

## Embeddings of infinite-dimensional manifold pairs and remarks on stability and deficiency

By Katsuro SAKAI

(Received March 23, 1976)

**Abstract.** In this paper, we treat of an  $E$ -manifold pair  $(M, N)$  with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  where  $E$  is an infinite-dimensional locally convex linear metric space which is homeomorphic to  $E^\omega$  or  $E_f^\omega$ . And we study the condition under which  $M$  can be embedded in  $E$  such that  $N$  is the topological boundary under the embedding (Anderson's Problem in [2]). Moreover we extend the results on topological stability and deficiency, the Homeomorphism Extension Theorem and the results in [18].

### § 0. Introduction.

For each space  $X$ , we denote by  $X^\omega$  the countable infinite product of  $X$  by itself. And for each space  $X$  with a base point  $0$ ,  $X_f^\omega = \{(x_i) \in X^\omega \mid x_i = 0 \text{ for almost all } i\}$ . A closed subset  $K$  of a space  $X$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$  if for each non-empty homotopically trivial open set  $U$ ,  $U \setminus K$  is also non-empty and homotopically trivial ([1]). An  $E$ -manifold is a paracompact manifold modelled on a space  $E$ . As a modelled space, let  $E$  be a locally convex linear metric space (LCLMS) homeomorphic ( $\cong$ ) to  $E^\omega$  or  $E_f^\omega$ . In an  $E$ -manifold pair  $(M, N)$ ,  $N$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  if and only if  $N$  is a collared closed set in  $M$  (collared in the sense of M. Brown [7] (see 4-4 in this paper)). Then  $(M, N)$  may be considered as a manifold-with-boundary,  $N$  being the boundary. Thus the study of  $E$ -manifolds-with-boundary becomes the study of such  $E$ -manifold pairs. However circumstances of infinite-dimensional manifolds are different from finite-dimensional case (e.g., see Examples 1 and 2 of Sect. 7 in this paper).

In this paper, we study the problem for such an  $E$ -manifold pair  $(M, N)$ : *Under what condition can  $M$  be embedded in  $E$  such that  $N$  is a topological boundary under the embedding?* This problem for separable  $l^2$ -manifold pairs was raised by R. D. Anderson in [2]. In the previous paper [20], we found a sufficient condition of this problem:  *$N$  contains some deformation retract of  $M$ .* And we saw that even if  $N$  is homeomorphic to  $E$ ,  $M$  cannot always be embedded such a way. But we have an easy example of  $E$ -manifold pairs which do not satisfy the above condition but which can be embedded such a way.

By the result of Toruńczyk (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2 in [25]), every complete metrizable  $AR$  ( $ANR$ )  $X$  admitting a closed embedding into  $E$  is an  $E$ -factor (an  $E$ -manifold factor), i.e.,  $X \times E \cong E$  ( $X \times E$  is an  $E$ -manifold). Let  $D$  is a 2-dimensional closed disk with two holes in  $\mathbf{R}^2$ . Then

$$(M, N) = (D \times E, (\text{bd}_{\mathbf{R}^2} D) \times E)$$

is an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  which does not satisfy the above condition. But  $M$  can be embedded in  $E$  such that  $N$  is the topological boundary of  $M$  in  $E$ .

In Sect. 6, we shall find a little more mild sufficient condition including this example. Furthermore in Sect. 5, we shall obtain a necessary and sufficient condition under which  $M$  can be embedded in  $E$  such that  $N$  is the topological boundary of  $M$  and such that the closure (or each component of the closure) of the complement of  $M$  is contractible.

In Sect. 2, we generalize the results on topological stability (Geoghegan-Henderson [12] Theorem 1 and Schori [21] Theorem 2.2) and the results on  $E$ -deficient sets in  $E$ -manifolds (Chapman [9] Theorem 3.1 and Cutler [10] Lemma 3), and in Sect. 3, the Homeomorphism Extension Theorem (HET) which was established by Anderson-McCharen [4] and was extended by Chapman [9]. In Sect. 4, we generalize the results of [18].

The author wishes to thank Professor Y. Kodama for encouraging me to write this paper and for his helpful comments.

### § 1. Notations.

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be collections of subsets of a set  $X$ . We write

$$\text{st}(\alpha; \beta) = \{\text{st}(A; \beta) \mid A \in \alpha\}$$

where  $\text{st}(A; \beta) = \cup \{B \in \beta \mid A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$ , and then  $\text{st}(\alpha) = \text{st}^1(\alpha) = \text{st}(\alpha; \alpha)$  and inductively  $\text{st}^n(\alpha) = \text{st}(\text{st}^{n-1}(\alpha); \alpha)$ . We say that  $\alpha$  *refines*  $\beta$  (or  $\alpha$  is a *refinement* of  $\beta$ ) provided that for each  $A \in \alpha$  there is some  $B \in \beta$  containing  $A$ . Let  $\gamma$  be another collection of subsets of  $X$ . It is easy to see that  $\text{st}(\text{st}(\alpha; \beta); \gamma)$  refines both  $\text{st}(\alpha; \text{st}(\beta; \gamma))$  and  $\text{st}(\alpha; \text{st}(\gamma; \beta))$ . Maps  $f, g: Y \rightarrow X$  are said to be  $\alpha$ -near (or  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -near to  $g$ ) provided that for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $f(y) = g(y)$  or  $f(y)$  and  $g(y)$  are both contained in some  $A \in \alpha$ . We write

$$\alpha \times Y = \{A \times Y \mid A \in \alpha\}.$$

Let  $X, Y$  be topological space and let  $X' \subset X, Y' \subset Y$ . Continuous map  $f, g: (X, X') \rightarrow (Y, Y')$  are said to be *homotopic* (or  $f$  is *homotopic* ( $\sim$ ) to  $g$ ) if there is a homotopy  $h: (X \times I, X' \times I) \rightarrow (Y, Y')$  ( $I = [0, 1]$ ) such that  $h_0 = f$  and  $h_1 = g$  where  $h_t: (X, X') \rightarrow (Y, Y')$  ( $t \in I$ ) is defined by  $h_t(x) = h(x, t)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Let  $\alpha$  be an open cover of  $Y$ . A homotopy (an isotopy)  $h: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  is

said to be an  $\alpha$ -homotopy ( $\alpha$ -isotopy) provided that for each  $x \in X$  there is some  $U \in \alpha$  containing  $h(\{x\} \times I)$ . Continuous maps  $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$  are said to be  $\alpha$ -homotopic (or  $f$  is  $\alpha$ -homotopic to  $g$ ) if there is an  $\alpha$ -homotopy  $h: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  such that  $h_0 = f$  and  $h_1 = g$ . An isotopy  $h: X \times I \rightarrow Y$  is said to be ambient if  $h_t(X) = Y$  for each  $t \in I$ , and said to be invertible if  $\bar{h}: X \times I \rightarrow Y \times I$  defined by  $\bar{h}(x, t) = (h(x, t), t)$  for each  $(x, t) \in X \times I$  is an embedding.

“AR” and “ANR” mean “absolute retract for metric spaces” and “absolute neighbourhood retract for metric spaces” respectively. As concerns AR and ANR, refer to the books of K. Borsuk [6] and S.-T. Hu [15].

§ 2. Remarks on  $E$ -stability and  $E$ -deficiency.

In this section, we generalize the Geoghegan-Henderson’s result on strong  $E$ -stability (Theorem 1 in [12] and the Schori’s Stability Theorem for open sets in LTS (Theorem 2.2 in [21]) and we give an alternative proof of Theorem 4.1 in [9] and its extension. T. A. Chapman showed the equivalence of  $E$ -deficiency and  $l^2$ -deficiency for an LMS  $E \cong E^\omega$  in [9]. We show the equivalence of  $E$ -deficiency and  $l^2$ -deficiency for an LMS  $E \cong E^\varphi$  and, as its corollary, we get the extension of Cutler’s result on negligibility of  $E$ -deficient sets (Lemma 3 in [10]).

Let  $E$  be an LTS. A space  $X$  is said to be  $E$ -stable if  $X \times E \cong X$ . A subset  $K$  of an  $E$ -stable space  $X$  is said to be  $E$ -deficient in  $X$  if there is a homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X \times E$  such that  $h(K) \subset X \times \{0\}$ . An embedding  $f: Y \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $E$ -deficient if  $f(Y)$  is  $E$ -deficient in  $X$ .

Although the following lemma is proved by the same way as Theorem 2.2 in [21], we give the proof to make sure, because some detailed remarks are required and this is important.

2-1. LEMMA. Let  $E$  be an LTS,  $F = E^\omega$  or  $= E^\varphi$  and  $X$  a space such that each finite product  $X \times E^n$  is perfectly normal. If  $\alpha$  is an open collection in  $X \times F$  and  $W = \cup \alpha$ , then there exists an  $I$ -preserving continuous map  $\sigma^\alpha: X \times F \times E \times I \rightarrow X \times F \times I$  such that

- i)  $\sigma^\alpha(x, 0, 0, t) = (x, 0, t)$  for each  $(x, 0, 0, t) \in X \times F \times E \times I$ ,
- ii)  $\sigma^\alpha: X \times F \times E \rightarrow X \times F$  is the projection,
- iii)  $\sigma^\alpha|_{(X \times F \setminus W) \times E}: (X \times F \setminus W) \times E \rightarrow X \times F \setminus W$  is the projection for each  $t \in I$ ,
- iv)  $\sigma^\alpha|_{W \times E \times (0, 1]}: W \times E \times (0, 1] \rightarrow W \times (0, 1]$  is a homeomorphism,
- v)  $\sigma^\alpha|_{W \times \{0\} \times I}: W \times \{0\} \times I \rightarrow W \times I$  is a closed embedding, and
- vi) for each  $x \in W$ , there is some  $U \in \alpha$  such that  $\sigma^\alpha(\{x\} \times E \times I) \subset U \times I$ .

