

Eigenfunctions of the laplacian on a real hyperbolic space

By Katsuhiko MINEMURA

(Received July 3, 1973)

The present paper deals with the Poisson integral representation of eigenfunctions of the laplacian on a real hyperbolic space. Let G be a generalized Lorentz group $SO_0(n, 1)$ and $G=KAN$ be an Iwasawa decomposition of G , where K is a maximal compact subgroup of G . The associated riemannian symmetric space $X=G/K$ is called a real hyperbolic space. We denote by Δ the laplacian on X corresponding to the G -invariant riemannian metric induced by the Killing form of the Lie algebra of G . Let M be the centralizer of A in K and put $B=K/M$. Then for every complex number s a real analytic function $P_s(z, b)$ on $X \times B$, called the Poisson kernel, is defined (§2). Let $C(B)$ denote the space of continuous functions on B . The Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_s(\phi)$ of $\phi \in C(B)$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{P}_s(\phi)(z) = \int_B P_s(z, b)\phi(b)db,$$

where db denotes the normalized K -invariant measure on B . Although the functions $\mathcal{P}_s(\phi)$ ($\phi \in C(B)$) are eigenfunctions of Δ , they do not exhaust all of the eigenfunctions of Δ on X . It is our problem to specify the space whose image under the Poisson transform exhausts the eigenfunctions of Δ . The Corollary to Theorem 5.5 in §5 answers this problem. Namely, it states that any eigenfunction of the laplacian on X can be represented as the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_s(T)$ of a Sato's hyperfunction T on B with some complex number s . In the case of the unit disc, S. Helgason proved in [5] that any eigenfunction of the laplacian (with respect to the Poincaré metric) can be given also as the Poisson transform of a hyperfunction on the unit circle.

The contents of this paper are as follows. From §1 to §3 we assume that G is a connected real semisimple Lie group of real rank one with finite center. In §2 we define the Poisson transform of a continuous function on B and show that any eigenfunction of the laplacian on X can be expanded in an absolutely convergent series of the Poisson transforms of K -finite functions on B . In §3 we prove a Fatou type theorem which will be used in §4.

From §4 to the last we assume furthermore that X is a real hyperbolic

space. In §4, by using the Fatou type theorem proved in §3, we determine the Poisson transform of a K -finite function explicitly. The final section is devoted to proving the main result. First we define the Poisson transform of a hyperfunction on B . Then by using the explicit form of the Poisson transform of a K -finite function we prove that any eigenfunction can be given as the Poisson transform of a hyperfunction.

The author expresses his hearty thanks to Professor K. Okamoto who has suggested to attack this problem and encouraged him with kind advices.

§1. Notation and preliminaries.

Throughout this paper we assume that G is a connected real semisimple Lie group of real rank one with finite center. Let \mathfrak{g}_0 be the Lie algebra of G , \mathfrak{g} the complexification of \mathfrak{g}_0 , $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{k}_0 + \mathfrak{p}_0$ a Cartan decomposition of \mathfrak{g}_0 and \mathfrak{a}_+ a maximal abelian subspace of \mathfrak{p}_0 . Let \mathfrak{a}_0 be a maximal abelian subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 containing \mathfrak{a}_+ and put $\mathfrak{a}_- = \mathfrak{a}_0 \cap \mathfrak{k}_0$. Then \mathfrak{a}_0 is a Cartan subalgebra of \mathfrak{g}_0 , $\mathfrak{a}_0 = \mathfrak{a}_+ + \mathfrak{a}_-$ (direct sum) and $\mathfrak{a}_+ = \mathfrak{a}_0 \cap \mathfrak{p}_0$. We complexify \mathfrak{k}_0 , \mathfrak{p}_0 , \mathfrak{a}_0 , \mathfrak{a}_+ and \mathfrak{a}_- to \mathfrak{k} , \mathfrak{p} , \mathfrak{a} , \mathfrak{a}_p and \mathfrak{a}_t in \mathfrak{g} respectively. We denote by $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ the Killing form of \mathfrak{g} . For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, we denote by $\bar{\lambda}$ the restriction of λ to \mathfrak{a}_p and let H_λ denote the element in \mathfrak{a} determined by $\langle H_\lambda, H \rangle = \lambda(H)$ for $H \in \mathfrak{a}$. For $\lambda, \mu \in \mathfrak{a}^*$, put $\langle \lambda, \mu \rangle = \langle H_\lambda, H_\mu \rangle$. We introduce compatible orders in the spaces of real-valued linear forms on $\mathfrak{a}_+ + (-1)^{1/2}\mathfrak{a}_-$ and \mathfrak{a}_+ . Let P denote the set of positive roots of $(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{a})$ under this ordering, P_+ the set of $\alpha \in P$ such that $\bar{\alpha} \neq 0$ and Σ_+ the set of $\bar{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in P_+$. Since \mathfrak{a}_+ is one-dimensional, we can select $\mu_0 \in \Sigma_+$ such that $2\mu_0$ is the only other possible element in Σ_+ . Put

$$P_{\mu_0} = \{\alpha \in P_+ \mid \bar{\alpha} = \mu_0\},$$

$$P_{2\mu_0} = \{\alpha \in P_+ \mid \bar{\alpha} = 2\mu_0\},$$

and let p (resp. q) denote the number of roots in P_{μ_0} (resp. $P_{2\mu_0}$). We put

