

## A mathematical study of the circular Couéte flow

By Akira TAKESHITA

(Received March 22, 1975)

### § 1. Introduction.

The aim of the present paper is to study some detailed properties of special solutions of the 3-dimensional stationary and nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations from several points of view. The solution to be considered in this paper is the classical Couéte flow between two rotating concentric cylinders.

There are two main reasons why we wish to study this flow. First it is important to study detailed properties of special solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations<sup>(1)</sup> in special cases in order to guide the mathematical analysis for the equation in the general formulation. Secondly, the Couéte flow itself has many properties which are quite interesting mathematically as well as physically. For example, as the celebrated experiment by G. I. Taylor in 1923 revealed and as was rigorously proved mathematically by Velte [6] in 1966, the Couéte flow is not necessarily the unique solution. And moreover, what is more interesting and challenging to mathematicians is the physical fact that in experiments the Couéte flow is actually observed in one case but not in another case, notwithstanding mathematically it is equally a solution for both cases. In the latter case flows different from the Couéte flow are observed. Explanations of this phenomenon have been tried by physicists from the standpoint of the stability theory (See, for example, C. C. Lin [3]). But at the present state of the mathematical study of the N-S equations where we do not know whether a unique and global in time regular solution of the 3-dimensional N-S equation exists or not, the stability theory is confronted with theoretical difficulties.

In this paper we shall treat some general problems related to the Couéte flows. First we shall study the problem whether there exists for any given  $T (> 0)$  a regular solution in the interval  $[0, T]$  of the nonstationary N-S equation for every initial data given closely to the Couéte flow. After establishing an affirmative answer to this question, we next prove the differentiability in the sense of Fréchet of the evolution operator of this initial value

---

(1) For simplicity, we call it an N-S equation.

problem which gives a mathematical foundation to the linear stability theory. Thirdly, we shall study the eigenvalue problem of the Fréchet derivative evaluated at the Couéte flow and show that the Couéte flow is unstable for infinitesimal perturbations under certain circumstances. Finally, we shall prove that the Couéte flow is an isolated solution under almost all circumstances.

The author wishes to express his hearty thanks to Prof. H. Fujita who showed interests in this work and encouraged him with stimulating conversations.

## §2. Formulation of the problem and the results.

We consider the non-stationary and stationary N-S equations in a special domain  $G$  between two concentric cylinders of radii  $R_1$  and  $R_2$  ( $> R_1$ ). More precisely,  $G$  is defined by  $G = \{x = (x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \mathbf{R}^3; R_1^2 \leq x_1^2 + x_2^2 \leq R_2^2\}$ . The two cylinders rotate with constant angular velocities  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ ; the inner with  $\Omega_1$  and the outer with  $\Omega_2$  counter clockwise.

In  $G$  the N-S equation is written for the non-stationary motion as

$$(NSE) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v - \nabla_v v - \text{grad } q, \quad t > 0, \quad x \in G \\ \text{div } v(x) = 0, \quad x \in G \\ v(0, x) = a(x) \\ \text{and the boundary condition of adherence at the boundary} \\ \text{that the fluid on the boundary move with the boundary,} \end{array} \right.$$

and for the stationary motion as

$$(SE) \quad \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \Delta v - \nabla_v v - \text{grad } q = 0 \\ \text{div } v = 0 \\ \text{the boundary condition of adherence at the boundary.} \end{array} \right.$$

The boundary condition will be made explicit later. In these equations  $v$  is the velocity vector field of the fluid in question and  $q$  is a scalar function which is the pressure in the fluid. The unknowns are  $v$  and  $q$ .  $\nabla$  means the canonical affine connection in  $\mathbf{R}^3$  and  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian. In the sequel to treat the equations (NSE) and (SE) conveniently, we use two coordinate systems, the cartesian coordinate system  $(x_1, x_2, x_3)$  and the cylindrical coordinate system  $(r, \phi, z)$ . In these coordinate systems a vector field  $v$  is expressed; in the first,  $v = (v_1, v_2, v_3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ , and in the latter,  $v = (v_r, v_\phi, v_z) = v_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\phi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ . The well-known Couéte flow is then expressed by

$w = \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{r^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$  and  $q_0 = \int^r \frac{1}{\rho} \left(\alpha \rho + \frac{\beta}{\rho}\right) d\rho$  where  $\alpha = (R_2^2 \Omega_2 - R_1^2 \Omega_1) / (R_2^2 - R_1^2)$  and  $\beta = R_1^2 R_2^2 (\Omega_1 - \Omega_2) / (R_2^2 - R_1^2)$ . This is a solution of (SE) for all  $R_1, R_2, \Omega_1, \Omega_2$ . We use the letter  $w$  to denote the Couéte flow exclusively in this paper. It is the aim of this paper to study the properties of the Couéte flow and those of the solutions of the equations (NSE) and (SE) near the Couéte flow. To that end, we consider a portion  $G_h$  of  $G$  and treat the equations in  $G_h$ .  $G_h$  is defined by  $G_h = \{x \in G; 0 \leq x_3 \leq h\}$  and the union of the top and the bottom of  $G_h$  is denoted  $\delta G_h$ . In  $G_h$  we consider the following initial value problem and boundary value problem.