PROOF. We denote  $x = (x_0; x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X \times F$ . For each positive integer  $n$ , let  $p_n: X \times F \rightarrow X \times E^n$  be the natural projection, i.e.,  $p_n(x) = (x_0; x_1, \dots, x_n)$  for  $x \in X \times F$ . Define an  $I$ -preserving continuous map  $\theta: (X \times F) \times E \times I \rightarrow (X \times F) \times I$

by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x, y, t) = & (x_0; x_1, \dots, x_n, y \cos(1-2^n t)\pi + x_{n+1} \sin(1-2^n t)\pi, \\ & y \sin(1-2^n t)\pi - x_{n+1} \cos(1-2^n t)\pi, -x_{n+2}, -x_{n+3}, \dots; t) \\ & \text{for each } (x, y, t) \in (X \times F) \times E \times [2^{-(n+1)}, 2^{-n}] \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\theta(x, y, 0) = (x, 0) \quad \text{for each } (x, y, 0) \in (X \times F) \times E \times \{0\}.$$

Then  $\theta|X \times F \times E \times (0, 1] : X \times F \times E \times (0, 1] \rightarrow X \times F \times (0, 1]$  is a homeomorphism. And note that if  $t \leq 2^{-n}$ , then  $p_n \theta_t(x, y) = p_n(x)$  for  $(x, y) \in (X \times F) \times E$ . Let  $\beta$  be a basic open cover of  $W$  which refines  $\alpha$ . By Lemma 5.2 in [21], there is a collection  $\{K_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of closed sets in  $X \times F$  such that  $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} K_n = W$  and for each  $n$ ,  $p_n^{-1} p_n(K_n) = K_n \subset \text{int } K_{n+1} \cap \bigcup \{B \in \beta | p_n^{-1} p_n(B) = B\}$ . For each  $x \in W$ , put  $n(x) = \min \{n \in \mathbb{N} | x \in K_n\}$ . Then  $x \in K_{n(x)} \setminus K_{n(x)-1}$ . By perfect normality, there is a sequence  $\{k_n | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of continuous maps  $k_n : p_n(K_n \setminus \text{int } K_{n-1}) \rightarrow [2^{-(n+1)}, 2^{-n}]$  such that

$$k_n^{-1}(2^{-(n+1)}) = p_n(\text{bd } K_n) = \text{bd } p_n(K_n)$$

and

$$k_n^{-1}(2^{-n}) = p_n(\text{bd } K_{n-1}) = \text{bd } p_n(K_{n-1})$$

where  $K_0 = \emptyset$ . Define a continuous map  $k : W \rightarrow (0, 1]$  by  $k(x) = k_{n(x)} p_{n(x)}(x)$  for  $x \in W$ . Then for each  $x = (x_0; x_1, x_2, \dots) \in W$ ,

$$k(x) = k(x_0; x_1, \dots, x_{n(x)}, *, *, \dots) \leq 2^{-n(x)}.$$

Now define  $\sigma^\alpha : (X \times F) \times E \times I \rightarrow (X \times F) \times I$  by

$$\sigma^\alpha(x, y, t) = \begin{cases} (\theta_{tk(x)}(x, y), t) & \text{for each } (x, y, t) \in W \times E \times I \\ (x, t) & \text{for each } (x, y, t) \in (X \times F \setminus W) \times E \times I. \end{cases}$$

Then it is trivial that this map satisfies conditions i), ii) and iii). We must examine the continuity of this map and the other conditions.

vi) Let  $x \in W$ . Since  $x \in K_{n(x)}$ , there is some  $B \in \beta$  such that

$$p_{n(x)}^{-1} p_{n(x)}(B) = B \ni x.$$

For each  $y \in E$  and each  $t \in (0, 1]$

$$p_{n(x)} \sigma_t^\alpha(x, y) = p_{n(x)} \theta_{tk(x)}(x, y) = p_{n(x)}(x) \in p_{n(x)}(B)$$

because  $tk(x) \leq 2^{-n(x)}$ . Then  $\sigma^\alpha(\{x\} \times E \times I) \subset B \times I$ . Since  $\beta$  is a refinement of  $\alpha$ , there is some  $U \in \alpha$  such that  $\sigma^\alpha(\{x\} \times E \times I) \subset U \times I$ .

iv) Define a continuous map  $\sigma' : W \times (0, 1] \rightarrow W \times E \times (0, 1]$  by

$$\sigma'(x, t) = (\theta_{tk(x)}^{-1}(x), t) \quad \text{for each } (x, t) \in W \times (0, 1].$$

Let  $(x, t) \in W \times (0, 1]$  and  $\sigma'(x, t) = (x', y', t) \in W \times E \times (0, 1]$ . Since  $x = \theta_{tk(x)}(x', y')$

and  $tk(x) \leq 2^{-n(x)}$ ,  $p_{n(x)}(x) = p_{n(x)}\theta_{tk(x)}(x', y') = p_{n(x)}(x')$  therefore  $k(x) = k(x')$ . Then

$$\sigma^\alpha \sigma'(x, t) = \sigma^\alpha(x', y', t) = (\theta_{tk(x)}(x', y'), t) = (\theta_{tk(x)}(x', y'), t) = (x, t).$$

Now let  $(x, y, t) \in W \times E \times (0, 1]$  and  $\sigma^\alpha(x, y, t) = (x', t) \in W \times (0, 1]$ . Since  $x' = \theta_{tk(x)}(x, y)$  and  $tk(x) \leq 2^{-n(x)}$ ,  $p_{n(x)}(x') = p_{n(x)}\theta_{tk(x)}(x, y) = p_{n(x)}(x)$  therefore  $k(x') = k(x)$ . Then

$$\sigma' \sigma^\alpha(x, y, t) = \sigma'(x', t) = (\theta_{tk(x)}^{-1}(x'), t) = (\theta_{tk(x)}^{-1}\theta_{tk(x)}(x, y), t) = (x, y, t).$$

Continuity of  $\sigma^\alpha$ : We may examine that  $\sigma^\alpha$  is continuous at  $(x, y, 0) \in W \times E \times \{0\}$  (1) and at  $(x, y, t) \in (X \times F \setminus W) \times E \times I$  (2).

1) Let  $V$  be any neighbourhood of  $\sigma^\alpha(x, y, 0) = (x, 0)$  in  $X \times F \times I$ . Then there are a positive integer  $n$  and an open set  $U$  in  $X \times F$  such that  $p_n^{-1}p_n(U) = U$  and  $(x, 0) \in U \times [0, 2^{-n}] \subset V \cap W \times I$ . For each  $(x', y', t') \in U \times E \times [0, 2^{-n}]$ ,

$$p_n \sigma_t^\alpha(x', y') = p_n \theta_{t'k(x')} (x', y') = p_n(x') \in p_n(U),$$

then  $\sigma_t^\alpha(x', y') \in U$ . Therefore  $\sigma^\alpha(x', y', t') \in U \times [0, 2^{-n}] \subset V$ .

2) Now let  $V$  be any neighbourhood of  $\sigma^\alpha(x, y, t) = (x, t)$  in  $X \times F \times I$ . Then there are a positive integer  $n$ , an open set  $U'$  in  $X \times F$  and a neighbourhood  $J$  of  $t$  in  $I$  such that  $p_n^{-1}p_n(U') = U'$  and  $(x, t) \in U' \times J \subset V$ . Then  $U = U' \setminus K_n$  is a neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $X$ . Let  $(x', y', t') \in U \times E \times J$ . If  $x' \notin W$ ,  $\sigma^\alpha(x', y', t') = (x', t') \subset U \times J$ . If  $x' \in W$ ,  $n(x') > n$  because  $x' \notin K_n$ . Then  $t'k(x') \leq 2^{-n(x')} < 2^{-n}$  therefore  $p_n \sigma_t^\alpha(x', y') = p_n \theta_{t'k(x')} (x', y') = p_n(x') \in p_n(U) \subset p_n(U')$ , that is,  $\sigma_t^\alpha(x', y') \in U'$ . Therefore  $\sigma^\alpha(x', y', t') \in U' \times J \subset V$ .

v) It is trivial that  $\sigma^\alpha|W \times \{0\} \times I$  is a continuous injection. We may observe that this is a closed map. Let  $A$  be a closed set in  $W \times \{0\} \times I$  and  $(x, t) \in \text{cl} \sigma^\alpha(A) \subset W \times I$ . When  $t \neq 0$ ,

$$(x, t) \in \text{cl} \sigma^\alpha(A) \cap W \times (0, 1] = \sigma^\alpha(A \cap W \times E \times (0, 1]) \subset \sigma^\alpha(A)$$

by iv). When  $t = 0$ , we will see that  $(x, 0, 0) \in A$  then  $(x, 0) = \sigma^\alpha(x, 0, 0) \in \sigma^\alpha(A)$ . For each neighbourhood  $V$  of  $(x, 0, 0)$  in  $W \times \{0\} \times I$ , there are a positive integer  $n$  and an open set  $U$  in  $W$  such that  $p_n^{-1}p_n(U) = U$  and  $(x, 0, 0) \in U \times \{0\} \times [0, 2^{-n}] \subset V$ . Since  $U \times [0, 2^{-n}]$  is a neighbourhood of  $(x, 0)$  in  $W \times I$ , there is some  $(x', 0, t') \in A$  such that  $\sigma^\alpha(x', 0, t') \in U \times [0, 2^{-n}]$ . Because  $t' < 2^{-n}$ ,  $p_n(x') = p_n \sigma_t^\alpha(x', 0) \in p_n(U)$ , that is,  $x' \in U$ . Then  $(x', 0, t') \in U \times \{0\} \times [0, 2^{-n}] \subset V$ . Therefore  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ , so  $(x, 0, 0) \in \text{cl} A = A$ .  $\square$

2-2. THEOREM. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^\wp$  be a perfectly normal LTS and  $G$  an open set in an  $E$ -stable perfectly normal space  $X$ . Then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $G$ , there exists an  $I$ -preserving continuous map  $\Delta^\alpha: X \times E \times I \rightarrow X \times I$  such that

- i)  $\Delta_0^\alpha: X \times E \rightarrow X$  is the projection,
- ii)  $\Delta_t^\alpha|(X \setminus G) \times E: (X \setminus G) \times E \rightarrow X \setminus G$  is the projection for each  $t \in I$ ,
- iii)  $\Delta^\alpha|G \times E \times (0, 1]: G \times E \times (0, 1] \rightarrow G \times (0, 1]$  is a homeomorphism, and
- iv) for each  $x \in G$ , there is some  $U \in \alpha$  such that  $\Delta^\alpha(\{x\} \times E \times I) \subset U \times I$ .

