta_i = \sum_j c_{ij} \chi_j|X_\sigma^0,$$

where  $c_{ij}$  are integers and  $\chi_j$  are irreducible complex characters of  $X_\sigma$ . Combining (4.14), (4.15) with (4.16), we obtain the required result.

PROOF OF THEOREM 4.1.

(a) This is a special case of Lemma 1.2.

(b) By Lemma 2.2 (c) and Corollary 2.9 (b), we can define the class function  $\psi$  on  $G_\sigma$  by

$$\tilde{\chi}(\sigma x) = \psi(n(x)) \quad (x \in G),$$

where  $n(x)$  is an arbitrary element of  $\mathfrak{G}_\sigma(N(x)) \cap G_\sigma$ . From Lemma 1.4, Lemma 2.2 (b) and Corollary 2.9 (b) we have

$$|G_\sigma|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G_\sigma} |\psi(g)|^2 = 1.$$

Hence, by Lemma 1.5, for a proof of (b) it suffices to show that: (\*) the restriction  $\psi|_E$  of  $\psi$  to an arbitrary elementary subgroup  $E$  of  $G_\sigma$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -linear combination of irreducible characters of  $E$ .

Recall that an elementary subgroup  $E$  can be written as a direct product  $H \times \langle g \rangle$ , where  $\langle g \rangle$  is a cyclic group generated by  $g \in G_\sigma$ , and  $H$  is an  $r$ -subgroup of  $Z_{G_\sigma}(g)$  for some prime number  $r$  which does not divide the order of  $g$ . We consider the following three cases separately.

- (1)  $g$  is semisimple and  $r = p$ .
- (2)  $g$  is semisimple and  $r \neq p$ .
- (3)  $g$  is not semisimple.

First, we prove (\*) for the case (1). Let  $\mathfrak{S}$  be the center of  $Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(g)$ . By Lemma 4.4 (b),  $\mathfrak{S}$  is a connected abelian algebraic subgroup of  $\mathfrak{G}$ . Since  $g = g^\sigma$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}$  is  $\sigma$ -stable. Put  $S = \mathfrak{S}_{\sigma^m}$ . Then  $S_\sigma \times H$  contains  $E$ . Consider the subgroup  $Q = S \times H$  of  $G$ . Since  $AQ = AS \times H$ , we can write

$$(4.17) \quad \tilde{\chi}|_{AQ} = \sum_i e_i(\theta_i \times \omega_i),$$

where  $\theta_i$  and  $\omega_i$  are irreducible characters of  $AS$  and  $H$  respectively, and  $e_i$  are positive integers. From Lemma 2.5 and the assumption that  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$ , we see that the functions  $\theta'_i$  on  $S_\sigma$  and the functions  $\omega'_i$  on  $H$  defined by

$$\theta'_i(N(s)) = \theta_i(\sigma s) \quad (s \in S)$$

and

$$\omega'_i(N(h)) = \omega_i(h^m) = \omega_i(h) \quad (h \in H)$$

are  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -linear combinations of irreducible characters of  $S_\sigma$  and  $H$  respectively. This fact combined with (4.17) implies (\*) for the present case. Next, let us consider the case (2). In this case every element of  $E$  is semisimple. Hence (\*) follows from Lemma 4.7. There remains to prove (\*) for the case (3). Let  $s$  and  $u$  be semisimple and unipotent elements of  $G_\sigma$  such that  $g = su = us$ . Since  $u \neq 1$ , the order of  $g$  is divisible by  $p$ . Hence  $r \neq p$ . This means that every element of  $H$  is semisimple.