$$(IVP) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial v}{\partial t} = \Delta v - \nabla_v v - \text{grad } q \\ \text{div } v = 0 \\ v(0, x) = a(x) \\ v(x_1, x_2, 0) = v(x_1, x_2, h), \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, 0) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, h) \\ v = \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{R_i^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad \text{for } r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R_i^2, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

and

$$(BVP) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta v - \nabla_v v - \text{grad } q = 0 \\ \text{div } v = 0 \\ v(x_1, x_2, 0) = v(x_1, x_2, h), \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, 0) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, h) \\ v = \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{R_i^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \quad \text{for } r = R_i, \quad i = 1, 2. \end{cases}$$

In order to treat the problem in a functional analysis setting, we introduce some function spaces and operators.  $L^2 \equiv L^2(G_h)$  is a Hilbert space of all  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued functions  $v = (v_1(x), v_2(x), v_3(x))$  defined in  $G_h$  for which the norm  $\|v\| = \left(\int_{G_h} \sum_{i=1}^3 v_i^2(x) dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$  is finite.  $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty = C_{0,\sigma}^\infty(G_h)$  is a space of all  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued functions  $\varphi = (\varphi_1(x), \varphi_2(x), \varphi_3(x))$  such that (i) every component  $\varphi_j \in C^\infty(\bar{G}_h)$  (ii)  $\varphi_j = 0$  near the lateral  $\Gamma_h$  of  $G_h$ .  $\Gamma_h = \{x; x_1^2 + x_2^2 = R_i^2, i = 1, 2, 0 \leq x_3 \leq h\}$ . (iii)  $\text{div } \varphi = 0$ . (iv)  $\varphi(x_1, x_2, 0) = \varphi(x_1, x_2, h), \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, 0) = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, h)$ .  $L_\sigma^2 = L_\sigma^2(G_h)$  is the completion of  $C_{0,\sigma}^\infty$  with respect to the norm of  $L^2(G_h)$ . By  $P$  we denote the orthogonal projection of  $L^2$  onto  $L_\sigma^2$ . For  $\varphi \in C_{0,\sigma}^\infty$  we define an operator  $A$  by  $A\varphi = -P\Delta\varphi$ . It is easy to verify that  $A$  is a strictly positive symmetric operator in the Hilbert space  $L_\sigma^2$ . The positivity follows from the Poincaré inequality. We take the Friedrichs extension of  $A$  which we denote also by

the same letter  $A$ . Then  $A$  is a strictly positive self-adjoint operator with compact inverse  $A^{-1}$ . For real  $\gamma$  we denote  $A^\gamma$  the fractional power of  $A$  and by  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$  the domain of definition of  $A^\gamma$  endowed with its graph norm  $\|\varphi\|_\gamma = \|A^\gamma \varphi\|$ . Transforming the unknowns from  $(v, q)$  to  $(u, p)$  by the identities  $v = u + w$ ,  $q = p + q_0$ , and making use of the above notations, the equations (IVP) and (BVP) are transformed (formally) into the following abstract evolution equations (EE) and operator equation (E) in  $L_\sigma^2$ , respectively.

$$(EE) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{du}{dt} = -Au - P(\nabla_u u + \nabla_w u + \nabla_u w) \\ u(0) = a \end{cases}$$

and

$$(E) \quad Au + P(\nabla_u u + \nabla_w u + \nabla_u w) = 0.$$

In (EE)  $u = u(t)$  is regarded as an  $L_\sigma^2$ -valued function defined on  $\{t \geq 0\}$ . In order to investigate the integrability of the equation (EE), we introduce the following integral equation (IE),

$$(IE) \quad u(t) = e^{-tA}a - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P(\nabla_{u(s)} u(s) + \nabla_w u(s) + \nabla_{u(s)} w) ds$$

where by  $e^{-tA}$  we denote the semi-group of operators generated by  $-A$ . If we can prove the existence of solution  $u(t)$  of (IE) with a certain regularity property, it is easy to verify that it is a regular solution of (EE). Hence we shall be engaged exclusively in (IE).

Now we can write the main statements of our theorems which we are going to prove in this paper. Full statements of these theorems will be given in later sections.

**THEOREM 1** (an existence theorem). (1) *For every  $r > 0$  there exists  $T > 0$  such that there exists uniquely a solution of (IE) on the interval  $[0, T]$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  with  $\|A^{1/2}a\| < r$ .*

(2) *For every  $T > 0$  there exists  $r > 0$  such that the statement in (1) holds.*

According to Theorem 1, the evolution operator,  $S_t: a \mapsto u(t)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq T$ ) is well defined in the  $r$ -neighbourhood of the origin for  $T$  and  $\gamma$  in (1) or (2). Then we have

**THEOREM 2** (differentiability of the evolution operator). *The evolution operator  $S_t: \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $0 \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  for any  $t > 0$ .*

**THEOREM 3** (eigenvalue problem for the Fréchet derivative of the evolution operator). *For any  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in S^1$  (the 1-sphere) such that  $(R_2^3 \omega_2 - R_1^3 \omega_1)(\omega_2 - \omega_1) > 0$  there exists  $\rho_\omega > 0$  such that if  $\sqrt{\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2} > \rho_\omega$ , then the Fréchet derivative of the evolution operator  $S_t$  at 0 has real positive eigenvalue greater than 1 for*

every  $t > 0$ .

THEOREM 4. 0 is an isolated solution of (E) in  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$  with  $\gamma > \frac{3}{4}$  except for a countable set of values of  $\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2$ .

§ 3. Existence theorems.