PROOF. Let  $F=E^\omega$  or  $=E_\beta^\varphi$ . Since  $X$  is  $E$ -stable, there is a homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X \times F$ . Then  $\Delta^\alpha = (h^{-1} \times \text{id}_I) \sigma^{h(\alpha)} (h \times \text{id}_{E \times I}): X \times E \times I \rightarrow X \times I$  is a desired map.  $\square$

A space  $X$  is said to be *strongly E-stable* if for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $X$ , there is an  $I$ -preserving continuous map  $\Delta^\alpha: X \times E \times I \rightarrow X \times I$  such that  $\Delta_0^\alpha: X \times E \rightarrow X$  is the projection,  $\Delta^\alpha|_{X \times E \times (0, 1]}: X \times E \times (0, 1] \rightarrow X \times (0, 1]$  is a homeomorphism and for each  $x \in X$ , there is some  $U \in \alpha$  such that  $\Delta^\alpha(\{x\} \times E \times I) \subset U \times I$ . As a corollary, we get the Geoghegan-Henderson's result on strong  $E$ -stability (Theorem 1 in [12]) whose original proof holds a technically wrong part.

2-3. COROLLARY. *Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $E \cong E_\beta^\varphi$  be a perfectly normal LTS and  $X$  a perfectly normal space. Then  $X$  is  $E$ -stable if and only if each open subset of  $X$  is strongly  $E$ -stable.*

2-4. COROLLARY. *Each open set in a perfectly normal LTS  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_\beta^\varphi$  is strongly  $E$ -stable.*

The following theorem is an extension of Theorem 4.1 in [9].

2-5. THEOREM. *Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_\beta^\varphi$  be a perfectly normal LTS and  $K$  an  $E$ -deficient subset of an  $E$ -stable perfectly normal space  $X$ . Then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $X$ , there exists an invertible  $\alpha$ -isotopy  $g_t: X \rightarrow X$  ( $t \in I$ ) such that*

- i)  $g_0 = \text{id}$ ,
- ii)  $g_t|_K = \text{id}$  for each  $t \in I$ , and
- iii)  $g_t: X \rightarrow X$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding for each  $t \in (0, 1]$ .

PROOF. Let  $F=E^\omega$  or  $=E_\beta^\varphi$ . Since  $K$  is  $E$ -deficient in  $X$ , there is a homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X \times F$  such that  $h(K) \subset X \times \{0\}$ . Define a closed embedding  $i: X \times F \rightarrow X \times F \times E$  by  $i(x, y) = (x, y, 0)$  for each  $(x, y) \in X \times F$ . Then  $g = (h^{-1} \times \text{id}_I) \sigma^{h(\alpha)} (ih \times \text{id}_I): X \times I \rightarrow X \times I$  is a desired isotopy.  $\square$

The following result is a generalization of Theorem 3.1 in [9].

2-6. THEOREM. *Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  (or  $\cong E_\beta^\varphi$ ) be an LMS and  $K$  a subset of an  $E$ -stable space  $X$ . Then  $K$  is  $E$ -deficient if and only if  $K$  is  $l^2$ -deficient (or  $l_\beta^2$ -deficient).*

PROOF. If  $E \cong E^\omega$ , this is Theorem 3.1 in [9].

If  $E \cong E_\beta^\varphi$ , the Bartle-Graves-Michael's Theorem [17] induces  $E \cong E \times \mathbf{R}_\beta^\varphi$  by the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9]. Since  $\mathbf{R}_\beta^\varphi \cong l_\beta^2$ , we have  $E \cong E \times l_\beta^2$ . This enables us to see that  $E$ -deficiency implies  $l_\beta^2$ -deficiency by the argument of the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9]. For the opposite implication, the following remarks enable us to apply the arguments of the proof of Theorem 3.1 in [9] in the case  $E \cong E_\beta^\varphi$ . The homeomorphism in Lemma 3.1 in [9] is obtained from the homeomorphism  $f: E \times [0, 1) \times E^\omega \rightarrow C[E] \times E^\omega$  defined by

$$f(x_0, t, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (x_n \cos(1-2^n t)\pi + x_{n+1} \sin(1-2^n t)\pi, t, -x_0, \dots, -x_{n-1}, \\ -x_n \sin(1-2^n t)\pi + x_{n+1} \cos(1-2^n t)\pi, x_{n+2}, x_{n+3}, \dots) \\ \text{for each } (x_0, t, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in E \times [2^{-(n+1)}, 2^{-n}] \times E^\omega$$

and

$$f(x_0, 0, x_1, x_2, \dots) = (0, -x_0, -x_1, -x_2, \dots)$$

$$\text{for each } (x_0, 0, x_1, x_2, \dots) \in E \times \{0\} \times E^\omega.$$

Then Lemma 3.1 in [9] is valid for  $E \cong E^\omega$  by restricting this homeomorphism. Since  $(S_1, S'_1)$  in an  $(l^2, l^2_\gamma)$ -manifold pair (Definition (4) in [14]) where  $S_1 = \{x \in l^2 \mid \|x\|=1\}$  and  $S'_1 = \{x \in l^2_\gamma \mid \|x\|=1\}$  and since  $S_1 \cong l^2$  (Klee's result [16] III 1.3), we have  $S'_1 \cong l^2_\gamma$  by Theorem 2 in [14].  $\square$

An invertible isotopy pushing  $K$  off  $X$  is an invertible isotopy  $h_t: X \rightarrow X$  ( $t \in I$ ) such that  $h_0 = \text{id}$ ,  $h_1(X) = X \setminus K$  and that  $h_t$  is onto for each  $t \in [0, 1]$ . A subset  $K$  of  $X$  is extractible from  $X$  if for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $K$  in  $X$ , there is an invertible  $\alpha$ -isotopy pushing  $K$  off  $X$ . The following corollary is a generalization of Lemma 3 in [10].

2-7. COROLLARY. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^\omega_\gamma$  be an LMS and  $X$  an  $E$ -stable metric space. Then an  $E$ -deficient locally closed subset  $K$  of  $X$  is extractible from  $X$ .

PROOF. The invertible continuous family of invertible isotopies pushing the origin off  $l^2$  which is defined on pp. 284-286 of [3] can be restricted to  $l^2_\gamma$  and we have the invertible continuous family of invertible isotopies pushing the origin off  $l^2_\gamma$ . Then the proof of Lemma 3 in [10] holds also true for  $E \cong E^\omega_\gamma$ .  $\square$

### § 3. The homeomorphism extension theorem.

In this section, we generalize the HET in [9]. For this purpose, we provide the extension results of Lemma 5.1 and 5.2 in [9].

Let  $X$  be a metric space with a metric  $d$ . For an open cover  $\alpha$  of  $X$ , define a continuous function  $e: X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  by

$$e(x) = \sup \{s \in \mathbf{R}^+ \mid B_s(x) \subset U \text{ for some } U \in \alpha\}$$

where  $\mathbf{R}^+$  is the positive real half-line and  $B_s(x)$  means the open ball with the center  $x$  and the radius  $s$ . This function is called a majorant for  $\alpha$  with respect to  $d$  (see [10] 2). If  $d(f(y), g(y)) < e f(y)$  for each  $y \in Y$ ,  $g: Y \rightarrow X$  is  $\alpha$ -near to  $f: Y \rightarrow X$ .

The following theorem is an extension of Lemma 5.1 in [9]. We prove this by means of the technique in the proof of 2-1 and consequently, we can omit the condition of local convexity in Lemma 5.1 in [9].

3-1. THEOREM. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^\omega_\gamma$  be an LMS,  $M$  an  $E$ -stable metric space and let  $X$  be a space which can be embedded as a closed subset of  $E$ . If  $f: X \rightarrow M$  is a continuous map such that  $f|A$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding of a closed subset  $A$  of  $X$  in  $M$ , then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $M$ , there is an  $\alpha$ -homotopy  $f_t^*: X \rightarrow M$  ( $t \in I$ ) such that

- i)  $f_0^* = f$ ,
- ii)  $f_t^*|A = f|A$  for each  $t \in I$ , and
- iii)  $f_1^* : X \rightarrow M$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding.

