

## On a tensor product $C^*$ -algebra associated with the free group on two generators

By Charles A. AKEMANN<sup>†</sup> and Phillip A. OSTRAND

(Received Dec. 23, 1974)

Let  $G$  be the free group on two generators, and  $L^2$  the Hilbert space of square summable complex valued functions on  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  be the  $C^*$ -algebras generated respectively by the left and right regular representations of  $G$  on  $L^2$  and let  $\mathfrak{A}$  be the  $C^*$ -algebra generated by  $\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  jointly. In [1] the authors provided a formula for computing the norm of certain operators in  $\mathcal{L}$ . In this paper the results of [1] are applied to the study of  $\mathfrak{A}$ , which may be regarded as a  $C^*$ -tensor product. (See the remark preceding Lemma 4.) We prove that  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains the compact operators  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $L^2$  (Theorem 1) as its only closed two-sided ideal (Theorem 3), and that there is a derivation of  $\mathfrak{A}$  into  $\mathcal{C}$  which is not inner (Example 5). This investigation was suggested by Jun Tomiyama and Masamichi Takesaki at the Japan-U. S. Seminar on  $C^*$ -Algebras and Applications to Physics in Kyoto in May of 1974. Some related papers are listed in the references.

### §1. Notation and Terminology.

Let  $S$  be a non-empty set. By  $L^2(S)$  we mean the vector space of square summable complex valued functions on  $S$ . We prefer, however, to write the elements of  $L^2(S)$  as (generally) infinite linear combinations, identifying the complex valued function  $f$  on  $S$  with the vector  $\sum_{w \in S} f(w)w$ . Thus we have

$$L^2(S) = \left\{ \sum_{w \in S} \lambda_w w \mid \sum_{w \in S} |\lambda_w|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

$L^2(S)$  is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\left( \sum_{w \in S} \lambda_w w, \sum_{w \in S} \mu_w w \right) = \sum_{w \in S} \lambda_w \bar{\mu}_w,$$

and resulting  $l_2$  norm

$$\left\| \sum_{w \in S} \lambda_w w \right\|_2 = \left( \sum_{w \in S} |\lambda_w|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

By  $L(S)$  we mean the subspace of  $L^2(S)$  spanned by  $S$ ; i. e.,  $L(S)$  consists of

---

<sup>†</sup> Partially supported by National Science Foundation grant GP-19101.

all finite linear combinations  $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$  with  $x_i$  in  $S$ .

Let  $G$  be the free group on two generators. For simplicity of reference we will abbreviate  $L^2(G)$  to  $L^2$  and  $L(G)$  to  $L$ .  $G$  acts on  $L^2$  from either the left or right. For  $x$  in  $G$  and  $A = \sum_{w \in G} \lambda_w w$  in  $L^2$ , let

$$L_x(A) = \sum_{w \in G} \lambda_w x w, \quad R_x(A) = \sum_{w \in G} \lambda_w w x^{-1}.$$

These are the left and right regular representations of  $G$  on  $L^2$ . Each extends by linearity to an action of  $L$  on  $L^2$ . For  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$  in  $L$ ,

$$L_A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i L_{x_i}, \quad R_A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i R_{x_i}.$$

For each  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$  in  $L$ ,  $L_A$  and  $R_A$  are bounded operators on  $L^2$ , with operator norm satisfying

$$\|L_A\| = \|R_A\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\alpha_i|.$$

$\mathcal{L}$  and  $\mathcal{R}$  denote the completions in operator norm of  $\{L_A | A \in L\}$  and  $\{R_A | A \in L\}$  respectively, and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the closed subalgebra of  $\mathcal{B}$ , the bounded operators on  $L^2$ , generated by  $\mathcal{L} \cup \mathcal{R}$ .  $\mathfrak{A}$  is the principal object of study in this paper.