Put  $\mathfrak{L} = Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(s)$  and  $\mathfrak{M} = Z_{\mathfrak{G}}(u)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{M}$  is  $\sigma$ -stable and contains  $E$ . From

Lemma 4.4, we have a semi-direct product decomposition

$$\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{X} \cdot \mathfrak{U},$$

where  $\mathfrak{U}$  is the unipotent radical of  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{X}$  is a  $\sigma$ -fixed algebraic subgroup of type  $\alpha$  for some partition  $\alpha$  of  $n$ . Put  $X = \mathfrak{X}_{\sigma^m}$  and  $D = X \times \langle u \rangle$ . The order of  $\mathfrak{U}_\sigma$  is a power of  $p$ . Hence, by Sylow's theorem, we may assume that  $X_\sigma$  contains  $H \times \langle s \rangle$ . Then  $D_\sigma$  contains  $E$ . Since  $AD = AX \times \langle u \rangle$ , we can write

$$(4.18) \quad \tilde{\chi}|_{AD} = \sum_i f_i (\mu_i \times \nu_i),$$

where  $f_i$  are positive integers, and  $\mu_i$  and  $\nu_i$  are irreducible characters of  $AX$  and  $\langle u \rangle$  respectively. From Lemma 4.7 and the assumption that  $m$  is not divisible by  $p$ , we see that the functions  $\mu'_i$  on  $H \times \langle s \rangle$  and the functions  $\nu'_i$  on  $\langle u \rangle$  defined by

$$\mu'_i(n(x)) = \mu_i(\sigma x) \quad (x \in X, n(x) \in \mathfrak{C}_X(N(x)) \cap (H \times \langle s \rangle))$$

and

$$\nu'_i(N(v)) (= \nu'_i(v^m)) = \nu_i(v) \quad (v \in \langle u \rangle)$$

are  $\mathbb{Z}[\zeta_m]$ -linear combinations of irreducible characters of  $H \times \langle s \rangle$  and  $\langle u \rangle$  respectively. This fact combined with (4.18) implies (\*) for the case (3).

(c) The defining domain of the correspondence is the set of  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible characters of  $G$  by Lemma 1.1. Let  $\chi_1$  and  $\chi_2$  be two distinct  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible characters of  $G$ . Then we have

$$|G_\sigma|^{-1} \sum_{g \in G} \phi_{\chi_1}(g) \overline{\phi_{\chi_2}(g)} = 0$$

from Lemma 1.4, Lemma 2.2 (b) and Corollary 2.9 (b). This proves the injectivity of the correspondence. By Corollary 2.3, the number of  $\sigma$ -fixed irreducible characters of  $G$  is equal to the number of irreducible characters of  $G_\sigma$ . Hence the correspondence must be bijective. The proof of Theorem 4.1 is now complete.

### § 5. Parametrizations.

For a positive integer  $l$ , we denote by  $G_l$  the general linear group  $GL_l(k_2)$  over the quadratic extension  $k_2$  of a finite field  $k$ . Let  $\sigma$  be the automorphism of  $G_l$  defined by (4.2). Put  $F = k_{2nl}$ . We consider that  $\sigma$  also acts on  $F^* = GL_1(k_{2nl})$  and  $\hat{F}^* = \text{Hom}(F^*, \mathbb{C}^*)$  by

$$t^\sigma = t^{-q}, \quad u^\sigma(t) = u(t^{-q}) \quad (t \in F^*, u \in \hat{F}^*, q = |k|).$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_i$  and  $\mathcal{Q}_i$  respectively, the set of  $\sigma^i$ -orbits in  $F^*$  and  $\hat{F}^*$  ( $i=1, 2$ ).

For an element  $f$  of  $\mathcal{F}_i$  (or  $\mathcal{G}_i$ ),  $d(f)$  denotes the cardinality of the orbit  $f$ . Let  $\mathcal{P}$  be the set of partitions, i. e. integer sequences  $\nu=(\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_r)$  satisfying  $\nu_1 \geq \nu_2 \geq \dots \geq \nu_r > 0$ . We write  $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \nu_2 + \dots + \nu_r$ . For convention, we suppose that  $\mathcal{P}$  contains the empty partition  $\emptyset$ , and that  $|\emptyset| = 0$ . For a positive integer  $l \leq n$ , let  $A_i^{(l)}$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) be the set of  $\mathcal{P}$ -valued functions  $f \rightarrow \lambda(f)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_i$ , which respectively satisfy

$$(5.1) \quad \sum_{f \in \mathcal{F}_i} |\lambda(f)| d(f) = l,$$

and let  $\Theta_i^{(l)}$  ( $i=1, 2$ ) be the set of  $\mathcal{P}$ -valued functions  $g \rightarrow \theta(g)$  on  $\mathcal{G}_i$ , which respectively satisfy

$$(5.2) \quad \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}_i} |\theta(g)| d(g) = l.$$

The following two lemmas are easy to verify.