PROOF. Since  $E \cong E^\omega$  (or  $\cong E^\varphi$ ),  $E \cong E \times I^2$  (or  $\cong E \times I_j^2$ ) (cf. the proof of 2-6). Since  $l^2 \times I \cong l^2$  and  $l_j^2 \times I \cong l_j^2$  by Klee's result [16] III 1.3 and Chapman's result [8] 2.12 or Toruńczyk's result [24],  $E \times I \cong E$ . Let  $F = E^\omega$  or  $= E^\varphi$ , then  $F \times I \cong E$ .

Let  $\beta$  be a star-refinement of  $\alpha$ . By 2-5, there is an invertible  $\beta$ -isotopy  $g_t : M \rightarrow M$  ( $t \in I$ ) such that  $g_0 = \text{id}$ ,  $g_t|f(A) = \text{id}$  for each  $t \in I$  and  $g_1(M)$  is  $E$ -deficient closed in  $M$ . Then there is a homeomorphism  $h : M \rightarrow M \times F \times I$  such that  $hg_1(M) \subset M \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ . Let  $p : M \times F \times I \rightarrow M$  be the projection. Note that  $hg_1(x) = (phg_1(x), 0, 0)$  for each  $x \in M$ . Let  $\theta : M \times F \times E \times I \rightarrow M \times F \times I$  be the  $I$ -preserving continuous map defined in the proof of 2-1,  $i : X \rightarrow E$  a closed embedding,  $d_X$ ,  $d_M$  and  $d_E$  metrics bounded by 1/4 on  $X$ ,  $M$  and  $E$  respectively,  $d$  the metric on  $X$  defined by  $d(x, y) = d_X(x, y) + d_M(f(x), f(y))$  and  $e : M \times F \times I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  a majorant for  $h(\beta)$  with respect to the metric  $d^*$  on  $M \times F \times I$  defined by

$$d^*((x, (y_i), t), (x', (y'_i), t')) = d_M(x, x') + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} d_E(y_i, y'_i) + 2^{-1} |t - t'|.$$

Define a continuous map  $k : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $k(x) = d(x, A) = \inf \{d(x, y) | y \in A\}$  for each  $x \in X$ . Then  $e$  is bounded by 1 and  $k$  is bounded by 1/2 and non-negative. And  $k^{-1}(0) = A$ .

Define a homotopy  $f'_t : X \rightarrow M$  ( $t \in I$ ) by

$$f'_t(x) = h^{-1}(\theta(phg_1f(x), 0, i(x), tk(x)ehg_1f(x))) \quad \text{for each } x \in X.$$

It is easy to see that  $f'_0 = g_1f$  and  $f'_t|A = f|A$  for each  $t \in I$ . Since

$$k(x)ehg_1f(x) \leq 1/2 \quad \text{for each } x \in X,$$

it is easy to see that  $f'_t(X)$  is  $E$ -deficient in  $M$ . Although we must show that  $f'_t$  is a closed embedding, we may show that  $f'_t$  is a closed map as it is obviously a continuous injection. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be any sequence in  $X$  such that  $\{f'_t(x_n)\}$  is convergent in  $M$ . Then  $\{\theta(phg_1f(x_n), 0, i(x_n), k(x_n)ehg_1f(x_n))\}$  converges some  $(x, y, t)$  in  $M \times F \times I$ . Since  $\theta$  is  $I$ -preserving,  $k(x_n)ehg_1f(x_n)$  converges to  $t$ .

i) In case of  $t \neq 0$ : Since  $k(x_n)ehg_1f(x_n) \neq 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $\{(phg_1f(x_n), 0, i(x_n), k(x_n)ehg_1f(x_n))\}$  convergent to  $\theta^{-1}(x, y, t)$ . Then  $\{i(x_n)\}$  is convergent. Since  $i$  is a closed embedding,  $\{x_n\}$  is also convergent.

ii) In case of  $t = 0$ : By definitions, it is easily seen that

$$\{d^*(\theta(phg_1f(x_n), 0, i(x_n), k(x_n)ehg_1f(x_n)), hg_1f(x_n))\}$$

converges to 0. Then  $\{hg_1f(x_n)\}$  converges to  $(x, y, 0)$ . Therefore  $y = 0$ . For



sufficiently large  $n$ ,  $d^*(hg_1f(x_n), (x, 0, 0)) < \frac{1}{3}e(x, 0, 0)$ , then there is some  $U \in h(\beta)$  such that  $B_{e(x,0,0)/3}(hg_1f(x_n)) \subset B_{2e(x,0,0)/3}(x, 0, 0) \subset U$ . Therefore  $ehg_1f(x_n) \geq \frac{1}{3}e(x, 0, 0)$ , so  $ehg_1f(x_n) \neq 0$  for sufficiently large  $n$ . Therefore  $\{k(x_n)\}$  converges to 0. Moreover  $\{f(x_n)\}$  converges to  $x' = (hg_1)^{-1}(x, 0, 0)$  because  $hg_1$  is a closed embedding. For each  $n$ , there is  $x'_n \in A$  such that  $d(x_n, x'_n) < 2k(x_n)$ . Since  $d_M(f(x'_n), x') \leq d_M(f(x'_n), f(x_n)) + d_M(f(x_n), x') < 2k(x_n) + d_M(f(x_n), x')$ ,  $\{f(x'_n)\}$  converges to  $x'$ . Then  $\{x'_n\}$  is convergent in  $A$  because  $f|_A$  is a closed embedding. Since  $\{d(x_n, x'_n)\}$  converges to 0,  $\{x_n\}$  is also convergent.

For each  $x \in X$ ,  $d^*(\theta(phg_1f(x), 0, i(x), tk(x)ehg_1f(x)), hg_1f(x)) < 2tk(x)ehg_1f(x) < ehg_1f(x)$ , then there is some  $U \in \beta$  such that  $\theta(phg_1f(x), 0, i(x), tk(x)ehg_1f(x)), hg_1f(x) \in h(U)$ , that is,  $f'_t(x), g_1f(x) \in U$ . Therefore  $f'_t: X \rightarrow M (t \in I)$  is a  $\beta$ -homotopy such that  $f'_0 = g_1f, f'_t|_A = f|_A$  for each  $t \in I$  and  $f'_1: X \rightarrow M$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding. The desired  $\alpha$ -homotopy  $f_t^*: X \rightarrow M (t \in I)$  is defined by

$$f_t^*(x) = \begin{cases} g_{2t}f(x) & \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ f'_{2t-1}(x) & \text{for } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1. \quad \square \end{cases}$$

Next we extend Lemma 5.2 is [9]. This can be proved by the same way as [9] but we give an alternative proof.

3-2. LEMMA. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^\varphi$  be an LMS,  $M$  a connected  $E$ -manifold and  $K$  an  $E$ -deficient closed set in  $M$ . Then there exists an open embedding  $h: M \rightarrow E$  such that  $h|_K: K \rightarrow E$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding.

PROOF. Since  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^\varphi$ ,  $E \times \mathbf{R} \cong E$ . Since  $K$  is  $E$ -deficient in  $M$ , there is a homeomorphism  $f: M \rightarrow M \times E \times \mathbf{R}$  such that  $f(K) \in M \times \{0\} \times \{0\}$ . By the Henderson's Open Embedding Theorem [13], there is an open embedding  $g: M \rightarrow E$ . Define a continuous map  $h': M \times E \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow E \times E \times \mathbf{R}$  by

$$h'(x, y, t) = (g(x), y, t + k(x)) \quad \text{for each } (x, y, t) \in M \times E \times \mathbf{R}$$

where  $k: M \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a continuous map defined by  $k(x) = d(g(x), E \setminus g(M))^{-1}$  ( $d$  is a metric on  $E$ ). Then  $h'(M \times E \times \mathbf{R}) = g(M) \times E \times \mathbf{R}$  is open in  $E \times E \times \mathbf{R}$  and  $h'f(K) \subset h'(M \times \{0\} \times \{0\}) \subset E \times \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}$ . It is easy to see that  $h'$  is an embedding and that  $h'(M \times \{0\} \times \{0\})$  is closed in  $E \times \{0\} \times \mathbf{R}$ . Let  $f': E \times E \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow E$  be a homeomorphism. Then  $h = f'h'f$  is a desired open embedding.  $\square$

HET: Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^\varphi$  be an AR LMS,  $M$  an  $E$ -manifold and  $K$  an  $E$ -deficient closed set in  $M$ . If  $\alpha$  is an open cover of  $M$  and if  $h_t: K \rightarrow M (t \in I)$  is an  $\alpha$ -homotopy such that  $h_0 = \text{id}$  and  $h_1$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding, then for each open cover  $\beta$ , there exists an ambient invertible  $\text{st}(\alpha; \beta)$ -isotopy  $\bar{h}_t: M \rightarrow M (t \in I)$  such that  $\bar{h}_0 = \text{id}$  and  $\bar{h}_1|_K = h_1$ .

PROOF. Now, this theorem can be proved by the same argument as the

proof of Theorem 2 in [9] since Lemma 5.1 and 5.2 in [9] have been extended. But to avoid using the unpublished result of D. W. Henderson<sup>\*)</sup> which is used in the proof of Theorem 2 in [9] and to improve the limitation by covers, we show that the case  $K \cap h_1(K) = \emptyset$  induces the general case. Note that in the case  $K \cap h_1(K) = \emptyset$  we obtain our limitation in the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [4] with obvious modifications.