First we state two lemmas concerning the operators  $A$  and  $e^{-tA}$ . For the statement of Lemma 1, we introduce the operator  $B$  defined as follows. The domain of definition of  $B$  is  $\mathcal{D}(B) = W_2^3(G_h) \cap H_2^1(G_h)$  where  $W_2^m(G_h)$  is a  $L^2$ -Sobolev space of order  $m$ , and  $H_2^1(G_h) = \{u \in W_2^1(G_h); u|_{\Gamma_h} = 0, u(x_1, x_2, 0) = u(x_1, x_2, h), \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, 0) = \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_3}(x_1, x_2, h)\}$ . And for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(B)$ ,  $Bu = -\Delta u$ .

LEMMA 1. For  $0 < \gamma < 1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma) = \mathcal{D}(B^\gamma) \cap L_\sigma^2$ . And therefore  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma) \subset C(\bar{G}_h)$  for  $\gamma > \frac{3}{4}$  and  $\sup_{x \in \bar{G}_h} |u(x)| \leq C_\gamma \|A^\gamma u\|$  for  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$ , with some constant  $C_\gamma > 0$ .

Here,  $C(\bar{G}_h)$  is the space of all continuous  $\mathbf{R}^3$ -valued functions defined on  $\bar{G}_h$ .

We can prove the lemma by the interpolation theory of Lions and a certain fact concerning  $\mathcal{D}(A)$ . For details, see H. Fujita and H. Morimoto [2] where analogous result is proved.

LEMMA 2. For  $0 < \gamma < e$ ,  $\|A^\gamma e^{-tA}\| \leq t^{-\gamma}$ .

PROOF. The proof is easy if we use the spectral representation of  $A$  and so we omit the proof.

We note here that by virtue of Lemma 1, the nonlinear operator  $P\nabla_u v$  is well-defined for every  $u \in \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$  if  $\gamma > \frac{3}{4}$ , and  $v \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  and we have  $\|P\nabla_u v\| \leq C_\gamma \|A^\gamma u\| \|A^{1/2} v\|$ . Actually in the cartesian coordinate system,  $\nabla_u v = \sum_{j=1}^3 \left( \sum_{i=1}^3 u_i \frac{\partial v_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} \equiv (u \cdot \nabla)v$  and hence the above estimate is an immediate consequence.

Now we are ready to study the integral equation (IE). For that purpose we introduce a function space  $\Psi_T^\gamma$  for  $T$  and  $\gamma$  with  $T > 0$  and  $\frac{3}{4} < \gamma < 1$ .  $\Psi_T^\gamma$  is a Banach space of all  $\mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$ -valued continuous functions  $u(t)$  defined on the interval  $[0, T]$  such that (i)  $u(t) \in \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$  for  $0 < t \leq T$ , (ii)  $u(t) \in C([0, T]; \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})) \cap C((0, T]; \mathcal{D}(A^\gamma))$ , and (iii) the norm

$$\|u\| \equiv \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|A^{1/2} u(t)\| + \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma u(s)\|$$

is finite.

We are going to obtain solutions of the integral equation (IE) in the class  $\Psi_T^\gamma$  by the iteration method. We use the following iteration scheme.

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} u_0(t) = 0, \\ u_{n+1}(t) = e^{-tA}a - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P[(u_n(s) \cdot \nabla)u_n(s) \\ + (w \cdot \nabla)u_n(s) + (u_n(s) \cdot \nabla)w] ds \quad \text{for } n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

First we must verify that the iteration is possible in  $\Psi_T^r$ . To that end we introduce functions  $K_u(t)$ ,  $M_u(t)$  for functions  $u(t)$  in  $\Psi_T^r$ . They are defined as follows.

$$K_u(t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma u(s)\|, \quad M_u(t) = \max_{0 \leq s \leq t} \|A^{1/2} u(s)\|.$$

And in addition we define operators  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $\mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  by

$$\mathcal{A}(u)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P(u(s) \cdot \nabla)u(s) ds,$$

$$\mathcal{B}(u)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P(w \cdot \nabla)u(s) ds,$$

$$\mathcal{C}(u)(t) = \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P(u(s) \cdot \nabla)w ds.$$

Then we obtain the following estimates which justify the feasibility of the iteration.

LEMMA 3.

$$\begin{cases} \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma \mathcal{A}(u)(s)\| \leq t^{1-\gamma} c_\gamma B_1 K_u(t) M_u(t) \\ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma \mathcal{B}(u)(s)\| \leq t^{1/2} \frac{c_\gamma}{1-\gamma} \|A^\gamma w\| M_u(t) \\ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma \mathcal{C}(u)(s)\| \leq t^{1-\gamma} c_\gamma B_1 \|A^{1/2} w\| K_u(t), \\ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|A^{1/2} \mathcal{A}(u)(s)\| \leq t^{1-\gamma} c_\gamma B_1 K_u(t) M_u(t) \\ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|A^{1/2} \mathcal{B}(u)(s)\| \leq t^{1/2} 2c_\gamma \|A^\gamma w\| M_u(t) \\ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|A^{1/2} \mathcal{C}(u)(s)\| \leq t^{1-\gamma} c_\gamma B_1 \|A^{1/2} w\| K_u(t). \end{cases}$$

Here  $B_1 = B(1-\gamma, \frac{3}{2}-\gamma)$  where  $B(\cdot, \cdot)$  is the beta function.