LEMMA 5.1. (a) Let  $f = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\}$  ( $f_i \in F^*$ ) be an element of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ . Then  $f^\sigma = \{f_1^\sigma, f_2^\sigma, \dots, f_d^\sigma\}$  is also an element of  $\mathcal{F}_2$ , and the union  $f \cup f^\sigma$  is an element of  $\mathcal{F}_1$ .

(b) Let  $\lambda$  be an element of  $A_1^{(l)}$ . Define a  $\mathcal{P}$ -valued function  $\lambda'$  on  $\mathcal{F}_2$  by

$$(5.3) \quad \lambda'(f) = \lambda(f \cup f^\sigma) \quad (f \in \mathcal{F}_2).$$

Then  $\lambda'$  is an element of  $A_2^{(l)}$ .

(c) The mapping  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda'$  is a bijection between  $A_1^{(l)}$  and

$$A_{2,\sigma}^{(l)} = \{ \lambda \in A_2^{(l)} \mid \lambda(f) = \lambda(f^\sigma) \text{ for all } f \in \mathcal{F}_2 \}.$$

LEMMA 5.2. (a) Let  $g = \{g_1, g_2, \dots, g_d\}$  ( $g_i \in \hat{F}^*$ ) be an element of  $\mathcal{G}_2$ . Then  $g^\sigma = \{g_1^\sigma, g_2^\sigma, \dots, g_d^\sigma\}$  is also an element of  $\mathcal{G}_2$ , and the union  $g \cup g^\sigma$  is an element of  $\mathcal{G}_1$ .

(b) Let  $\theta$  be an element of  $\Theta_1^{(l)}$ . Define a  $\mathcal{P}$ -valued function  $\theta'$  on  $\mathcal{G}_2$  by

$$(5.4) \quad \theta'(g) = \theta(g \cup g^\sigma) \quad (g \in \mathcal{G}_2).$$

Then  $\theta'$  is an element of  $\Theta_2^{(l)}$ .

(c) The mapping  $\theta \rightarrow \theta'$  is a bijection between  $\Theta_1^{(l)}$  and

$$\Theta_{2,\sigma}^{(l)} = \{ \theta \in \Theta_2^{(l)} \mid \theta(g) = \theta(g^\sigma) \text{ for all } g \in \mathcal{G}_2 \}.$$

The theory of Jordan normal forms gives a bijection  $\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{C}[\lambda]$  between  $A_2^{(l)}$  and the set of conjugacy classes of  $G_l$ . In particular, for each  $f = \{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d\} \in \mathcal{F}_2$ ,  $|\lambda(f)|$  is the multiplicity of  $f_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) as characteristic roots of  $x \in \mathcal{C}[\lambda]$ . See [7; § 1] or [11; § 2] for more details. On the other hand, a theory ([7], [11]) of J. A. Green gives a bijection  $\theta \rightarrow \chi[\theta]$  between  $\Theta_2^{(l)}$  and the set of irreducible complex characters of  $G_l$ . Here, we describe an outline of Green's theory, because we need them later. Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\hat{k}_{2l}^*$  ( $l \leq n$ ).