Let  $\gamma$  be a star-refinement of  $\beta$ . By Theorem 2.1 in [11] which is also valid for  $E \cong E^{\varphi}$  with suitable modifications,  $K \cup h_1(K)$  is also  $E$ -deficient. Then there is a homeomorphism  $k: M \rightarrow M \times \mathbf{R}$  such that  $k(K \cup h_1(K)) \subset M \times \{0\}$ . Let  $e: M \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  be a majorant for  $k(\gamma)$  with respect to the product metric  $d$ . Define an ambient invertible isotopy  $f_t: M \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow M \times \mathbf{R}$  ( $t \in I$ ) by

$$f_t(x, s) = \begin{cases} \left( x, \left(1 + \frac{t}{2}\right)s + \frac{t}{4}e(x, 0) \right) & \text{if } -\frac{1}{2}e(x, 0) \leq s \leq 0, \\ \left( x, \left(1 - \frac{t}{2}\right)s + \frac{t}{4}e(x, 0) \right) & \text{if } 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}e(x, 0), \\ (x, s) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $d((x, s), (x, 0)) < \frac{1}{2}e(x, 0)$  then  $d(f_t(x, s), (x, 0)) < \frac{1}{2}e(x, 0)$  and if  $d((x, s), (x, 0)) \geq \frac{1}{2}e(x, 0)$  then  $f_t(x, s) = (x, s)$ . Thus  $f$  is a  $k(\gamma)$ -isotopy. Then  $k^{-1}f_t k: M \rightarrow M$  ( $t \in I$ ) is an ambient invertible  $\gamma$ -isotopy such that  $k^{-1}f_0 k = \text{id}$  and

$$k^{-1}f_1 k(K \cup h_1(K)) \cap (K \cup h_1(K)) = \emptyset.$$

Since  $k^{-1}f_t k h_t: K \rightarrow M$  ( $t \in I$ ) is a  $\text{st}(\alpha; \gamma)$ -homotopy such that  $k^{-1}f_0 k h_0 = \text{id}$  and  $k^{-1}f_1 k h_1$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding and  $K \cap k^{-1}f_1 k h_1(K) = \emptyset$ , there exists an ambient invertible  $\text{st}(\text{st}(\alpha; \gamma); \gamma)$ -isotopy  $g_t: M \rightarrow M$  ( $t \in I$ ) such that  $g_0 = \text{id}$  and  $g_1|_K = k^{-1}f_1 k h_1$ . Then  $k^{-1}f_t^{-1} k g_t$  fulfills our requirements.  $\square$

#### § 4. The relative stability theorem and the relative approximation theorem by embeddings.

In this section, we establish the relative ST (Stability Theorem) which will hold a basic part in our Embedding Theorems in Sect. 6 and we generalize the result of [18] which is called the relative ATE (Approximation Theorem by Embeddings).

A characterization of Anderson's  $Z$ -sets in  $Q$  or  $s$  ( $\cong \mathbf{R}^{\omega}$ ) by H. Toruńczyk

---

<sup>\*)</sup> Recently, this appeared in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 213 (1975), 205-217. But its proof is complicated.

in [22] can be generalized to one of  $Z$ -sets in any paracompact Hausdorff space  $X$  which is locally homotopically trivial, i.e., admitting a fundamental neighbourhood system consisting of homotopically trivial open sets:  $K$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $X$  if and only if  $K$  is a closed set in  $X$  such that for each continuous map  $f: I^n \rightarrow X$  and for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $X$ , there exists a continuous map  $g: I^n \rightarrow X \setminus K$  which is  $\alpha$ -near to  $f$ . Thus if  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_f^\varphi$  is an LMS, then  $E$ -deficient closed sets in an  $E$ -stable locally homotopically trivial metric space are  $Z$ -sets by 2-7. Conversely, T. A. Chapman showed in [9] that  $Z$ -sets in  $E$ -manifold are  $E$ -deficient in case that  $E \cong E^\omega$  is a Fréchet space. The author does not know whether it is true in more general case that  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_f^\varphi$  is an (LC) LMS. But H. Toruńczyk showed that closed submanifolds of an  $E$ -manifold which are  $Z$ -sets are  $E$ -deficient in case that  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_f^\varphi$  is an LCLMS (Lemma 6.2 in [24]).

We require the following lemma.

4-1. LEMMA. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_f^\varphi$  be an LCLMS,  $M$  be an  $E$ -manifold and let  $K$  be an  $E$ -deficient closed set in  $M$ . Then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $M$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $h: M \times E \rightarrow M$  such that  $h(x, 0) = x$  for each  $x \in K$  and  $h$  is  $\alpha$ -near to the projection  $p: M \times E \rightarrow M$ .

PROOF. Note that Theorem 2.2 in [11] is also valid for  $E \cong E_f^\varphi$  with suitable modifications. This lemma is derived from this theorem, the ST (with 2-3) and the HET as all the same as Lemma 2.1 in [18].  $\square$

4-2. PROPOSITION. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_f^\varphi$  be an LCLMS,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  be  $E$ -manifolds,  $M_1$  be connected (more generally,  $M_1$  can be embedded as a closed set in  $E$ ) and let  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  be a continuous map. Then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $M_2$  and for each  $E$ -deficient closed set  $K$  in  $M_1$ , there exist an open embedding  $g: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and a closed embedding  $h: M \rightarrow M$  such that  $g, h$  are  $\alpha$ -homotopic to  $f$  and  $g(K), h(K)$  are  $E$ -deficient closed sets in  $M_2$ .

4-3. COROLLARY. Let  $E, M_1, M_2$  be as above and let  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  be a continuous map such that for some  $E$ -deficient closed set  $K$  in  $M_1$ ,  $f|K$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding. Then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $M_2$  there exist an open embedding  $g: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  and a closed embedding  $h: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  such that  $g, h$  are  $\alpha$ -homotopic to  $f$  and  $f|K = g|K = h|K$ .

The proofs of the above proposition and corollary are all the same as Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.5 in [18]. And the following ATE is derived from 4-2, the HET and 2-7 by the same way as the proof of Theorem 2.6 (2.6') in [18].

RELATIVE ATE: Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_f^\varphi$  be an LCLMS,  $(M_i, N_i)$  be an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N_i$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M_i$  ( $i=1, 2$ ),  $M_1$  be connected (more generally,  $M_1$  can be embedded as a closed set in  $E$ ) and let  $f: (M_1, N_1) \rightarrow (M_2, N_2)$  be continuous. Then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $M_2$ , there exist an open embedding  $g: (M_1, N_1) \rightarrow (M_2, N_2)$  and a closed embedding  $h: (M_1, N_1) \rightarrow (M_2, N_2)$  such that  $g,$

$h$  are  $\alpha$ -homotopic to  $f:(M_1, N_1)\rightarrow(M_2, N_2)$ . Furthermore  $g(M_1)\cap N_2=g(N_1)$ , that is,  $g:(M_1, N_1, M_1\setminus N_1)\rightarrow(M_2, N_2, M_2\setminus N_2)$ .

4-4. COROLLARY. Let  $E\cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E\varphi$  be an LCLMS and let  $(M, N)$  be an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  such that  $M$  is connected (more generally,  $M$  can be embedded as a closed set in  $E$ ). Then

- i) there exists an open embedding  $i:M\rightarrow E\times E$  such that  $i(N)=i(M)\cap E\times\{0\}$ ,
- ii) there exists an open embedding  $j:M\rightarrow E\times[0, 1)$  such that  $j(N)=j(M)\cap E\times\{0\}$ .

From 4-4, it is derived that in an  $E$ -manifold pair  $(M, N)$ ,  $N$  is a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  if and only if  $N$  is a collared closed set in  $M$  (see the foot note (2) in [20]). But this result is directly derived from Theorem 1 in [7] and Proposition 5.1 in [11].

Now we establish the relative ST:

RELATIVE ST: Let  $E\cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E\varphi$  be an LCLMS and let  $(M, N)$  be an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$ . Then for each open cover  $\alpha$  of  $M$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $h:(M\times E, N\times E)\rightarrow(M, N)$  which is  $\alpha$ -homotopic to the projection  $p:(M\times E, N\times E)\rightarrow(M, N)$ .

PROOF. Let  $\beta$  be an open cover such that  $st^2(\beta)$  refines  $\alpha$  and  $i:M\rightarrow M\times E$  be defined by  $i(x)=(x, 0)$  for each  $x\in M$ . By 4-1, there is a homeomorphism  $f:M\times E\rightarrow M$  such that  $fi|N=id$  and  $f$  is  $\beta$ -near to  $p$ . And there is a homeomorphism  $g:N\times E\rightarrow N$  which is  $\beta$ -near to  $p$  by the Schori's Stability Theorem [21] and 2-3. Since  $ig:N\times E\rightarrow M\times E$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed embedding which is  $(\beta\times E)$ -near to  $id$  and since  $N\times E$  is an  $E$ -deficient closed set in  $M\times E$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $h:M\times E\rightarrow M\times E$  such that  $h|N\times E=ig$  and  $h$  is  $(st(\beta)\times E)$ -near to  $id$ . Thus  $fh:M\times E\rightarrow M$  is a homeomorphism such that  $fh|N\times E=fig=g$ . Since  $ph, p:M\times E\rightarrow M$  are  $st(\beta)$ -near and since  $fh, ph:M\times E\rightarrow M$  are  $\beta$ -near, then  $fh$  is  $st^2(\beta)$ -near (then,  $\alpha$ -near) to  $p:M\times E\rightarrow M$ . As the modification of 2.6 to 2.6' in [18], we obtain the theorem by 4-4.  $\square$

**§ 5. Embedding theorem with compliment conditions.**

In this section, we consider the conditions for an  $E$ -manifold pair  $(M, N)$  with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  under which  $M$  can be embedded in  $E$  such that  $N$  is the topological boundary of  $M$  and the closure (or each component of the closure) of the complement of  $M$  is contractible.