In  $L^2$  we have a convolution operation. For  $A = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x x$  and  $A' = \sum_{u \in G} \lambda_u u$ ,

$$AA' = \sum_{w \in G} \left( \sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x \lambda_{x^{-1}w} \right) w.$$

$AA'$  is always well defined in the sense that each coefficient is finite (in fact  $\leq \|A\|_2 \|A'\|_2$  by the Schwarz inequality). But  $AA'$  is not generally in  $L^2$ . When  $AA' \in L^2$  for every  $A' \in L^2$  we say that  $A$  is a convolver of  $L^2$ .

Clearly each  $A \in L$  is a convolver and

$$L_A(A') = AA'$$

for each  $A'$  in  $L^2$ . More generally, if  $\varphi \in \mathcal{L}$ , then  $A = \varphi(e)$  is a convolver ( $e$  is the identity of  $G$ ), and

$$\varphi(A') = AA'$$

for each  $A'$  in  $L^2$ . This follows from [7, p. 788-9] but may easily be verified directly. Let

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\varphi(e) | \varphi \in \mathcal{L}\}.$$

For each  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  let  $L_A$  be the linear operator given by

$$L_A(A') = AA'.$$

For  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  define the operator norm of  $A$  by

$$\|A\| = \|L_A\|.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{L} = \{L_A \mid A \in \mathcal{U}\},$$

and the mapping  $A \rightarrow L_A$  is an isometry of  $\mathcal{U}$  (with operator norm) onto  $\mathcal{L}$ .

$\mathcal{U}$  represents  $\mathcal{R}$  in a similar manner. For  $A = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x x$  in  $L^2$ , let  $\hat{A} = \sum_{x \in G} \alpha_x x^{-1}$ . For  $A \in \mathcal{U}$  define the operator  $R_A$  on  $L^2$  by

$$R_A(A) = A\hat{A}.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{R} = \{R_A \mid A \in \mathcal{U}\}$$

and the mapping  $A \rightarrow R_A$  is an isometry of  $\mathcal{U}$  onto  $\mathcal{R}$ . (For  $\theta \in \mathcal{R}$ ,  $\theta = R_A$  where  $A = \widehat{\theta(e)}$ .)

Thus in a sense  $\mathcal{U}$  is an abstract formulation of either regular representation of  $G$  on  $L^2$ . It also provides a convenient way to describe the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ , namely, as the closure in  $\mathcal{B}$  of

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n L_{A_i} R_{B_i} \mid A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{U} \right\}.$$

Tensor product spaces play an important role in our study of  $\mathfrak{A}$ . Let  $L \otimes L$  denote the usual algebraic tensor product of  $L$  with itself. Each element of  $L \otimes L$  can be expressed uniquely in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \otimes y_i$$

with  $x_i, y_i \in G$ . In particular for  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i x_i$  and  $B = \sum_{j=1}^t \beta_j y_j$  in  $L$ ,

$$A \otimes B = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^t \alpha_i \beta_j x_i \otimes y_j.$$

In  $L \otimes L$  we have the usual  $l_2$  norm. For  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \otimes y_i$ ,

$$\|A\|_2 = \left( \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda_i|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We note that  $\|A \otimes B\|_2 = \|A\|_2 \|B\|_2$  for each  $A, B \in L$ .

$L^2 \otimes L^2$  denotes the completion of  $L \otimes L$  in the  $l_2$  norm. This may be formally represented

$$L^2 \otimes L^2 = \left\{ \sum_{x,y \in G} \lambda_{x,y} x \otimes y \mid \sum_{x,y \in G} |\lambda_{x,y}|^2 < \infty \right\},$$

with

$$\left\| \sum_{x,y \in G} \lambda_{x,y} x \otimes y \right\|_2 = \left( \sum_{x,y \in G} |\lambda_{x,y}|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

$L \otimes L$  acts on  $L^2 \otimes L^2$  from the left. For  $u, v \in G$ , and  $A = \sum_{x, y \in G} \lambda_{x, y} x \otimes y$  in  $L^2 \otimes L^2$ ,

$$(u \otimes v)A = \sum_{x, y \in G} \lambda_{x, y} (ux) \otimes (vy).$$

This leads to the usual operator norm on  $L \otimes L$  which we call the  $\alpha$ -norm.

$$\|A\|_\alpha = \sup \{ \|AA\|_2 \mid A \in L^2 \otimes L^2, \|A\|_2 = 1 \}.$$

This is a cross-norm on  $L \otimes L$ , meaning that

$$\|A \otimes B\|_\alpha \leq \|A\| \|B\|$$

for each  $A, B \in L$ . (See [9, p. 111].) Thus we may extend by continuity to an action of  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  on  $L^2 \otimes L^2$ . ( $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  is the algebraic tensor product of  $\mathcal{U}$  with itself.)  $\mathcal{U} \otimes_\alpha \mathcal{U}$  denotes the closure of  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  in the algebra of all bounded operators on  $L^2 \otimes L^2$ .