We define the (not necessarily irreducible) character  $\chi_l[\alpha]$  of  $G_l$ , whose value at  $x \in \mathfrak{C}[\lambda]$  ( $\lambda \in A_2^{(l)}$ ) is given by

$$(5.5) \quad \chi_l[\alpha](x) = 0$$

if  $|\lambda(f)| \neq 0$  for at least two elements  $f$  of  $\mathfrak{F}_2$ , and

$$(5.6) \quad \chi_l[\alpha](x) = p_{\lambda(f)}(q^2) \sum_{i=0}^{d(f)-1} \alpha(t^{q^{2i}})$$

if there exists only one  $f \in \mathfrak{F}_2$  such that  $|\lambda(f)| \neq 0$ , where  $p_\nu$  is a polynomial depending on a partition  $\nu$ ,  $q = |k|$  and  $t$  is an element in the  $\sigma^2$ -orbit  $f$  in  $F^*$ . (Note that  $t$  is an element of  $k_{2l}^*$  because of the condition (5.1).) For an element  $\alpha$  of  $k_{2l}^*$  and a partition  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_r)$  such that  $l|\nu| \leq n$ , we can define the character  $\chi_{l|\nu}[\nu; \alpha]$  of  $G_{l|\nu}$  which can be written as

$$(5.7) \quad \chi_{l|\nu}[\nu; \alpha] = \sum_{\mu} c_{\mu\nu} \prod_{i=1}^r \chi_{l\nu_i}[\alpha \circ N_{k_{2l\nu_i}/k_{2l}}],$$

where the sum is over the set of partitions  $\mu$  such that  $|\mu| = |\nu|$ ,  $c_{\mu\nu}$  are rational numbers independent of  $\alpha$ ,  $N_{k_{2l\nu_i}/k_{2l}}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ ) are usual norm mappings from  $k_{2l\nu_i}$  to  $k_{2l}$ , and  $\Pi$  is a  $\circ$ -product ([7]; see the proof of Lemma 5.3 below). We can now describe the irreducible character  $\chi[\theta]$  of  $G_n$  corresponding to  $\theta \in \Theta_2^{(n)}$ . Let  $g$  be an element of  $\mathcal{G}_2$ , and  $u$  an element of  $\hat{F}^*$  contained in  $g$ . Since  $u = u^{q^{2d}}$  ( $d = d(g)$ ), there exists a unique element  $\alpha_u$  of  $\hat{k}_{2d}^*$  such that  $u = \alpha_u \circ N_{F/k_{2d}}$ . For a partition  $\nu$ , the character  $\chi_{d|\nu}[\nu; \alpha_u]$  does not depend on the choice of  $u$  in  $g$ . Hence we can define the character  $\chi[\theta]$  of  $G_n$  by

$$(5.8) \quad \chi[\theta] = \prod_{g \in \mathcal{G}_2} \chi_{d(g)|\theta(g)}[\theta(g); \alpha_{u(g)}],$$

where  $u(g)$  is an element of  $F^*$  contained in  $g \in \mathcal{G}_2$ , and  $\Pi$  is a  $\circ$ -product. In [7], it is shown that  $\chi[\theta]$  ( $\theta \in \Theta_2^{(n)}$ ) are irreducible and distinct, and any irreducible characters of  $G_n$  can be obtained in this way.

LEMMA 5.3. *Let  $\nu = (\nu_1, \nu_2, \dots, \nu_r)$  be a partition such that  $|\nu| = l$ . Let  $\phi_i$  be a complex valued class function on  $G_{\nu_i}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ ). Then*

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i \right)(x^\sigma) = \left( \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i^\sigma \right)(x) \quad (x \in G_l),$$

where  $\Pi$  is a  $\circ$ -product and  $\phi_i^\sigma$  is the class function on  $G_{\nu_i}$  defined by

$$\phi_i^\sigma(y) = \phi_i(y^\sigma) \quad (y \in G_{\nu_i}).$$

PROOF. Let  $P_\nu$  be the standard parabolic subgroup of  $G_l$  corresponding to  $\nu$ , i. e. the group of matrices

$$b = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11}B_{12} \cdots B_{1r} \\ 0 \quad B_{22} \cdots B_{2r} \\ 0 \quad 0 \\ \cdots \quad \vdots \\ 0 \quad 0 \cdots 0 \quad B_{rr} \end{pmatrix} \in G_l$$

for which  $B_{ii} \in G_{\nu_i}$  ( $i=1, 2, \dots, r$ ). Let  $\phi$  be the class function on  $P_\nu$  defined by

$$(5.9) \quad \phi(b) = \prod_{i=1}^r \{\phi_i(B_{ii})\} \quad (b = (B_{ij}) \in P_\nu).$$