$$\rho = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} \bar{\alpha},$$

$$\mathfrak{n} = \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} \mathfrak{g}^\alpha, \quad \mathfrak{n}_0 = \mathfrak{n} \cap \mathfrak{g}_0,$$

where \mathfrak{g}^α is the root subspace of \mathfrak{g} corresponding to α . Let K, A, N denote the analytic subgroups of G with Lie algebras $\mathfrak{k}_0, \mathfrak{a}_+, \mathfrak{n}_0$ respectively. Then K is a maximal compact subgroup and $G = KAN$ is an Iwasawa decomposition of G . For $x \in G$, we can define an element $H(x)$ in \mathfrak{a}_+ by $x \in K \exp(H(x))N$. Put $X = G/K$ and $B = K/M$ where M is the centralizer of A in K . We denote by dk (resp. db) the K -invariant normalized measure on K (resp. B).

We shall use the standard notation $N, \mathbf{R}, \mathbf{C}$ for the set of natural num-

bers, the field of real numbers and the field of complex numbers respectively; \mathbf{N}^0 is the set of non-negative integers. If E is a differentiable manifold, $C(E)$ (resp. $C^\infty(E)$) denotes the space of all continuous (resp. infinitely differentiable) functions on E .

§2. Poisson transform of a continuous function on B .

In this section, we define the Poisson transform of a continuous function on $B=K/M$ and study its image.

We identify \mathbf{C} with $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}^*$ by

$$\lambda = -(-1)^{1/2}s\rho, \quad \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}^*, \quad s \in \mathbf{C}.$$

For each $s \in \mathbf{C}$, we define a real analytic function $P_s(z, b)$ on $X \times B$, called the Poisson kernel, by

$$P_s(xK, kM) = \exp \{-(1+s)\rho(H(x^{-1}k))\}.$$

DEFINITION. For every continuous function ϕ on B , we define a function $\mathcal{P}_s(\phi)$ on X , called the Poisson transform of ϕ , by

$$\mathcal{P}_s(\phi)(z) = \int_B P_s(z, b)\phi(b)db, \quad z \in X,$$

where db is the normalized K -invariant measure on B .

Let R denote the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of K and R^0 denote the subset of those classes which are of class one with respect to the subgroup M of K . For each $\gamma \in R$, we take and fix a representative $(\tau^\gamma, W^\gamma) \in \gamma$ and choose an orthonormal base $\{w_1^\gamma, \dots, w_{d(\gamma)}^\gamma\}$ of W^γ with respect to the unitary inner product (\cdot, \cdot) of W^γ so that w_1^γ is an M -fixed vector if $\gamma \in R^0$, where $d(\gamma)$ is the dimension of W^γ . We identify the functions on B with those on K which are right M -invariant. Let π be the left regular representation of K on $C^\infty(K)$, $C^\infty(B)$ and $C^\infty(X)$, and put

$$V^\gamma = \{\phi \in C^\infty(K) \mid \phi \text{ transforms according to } \gamma \text{ under } \pi\},$$

$$\tau_{ij}^\gamma(k) = (\tau^\gamma(k)w_j^\gamma, w_i^\gamma),$$

$$\phi_{ij}^\gamma(k) = d(\gamma)^{1/2}\bar{\tau}_{ij}^\gamma(k),$$

$$\phi_i^\gamma(k) = \phi_{i1}^\gamma(k),$$

for $\gamma \in R$, $1 \leq i, j \leq d(\gamma)$. From the Peter-Weyl theory,

$$\{\phi_{ij}^\gamma \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq d(\gamma)\}$$

is an orthonormal base of V^γ ($\gamma \in R$) and

$$\{\phi_{ij} \mid \gamma \in R, 1 \leq i, j \leq d(\gamma)\}$$

is a complete orthonormal base of $L^2(K)$. Let W_M^γ be the subspace of M -fixed vectors in W^γ . B. Kostant showed in [9, Theorem 6] that $\dim W_M^\gamma = 1$ when G is of real rank one. Therefore in our case, W_M^γ is spanned by w_i^γ and

$$\{\phi_i^\gamma \mid \gamma \in R^0, 1 \leq i \leq d(\gamma)\}$$

is a complete orthonormal base of $L^2(B)$.

Let Δ be the laplacian corresponding to the G -invariant riemannian metric on X induced by the Killing form of \mathfrak{g}_0 . We identify the functions on X with those on G which are right K -invariant. For each $s \in \mathbf{C}$, put

$$\mathcal{H}_s(X) = \{f \in C^\infty(X) \mid \Delta f = (s^2 - 1) \langle \rho, \rho \rangle f\},$$

$$\mathcal{H}_s^\gamma(X) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}_s(X) \mid f \text{ transforms according to } \gamma \text{ under } \pi\},$$

and define a holomorphic function $e(s)$ on \mathbf{C} by

$$e(s) = \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{p}{2} + 1 + \left(-\frac{p}{2} + q\right)s\right)\right)^{-1} \Gamma\left(-\frac{1}{2}\left(-\frac{p}{2} + q + \left(-\frac{p}{2} + q\right)s\right)\right)^{-1},$$

where Γ denotes the gamma function. Then we have the following proposition due to S. Helgason:

PROPOSITION 2.1.