PROOF. We prove the first estimate only. The others are proved similarly.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^s \|A^\gamma e^{-(s-\sigma)A} P(u(\sigma) \cdot \nabla)u(\sigma)\| d\sigma &\leq \int_0^s (s-\sigma)^{-\gamma} c_\gamma \|A^\gamma u(\sigma)\| \|A^{1/2} u(\sigma)\| d\sigma \\ &\leq c_\gamma \int_0^s (s-\sigma)^{-\gamma} \sigma^{1/2-\gamma} K_u(s) M_u(s) d\sigma \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= c_\gamma K_u(s)M_u(s) \int_0^1 (1-\rho)^{-\gamma} \rho^{1/2-\gamma} s^{3/2-2\gamma} d\rho \\
 &= s^{3/2-2\gamma} c_\gamma B\left(1-\gamma, \frac{3}{2}-\gamma\right) K_u(s)M_u(s).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma \mathcal{A}(u)(s)\| \leq c_\gamma B_1 \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{1-\gamma} K_u(s)M_u(s) \leq c_\gamma B_1 t^{1-\gamma} K_u(t)M_u(t).$$

In the last inequality we used the fact that  $K_u(t)$  and  $M_u(t)$  are increasing functions and the assumption that  $\frac{3}{4} < \gamma < 1$ . Q. E. D.

By Lemma 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma [\mathcal{A}(u)(s) + \mathcal{B}(u)(s) + \mathcal{C}(u)(s)]\| \\
 &\leq t^{1-\gamma} [c_1 K_u(t)M_u(t) + c_2 K_u(t) + c_3 t^{\gamma-1/2} M_u(t)]
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|A^{1/2} [\mathcal{A}(u)(s) + \mathcal{B}(u)(s) + \mathcal{C}(u)(s)]\| \\
 &\leq t^{1-\gamma} [c_1 K_u(t)M_u(t) + c_2 K_u(t) + c_3 t^{\gamma-1/2} M_u(t)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, defining  $N_u(t) = \max \{K_u(t), M_u(t)\}$  and  $\mathcal{M}(u) = \mathcal{A}(u) + \mathcal{B}(u) + \mathcal{C}(u)$ , we have

$$(3.2) \quad N_{\mathcal{M}(u)}(t) \leq t^{1-\gamma} [c_1 N_{\mathcal{M}(u)}^2(t) + (c_2 + c_3 t^{\gamma-1/2}) N_{\mathcal{M}(u)}(t)]$$

where we used  $c_1, c_2, c_3$  to denote positive constants depending only on  $\gamma$  and the Couéte flow  $w$ .

We now return to the iteration scheme (3.1). By the estimate (3.2) we have the following recurrence inequality, writing  $N_{\mathcal{M}(u_n)}(t)$  simply as  $N_n(t)$

$$(3.3) \quad N_{n+1}(t) \leq \|A^{1/2} a\| + t^{1-\gamma} [c_1 N_n^2(t) + (c_2 + c_3 t^{\gamma-1/2}) N_n(t)].$$

By a simple consideration we have for every  $u_n$

$$(3.4) \quad N_n(t) \leq \chi(t)$$

if  $c_2 t^{1-\gamma} + c_3 t^{1/2} < 1$  and  $\Delta(t) > 0$  where we define

$$(3.5) \quad \Delta(t) = (c_2 t^{1-\gamma} + c_3 t^{1/2} - 1)^2 - 4c_1 t^{1-\gamma} \|A^{1/2} a\|$$

and

$$(3.6) \quad \chi(t) = [1 - (c_2 t^{1-\gamma} + c_3 t^{1/2}) - \Delta(t)^{1/2}] / 2c_1 t^{1-\gamma}.$$

We can now study the convergence of the iteration. Setting  $v_n(t) = u_{n+1}(t) - u_n(t)$ , we have  $v_0(t) = e^{-tA} a$  and

$$v_n(t) = - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P [(v_{n-1}(s) \cdot \nabla) u_n(s) + (u_{n-1}(s) \cdot \nabla) v_{n-1}(s) + (w \cdot \nabla) v_{n-1}(s) + (v_{n-1}(s) \cdot \nabla) w] ds .$$

In order to estimate  $v_n(t)$  we define

$$D_n(t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma v_n(s)\| , \quad E_n(t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|A^{1/2} v_n(s)\| ,$$

$$F_n(t) = \max \{D_n(t), E_n(t)\}, \quad K_n(t) = K_{u_n}(t), \quad M_n(t) = M_{u_n}(t), \quad N_n(t) = N_{u_n}(t) .$$

Then we have

$$F_n(t) \leq t^{1-\gamma} (d_1 \chi(t) + d_2 \|A^\gamma w\| t^{\gamma-1/2} + d_3 \|A^{1/2} w\|) F_{n-1}(t) \equiv \rho(t, w) F_{n-1}(t)$$

and

$$F_0(t) \leq \|A^{1/2} a\|$$

where  $d_1, d_2, d_3$  are positive constants depending only on  $\gamma$ . If we note that for every fixed  $w, \chi(t)$  tends to 0 as  $t$  tends to 0, we immediately see that there exists a positive  $T$  such that  $\rho(T, w) < 1$ . For such  $T, \sum_{n=0}^\infty F_n(t)$  converges uniformly in  $t \in [0, T]$ . Hence we see, noting that  $A$  is a closed operator and has a continuous inverse, that  $u(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t)$  exists in  $L^2_\sigma$  and  $\mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  for every  $t \in [0, T]$  and in  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$  for every  $t \in (0, T]$  and that the former convergence is uniform on  $[0, T]$  and the latter locally uniform in  $(0, T]$ . The fact that the limit function belongs to  $\Psi^r_T$  is evident.