We are now prepared to prove some theorems.

## §2. Results.

Recall that  $\mathcal{C}$  denotes the algebra of compact operators on  $L^2$ .

THEOREM 1.  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{A}$ .

To prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to show that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is irreducible and that  $\mathcal{C} \cap \mathfrak{A} \neq \{0\}$ . (See [2, 4.1.10].) The irreducibility of  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a consequence of [7, pp. 788–9]. To complete the proof we will show that  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains the orthogonal projection  $P$  of  $L^2$  onto the one-dimensional subspace of  $L^2$  spanned by  $e$ , the identity of  $G$ . To that end fix an integer  $n \geq 3$  and let  $X$  be a free subset of  $G$  of cardinality  $n$  (meaning that  $X$  freely generates a subgroup of  $G$ ). Define  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$  by

$$A = \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X} L_{x^{-1}y} R_{x^{-1}y}.$$

We shall show  $\|A - P\| < 4/n$ . Since  $n \geq 3$  is arbitrary, it follows that  $P \in \mathfrak{A}$ . The short proof of the following lemma was suggested to us by Marek Borejko. We first establish some notation.

Let  $D = \{x^{-1}y : x, y \in X\}$  and let  $S$  be the subgroup of  $G$  generated by  $D$ . Let  $T$  be an abelian subgroup of  $S$  and let  $S/T$  denote the left coset space. Let  $\phi$  be the representation of  $S$  on  $L^2(S/T)$  defined by left multiplication and extend  $\phi$  to  $L(S)$ . Let  $B = \sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X} x^{-1}y$  and  $\bar{B} = \phi(B)$ .

LEMMA 2.  $\|\bar{B}\| \leq 4(n-1)$ .

PROOF OF LEMMA 2. Since  $T$  is abelian, the trivial representation on  $T$  is weakly contained (in the sense of [3]) in the left regular representation of  $T$ . By Theorem 4.2 of [3] and [6, p. 121]  $\phi$  is weakly contained in the left

regular representation of  $S$ . Thus  $\|\bar{B}\| \leq \|\sum_{x \in X} \sum_{y \in X} L_{x^{-1}y}\| = 4(n-1)$ , where the last equality is Theorem IV. J of [1].

PROOF OF THEROEM 1. For each word  $w$  of  $G$  let  $G_w = \{zwz^{-1} | z \in S\}$  and let  $H_w = L(G_w)$ . It is apparent that  $L$  is the direct sum of the distinct orthogonal subspaces  $H_w$ , each of which is invariant under  $A-P$ . Thus it suffices to show that  $A-P$  restricted to  $H_w$  is of norm  $< 4\sqrt{3}/n$  for each  $w \in G$ . Since  $(A-P)(e) = 0$  we need only consider  $w \neq e$ , in which case  $A-P = A$  on  $H_w$ .

Fix  $w \neq e$  in  $G$ , and let  $T = \{z \in S | zwz^{-1} = w\}$ . In any free group elements which commute with a given non-trivial element also commute with each other. Thus  $T$  is an abelian subgroup of  $S$ . For each  $y, z \in S$ ,  $ywy^{-1} = zwz^{-1}$  if and only if  $yT = zT$ . Thus the mapping  $\theta : H_w \rightarrow L(S/T)$  defined by  $\theta(zwz^{-1}) = zT$  is an isometry. Moreover,

$$A|_{H_w} = \frac{1}{n^2} \theta^{-1} \bar{B} \theta.$$

Thus by Lemma 2 we have

$$\|A|_{H_w}\| = \frac{1}{n^2} \|\bar{B}\| < 4/n,$$

and Theorem 1 is proved.