- (1) \mathcal{P}_s maps $C(B)$ into $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$ and V^r into $\mathcal{H}_s^\gamma(X)$.
- (2) \mathcal{P}_s is injective on $C(B)$ if and only if $e(s) \neq 0$.
- (3) If $\mathcal{H}_s^\gamma(X) \neq \{0\}$, then γ belongs to R^0 .
- (4) If \mathcal{P}_s is injective, then \mathcal{P}_s maps V^r onto $\mathcal{H}_s^\gamma(X)$.

For the proof, see Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.4 in Chap. IV of [5].

For $\gamma \in R^0$, we put $f_{si}^\gamma = \mathcal{P}_s(\phi_i^\gamma)$ and $f_s^\gamma = f_{s1}^\gamma$. Then we have

PROPOSITION 2.2. Suppose that $e(s) \neq 0$ and let f be a function in $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$.

- (1) There exist unique complex numbers a_i^γ ($\gamma \in R^0, 1 \leq i \leq d(\gamma)$) such that

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in R^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} a_i^\gamma f_{si}^\gamma(z).$$

The series converges absolutely for any z in X .

- (2) Let $\phi_j^z(k)$ be a function on K defined by $\phi_j^z(k) = f(kz)$ ($k \in K$). Then

$$\phi_j^z = \sum_{\gamma \in R^0} d(\gamma)^{-1/2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(\gamma)} a_i^\gamma f_{sj}^\gamma(z) \phi_{ij}^\gamma.$$

The series converges absolutely and uniformly on K .

- (3) Let $\|\cdot\|$ denote the norm of $L^2(K)$. Then

$$\|\phi_j^z\|^2 = \sum_{\gamma \in R^0} d(\gamma)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} |a_i^\gamma|^2 \right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d(\gamma)} |f_{sj}^\gamma(z)|^2 \right).$$

PROOF. By the theory of Fourier expansion of C^∞ -functions on compact

Lie groups (cf. [19]), ϕ_f^z can be expanded in an absolutely and uniformly convergent series on K :

$$\phi_f^z = \sum_{\gamma \in R} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(\gamma)} b_{ij}^{\gamma}(z) \phi_{ij}^{\gamma}, \quad (2.1)$$

where b_{ij}^{γ} is given by

$$b_{ij}^{\gamma}(z) = \int_K f(kz) \bar{\phi}_{ij}^{\gamma}(k) dk \quad (2.2)$$

and dk is the normalized Haar measure on K . Since \mathcal{A} is G -invariant and f is a function in $\mathcal{A}_s(X)$, b_{ij}^{γ} lies in $\mathcal{A}_s(X)$. Furthermore we have from (2.2) that

$$\pi(k) b_{ij}^{\gamma} = \sum_{l=1}^{d(\gamma)} \tau_{lj}^{\gamma}(k) b_{il}^{\gamma}.$$

Therefore b_{ij}^{γ} lies in $\mathcal{A}_s^{\gamma}(X)$ for $1 \leq i, j \leq d(\gamma)$. Putting $k=e$ (the identity in K) in (2.1), we have an absolutely convergent expansion of f :

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in R} d(\gamma)^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} b_{ii}^{\gamma}(z), \quad (2.3)$$

since $\phi_{ij}^{\gamma}(e) = d(\gamma)^{1/2} \delta_{ij}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} b_{ii}^{\gamma}(z) \neq 0$, from Proposition 2.1, we can conclude that γ belongs to R^0 and that there exist unique complex numbers $a_i^{\gamma} (\gamma \in R^0, 1 \leq i \leq d(\gamma))$ such that

$$d(\gamma)^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} b_{ii}^{\gamma} = \sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} a_i^{\gamma} f_{si}^{\gamma}. \quad (2.4)$$

Since z is arbitrary, replacing z by kz in (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(\gamma)^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} b_{ii}^{\gamma}(kz) &= d(\gamma)^{1/2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(\gamma)} \tau_{ji}^{\gamma}(k^{-1}) b_{ij}^{\gamma}(z) \\ &= \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(\gamma)} b_{ij}^{\gamma}(z) \phi_{ij}^{\gamma}(k), \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} f_{si}^{\gamma}(kz) &= \int_B P_s(kz, b) \phi_i^{\gamma}(b) db \\ &= \int_B P_s(z, k^{-1}b) \phi_i^{\gamma}(b) db \\ &= \int_B P_s(z, b) \phi_i^{\gamma}(kb) db \\ &= d(\gamma)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{d(\gamma)} \int_B P_s(z, b) \phi_{ij}^{\gamma}(k) \phi_j^{\gamma}(b) db \\ &= d(\gamma)^{-1/2} \sum_{j=1}^{d(\gamma)} f_{sj}^{\gamma}(z) \phi_{ij}^{\gamma}(k) \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

for $1 \leq i \leq d(\gamma)$. From (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we have

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^{d(\gamma)} b_{ij}^{\gamma}(z) \phi_{ij}^{\gamma} = d(\gamma)^{-1/2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(\gamma)} a_i^{\gamma} f_{sj}^{\gamma} \phi_{ij}^{\gamma}.$$

Since ϕ_{ij}^{γ} are linearly independent, we can deduce that

$$b_{ij}^{\gamma} = d(\gamma)^{-1/2} a_i^{\gamma} f_{sj}^{\gamma}$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq d(\gamma)$. Putting $i=l$ in the above equality, we obtain from (2.3) an absolutely convergent expansion of f :

$$f(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{R}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} a_i^{\gamma} f_{si}^{\gamma}(z),$$

which proves (1) in the proposition.