Now let us suppose that arbitrary positive  $r$  is given. We consider a problem whether there exists a positive  $T$  such that the integral equation (IE) has a solution in  $\Psi^r_T$  for every initial data  $a \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  with  $\|A^{1/2} a\| \leq r$ . From the discussions above we see that it suffices that  $T$  satisfies the following three inequalities.

$$c_2 T^{1-\gamma} + c_3 T^{1/2} < 1, \quad \Delta(T) > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \rho(T, w) < 1$$

where  $\|A^{1/2} a\|$  is replaced by  $r$  in the expression of  $\Delta(T)$  and  $\rho(T, w)$ . The fact that there exists such a  $T$  is easily seen from the explicit expression of  $\Delta(T)$  and  $\rho(T, w)$ .

Next let us consider a problem whether, for any given  $T$ , there exists a positive  $r$  such that the integral equation (IE) has a solution in  $\Psi^r_T$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  with  $\|A^{1/2} a\| \leq r$ . If we notice that  $\|A^{1/2} a\|$  does not appear in the first one of the three inequalities above and that  $\chi(T) \rightarrow 0$  when  $\|A^{1/2} a\| \rightarrow 0$ , we see that we can construct a solution in question by a finite number of steps of time-intervals.

Thus we have proved the following

**THEOREM 1.** (1) *For every given  $r > 0$ , we can choose  $T > 0$  such that for*

every  $a \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  with  $\|A^{1/2}a\| \leq r$ , there exists a solution of the integral equation (IE) in the interval  $[0, T]$  which belongs to the class  $\Psi_T^r$ .

(2) For every given  $T > 0$  we can choose  $r > 0$  such that there exists a solution of (IE) on  $[0, T]$  which belongs to the class  $\Psi_T^r$  for every  $a \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  with  $\|A^{1/2}a\| \leq r$ .

REMARK. We have not mentioned the uniqueness of the solution. However, it is not difficult to prove the uniqueness of the solution in the class  $\Psi_T^r$ .

**§ 4. Differentiability of the evolution operator  $S_t$ .**

First let us recall the definition of the Fréchet derivative.

DEFINITION. Let  $X, Y$  be Banach spaces and  $\Phi$  be a continuous mapping defined in a neighbourhood  $U$  of an element  $a \in X$  with values in  $Y$ . A bounded linear operator  $A$  from  $X$  to  $Y$  is called the Fréchet derivative of  $\Phi$  at  $a$  if

$$\Phi(a+h) - \Phi(a) = Ah + o(\|h\|) \quad \text{as } a+h \text{ tends to } a \text{ in } U.$$

And when this is the case,  $\Phi$  is said to be Fréchet differentiable at  $a$ .

We now return to the integral equation (IE) and define the evolution operator  $S_t: \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  by  $S_t a = u(t)$  where  $u(t)$  is the solution of (IE) with initial data  $a$ . By Theorem 1, we know that for any given  $T > 0$  we can find a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $0 \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  where  $S_t$  is defined everywhere. Hence we can talk about the Fréchet differentiability of  $S_t$  at 0. We fix  $U$  and  $T$  above. For  $h \in U$ ,  $S_t$  satisfies the following integral equation by definition

$$S_t h = e^{-tA} h - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P[(S_s h \cdot \nabla) S_s h + (w \cdot \nabla) S_s h + (S_s h \cdot \nabla) w] ds.$$

An inspection indicates that the Fréchet derivative of  $S_t$  at  $0 \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  which we denote by  $DS_t$  must satisfy the following integral equation if it exists

$$(4.1) \quad DS_t h = e^{-tA} h - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P[(w \cdot \nabla) DS_s h + (DS_s h \cdot \nabla) w] ds.$$

In order to integrate the equation (4.1) we define an operator  $\Gamma$  in the function space  $\Psi_T^r$  by

$$\Gamma(f)(t) = e^{-tA} h - \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P[(w \cdot \nabla) f(s) + (f(s) \cdot \nabla) w] ds.$$

The operator  $\Gamma$  is well-defined since we have the following estimate

$$(4.2) \quad \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma \Gamma(f)(s)\|, \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|A^{1/2} \Gamma(f)(s)\| \right\} \\ \leq \|A^{1/2} h\| + c(t^{1-\gamma} K_f(t) + t^{1/2} M_f(t))$$

with positive constant  $c$  depending only on  $\gamma$  and  $w$  (the Couéte flow). And

we have for any  $f_1, f_2 \in \Psi_\tau^\gamma$  ( $0 < \tau \leq T$ ),

$$(4.3) \quad \|I^\gamma(f_1) - I^\gamma(f_2)\| \leq c_1 \tau^{1-\gamma} \|A^\gamma w\| (1 + c_2 \tau^{\gamma-1/2}) \|f_1 - f_2\|$$

with positive constants  $c_1, c_2$  depending only on  $\gamma$ . We choose  $\tau > 0$  sufficiently small so that

$$(4.4) \quad c_1 \tau^{1-\gamma} \|A^\gamma w\| (1 + c_2 \tau^{\gamma-1/2}) < 1.$$

Then there exists uniquely in  $\Psi_\tau^\gamma$  a solution of  $f = I^\gamma(f)$  which we denote by  $f(t; h)$ .