THEOREM 3.  $\mathcal{C}$  is the only proper non-zero closed two-sided ideal in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

We first need some notation and a lemma.

Define a linear mapping  $\theta : \mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A}$  by

$$\theta\left(\sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n L_{A_i} R_{B_i}.$$

It is clear that

$$\begin{aligned} &\theta((A_1 + A_2) \otimes B - A_1 \otimes B - A_2 \otimes B) \\ &= \theta(A \otimes (B_1 + B_2) - A \otimes B_1 - A \otimes B_2) \\ &= \theta(\lambda(A \otimes B) - (\lambda A) \otimes B) \\ &= \theta(\lambda(A \otimes B) - A \otimes (\lambda B)) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all appropriate  $A, A_1, A_2, B, B_1, B_2, \lambda$ . Thus  $\theta$  is well defined. Moreover  $\mathcal{U}$  is central simple [8] and therefore  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  is simple [4, p. 91]. Then  $\theta$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $\theta$  induces a norm on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  given by

$$\left\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i \right\| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^n L_{A_i} R_{B_i} \right\|.$$

This is a C\*-cross norm on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$ . But the  $\alpha$ -norm on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  is the minimal C\*-cross norm on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  [9, p. 116]. Thus

$$\| \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i \|_\alpha \leq \| \theta( \sum_{i=1}^n A_i \otimes B_i ) \| .$$

Let  $\varphi$  be the inverse mapping of  $\theta$ . Then  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -isomorphism of a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto a dense  $*$ -subalgebra of  $\mathcal{U} \otimes_\alpha \mathcal{U}$ , and  $\|\varphi\|=1$ . By [2, p. 18]  $\varphi$  extends to a  $*$ -homomorphism of  $\mathfrak{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{U} \otimes_\alpha \mathcal{U}$ .

REMARK. Via the isomorphism  $\theta$ ,  $\mathfrak{A}$  can be regarded as a  $C^*$ -tensor product of  $\mathcal{U}$  with itself; i. e.,  $\mathcal{U}$  is the completion of  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$  with respect to a  $C^*$ -cross norm on  $\mathcal{U} \otimes \mathcal{U}$ .

We now come to the heart of the argument.

LEMMA 4. *The kernel of  $\varphi$  is  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

PROOF OF LEMMA 4.  $\mathcal{C}$  has no non-trivial closed ideals [2, 4.1] so either  $\varphi(\mathcal{C})=0$  or  $\varphi$  is 1-1 on  $\mathcal{C}$ .  $\mathcal{U}$  is simple and therefore  $\mathcal{U} \otimes_\alpha \mathcal{U}$  is simple [9, p. 117]. Since  $\varphi(\mathcal{C})$  is an ideal of  $\mathcal{U} \otimes_\alpha \mathcal{U}$  and contains no unit,  $\varphi(\mathcal{C})=0$ .

Conversely, fix  $A$  in the kernel of  $\varphi$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . There is a  $B = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i L_{x_i} R_{y_i}$  in  $\mathfrak{A}$  with  $\|A-B\| < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varphi(A)=0$  and  $\|\varphi\|=1$ , we have  $\|\varphi(B)\|_\alpha < \varepsilon$ . To complete the proof we will find  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\|B-C\| < \sqrt{2}\|\varphi(B)\|_\alpha$ . Because  $\mathcal{C}$  is closed and  $\varepsilon > 0$  is arbitrary, this implies that  $A \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Before proceeding we must introduce some special notation associated with  $G$  as the free group on two generators, say  $a$  and  $b$ . Each element  $w \neq e$  in  $G$  can be written uniquely in the form  $w = w_1^{\varepsilon_1} w_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots w_t^{\varepsilon_t}$  where  $w_1, \dots, w_t \in \{a, b\}$ , and  $\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_t \in \{-1, 1\}$ , and for each  $1 \leq i < t$  either  $w_i \neq w_{i+1}$  or  $\varepsilon_i = \varepsilon_{i+1}$ . We call any such product a reduced product. If  $w = w_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots w_t^{\varepsilon_t}$  is a reduced product then  $t$  is the length of  $w$ , denoted  $|w|$ . In particular  $|e|=0$ . For each integer  $i \geq 1$ , let

$$S_i = \{w \in G \mid |w| < i\} \quad \text{and} \quad T_i = \{w \in G \mid |w| \geq i\} .$$