Next, from (1) and (2.6) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_j^{\gamma}(k) &= f(kz) = \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{R}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(\gamma)} a_i^{\gamma} f_{si}^{\gamma}(kz) \\ &= \sum_{\gamma \in \mathbf{R}^0} d(\gamma)^{-1/2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(\gamma)} a_i^{\gamma} f_{sj}^{\gamma}(z) \phi_{ij}^{\gamma}(k), \end{aligned}$$

which proves (2) and (3) immediately. This completes the proof.

We denote by \mathfrak{B} the universal enveloping algebra of \mathfrak{g} and regard the elements of \mathfrak{B} as left G -invariant differential operators on G . Let Ω be the Casimir element of \mathfrak{B} . Then, as is well-known,

$$(\Delta f)(xK) = (\Omega f)(x)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(X)$, $x \in G$. It is easy to see that $uf=0$ for $f \in C^\infty(X)$ and $u \in \mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{k}$. Therefore we may transform Ω module $\mathfrak{B}\mathfrak{k}$. Let L be the differential of the left regular representation of G on $C^\infty(X)$ and extend it to the representation of \mathfrak{B} . For every root α , we select $X_\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^\alpha$ so that $\langle X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha} \rangle = 1$, and choose bases $\{H_1\}$ and $\{H_2, \dots, H_m\}$ of $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{a}_\mathfrak{l}$ respectively so that $\langle H_i, H_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$ ($1 \leq i, j \leq m$). Then H_1, \dots, H_m together with $X_\alpha, X_{-\alpha}$ ($\alpha \in P$) form a base of \mathfrak{g} . For $\alpha \in \pm P$, let $X_\alpha = Z_\alpha + Y_\alpha$ where $Z_\alpha \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $Y_\alpha \in \mathfrak{p}$. Then from (2.14) in [15], we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\Omega f)(a) &= [\{ H_1^2 + \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} (\coth \alpha(H)) H_\alpha \\ &\quad - \sum_{\alpha \in P_+} (\sinh \alpha(H))^{-2} L(Z_\alpha Z_{-\alpha} + Z_{-\alpha} Z_\alpha) \} f](a) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

for any $f \in C^\infty(X)$ and $a = \exp H$ ($H \in \mathfrak{a}_+ - \{0\}$).

Let H_0 be the element of \mathfrak{a}_+ such that $\mu_0(H_0) = 1$. Then $\langle H_0, H_0 \rangle = 2p + 8q$, $H_{\mu_0} = (2p + 8q)^{-1} H_0$, $\langle \rho, \rho \rangle = \rho(H_0)^2 \langle H_0, H_0 \rangle^{-1}$ and we may put $H_1 = (2p + 8q)^{-1/2} H_0$. For $t \in \mathbf{R}$, we put $a_t = \exp tH_0$. Then t can be regarded as a coordinate function on the one-dimensional Lie group A . We put

$$\omega_{\mu_0} = \sum_{\alpha \in P_{\mu_0}} (Z_\alpha Z_{-\alpha} + Z_{-\alpha} Z_\alpha),$$

$$\omega_{2\mu_0} = \sum_{\alpha \in P_{2\mu_0}} (Z_\alpha Z_{-\alpha} + Z_{-\alpha} Z_\alpha).$$

Then from (2.7) we have immediately the following

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Let f be a function in $\mathcal{A}_s(X)$. Then f satisfies the differential equation*

$$\begin{aligned} & -\frac{d^2}{dt^2} f(a_t) + (p \coth t + 2q \coth 2t) \frac{d}{dt} f(a_t) - \frac{2p+8q}{(\sinh t)^2} \{L(\omega_{\mu_0})f\}(a_t) \\ & - \frac{2p+8q}{(\sinh 2t)^2} \{L(\omega_{2\mu_0})f\}(a_t) + (1-s^2) \left(\frac{p}{2} + q\right)^2 f(a_t) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

§ 3. Fatou type theorem for symmetric spaces of rank one.

In this section we shall prove a Fatou type theorem for a symmetric space of rank one (Theorem 3.1) which will be used in § 4 for determination of the Poisson transform of a K -finite function. Put $f_s = \mathcal{P}_s(1_B)$, where 1_B denotes the constant function identically equal to 1 on B . We remark that f_s coincides with Harish-Chandra's spherical function ϕ_λ ($\lambda = -(-1)^{1/2}s\rho$).

THEOREM 3.1. *Let s be a complex number and assume that $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Then $f_s(aK)$ ($a \in A$) is not equal to zero when $\rho(H(a))$ is sufficiently large, and for any continuous function ϕ on B*

$$\lim_{\rho(H(a)) \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{f_s(aK)} \mathcal{P}_s(\phi)(kaK) = \phi(kM)$$

uniformly on B .