Next we shall prove, making use of  $f(t; h)$ , that there exists  $0 < \tau' \leq \tau$  such that  $S_{\tau'}$  is Fréchet differentiable at 0. We set  $g(t; h) = S_t h$  then we have

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f(t; h) - g(t; h) &= \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P[(g(s; h) \cdot \nabla)g(s; h)] ds \\ &\quad + \int_0^t e^{-(t-s)A} P[(w \cdot \nabla)(f(s; h) - g(s; h)) + ([f(s; h) - g(s; h)] \cdot \nabla)w] ds. \end{aligned}$$

It is enough to prove that there exists  $\tau' > 0$  such that

$$\|A^{1/2}(f(\tau'; h) - g(\tau'; h))\| / \|A^{1/2}h\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as} \quad \|A^{1/2}h\| \rightarrow 0.$$

Estimating (4.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f(t; h) - g(t; h)\| &\leq c_3 t^{1-\gamma} \|A^\gamma w\| (1 + c_4 t^{\gamma-1/2}) \|f(t; h) - g(t; h)\| \\ &\quad + c_5 t^{1-\gamma} \|A^\gamma w\| L(t)^2 \end{aligned}$$

with positive constants  $c_3, c_4, c_5$  depending only on  $\gamma$  where the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  is for functions  $f, g \in \Psi_\tau^\gamma$ , and

$$L(t) = \max \left\{ \sup_{0 < s \leq t} s^{\gamma-1/2} \|A^\gamma g(s; h)\|, \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \|A^{1/2}g(s; h)\| \right\}.$$

If we choose  $\tau' > 0$  such that

$$(4.6) \quad c_3 \tau'^{1-\gamma} \|A^\gamma w\| (1 + c_4 \tau'^{\gamma-1/2}) < \theta < 1,$$

we have

$$\|f(t; h) - g(t; h)\| < (1 - \theta)^{-1} c_5 \tau'^{1-\gamma} \|A^\gamma w\| L(\tau')^2.$$

This implies the desired relation

$$f(\tau'; h) - g(\tau'; h) = o(\|A^{1/2}h\|),$$

since the inequality  $L(\tau') \leq c_6(\tau') \|A^{1/2}h\|$  is obvious from the property of Theorem 1. Thus we have proved that  $S_{\tau'}$  is Fréchet differentiable at 0. By the estimates (4.2) and (4.4), we see that  $\tau'$  is determined only by  $\gamma$  and  $w$  and so, by the chain rule for Fréchet derivatives, we have the following

**THEOREM 2.** *For every  $T > 0$  the evolution operator  $S_t$  from a neighbourhood of  $0 \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  into  $\mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  is Fréchet differentiable at  $0 \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$ .*

§ 5. The eigenvalue problem.

In this section we study the eigenvalue problem of the linear operator  $E_t = DS_t$  which is the Fréchet derivative at zero of the evolution operator  $S_t$ . What we wish to know is whether  $E_t$  has an eigenvalue whose absolute value is greater than 1 or not.

This problem can be reduced to the question whether the eigenvalue problem

$$(5.1) \quad -Au - P\nabla_u w - P\nabla_w u = \lambda u$$

admits real positive eigenvalues or not. It is easy to verify that (5.1) is equivalent to

$$(5.2) \quad \Delta u - \nabla_u w - \nabla_w u - \text{grad } p = \lambda u, \quad \text{div } u = 0$$

with a suitable scalar function  $p$ . We adopt the cylindrical coordinate system  $(r, \phi, z)$ , where  $u = (u_r, u_\phi, u_z) = u_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{u_\phi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + u_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$  and the Couéte flow  $w$  is expressed as  $w = (w_r, w_\phi, w_z) = \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{r^2}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$ . We recall that  $\alpha = (R_2^2 \Omega_2 - R_1^2 \Omega_1) / (R_2^2 - R_1^2)$ ,  $\beta = R_1^2 R_2^2 (\Omega_1 - \Omega_2) / (R_2^2 - R_1^2)$ . In the cylindrical coordinate system, (5.2) is expressed as

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)u_r - \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} - \mathcal{N}(w)u_r + \frac{w_\phi u_\phi}{r} - \mathcal{N}(u)w_r + \frac{u_\phi w_\phi}{r} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \lambda u_r \\ \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)u_\phi + \frac{2}{r^2} \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial \phi} - \mathcal{N}(w)u_\phi - \frac{w_\phi u_r}{r} - \mathcal{N}(u)w_\phi - \frac{u_\phi w_r}{r} - \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial p}{\partial \phi} = \lambda u_\phi \\ \Delta u_z - \mathcal{N}(w)u_z - \mathcal{N}(u)w_z - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \lambda u_z \\ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (ru_r) + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial \phi} + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0 \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial \phi^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2}$  and  $\mathcal{N}(v) = v_r \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{v_\phi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} + v_z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ . We seek  $u$  and  $p$  which are independent of the variable  $\phi$ . Then (5.3) reduces to

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{cases} \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)u_r + 2\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{r^2}\right) \frac{\partial u_\phi}{\partial z} - \frac{\partial p}{\partial r} = \lambda u_r \\ \left(\Delta - \frac{1}{r^2}\right)u_\phi - 2\alpha \frac{\partial u_r}{\partial z} = \lambda u_\phi \\ \Delta u_z - \frac{\partial p}{\partial z} = \lambda u_z \\ \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} (ru_r) + \frac{\partial u_z}{\partial z} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Introducing a stream function  $f$  by the relations  $ru_r = -\frac{\partial}{\partial z}(rf)$  and  $ru_z = -\frac{\partial}{\partial r}(rf)$ , we obtain from (5.4)