Then, by the definition of  $\circ$ -product,

$$(5.10) \quad \prod_{i=1}^r \phi_i = \text{ind} [\phi | P_\nu \longrightarrow G_l]$$

where the right hand side is the class function on  $G_l$  induced from  $\phi$ :

$$(5.11) \quad \text{ind} [\phi | P_\nu \longrightarrow G_l](x) = |P_\nu|^{-1} |Z_{G_l}(x)| \sum_{y \in \mathfrak{G}_{G_l}} (x) \cap P_\nu \phi(y).$$

From (5.11) we have

$$(5.12) \quad \text{ind} [\phi | P_\nu \longrightarrow G_l](x^\sigma) = \text{ind} [\phi^\sigma | P_\nu \longrightarrow G_l](x),$$

where  $\phi^\sigma$  is defined by

$$\phi^\sigma(y) = \phi(y^\sigma) \quad (y \in P_\nu).$$

By (5.9), (5.10), (5.12) and the commutativity ([7; Lemma 2.5]) of  $\circ$ -product, we obtain the required result.

LEMMA 5.4. *Let  $\alpha$  be an element of  $\hat{k}_{2l}^*$ , and  $\nu$  a partition.*

- (a)  $\chi_l[\alpha](x^\sigma) = \chi_l[\alpha^{-q}](x) \quad (x \in G_l).$
- (b)  $\chi_{l|\nu}[\nu; \alpha](x^\sigma) = \chi_{l|\nu}[\nu; \alpha^{-q}](x) \quad (x \in G_{l|\nu}).$

PROOF. (a) Let  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_l$  be the characteristic roots of  $x \in G_l$ . Then, clearly,  $t_1^\sigma, t_2^\sigma, \dots, t_l^\sigma$  are the characteristic roots of  $x^\sigma$ . Part (a) follows from this fact and the formulas (5.5) and (5.6).

(b) This follows from (5.7), Lemma 5.3 and part (a).

For each  $\lambda \in A_2^{(n)}$ , define the element  $\lambda^\sigma$  of  $A_2^{(n)}$  by

$$\lambda^\sigma(f) = \lambda(f^\sigma) \quad (f \in \mathfrak{F}_2).$$

Similarly we also define the element  $\theta^\sigma$  of  $\Theta_2^{(n)}$  for each  $\theta \in \Theta_2^{(n)}$ .

LEMMA 5.5. (a) *For each  $\lambda \in A_2^{(n)}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{G}[\lambda]^\sigma = \mathfrak{G}[\lambda^\sigma]$ .*

(b) *For each  $\theta \in \Theta_2^{(n)}$ , we have  $\chi[\theta](x^\sigma) = \chi[\theta^\sigma](x) \quad (x \in G_n)$ .*

PROOF. (a) This can be easily verified.

(b) This follows from (5.8), Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4 (b).

COROLLARY 5.6. (a) A conjugacy class  $\mathfrak{C}[\lambda]$  ( $\lambda \in A_2^{(n)}$ ) of  $G_n$  is fixed by  $\sigma$  if and only if  $\lambda$  is contained in  $A_{2,\sigma}^{(n)}$  (see Lemma 5.1 (c)).

(b) An irreducible character  $\chi[\theta]$  ( $\theta \in \Theta_2^{(n)}$ ) of  $G_n$  is fixed by  $\sigma$  if and only if  $\theta$  is contained in  $\Theta_{2,\sigma}^{(n)}$  (see Lemma 5.2 (c)).