For the proof of the theorem, we need several lemmas. We use the parameter t on A introduced in § 2.

LEMMA 3.2. *Let s be a complex number and put $\sigma = p/2 + q$. Then*

$$f_s(a_t K) = (\cosh t)^{(s-1)\sigma} F\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\sigma, \frac{1-s}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-q}{2}, \frac{p+q+1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right),$$

where F denotes the hypergeometric function.

PROOF. We consider the differential equation in Proposition 2.3. Since f_s is K -invariant, we have

$$L(\omega_{\mu_0})f_s = L(\omega_{2\mu_0})f_s = 0,$$

and therefore we obtain a differential equation

$$-\frac{d^2 f_s}{dt^2} + (p \coth t + 2q \coth 2t) \frac{d f_s}{dt} + (1-s^2)\sigma^2 f_s = 0.$$

We put $z = (\tanh t)^2$. Then the above differential equation becomes

$$4z(1-z)^2 \frac{d^2 f_s}{dz^2} + 2(1-z)\{(p+q+1) + (q-3)z\} \frac{d f_s}{dz} + (1-s^2)\sigma^2 f_s = 0,$$

whose fundamental system of solutions is given by

$$(1-z)^{(1-s)\sigma/2} F\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\sigma, \frac{1-s}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-q}{2}, \frac{p+q+1}{2}; z\right),$$

$$z^{-(p+q-1)/2} (1-z)^{(1-s)\sigma/2} F\left(-\frac{1+s}{2}\sigma + 1, \frac{1-s}{2}\sigma - \frac{p+q-1}{2}, -\frac{p+q-3}{2}; z\right).$$

Observing that f_s is a C^∞ -function in t , we can find a constant c such that

$$f_s(a_t K) = c(\cosh t)^{(s-1)\sigma} F\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\sigma, \frac{1-s}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-q}{2}, \frac{p+q+1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right).$$

From the definition of f_s , $f_s(eK) = 1$. Then it is easy to see that $c = 1$, which completes the proof.

LEMMA 3.3. *Suppose that $\xi = \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Then there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$2\delta(\cosh t)^{(\xi-1)\sigma} \geq |f_s(a_t K)| \geq \delta(\cosh t)^{(\xi-1)\sigma}$$

for sufficiently large t .

PROOF. As is well-known (cf. [11, p. 244]), for a hypergeometric function $F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z)$,

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow 1-0} F(\alpha, \beta, \gamma; z) = \frac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)},$$

provided that $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma-\alpha-\beta) > 0$. If we put $\alpha = (1-s)\sigma/2$, $\beta = (1-s)\sigma/2 + (1-q)/2$ and $\gamma = (p+q+1)/2$, then $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma) > 0$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\gamma-\alpha-\beta) = \operatorname{Re}(s\sigma) = \xi\sigma > 0$. Hence

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\sigma, \frac{1-s}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-q}{2}, \frac{p+q+1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right)$$

$$= \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma(s\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\sigma\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-q}{2}\right)}.$$

Now we put

$$\delta = \frac{2}{3} \left| \frac{\Gamma\left(\frac{p+q+1}{2}\right)\Gamma(s\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\sigma\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1+s}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-q}{2}\right)} \right|.$$

Then δ is finite and positive, and

$$2\delta \geq \left| F\left(\frac{1-s}{2}\sigma, \frac{1-s}{2}\sigma + \frac{1-q}{2}, \frac{p+q+1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right) \right| \geq \delta$$

for sufficiently large t . Taking into account that

$$|(\cosh t)^{(s-1)\sigma}| = (\cosh t)^{(\xi-1)\sigma},$$

we obtain the required inequality from Lemma 3.2, which finishes the proof.

From Lemma 3.3, we have immediately the following

COROLLARY 3.4. *Suppose that $\xi = \operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Then there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$\frac{f_\xi(a_t K)}{|f_s(a_t K)|} \leq \eta$$

for sufficiently large t .

LEMMA 3.5. *Assume that $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Then for any neighborhood U of eM in B ,*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{b \in B-U} \left| \frac{P_s(a_t K, b)}{f_s(a_t K)} \right| = 0.$$

PROOF. Put $\xi = \operatorname{Re}(s)$. From Theorem 3.1 in [8], there exists a positive continuous function F on $B^* = B - \{eM\}$ such that

$$\exp \{-2\rho(H(a^{-1}k))\} \leq F(kM) \exp \{-2\rho(H(a))\}$$

for $kM \in B^*$ and $a \in A$ with $\rho(H(a)) > 0$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} |P_s(a_t K, kM)| &= P_\xi(a_t K, kM) \\ &= \exp \{-(1+\xi)\rho(H(a_t^{-1}k))\} \\ &\leq \phi(kM) \exp \{-(1+\xi)\sigma t\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we put

$$\phi(kM) = F(kM)^{(1+\xi)/2}.$$

From Lemma 3.3, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$|f_s(a_t K)| \geq \delta (\cosh t)^{(\xi-1)\sigma}$$

for sufficiently large t . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{P_s(a_t K, b)}{f_s(a_t K)} \right| &\leq \delta^{-1} \phi(b) (\cosh t)^{(1-\xi)\sigma} \exp \{-(1+\xi)\sigma t\} \\ &\leq \delta^{-1} \phi(b) \exp \{(|1-\xi| - (1+\xi))\sigma t\} \end{aligned}$$

for such t , because

$$(\cosh t)^{(1-\xi)\sigma} \leq (\cosh t)^{|1-\xi|\sigma} \leq \exp(|1-\xi|\sigma|t|).$$