By a cone over a metric space  $X$ , we mean the topological space

$$(C(X), \tau)=(\{0_x\}\cup X\times(0, 1], \tau)$$

where  $\tau$  is the topology generated by open sets in  $X\times(0, 1]$  and sets  $\{0_x\}\cup X\times(0, t)$  ( $0<t<1$ ). Let  $d$  be a bounded metric on  $X$ . By the Arens-Eelles' Theorem [5] (for a shorter proof, see [23])  $X$  has an isometric closed copy

$X'$  in some ball  $B$  of some normed linear space  $E$ . It is easy to see that the natural map of  $C(X)$  onto  $\{(tx, t) \in E \times \mathbf{R} \mid t \in [0, 1], x \in X'\}$  is a homeomorphism. Then these may be identified. Moreover, note that  $C(X) \cong \{(tx + (1-t)x_0, t) \in E \times \mathbf{R} \mid t \in [0, 1], x \in X'\}$  where  $x_0$  is any given point of  $E$ . If  $X$  is an ANR, then  $C(X)$  is a neighbourhood retract of  $C(B)$ . Since

$$C(B) \cong \{(tx, t) \in B \times [0, 1] \mid x \in B, t \in [0, 1]\}$$

is a retract of an AR  $B \times [0, 1]$ ,  $C(X)$  is an ANR. Hence  $C(X)$  is an AR because it is contractible. (This may be derived from Lemma 4.1 in [24] as in the proof of Theorem 4.2 in [24].)

By a *mapping cylinder* of a continuous map  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  of a metric space  $X$  to a metric space  $Y$ , we mean the topological space

$$(M(f), \tau) = (Y \times \{0\} \cup X \times (0, 1], \tau)$$

where  $\tau$  is the topology generated by open sets in  $X \times (0, 1]$  and sets

$$V \times \{0\} \cup f^{-1}(V) \times (0, t),$$

$V$  is open in  $Y$  and  $0 < t < 1$ . By the Arens-Eelles' Theorem,  $X$  and  $Y$  have homeomorphic bounded closed copies  $X'$  and  $Y'$  in some normed linear spaces  $E$  and  $F$ , respectively. Let  $f': X' \rightarrow Y'$  be induced from  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ . Then it is easy to see that the natural map  $M(f)$  to

$$\{(tx, (1-t)f'(x), t) \in E \times F \times \mathbf{R} \mid t \in [0, 1], x \in X'\} \cup \{(0, y, 0) \in E \times F \times \mathbf{R} \mid y \in Y'\}$$

is a homeomorphism. When  $Y$  is a one-point space and  $f: X \rightarrow Y$  is constant,  $M(f)$  is a cone  $C(X)$  over  $X$ .

The following lemmas are useful in the proofs of our Embedding Theorems.

5-1. LEMMA. *Let  $X$  be an ANR and  $Y$  a contractible closed subset of  $X$ . Then the quotient map  $q: (X, Y) \rightarrow (X/Y, X/Y)$  is a homotopy equivalence.*

PROOF. Since  $X$  has the homotopy extension property for  $(X, Y)$ , there is a homotopy  $f_t: (X, Y) \rightarrow (X, Y)$  such that  $f_0 = \text{id}$  and  $f_1|_Y$  is a contraction of  $Y$ . This homotopy  $f_t$  induces a homotopy  $\bar{f}_t: (X/Y, Y/Y) \rightarrow (X/Y, Y/Y)$  such that  $\bar{f}_t q = q f_t$ . And  $f_1$  induces a continuous map  $g: (X/Y, Y/Y) \rightarrow (X, Y)$  such that  $gq = f_1$  because  $f_1(Y)$  is single point. Then  $gq = f_1 \sim f_0 = \text{id}: (X, Y) \rightarrow (X, Y)$  and  $qg = \bar{f}_1 \sim \bar{f}_0 = \text{id}: (X/Y, Y/Y)$ .  $\square$

5-2. LEMMA. *Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_\varphi$  be an LCLMS. If  $M, X$  and  $M \cap X$  are  $E$ -manifolds and if  $M \cap X$  is a  $Z$ -set in each of  $M$  and  $X$ , then  $M \cup X$  is also an  $E$ -manifold.*

PROOF. Since  $M \cap X$  is bicollared in  $M \cup X$ , and since  $E \times \mathbf{R} \cong E$ , the proof is easy.  $\square$

5-3. THEOREM. *Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E_\varphi$  be an LCLMS and let  $(M, N)$  be an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  such that  $\text{dens } M = \text{dens } E$ . Then there exists an embedding  $h: M \rightarrow E$  such that  $\text{bd}_E h(M) = h(N)$  and  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h(M))$  is contractible if and only if  $M/N$  is contractible.*

PROOF. First, assume  $M \subset E$ ,  $\text{bd}_E M = N$  and  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is contractible. Since  $N = \text{bd}_E(E \setminus M)$  is collared in  $M$ ,  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is a neighbourhood retract of  $E$ , that is, an ANR. Hence  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is an AR. It is straightforward to see that  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is a strong deformation retract of  $E$ . Therefore  $M/N \cong E/\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is contractible.

Next, assume  $M/N$  is contractible. By the Triangulation Theorem (Theorem 3.4 (a) in [25]), there is a homeomorphism  $h: N \rightarrow |K| \times E$  where  $K$  is some locally finite-dimensional simplicial complex. By the Toruńczyk's result (Theorem 3.1 in [25]),  $C(|K|) \times E \cong E$ . Since  $M(ph) \cong C(|K|) \times E$  where  $p: |K| \times E \rightarrow E$  is the projection, and since  $M \times \{1\} \cap M(ph) = N \times \{1\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in each of  $M \times \{1\}$  and  $M(ph)$ ,  $F = M \times \{1\} \cup M(ph)$  is an  $E$ -manifold by 5-2. By 5-1,  $(F, M(ph))$  is homotopic to  $(F/M(ph), M(ph)/M(ph)) \cong (M/N, N/N)$ , therefore  $F$  is contractible. By the Classification Theorem in [13],  $F \cong E$ . And then  $\text{bd}_F M \times \{1\} = N \times \{1\}$  and  $\text{cl}_F(F \setminus M \times \{1\}) = M(ph) \cong E$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

The above proof contains the alternative shorter proof of Case II-i) of Theorem in [19]. Although the following corollary is directly proved in a general case, to use it in 5-5, we give its proof.

5-4. COROLLARY. *Let  $(M, N)$  be as 5-3. If  $M/N$  is contractible, the inclusion  $i: N \rightarrow M$  induces an isomorphism  $i_*: H_*(N) \rightarrow H_*(M)$ .*

PROOF. It is well known that  $H_*(M, N) \cong H_*(M \times \{1\} \cup C(N), C(N))$ . By above proof,  $M \times \{1\} \cup C(N)$  and  $C(N)$  are contractible. Then  $H_*(M, N) = 0$ .  $\square$

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be a (finite or infinite) sequence of subsets of  $M$ . A sequence  $\{L_n\}$  of paths in  $M$  is a *chain of paths in  $M$  connecting  $\{X_n\}$*  provided  $\{L_n\}$  is pair-wise disjoint (i.e.,  $L_n \cap L_{n'} = \emptyset$  if  $n \neq n'$ ) and each  $L_n$  intersects only two members  $X_n$  and  $X_{n+1}$  at its end-points.

If  $M$  is a connected  $E$ -manifold and  $\cup \{X_n\}$  and each  $X_n$  are  $E$ -deficient closed subsets of  $M$ , by 2-7, we can inductively show the existence of a chain of paths in  $M$  connecting  $\{X_n\}$ .

5-5. THEOREM. *Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^\omega$  be an LCLMS and let  $(M, N)$  be an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  such that  $M$  is connected and  $N$  has at most countable many components. Then following conditions are equivalent:*

i) *There is an embedding  $h: M \rightarrow E$  such that  $h(N) = \text{bd}_E h(M)$  and each component of  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h(M))$  is contractible.*

ii) *For any ordering  $\{N_n\}$  of all components of  $N$  and for any chain of paths  $\{L_n\}$  in  $M$  connecting  $\{N_n\}$ ,  $M/N \cup \cup \{L_n\}$  is contractible.*

iii) *There are some ordering  $\{N_n\}$  of all components of  $N$  and some chain of paths  $\{L_n\}$  in  $M$  connecting  $\{N_n\}$  such that  $M/N \cup \cup \{L_n\}$  is contractible.*

PROOF. ii)  $\Rightarrow$  iii) is trivial.

i)  $\Rightarrow$  ii): Assume  $M \subset E$ ,  $N = \text{bd}_E M$  and each component of  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is contractible. By the same argument as the proof of 5-3,  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is an ANR.

Because  $M$  is collectionwise normal,  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M) \cap \cup \{L_n\} = N \cap \cup \{L_n\}$  is totally disconnected, then it is an ANR. Hence  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M) \cup \cup \{L_n\}$  is also an ANR.