Let  $w = w_1^{\varepsilon_1} \cdots w_t^{\varepsilon_t}$  be a reduced product. For each  $0 \leq i \leq t$  let

$$f_i(w) = w_1^{\varepsilon_1} w_2^{\varepsilon_2} \cdots w_i^{\varepsilon_i} \quad (f_0(w) = e)$$

and

$$g_i(w) = w^{-1} f_i(w) = w_i^{-\varepsilon_i} w_{i-1}^{-\varepsilon_{i-1}} \cdots w_1^{-\varepsilon_1} \quad (g_t(w) = e) .$$

We note that  $f_i, g_i : T_i \rightarrow G$  and for each  $w \in T_i$  we have

$$f_i(w) g_i(w)^{-1} = w .$$

Returning now to the problem at hand, we must find a  $C \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $\|B-C\| < \sqrt{2}\|\varphi(B)\|_\alpha$ , where  $B = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i L_{x_i} R_{y_i}$ . Let

$$p = \max \{ |x_i|, |y_i| \mid 1 \leq i \leq n \} .$$

Let  $P$  be the orthogonal projection of  $L^2$  onto  $L^2(S_{6p})$ , and let  $C = BP$ . Then

$C$  is certainly in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Note that  $B-C=0$  on  $L^2(S_{6p})$  and  $B-C=B$  on  $L^2(T_{6p})$ . Thus

$$\|B-C\| = \sup \{ \|BA\|_2 \mid A \in L(T_{6p}), \|A\|_2 = 1 \}.$$

Now fix  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i w_i$  in  $L(T_{6p})$  with  $\|A\|_2 = 1$ . We may presume that the  $w_i$  are distinct. For each  $z \in G$ , let

$$I(z) = \{ (i, j) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq t, x_i w_j y_i^{-1} = z \},$$

and let  $H = \{ z \in G \mid I(z) \neq \emptyset \}$ .  $H$  is finite. For each  $z \in H$  let

$$\mu_z = \sum_{(i,j) \in I(z)} \beta_i \lambda_j.$$

Then

$$BA = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j x_i w_j y_i^{-1} = \sum_{z \in H} \mu_z z,$$

so

$$\|BA\|_2 = \left( \sum_{z \in G} |\mu_z|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We will now construct a  $\Gamma \in L \otimes L$  with  $\|\Gamma\|_2 = 1$  such that  $\|BA\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|\varphi(B)\Gamma\|_2$ . It will then follow that  $\|B-C\| \leq \sqrt{2} \|\varphi(B)\|_\alpha$  as desired.

For each  $z \in G$  let  $K_z$  be the subspace of  $L \otimes L$  spanned by  $\{u \otimes v \mid uv^{-1} = z\}$ . Note that the  $K_z$  constitute a decomposition of  $L \otimes L$  into orthogonal subspaces. For each  $1 \leq j \leq t$  define  $\Gamma_j \in K_{w_j}$  by

$$\Gamma_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4p}} \sum_{k=p}^{5p-1} f_k(w_j) \otimes g_k(w_j)$$

and define  $\Gamma \in L \otimes L$  by

$$\Gamma = \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j \Gamma_j.$$

Clearly  $\|\Gamma_j\|_2 = 1$  for each  $j$ . Since the subspaces  $K_{w_j}$  are orthogonal,  $\|\Gamma\|_2 = \left( \sum_{j=1}^t |\lambda_j|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|A\|_2 = 1$ .