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} L(L-\lambda)f + 2\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{r^2}\right)u_\phi &= 0 \\ (L-\lambda)u_\phi - 2\alpha f &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

where we write  $L = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial z^2} - \frac{1}{r^2}$ . On  $f$  we impose an additional boundary condition that  $f, \frac{\partial}{\partial r}f = 0$  for  $r = R_1, R_2$ . We set  $f(r, z) = \hat{f}(r) \cos \sigma z$ ,  $u_\phi(r, z) = \hat{u}(r) \sin \sigma z$  with  $\sigma = \frac{2\pi}{h}$ . The boundary condition is reduced to the condition  $f(R_i) = f'(R_i) = u(R_i) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . Hence we have the following system of linear ordinary differential equations

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{L} - \sigma^2)(\mathcal{L}^2 - \sigma^2 - \lambda)f(r) + 2\left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{r^2}\right)\sigma u(r) = 0 \\ (\mathcal{L} - \sigma^2 - \lambda)u(r) + 2\alpha f(r) = 0 \\ f(R_i) = f'(R_i) = u(R_i) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

where we write  $\mathcal{L} = \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{1}{r} \frac{d}{dr} - \frac{1}{r^2}$ . In order to investigate the system (5.6), we consider the following two boundary value problems for ordinary differential operators  $\mathcal{L} - \mu$  for  $\mu \geq 0$ .

$$(BVP-1) \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{L} - \mu)g(r) = \varphi(r), & r \in (R_1, R_2) \\ g(R_i) = 0, & i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

$$(BVP-2) \quad \begin{cases} (\mathcal{L} - \mu_1)(\mathcal{L} - \mu_2)g(r) = \varphi(r), & r \in (R_1, R_2) \\ g(R_i) = g'(R_i) = 0, & i = 1, 2 \end{cases}$$

with  $\mu, \mu_i \geq 0, i = 1, 2$ .

The next lemma is useful.

LEMMA 4. *Let  $G(r, r'; \mu)$  and  $H(r, r'; \mu_1, \mu_2)$  be the Green functions for (BVP-1) and (BVP-2) respectively. Then  $G$  and  $H$  are negative valued and positive valued respectively almost everywhere.*

This lemma may be proved by an explicit construction of the kernels making use of the Bessel functions but it can be proved also by repetition of elementary discussions. So we omit the proof.

We now return to the system (5.6). From (5.6) we have

$$(5.7) \quad f(r) = - \int_{R_1}^{R_2} H(r, r'; \sigma^2, \sigma^2 + \lambda) 2\sigma \left(\alpha + \frac{\beta}{r'^2}\right) u(r') dr'$$

and

$$(5.8) \quad u(r) = - \int_{R_1}^{R_2} G(r, r'; \sigma^2 + \lambda) 2\alpha f(r') dr' .$$

Hence we have

$$(5.9) \quad f(r) = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} K(r, s; \sigma, \lambda) f(s) ds$$

where  $K(r, s; \sigma, \lambda) = 4\sigma \int_{R_1}^{R_2} H(r, r'; \sigma^2, \sigma^2 + \lambda) \alpha \left( \alpha + \frac{\beta}{r'^2} \right) G(r', s; \sigma^2 + \lambda) dr'$ . Now the problem is reduced to that whether the integral operator  $K$  defined by the kernel  $K(r, s; \sigma, \lambda)$  has 1 as its eigenvalue or not. For that purpose the following lemma of Jentzsch (See Schmeidler [4]) is useful.

LEMMA 5. Let  $K(r, s)$  be a continuous kernel on the interval  $[R_1, R_2]$  which is positive almost everywhere. Then the integral operator  $Kf(r) = \int_{R_1}^{R_2} K(r, s) f(s) ds$  has a positive eigenvalue.

What we have to do next is to investigate the sign of the function  $k(r) = \alpha \left( \alpha + \frac{\beta}{r^2} \right) = \frac{R_2^2 \Omega_2 - R_1^2 \Omega_1}{R_2^2 - R_1^2} \left[ (R_2^2 \Omega_2 - R_1^2 \Omega_1) + \frac{R_1^2 R_2^2 (\Omega_1 - \Omega_2)}{r^2} \right]$ . For a fixed  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in S^1$  (the 1-sphere) we set  $(\Omega_1, \Omega_2) = \rho(\omega_1, \omega_2)$ ,  $\rho \geq 0$ , and

$$l(r; \rho, \omega) = \rho^2 \frac{R_2^2 \omega_2 - R_1^2 \omega_1}{R_2^2 - R_1^2} \left[ (R_2^2 \omega_2 - R_1^2 \omega_1) + \frac{R_1^2 R_2^2 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)}{r^2} \right] .$$

Then the kernel  $K$  satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} K(r, s; \lambda) &= 4\sigma \int_{R_1}^{R_2} H(r, r'; \sigma^2, \sigma^2 + \lambda) l(r'; \rho, \omega) K(r', s; \sigma^2, \lambda) dr' \\ &\equiv \rho^2 L(r, s; \lambda, \omega) . \end{aligned}$$

Hence, making use of Lemma 4 and Lemma 5, we have

THEOREM 3. For every fixed  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in S^1$ , such that  $(R_2^2 \omega_2 - R_1^2 \omega_1)(\omega_2 - \omega_1) > 0$  there exists  $\rho(\omega) > 0$  such that for every  $\rho \equiv \Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2 > \rho(\omega)$  the corresponding Couéte flow is unstable under infinitesimal perturbations.