Since $|1-\xi| - (1+\xi) < 0$ and ϕ is bounded on $B-U$, we can see that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{b \in B-U} \left| \frac{P_s(a_t K, b)}{f_s(a_t K)} \right| = 0,$$

which completes the proof.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1. We put $a = a_t$ and notice that $\rho(H(a)) \rightarrow \infty$ is equivalent to $t \rightarrow \infty$. Then the first assertion of the theorem is a consequence of Lemma 3.3. Since

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{P}_s(\phi)(ka_tK) &= \int_B P_s(ka_tK, b)\phi(b)db \\ &= \int_B P_s(a_tK, b)\phi(kb)db\end{aligned}$$

and

$$f_s(a_tK) = \mathcal{P}_s(1_B)(a_t) = \int_B P_s(a_tK, b)db,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \left| \frac{1}{f_s(a_tK)} \mathcal{P}_s(\phi)(ka_tK) - \phi(kM) \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{1}{f_s(a_tK)} \int_B P_s(a_tK, b)(\phi(kb) - \phi(kM))db \right| \\ &\leq \int_B \left| \frac{P_s(a_tK, b)}{f_s(a_tK)} \right| |\phi(kb) - \phi(kM)| db.\end{aligned}\quad (3.1)$$

On the other hand, since $\phi \in C(B)$, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find a neighbourhood U of eM in B such that

$$|\phi(kb) - \phi(kM)| < \varepsilon$$

for any $k \in K$ and any $b \in U$. Putting

$$m = 2 \sup_{b \in B} |\phi(b)|,$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_B \left| \frac{P_s(a_tK, b)}{f_s(a_tK)} \right| |\phi(kb) - \phi(kM)| db \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_U \left| \frac{P_s(a_tK, b)}{f_s(a_tK)} \right| db + m \sup_{b \in B-U} \left| \frac{P_s(a_tK, b)}{f_s(a_tK)} \right|.\end{aligned}\quad (3.2)$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned}\int_U \left| \frac{P_s(a_tK, b)}{f_s(a_tK)} \right| db &= \int_U \frac{P_\xi(a_tK, b)}{|f_s(a_tK)|} db \\ &= \frac{f_\xi(a_tK)}{|f_s(a_tK)|} \int_U \frac{P_\xi(a_tK, b)}{f_\xi(a_tK)} db \\ &\leq \frac{f_\xi(a_tK)}{|f_s(a_tK)|} \int_B \frac{P_\xi(a_tK, b)}{f_\xi(a_tK)} db \\ &= \frac{f_\xi(a_tK)}{|f_s(a_tK)|}.\end{aligned}\quad (3.3)$$

Hence from (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3) we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \left| \frac{1}{f_s(a_tK)} \mathcal{P}_s(\phi)(ka_tK) - \phi(kM) \right| \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{f_\xi(a_tK)}{|f_s(a_tK)|} + m \sup_{b \in B-U} \left| \frac{P_s(a_tK, b)}{f_s(a_tK)} \right|.\end{aligned}$$

This inequality together with Corollary 3.4 and Lemma 3.5 leads to our theorem.

§ 4. K -finite eigenfunctions of Δ on a real hyperbolic space.

From now on, we assume moreover that $G = SO_0(n, 1)$ ($n \geq 3$), a generalized Lorentz group. The associated symmetric space $X = G/K$ is called a real hyperbolic space. We notice that for $G = SO_0(n, 1)$, we have $p = n - 1$, $q = 0$, $P_{\mu_0} = P_+$, $P_{2\mu_0} = \emptyset$ and $\sigma = p/2 + q = (n - 1)/2$.

In this section we determine the Poisson transform of a K -finite function on B , by using the Fatou type theorem. The maximal compact subgroup K is isomorphic to $SO(n)$ and M is isomorphic to $SO(n - 1)$. Therefore $B = K/M$ is (real-analytically) isomorphic to S^{n-1} ($(n - 1)$ -dimensional sphere). Let ω_K be the Casimir operator of K and let R^0 be the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of K of class one with respect to M . Since the elements of V^γ transform according to γ under the representation π of K , $\pi(\omega_K)$ is a scalar operator on V^γ , where π denotes also the differential of π . We denote this scalar by $\lambda(\gamma)$. In this case there exists a bijection A of R^0 onto N^0 such that

$$\lambda(\gamma) = \frac{l(l+n-2)}{2(n-2)}, \quad (4.1)$$

where $l = A(\gamma)$ (for details, see [15, § 3]). By this bijection A , we identify R^0 with N^0 and write τ^l , V^l , $\lambda(l)$, $d(l)$, \mathcal{A}_s^l , ϕ_i^l and f_{si}^l instead of τ^γ , V^γ , $\lambda(\gamma)$, $d(\gamma)$, \mathcal{A}_s^γ , ϕ_i^γ and f_{si}^γ respectively.