Let  $D$  be a component of  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$ . Then  $D$  is a contractible ANR, that is, an AR. Since  $M$  is connected, so is  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus D)$ . Because  $\text{bd}_E D = N \cap D$  is open and closed in  $N$ ,  $\text{bd}_E D$  is a collared closed submanifold of  $M$  (see 4-4). Since  $M$  is a neighbourhood of  $\text{bd}_E D$  in  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus D)$ ,  $(\text{cl}_E(E \setminus D), \text{bd}_E D)$  is an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $\text{bd}_E D$  a  $Z$ -set in  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus D)$ . By 5-3 and 5-4,

$$H_0(\text{bd}_E D) = H_0(\text{cl}_E(E \setminus D)) = 0.$$

Thus  $\text{bd}_E D$  is a connected open and closed subset of  $N$ . Hence  $\text{bd}_E D$  is a component of  $N$ . And so, let  $\{D_n\}$  be a sequence of all components of  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  such that  $\text{bd}_E D_n = N_n$ . Since each  $D_n$  is an AR, it is easily shown that  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M) \cup \cup \{L_n\} = \cup \{D_n\} \cup \cup \{L_n\}$  deforms to a path or a half open path in itself. Then  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M) \cup \cup \{L_n\}$  is a contractible ANR, that is, an AR. Again by the same argument in the proof of 5-3,  $M/N \cup \cup \{L_n\} = E/\text{cl}_E(E \setminus D) \cup \cup \{L_n\}$  is contractible.

iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i): By the Triangulation Theorem (3.4 (a) in [25]), there are homeomorphisms  $h_n: N_n \rightarrow |K_n| \times E$  where  $K_n$ 's are some locally finite-dimensional simplicial complexes. Similarly as the proof of 5-3,  $M(p_n h_n) \cong E$  where  $p_n: |K_n| \times E \rightarrow \{n\} \times E$  is the projection. Since  $\cup \{M(p_n h_n)\}$  is an  $E$ -manifold and since  $M \times \{1\} \cap \cup \{M(p_n h_n)\} = \cup \{N_n \times \{1\}\} = N \times \{1\}$  is a  $Z$ -set in each of  $M \times \{1\}$  and  $\cup \{M(p_n h_n)\}$ ,  $F = M \times \{1\} \cup \cup \{M(p_n h_n)\}$  is also an  $E$ -manifold by 5-2.

Let

$$I_n = \{(n+t, (1-t)p_n h_n(a_n) + t p_n h_n(b_n)) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \subset \mathbf{R} \times E \text{ and}$$

$$J_{n+1} = \{(n+1, (1-t)p_{n+1} h_{n+1}(b_n) + t p_{n+1} h_{n+1}(a_{n+1})) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \subset \{n+1\} \times E$$

where  $a_n \in N_n$  and  $b_n \in N_{n+1}$  are the end-points of  $L_n$ . Take a continuous map  $f: N \cup \cup \{L_n\} \rightarrow \cup \{\{n\} \times E\} \cup \cup \{I_n\}$  such that  $f|L_n: L_n \rightarrow I_n$  is a homeomorphism and  $f|N_n = p_n h_n$  for each  $n$ . Since  $F \cap \cup \{M(f|L_n)\}$  is an ANR because it is homeomorphic to a disjoint union of intervals and since  $F$  and  $\cup \{M(f|L_n)\}$  are ANR's,

$$M \times \{1\} \cup M(f) = M \times \{1\} \cup \cup \{M(f|N_n)\} \cup \cup \{M(f|L_n)\} = F \cup \cup \{M(f|L_n)\}$$

is also an ANR. And it is easy to see that  $M \times \{1\} \cup M(f) = F \cup \cup \{M(f|L_n)\}$  collapses to  $F$ , hence homotopic to  $F$ . Note that each  $J_n$  is a strong deformation retract of  $\{n\} \times E$  because  $J_n$  and  $\{n\} \times E$  are AR's. Since one can deform  $M(f)$  to  $\cup \{\{n\} \times E\} \cup \cup \{I_n\}$ , and to  $\cup \{J_n\} \cup \cup \{I_n\}$ ,  $M(f)$  is contractible. By 5-1,  $(M \times \{1\} \cup M(f), M(f))$  is homotopic to  $(M \times \{1\} \cup M(f)/M(f), M(f)/M(f)) \cong (M/N \cup \cup \{L_n\}, N \cup \cup \{L_n\}/N \cup \cup \{L_n\})$ . Then  $M \times \{1\} \cup M(f)$  is contractible, therefore  $F$  is a contractible  $E$ -manifold, that is, homeomorphic to  $E$  by the Classification Theorem in [13]. And we have  $\text{bd}_F M \times \{1\} = \cup \{N_n \times \{1\}\} = N \times \{1\}$

and  $\text{cl}_F(F \setminus M \times \{1\}) = \cup \{M(p_n h_n)\} \cong \cup \{C(|K_n|) \times E\}$ . This complete the proof.  $\square$

5-6. PROPOSITION. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^q$  be an LCLMS and let  $(M, N)$  be an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$ . If there is an embedding  $h: M \rightarrow E$  such that  $\text{bd}_E h(M) = h(N)$  then there is an embedding  $h': M \rightarrow E$  such that  $\text{bd}_E h'(M) = h'(N)$  is bicollared in  $E$  and  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h'(M)) (\cong E \setminus h'(M))$  has the same homotopy type as  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h(M))$ .

PROOF. Since  $N$  is collared in  $M$  (see 4-4), there is an open embedding  $g: N \times [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  such that  $g(x, 0) = x$  for each  $x \in N$ . Let  $h': M \rightarrow E$  be defined by

$$h'(x) = \begin{cases} h(x) & \text{for } x \in M \setminus g(N \times [0, 2/3]) \\ hg(\text{id} \times k)(x) & \text{for } x \in g(N \times [0, 2/3]) \end{cases}$$

where  $k: I \rightarrow I$  be defined by  $k(s) = (1/2)s + (1/3)$  for  $s \in I$ .

It is clear that

$$\text{bd}_E h'(M) = h'(N) = hg(N \times \{1/3\})$$

is bicollared in  $E$  and that  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h(M)) = E \setminus h(M \setminus g(N \times \{0\}))$  is a deformation retract of  $E$ -manifolds  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h'(M)) = E \setminus h(M \setminus g(N \times [0, 1/3]))$  and  $E \setminus h'(M) = E \setminus h(M \setminus g(N \times [0, 1/3]))$ . By the Classification Theorem [13],  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h'(M)) \cong E \setminus h'(M)$ .  $\square$

REMARK. In 5-3, the condition that  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h(M))$  is contractible may be changed for the condition  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h(M)) \cong E \setminus h(M) \cong E$  by the above proposition. Similarly, in 5-5, the condition that each component of  $\text{cl}_F(E \setminus h(M))$  is contractible may be changed for the condition that each component of  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus h(M)) \cong E \setminus h(M)$  is homeomorphic to  $E$ .

## § 6. Another embedding theorem.

In this section, we extend the result of [20]. Although we obtain a sufficient condition in the following theorem for our embedding problem, we seem to be away from a necessary and sufficient condition at the observation of Example 4 in the next section.

6-1. THEOREM. Let  $E \cong E^\omega$  or  $\cong E^q$  and let  $(M, N)$  be an  $E$ -manifold pair with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  such that  $M$  is connected. If there exists a chain of paths  $\{L_n\}$  in  $M$  connecting some (finite or infinite) sequence  $\{N_n\}$  consisting of some components of  $N$  such that  $N_n \neq N_{n'}$  if  $n \neq n'$  and  $N \cup \cup \{L_n\}$  contains a deformation retract of  $M$ , then there exists an embedding  $h: M \rightarrow E$  such that  $\text{bd}_E h(M) = h(N)$ .

PROOF. Let  $M' \subset N \cup L$  (where  $L = \cup \{L_n\}$ ) be a deformation retract of  $M$  then a strong deformation retract of  $M$  ([15] Ch. VII Theorem 2.1). Since  $M'$  is connected because so is  $M$ , we may assume that  $L \subset M'$  and  $M' \cap N_n \neq \emptyset$



for each  $N_n$ . By the Triangulation Theorem (3.4 (a) in [25]), there is a homeomorphism  $h: N \rightarrow |K| \times E$  where  $K$  is some locally finite-dimensional simplicial complex. Let  $K_n$  be the subcomplex of  $K$  such that  $|K_n| \times E = h(N_n)$  and let  $a_n \in N_n$  and  $b_n \in N_{n+1}$  be the end-points of  $L_n$ . Then

$$|K'_n| = |K_n| \times \{(1-t)ph(b_{n-1}) + tph(a_n) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1\} \subset |K_n| \times E$$

is a strong deformation retract of  $|K_n| \times E$  where  $p: |K| \times E \rightarrow E$  is the projection. Then deforming  $N \cup L$  to a complete ANR  $N' \cup L$  where  $N'$  is an  $E$ -deficient set (i.e., a  $Z$ -set) in  $N$ , we may also assume that  $M'$  is a complete ANR. Since  $M' \times \{1\} \cap \cup\{C(N'_n \cap M')\} = \cup\{(N'_n \cap M') \times \{1\}\}$  is an ANR where  $N'_n = N' \cap N_n$ ,  $M' \times \{1\} \cup \cup\{C(N'_n \cap M')\}$  is also an ANR. By the same argument as the proof of iii)  $\Rightarrow$  i) in 5-5, it can be shown that  $M' \times \{1\} \cup \cup\{C(N'_n \cap M')\}$  is contractible, then an AR.