It suffices only to note that the integral equation (5.9) is reduced to

$$f(r) = \rho^2 \int_{R_1}^{R_2} L(r, s; \lambda, \omega) f(s) ds .$$

### § 6. Isolatedness of the Couéte flow.

What we are going to do in this section is to investigate whether or not 0 is an isolated solution of the equation

$$(E) \quad Au + P(u \cdot \nabla)u + P(w \cdot \nabla)u + P(u \cdot \nabla)w = 0$$

where  $w$  is the Couétté flow. We take  $\gamma$  with  $\frac{3}{4} < \gamma < 1$  and work in the Hilbert space  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$ . Assume that  $0 \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  is not an isolated solution of (E). Then there exist solutions  $u_n (\neq 0)$  of (E) for  $n=1, 2, \dots$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^\gamma u_n\| = 0$ . Set  $\phi_n = u_n / \|A^\gamma u_n\|$ . Then we have

$$(6.1) \quad A\phi_n + \|A^\gamma u_n\| P((\phi_n \cdot \nabla)\phi_n + P(w \cdot \nabla)\phi_n + P(\phi_n \cdot \nabla)w) = 0.$$

As for the second term, we see that

$$\|P(\phi_n \cdot \nabla)\phi_n\| \leq c_\gamma \|A^\gamma \phi_n\| \|A^{1/2} \phi_n\| \leq c_\gamma \|A^{1/2-\gamma}\| \|A^\gamma \phi_n\|^2 = c_\gamma \|A^{1/2-\gamma}\|$$

and so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A^\gamma u_n\| P(\phi_n \cdot \nabla)\phi_n = 0$ . Hence  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A\phi_n + P(w \cdot \nabla)\phi_n + P(\phi_n \cdot \nabla)w\} = 0$  strongly in  $L^2_\sigma$ . And so by the boundedness of  $A^{\gamma-1}$  we have

$$(6.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{A^\gamma \phi_n + A^{\gamma-1}[P(w \cdot \nabla)\phi_n + P(\phi_n \cdot \nabla)w]\} = 0.$$

By the compactness of the inclusions  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2}) \rightarrow L^2_\sigma$  and the equality  $\|\nabla\varphi\| = \|A^{1/2}\varphi\|$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  we see there exists a subsequence  $\{\phi_{n'}\}$  such that  $\lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} [P(w \cdot \nabla)\phi_{n'} + P(\phi_{n'} \cdot \nabla)w]$  exists strongly in  $L^2_\sigma$  and this implies that  $\phi_\infty = \lim_{n' \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{n'}$  exists strongly in  $\mathcal{D}(A^\gamma)$ . Hence we have

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{cases} A^\gamma \phi_\infty + A^{\gamma-1}[P(w \cdot \nabla)\phi_\infty + P(\phi_\infty \cdot \nabla)w] = 0 \\ \|A^\gamma \phi_\infty\| = 1. \end{cases}$$

This implies that the operator  $B$  defined by  $B\varphi = -A^{-1}[P(w \cdot \nabla)\varphi + P(\varphi \cdot \nabla)w]$  in  $L^2_\sigma$  with domain of definition  $\mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  has 1 as its eigenvalue.

We now recall the explicit form of  $w$ .

$$w = \frac{w_\phi}{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} = \rho \frac{1}{R_2^3 - R_1^3} \left[ R_2^3 \omega_2 - R_1^3 \omega_1 + \frac{R_1^3 R_2^3 (\omega_1 - \omega_2)}{r} \right] \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}$$

where  $\rho \geq 0$ ,  $\omega = (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in S^1$ . We set  $w = \rho w_\omega$ . Then

$$B\varphi = -\rho A^{-1}[P(w_\omega \cdot \nabla)\varphi + P(\varphi \cdot \nabla)w_\omega] \equiv \rho \hat{B}\varphi.$$

By the compactness of the operator  $A^{-1}$  and the equality  $\|\nabla\varphi\| = \|A^{1/2}\varphi\|$  for  $\varphi \in \mathcal{D}(A^{1/2})$  we can prove that  $\hat{B}$  can be extended to the whole space  $L^2_\sigma$  and the resulting operator is a compact operator. Hence by means of the Riesz-Schauder theorem we have

**THEOREM 4.** *The Couétté flow is an isolated solution in  $W^1_2(G_n)$  ( $r > \frac{3}{4}$ ). For a fixed  $(\omega_1, \omega_2) \in S^1$ , the isolatedness holds except for a countable set of values of  $\Omega_1^2 + \Omega_2^2$ .*

**References**

- [ 1 ] H. Fujita and T. Kato, On the Navier-Stokes initial value problem 1, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 16 (1964), 269-315.
- [ 2 ] H. Fujita and H. Morimoto, On fractional powers of the Stokes operator, Proc. Japan Acad., Vol. 46, No. 10 (1970), 1141-1143.
- [ 3 ] C. C. Lin, The theory of hydrodynamical stability, Oxford, 1953.
- [ 4 ] W. Schmeidler, Integralgleichungen mit Anwendungen in Physik und Technik, Bd. I., Berlin, 1950.
- [ 5 ] A. Takeshita, On the reproductive property of the 2-dimensional Navier-Stokes equations., J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, I. Vol. XVI, part 3 (1970), 279-311.
- [ 6 ] W. Velte, Stabilität und Verzweigungen der Navier-Stokesschen Gleichungen beim Taylorproblem, Arch. Rational Anal., 22 (1966), 1-14.

Akira TAKESHITA  
Mathematical Insitute  
Nagoya University  
Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku  
Nagoya, Japan