Let \mathfrak{m}_0 be the Lie algebra of M and \mathfrak{m} be its complexification in \mathfrak{g} . Then from Lemma 3.2 in [15],

$$\omega_{\mu_0} \equiv \frac{n-2}{n-1} \omega_K \pmod{\mathfrak{m}_0 \mathfrak{B}}. \quad (4.2)$$

By the way, since M centralizes A ,

$$f(\exp tY)a = f(a \exp tY)$$

for $a \in A$, $Y \in \mathfrak{m}_0$ and $t \in \mathbf{R}$. Hence we have

$$(L(u)f)(aK) = 0 \quad (4.3)$$

for $f \in C^\infty(X)$, $a \in A$ and $u \in \mathfrak{m} \mathfrak{B}$.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let s be a complex number and l be a non-negative integer. Then for each function f in \mathcal{A}_s^l ,*

$$(L(\omega_{\mu_0})f)(aK) = \frac{l(l+n-2)}{2(n-1)} f(aK) \quad (a \in A).$$

PROOF. It is clear that $L(\omega_K)$ is a scalar operator on \mathcal{A}_s^l and the scalar is equal to $\lambda(l)$. From (4.1), (4.2) and (4.3)

$$\begin{aligned} (L(\omega_{\mu_0})f)(aK) &= \frac{n-2}{n-1} (L(\omega_K)f)(aK) \\ &= \frac{l(l+n-2)}{2(n-1)} f(aK), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 4.2. *Let s and l be as in Lemma 4.1. Then for each function f in \mathcal{H}_s^l , there exists a constant $c \in \mathbf{C}$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_{2t}K) &= c(\tanh t)^l (\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma} \\ &\quad \times F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma + \frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma = (n-1)/2$.

PROOF. From Proposition 2.3 and Lemma 4.1 it follows that f satisfies the differential equation

$$\frac{d^2f}{dt^2} + (n-1) \coth t \frac{df}{dt} - \frac{l(l+n-2)}{(\sinh t)^2} f + (1-s^2)\sigma^2 f = 0.$$

We introduce a parameter $z = (\tanh(t/2))^2$. Then the above differential equation turns into

$$z(1-z)^2 \frac{d^2f}{dz^2} + \frac{1}{2}(1-z)(nz-4z+n) \frac{df}{dz} - l(l+n-2) \frac{(1-z)^2}{4z} f + (1-s^2)\sigma^2 f = 0.$$

A fundamental system of solutions of this differential equation is given by

$$\begin{aligned} & z^{l/2}(1-z)^{(1-s)\sigma} F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma + \frac{1}{2}; z\right), \\ & z^{-l/2-\sigma+1/2}(1-z)^{(1-s)\sigma} F\left(-l-(1+s)\sigma+1, -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, -l-\sigma + \frac{3}{2}; z\right). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f(a_{2t}K)$ is a C^∞ -function in t and $1-z = (\cosh t)^{-2}$, there exists a constant $c \in \mathbf{C}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} f(a_{2t}K) &= c(\tanh t)^l (\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma} \\ &\quad \times F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma + \frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right), \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof.

Now, using Proposition 4.2, we shall determine the Poisson transform of a K -finite function on B explicitly.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *Let s and l be as in Lemma 4.1, ϕ be a function in V^l , and put $f = \mathcal{P}_s(\phi)$. Then*

$$f(a_{2t}K) = \phi(eM) \frac{\Gamma\left(\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma + \frac{1}{2}\right) \Gamma((1+s)\sigma)} (\tanh t)^l (\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma}$$

$$\times F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right).$$

PROOF. From Proposition 4.2, it follows that there exists a constant c such that

$$f(a_{2t}K) = c(\tanh t)^l(\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma} \\ \times F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right). \quad (4.4)$$

We notice that f_s^0 coincides with $f_s = \mathcal{P}_s(1_B)$ defined in §3, since $f_s^0 = \mathcal{P}_s(\phi_1^0)$ and $\phi_1^0 = 1_B$. Observing that $f_s \in \mathcal{H}_s^0$ and $f_s(eK) = 1$, we have

$$f_s(a_{2t}K) = (\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma} F\left((1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}, \sigma+\frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right). \quad (4.5)$$

Now we assume that $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. Then from Theorem 3.1,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_{2t}K)}{f_s(a_{2t}K)} = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mathcal{P}_s(\phi)(a_{2t}K)}{f_s(a_{2t}K)} = \phi(eM). \quad (4.6)$$

On the other hand, from (4.4) and (4.5) we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(a_{2t}K)}{f_s(a_{2t}K)} = c \frac{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(2s\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)} \cdot \frac{\Gamma\left(s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(2s\sigma)} \\ = c \frac{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}, \quad (4.7)$$

since

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right) \\ = \frac{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(2s\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$ (cf. [11, p. 244]). Therefore it follows from (4.6) and (4.7) that

$$c = \phi(eM) \frac{\Gamma\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)}.$$

Hence from (4.4) we obtain

$$f(a_{2t}K) = \phi(eM) \frac{\Gamma\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)} (\tanh t)^l (\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma}$$

$$\times F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right) \quad (4.8)$$

for $\operatorname{Re}(s) > 0$. We fix t and l in (4.8). Then both sides of (4.8) are holomorphic in s . Therefore (4.8) is valid for any $s \in \mathbf{C}$ from the uniqueness of analytic continuation, which finishes the proof.