By the Toruńczyk's result (Theorem 3.1 in [25]),

$$F' = (M' \times \{1\} \cup \cup\{C(N'_n \cap M')\}) \times E \cong E.$$

Since  $N \times \{1\} \times E \cap \cup\{C(N'_n \cap M) \times E\} = \cup\{(N'_n \cap M) \times \{1\} \times E\} \subset N' \times \{1\} \times E$  is a  $Z$ -set in each  $N \times \{1\} \times E$  and  $\cup\{C(N'_n \cap M) \times E\}$ ,

$$N \times \{1\} \times E \cup F' = N \times \{1\} \times E \cup \cup\{C(N'_n \cap M) \times E\}$$

is an  $E$ -manifold by 5-2. Since

$$M \times \{1\} \times E \times \{0\} \cap (N \times \{1\} \times E \cup F') \times [0, 1] = N \times \{1\} \times E \times \{0\}$$

is a  $Z$ -set in each  $M \times \{1\} \times E \times \{0\}$  and  $(N \times \{1\} \times E \cup F') \times [0, 1]$ ,

$$F = M \times \{1\} \times E \times \{0\} \cup (N \times \{1\} \times E \cup F') \times [0, 1]$$

is also an  $E$ -manifold. Since  $F$  has the same homotopy type as

$$M \times \{1\} \times E \cup N \times \{1\} \times E \cup F' = M \times \{1\} \times E \cup F'$$

and since  $M' \times \{1\} \times E = M \times \{1\} \times E \cap F'$  is a strong deformation retract of  $M \times \{1\} \times E$ ,  $F$  has the same homotopy type as  $F' \cong E$ , hence  $F \cong E$  by the Classification Theorem [13]. Since  $\text{bd}_F M \times \{1\} \times E \times \{0\} = N \times \{1\} \times E \times \{0\}$ , it is easy to construct a desired embedding by the relative ST.  $\square$

§ 7. Examples.

First, we give two examples, seeing the relation between the topological boundary of an  $E$ -manifold embedded in  $E$  as a closed set and its collared submanifold. (First example is suggested by Prof. Y. Kodama.)

EXAMPLE 1. Let  $B_0 = S_0 = \{0\}$ ,  $B_n = \{(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^2 \mid ((x - (2/3^n))^2 + y^2 \leq (1/3^n)^2)\}$ ,  $S_n = \text{bd}_{\mathbf{R}^2} B_n = \{(x, y) \mid (x - (2/3^n))^2 + y^2 = (1/3^n)^2\}$  for each  $n > 0$  and let  $M = \cup\{B_n\} \times E \subset \mathbf{R}^2 \times E \cong E$ . Then  $M$  is an  $E$ -manifold because  $\cup\{B_n\}$  is a finite-dimensional

compact  $AR$ . But  $\text{bd}_{\mathbf{R}^2 \times E} M = (\bigcup_n S_n) \times E$  is not an  $E$ -manifold because  $\bigcup_n S_n$  is not an  $ANR$ .

EXAMPLE 2. Let  $B = \{(x, y, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid x^2 + y^2 \leq 1\}$  and let  $I = \{(0, 0, z) \in \mathbf{R}^3 \mid 0 \leq z \leq 1\}$ . Then  $F = (B \cup I) \times E$ ,  $M = B \times E$  and  $\text{bd}_F M = \{0\} \times E$  are homeomorphic to  $E$  because  $B \cup I$ ,  $B$  and  $\{0\}$  are finite-dimensional compact  $AR$ 's. And  $\text{bd}_F M$  is not a  $Z$ -set in  $M$ , that is, not collared in  $M$ .

By Example 1, we see that the topological boundary of an  $E$ -manifold embedded in  $E$  as a closed set is not generally an  $E$ -manifold. By Example 2, we see that the submanifold being the topological boundary of an  $E$ -manifold embedded in  $E$  as a closed set is not generally a collared submanifold. These show the difference of infinite-dimensional manifolds and finite-dimensional manifolds.

In relation to Theorem 5-3, the following question rises: *In an  $E$ -manifold pair  $(M, N)$  with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$ , the condition that  $M/N$  has the homotopy type of  $S^n$  is the necessary and sufficient condition under which  $M$  can be embedded in  $E$  such that  $N$  is the topological boundary of  $M$  and such that the closure of the complement of  $M$  has the homotopy type of  $S^n$ , isn't it?* The answer of this problem is "NO!" in case of  $n=1$ . This problem was raised by T. Watanabe when the author had a chat with him. The following example was obtained then.

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $(M, N) = (I \times E, \{0, 1\} \times E)$ . Then  $(M, N)$  is an  $E$ -manifold with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  such that  $M/N$  is homotopic to  $S^1$ . When  $M$  is embedded in  $E$  with  $N$  being the topological boundary,  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is homotopic to  $S^0 = \{0, 1\}$ . In fact, assume that  $(M, N)$  is embedded in such a way. By 5-6, we may also assume that  $N$  is bicollared in  $E$ . As same as the example in [20], it is easy to see that  $(E, M)$  is homotopic to  $(I \cup X, I)$  where  $X$  is some space such that  $I \cap X = \{0, 1\}$ . Then  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  has the same homotopy type as a one point union of two spaces  $A$  and  $B$ . Since  $A \cup B$  contract to  $\{p\} = A \cap B$ , we can obtain a contraction of  $A$  to  $\{p\}$  using a contraction of  $A \cup B$  to  $\{p\}$  and a retraction of  $B$  to  $\{p\}$ . Thus  $A$  and  $B$  are contractible. Therefore  $\text{cl}_E(E \setminus M)$  is homotopic to  $S^0$ .

We leave the question: *Under what condition can  $M$  be embedded in  $E$  such that  $N$  is the topological boundary under the embedding and such that the closure of the complement of  $M$  in  $E$  has the homotopy type of  $S^n$ ?*

The last example shows that the condition in 6-1 is not necessary.

EXAMPLE 4. Let  $T$  be a solid torus in the unit-ball  $B$  in  $\mathbf{R}^3$ . Then  $\text{cl}_B(B \setminus T)$  and  $\text{bd}_B T$  are finite-dimensional compact  $ANR$ 's. We have an  $E$ -manifold pair  $(M, N) = (\text{cl}_B(B \setminus T) \times E, (\text{bd}_B T) \times E)$  with  $N$  a  $Z$ -set in  $M$  which does not satisfy the condition in 6-1. But  $\text{bd}_{B \times E} M = N$  and  $B \times E \cong E$ .

## References

- [ 1 ] R.D. Anderson, On topological infinite deficiency, *Michigan Math. J.*, 14 (1967), 365-383.
- [ 2 ] R.D. Anderson, Some open questions in infinite-dimensional topology, *Proc. 3-rd Prague Top. Symp.* 1971, 29-35.
- [ 3 ] R.D. Anderson and R.H. Bing, A complete elementary proof that Hilbert space is homeomorphic to the countable infinite product of lines, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74 (1968), 771-792.
- [ 4 ] R.D. Anderson and J.D. McCharen, On extending homeomorphisms to Fréchet manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 25 (1970), 283-289.
- [ 5 ] R. Arens and J. Eelles, On embedding uniform and topological spaces, *Pacific J. Math.*, 6 (1956), 397-403.
- [ 6 ] K. Borsuk, *Theory of Retracts*, Monografie Mat. Tom. 44, PWM Warszawa, 1966.
- [ 7 ] M. Brown, Locally flat embeddings of manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, 75 (1962), 331-342.
- [ 8 ] T.A. Chapman, Dense sigma-compact subsets of infinite-dimensional manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 154 (1971), 399-426.
- [ 9 ] T.A. Chapman, Deficiency in infinite-dimensional manifolds, *General Topology and Appl.*, 1 (1971), 263-272.
- [ 10 ] W.H. Cutler, Negligible subsets of infinite-dimensional Fréchet manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 23 (1969), 668-675.
- [ 11 ] W.H. Cutler, Deficiency in  $F$ -manifolds, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 34 (1972), 260-266.
- [ 12 ] R. Geoghegan, and D.W. Henderson, Stable function spaces, *Amer. J. Math.*, 95 (1973), 461-470.
- [ 13 ] D.W. Henderson, Corrections and extensions of two papers about infinite-dimensional manifolds, *General Topology and Appl.*, 1 (1971), 321-327.
- [ 14 ] D.W. Henderson and J.E. West, Triangulated infinite-dimensional manifolds, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 76 (1970), 655-660.
- [ 15 ] S.-T. Hu, *Theory of Retracts*, Wayne St. Univ. Press, 1965.
- [ 16 ] V.L. Klee, Jr., Convex bodies and periodic homeomorphisms in Hilbert space, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 74 (1953), 10-43.
- [ 17 ] E. Michael, Convex structures and continuous selections, *Canad. J. Math.*, 11 (1959), 556-575.
- [ 18 ] K. Sakai, On embeddings of infinite-dimensional manifold pairs, *Sci. Rep. Tokyo Kyoiku Daigaku Sect. A*, 12 (1974), 202-213.
- [ 19 ] K. Sakai, An embedding of  $l^2$ -manifold pairs in  $l^2$ , *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 27 (1975), 557-560.
- [ 20 ] K. Sakai, An embedding theorem of infinite-dimensional manifold pairs in the modelled space, to appear in *Fund. Math.*
- [ 21 ] R.M. Schori, Topological stability for infinite-dimensional manifolds, *Compositio Math.*, 23 (1971), 87-100.
- [ 22 ] H. Toruńczyk, Remarks on Anderson's paper "On topological infinite deficiency", *Fund. Math.*, 66 (1970), 393-401.
- [ 23 ] H. Toruńczyk, A short proof of Hausdorff's theorem on extending metrics, *Fund. Math.*, 77 (1972), 191-193.
- [ 24 ] H. Toruńczyk, Absolute retracts as factors of normed linear spaces, *Fund. Math.*,

- 86 (1974), 53-67.
- [25] H. Toruńczyk, On Cartesian factors and the topological classification of linear metric spaces, *Fund. Mth.*, **88** (1975), 71-86.

Katsuro SAKAI  
Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Education  
Kagawa University  
Saiwai-cho, Takamatsu,  
Japan

---