Let  $\lambda$  be an element of  $A_1^{(n)}$ , and  $\lambda'$  an element of  $A_{2,\sigma}^{(n)}$  defined by (5.3). By Corollary 5.6 (a), the conjugacy class  $\mathfrak{C}[\lambda']$  of  $G_n$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ . Hence, by Corollary 2.8,  $\mathfrak{D}[\lambda] = \mathfrak{C}[\lambda'] \cap U_n(k_2)$  is a conjugacy class of  $U_n(k_2)$ . It is easy to see that every conjugacy class of  $U_n(k_2)$  can be obtained in this way. Next, let  $\theta$  be an element of  $\Theta_1^{(n)}$ , and  $\theta'$  an element of  $\Theta_{2,\sigma}^{(n)}$  defined by (5.4). By Corollary 5.6 (b), the irreducible character  $\chi[\theta']$  of  $G_n$  is fixed by  $\sigma$ . Hence using Theorem 4.1 with  $m=2$ , one can define an irreducible character  $\phi[\theta] = \phi_{\chi[\theta']}$  of  $U_n(k_2)$ , if  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Thus we have proved the following

THEOREM 5.7. Let the notations be as above.

(a) The correspondence  $\lambda \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}[\lambda]$  is a bijection between  $\Theta_1^{(n)}$  and the set of conjugacy classes of  $U_n(k_2)$ .

(b) The correspondence  $\theta \rightarrow \phi[\theta]$  is a bijection between  $\Theta_1^{(n)}$  and the set of irreducible characters of  $U_n(k_2)$  ( $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ ).

REMARK 5.8. (a) The above parametrization of the conjugacy classes of  $U_n(k_2)$  is essentially the same as the one given in Ennola [4].

(b) Ennola constructed a set of class functions  $\psi'[\theta]$  ( $\theta \in \Theta_1^{(n)}$ ), and conjectured that these are the irreducible characters of  $U_n(k_2)$ . It is very probable that our irreducible character  $\phi[\theta]$  coincides with Ennola's class function  $\psi'[\theta]$  for each  $\theta \in \Theta_1^{(n)}$ .

## References

- [1] C. Chevalley, Classification des Groupes de Lie Algébriques, Inst. H. Poincaré, Paris, 1958.
- [2] A. H. Clifford, Representations induced in an invariant subgroup, Ann. of Math., **38** (1937), 533-550.
- [3] C. W. Curtis and I. Reiner, Representation Theory of Finite Groups and Associative Algebras, Interscience, New York, 1962.
- [4] V. Ennola, On the characters of the finite unitary groups, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn., **323** (1963), 1-35.
- [5] W. Feit, Characters of Finite Groups, Benjamin, New York, 1967.
- [6] G. Glauberman, Correspondences of characters for relatively prime operator groups, Canad. J. Math., **20** (1968), 1465-1488.
- [7] J. A. Green, The characters of the finite general linear groups, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **80** (1955), 402-447.
- [8] S. Nozawa, On the characters of the finite general unitary group  $U(4, q^2)$ , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **19** (1972), 257-293.
- [9] S. Nozawa, Characters of the finite general unitary group  $U(5, q^2)$ , J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, **23** (1976), 23-74.
- [10] T. Shintani, Two remarks on irreducible characters of finite general linear

- groups, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **28** (1976), 396-414.
- [11] T. A. Springer, Characters of special groups, *Sem. on Algebraic Groups and Related Finite Groups* (Lecture Notes in Math. Vol. 131, Springer, Berlin, 1970), 97-120.
- [12] T. A. Springer and R. Steinberg, Conjugacy classes, *ibid.*, 121-166.
- [13] R. Steinberg, Representations of algebraic groups, *Nagoya Math. J.*, **22** (1963), 33-56.
- [14] R. Steinberg, *Lectures on Chevalley Groups*, Yale University, 1967.
- [15] R. Steinberg, Endomorphisms of Linear Algebraic Groups, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **80**, 1968.
- [16] N. Kawanaka, On the irreducible characters of the finite unitary groups, *Proc. Japan Acad.*, **52** (1976), 95-97.

Noriaki KAWANAKA  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Osaka University  
Toyonaka, Osaka  
Japan

---