Let  $z \in H$  and  $(i, j) \in I(z)$ . Then

$$(x_i \otimes y_i) \Gamma_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4p}} \sum_{k=p}^{5p-1} (x_i f_k(w_j)) \otimes (y_i g_k(w_j)).$$

Note that  $x_i w_j y_i^{-1} = z$  and  $|w_j| \geq 6p$ . Thus for each  $p \leq k \leq 5p-1$ ,  $x_i f_k(w_j)$  is an "initial portion" of  $z$  whose length depends only on the amount of cancellation in the product  $x_i w_j$  when  $x_i$  and  $w_j$  are written as reduced products. This is independent of  $k$  for all  $k \geq p$ . Thus there exists an integer  $r(i, j)$  with  $|r(i, j)| \leq p$  such that

$$x_i f_k(w_j) = f_{k+r(i,j)}(z)$$

for all  $p \leq k \leq 5p-1$ . Also

$$y_i g_k(w_j) = g_{k+r(i,j)}(z)$$

for each  $k$ , since

$$\begin{aligned} y_i g_k(w_j) &= y_i w_j^{-1} f_k(w_j) \\ &= (y_i w_j^{-1} x_i^{-1})(x_i f_k(w_j)) \\ &= z^{-1} f_{k+r(i,j)}(z) \\ &= g_{k+r(i,j)}(z). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$(x_i \otimes y_i) \Gamma_j = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4p}} \sum_{k=p+r(i,j)}^{5p-1+(i,j)} f_k(z) \otimes g_k(z).$$

In particular we note that  $(x_i \otimes y_i) \Gamma_j \in K_z$  for each  $(i, j) \in I(z)$ . Now let  $Q_z$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $K_z$  onto the subspace spanned by  $\{f_k(z) \otimes g_k(z) \mid 2p \leq k \leq 4p-1\}$ , and let

$$A_z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4p}} \sum_{k=2p}^{4p-1} f_k(z) \otimes g_k(z).$$

Then  $\|A_z\|_2^2 = \frac{1}{2}$  and  $Q_z((x_i \otimes y_i) \Gamma_j) = A_z$  for each  $(i, j) \in I(z)$ .

Finally we estimate  $\|\varphi(B) \Gamma\|_2$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(B) \Gamma &= \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j (x_i \otimes y_i) \Gamma_j \\ &= \sum_{z \in H} \left( \sum_{(i,j) \in I(z)} \beta_i \lambda_j x_i \otimes y_i \Gamma_j \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since  $x_i \otimes y_i \Gamma_j \in K_z$  for each  $(i, j) \in I(z)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi(B) \Gamma\|_2^2 &= \sum_{z \in H} \left\| \sum_{(i,j) \in I(z)} \beta_i \lambda_j x_i \otimes y_i \Gamma_j \right\|_2^2 \\ &\geq \sum_{z \in H} \|Q_z \left( \sum_{(i,j) \in I(z)} \beta_i \lambda_j x_i \otimes y_i \Gamma_j \right)\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{z \in H} \left\| \sum_{(i,j) \in I(z)} \beta_i \lambda_j A_z \right\|_2^2 \\ &= \sum_{z \in H} \|\mu_z A_z\|_2^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in H} |\mu_z|^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|BA\|_2^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\|BA\|_2 \leq \sqrt{2} \|\varphi(B) \Gamma\|_2,$$



and the lemma is proved.

The proof of Theorem 3 is now a triviality.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. As noted earlier,  $\mathcal{U} \otimes_{\alpha} \mathcal{U}$  is simple. The kernel of  $\varphi$  is simple and  $\mathfrak{A}$  is irreducible. Thus the kernel of  $\varphi$  is the only non-trivial two-sided closed ideal of  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

Our final result is an example associated with the algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

EXAMPLE 5.  $\mathfrak{A}$  has a derivation which is not inner.

To construct this derivation we need an auxiliary operator on  $L^2$ . For each  $w \in G$  define the real number  $\beta_w$  as follows.  $\beta_e = 1$ . For  $w \neq e$  there is a unique non-negative integer  $i$  such that  $2^i \leq |w| < 2^{i+1}$ , where  $|w|$  is the length of  $w$  as previously defined. Define

$$\beta_w = \begin{cases} \frac{|w|}{2^i} - 1 & \text{if } i \text{ is even} \\ 2 - \frac{|w|}{2^i} & \text{if } i \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

The numbers  $\beta_w$  have these properties.

- (1)  $0 \leq \beta_w \leq 1$  for all  $w \in G$ .
- (2)  $\beta_w = 0$  if  $|w| = 2^i$  for some even  $i$ .
- (3)  $\beta_w = 1$  if  $|w| = 2^i$  for some odd  $i$ .
- (4)  $|\beta_w - \beta_v| \leq \frac{1}{2^i}$  if  $|w|, |v| \geq 2^i$  and  $||w| - |v|| = 1$ .

Now define the linear operator  $B$  on  $L^2$  by

$$B\left(\sum_{w \in G} \lambda_w w\right) = \sum_{w \in G} \lambda_w \beta_w w.$$

Clearly  $B$  is a bounded operator on  $L^2$  with  $\|B\| = 1$ , and  $B^* = B$ . To complete the construction we need two key facts about  $B$  which we present as lemmas.

LEMMA 6.  $B \notin \mathfrak{A}$ .

PROOF. Let  $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i L_{x_i} R_{y_i}$ . We may presume without loss of generality that the pairs  $(x_i, y_i)$  are distinct and that  $x_1 = y_1 = e$  (with  $\alpha_1$  possibly 0). We shall show that  $\|A - B\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ , thus establishing that  $B \notin \mathfrak{A}$ .

Let  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . If either  $x_i$  or  $y_i$  is  $e$  then the other is not  $e$  and clearly  $x_i w y_i^{-1} \neq w$  for every  $w \in G$ . Suppose  $x_i, y_i \neq e$ . Then there are at most two words  $w$  of any given length such that  $x_i w y_i^{-1} = w$ . To see this, suppose that  $x_i w y_i^{-1} = w$  and  $x_i v y_i^{-1} = v$ . Then  $x_i = w y_i w^{-1} = v y_i v^{-1}$ . Then  $v^{-1} w$  commutes with  $y_i$ . If  $H = \{z \in G \mid z y_i = y_i z\}$  then  $v^{-1} w \in H$  so  $vH = wH$ . Conversely if  $x_i w y_i^{-1} = w$  and  $vH = wH$  then  $x_i v y_i^{-1} = v$ . Thus  $\{w \in G \mid x_i w y_i^{-1} = w\}$  is either empty or is a coset of the abelian subgroup  $H$ , and every such coset contains

at most two words of any given length.

For each  $t \geq 1$  there are  $4 \cdot 3^{t-1}$  words of length  $t$ . For all but at most  $2n$  words  $w$  of length  $t$ ,  $x_i w y_i^{-1} \neq w$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Thus we can choose words  $v, w$  such that  $\beta_v = 0, \beta_w = 1$  and  $x_i v y_i^{-1} \neq v, x_i w y_i^{-1} \neq w$  for all  $2 \leq i \leq n$ . Then

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|(A - B)v\|_2 \geq |\alpha_1 - \beta_v| = |\alpha_1|$$

and

$$\|A - B\| \geq \|(A - B)w\|_2 \geq |\alpha_1 - \beta_w| = |\alpha_1 - 1|.$$

Thus  $\|A - B\| \geq \frac{1}{2}$ .

LEMMA 7.  $BA - AB \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

PROOF. Recall that  $a$  and  $b$  denote the free generators of  $G$ . Let  $D = L_{a^{-1}}BL_a - B$ . Recall that  $S_k$  denotes the finite dimensional subspace of  $L^2$  spanned by  $\{z \in G \mid |z| < k\}$ , and  $T_k$  is its orthogonal complement. Let  $P_k$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $L^2$  onto  $S_k$ . Then  $DP_k \in \mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $i$  be a positive integer and  $k \geq 2^i + 1$ . Note that  $D - DP_k = 0$  on  $S_k$  and  $D - DP_k = D$  on  $T_k$ . Thus

$$\|D - DP_k\| = \sup \{ \|DA\|_2 \mid A \in T_k, \|A\|_2 = 1 \}.$$

For each  $w \in T_k, Dw = L_{a^{-1}}BL_a w - Bw = (\beta_{aw} - \beta_w)w$ . Moreover  $|w|, |aw| \geq 2^i$  and  $||w| - |aw|| = 1$ . Thus  $|\beta_{aw} - \beta_w| \leq 1/2^i$ . Then for each  $A \in T_k$ ,

$$\|DA\|_2 \leq \|A\|_2 / 2^i,$$

so

$$\|D - DP_k\| \leq 1/2^i.$$

Thus  $D \in \mathcal{C}$ . Then

$$BL_a - L_a B = L_a D \in \mathcal{C}$$

and

$$BL_{a^{-1}} - L_{a^{-1}} B = -DL_{a^{-1}} \in \mathcal{C}.$$

Proceeding in similar fashion we can show that  $BL_{x^\varepsilon} - L_{x^\varepsilon} B$  and  $BR_{x^\varepsilon} - R_{x^\varepsilon} B \in \mathcal{C}$  for  $x = a, b$  and  $\varepsilon = 1, -1$ . For any  $u, v \in G$ ,

$$BL_{uv} - L_{uv} B = (BL_u - L_u B)L_v + L_u(BL_v - L_v B).$$

Thus by the obvious induction on  $|w|, BL_w - L_w B \in \mathcal{C}$  for every  $w \in G$ . Similarly  $BR_w - R_w B \in \mathcal{C}$  for all  $w$ . Finally

$$BL_u R_v - L_u R_v B = (BL_u - L_u B)R_v + L_u(BR_v - R_v B).$$

Thus  $BL_u R_v - L_u R_v B \in \mathcal{C}$  for every  $u, v \in G$ . Then

$$B\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i L_{u_i} R_{v_i}\right) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i L_{u_i} R_{v_i}\right)B$$

is in  $\mathcal{C}$  for all  $u_i, v_i \in G$ . By continuity,  $BA - AB$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

PROOF OF EXAMPLE 5. Define  $\varphi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$  by

$$\varphi(A) = BA - AB.$$

$\varphi$  is clearly a derivation and  $\varphi(\mathfrak{A}) \subset \mathcal{C}$  by Lemma 7. Suppose  $\varphi$  were an inner derivation. Then there would be a  $C \in \mathfrak{A}$  such that  $\varphi(A) = CA - AC$  for all  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . This would imply that  $B - C$  commutes with each  $A \in \mathfrak{A}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{A}$  is irreducible,  $B - C$  would be a multiple of the identity, which is in  $\mathfrak{A}$ , and therefore  $B \in \mathfrak{A}$ , contradicting Lemma 6. Thus  $\varphi$  is not inner.

### References

- [1] C. A. Akemann and P. A. Ostrand, Computing norms in group  $C^*$ -algebras, to appear in Amer. J. Math.
- [2] J. Dixmier, Les  $C^*$ -algèbres et leurs représentations, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1964.
- [3] J. M. G. Fell, Weak containment and induced representations of groups, Canad. J. Math., 14 (1962), 237-268.
- [4] I. N. Herstein, Non-commutative Rings, John Wiley, New York, 1968.
- [5] B. Johnson and S. Parrott, Operators commuting with a von Neuman algebra modulo the set of compact operators, J. Functional Analysis, 11 (1972), 39-61.
- [6] G. Mackey, The Theory of Group Representations, University of Chicago Notes, 1955.
- [7] F. J. Murray and J. von Neuman, On rings of operators IV, Ann. of Math., 44 (1943), 716-808.
- [8] R. T. Powers, Simplicity of the  $C^*$ -algebra associated with the free group on two generators, preprint.
- [9] M. Takesaki, On the cross-norm of the direct product of  $C^*$ -algebras, Tohoku Math. J., 16 (1964), 111-122.
- [10] J. Tomiyama, Tensor products and projections of norm 1 in von Neumann algebras, Copenhagen University Lecture Notes, 1970.

Charles A. AKEMANN  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of California  
 Santa Barbara 93106  
 U. S. A.

Phillip A. OSTRAND  
 Department of Mathematics  
 University of California  
 Santa Barbara 93106  
 U. S. A.