We recall that $f_s^l = f_{s1}^l$.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let s and l be as in Lemma 4.1. Then*

$$f_s^l(a_{2t}K) = d(l)^{1/2} \frac{\Gamma\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)} (\tanh t)^l (\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma} \\ \times F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma+\frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2\right),$$

$$f_{si}^l(a_{2t}K) = 0 \quad (2 \leq i \leq d(l)),$$

where

$$d(l) = \frac{2l+n-2}{n-2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(l+n-2)}{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma(n-2)}.$$

PROOF. For $K = SO(n)$, $d(l)$ is given by ([17, p. 68])

$$d(l) = \frac{2l+n-2}{n-2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(l+n-2)}{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma(n-2)}.$$

Since $\phi_i^l(eM) = d(l)^{1/2} \delta_{ii}$, applying Proposition 4.3 for $\phi = \phi_i^l$ we have this corollary.

REMARK. Put

$$e(l, s) = \frac{\Gamma\left(\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma\left(l+\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)}.$$

From Corollary 4.4, we can conclude that \mathcal{P}_s is injective on V^l if and only if $e(l, s) \neq 0$. On the other hand for $G = SO_0(n, 1)$

$$e(s) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+s)\sigma+\frac{1}{2}\right)\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(1+s)\sigma\right)} \\ = \frac{2^{(1+s)\sigma}}{2\pi^{1/2}\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)}.$$

From Proposition 2.1 $e(s) \neq 0$ if and only if \mathcal{P}_s is injective on $C(B)$. But we can obtain a more precise information on the injectivity of \mathcal{P}_s on V^l by $e(l, s)$. In fact, assume that $e(s) = 0$. Then $-(1+s)\sigma \in \mathbf{N}^0$, and for $l > -(1+s)\sigma$, $e(l, s) = 0$. Hence $\mathcal{P}_s(V^l) = \{0\}$ for $l > -(1+s)\sigma$.

§5. Poisson transform of a hyperfunction on B .

In this section we define the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_s(T)$ on a real hyperbolic space X of a hyperfunction T on $B=K/M$ and prove Theorem 5.5 which asserts that if $e(s) \neq 0$, \mathcal{P}_s is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(B)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$, where $\mathcal{B}(B)$ is the space of Sato's hyperfunctions ([18]) and $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$ is the space of eigenfunctions of Δ on X with eigenvalue $(s^2-1)\langle\rho, \rho\rangle$.

At first we review the topology of the space of real analytic functions on a real analytic manifold. Let F be a paracompact connected real analytic manifold of dimension m . Then there exists a paracompact complex m -dimensional manifold W which contains F as a real analytic closed submanifold (see [1]). For an open subset U of W , we denote by $H(U)$ the space of holomorphic functions on U topologized by uniform convergence on compact subsets. Then $\mathcal{A}(F)$, the space of real analytic functions on F , is topologized by

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \lim_{\substack{\longrightarrow \\ U \supset F}} H(U),$$

where $\lim_{\longrightarrow} H(U)$ denotes the inductive limit of the topological space $H(U)$ (cf. [12], [14]). We denote by $\mathcal{A}'(F)$ the space of continuous linear functions of $\mathcal{A}(F)$ into \mathbb{C} . The elements of $\mathcal{A}'(F)$ are called analytic functionals on F . If, in particular, F is an oriented compact connected real analytic manifold, by Sato [18] $\mathcal{A}'(F)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(F)$, the space of hyperfunctions on F . (According to [14], $\mathcal{A}'(F)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(F)$ even if F is not oriented.)

On the other hand, if F is a compact connected real analytic riemannian manifold, $\mathcal{A}(F)$ can be characterized as follows (for details see [15, §1] or [4, §1]). Let ω be the laplacian on F and $L^2(F)$ be the space of square-integrable functions on F with respect to the measure induced by the riemannian metric on F . We denote the unitary inner product and the norm of $L^2(F)$ by (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively. As is well-known, the eigenvalues of ω are non-negative and countable, and the space of eigenfunctions of each eigenvalue is finite-dimensional. We denote the eigenvalues of ω by λ_n ($n \in \mathbb{N}^0$) and order them so that $\lambda_n < \lambda_m$ if $n < m$. Let E_n be the space of eigenfunctions of ω with eigenvalue λ_n and $d(n)$ be the dimension of E_n . Then as an orthonormal base of E_n , we can choose analytic functions ϕ_i^n on F ($n \in \mathbb{N}^0$, $1 \leq i \leq d(n)$), and

$$\{\phi_i^n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^0, 1 \leq i \leq d(n)\}$$

is a complete orthonormal base of $L^2(F)$. For each $\phi \in C^\infty(F)$ we define $\omega^{1/2}\phi \in C^\infty(F)$ by

$$\omega^{1/2}\phi = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} \lambda_n^{1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{d(n)} a_i^n \phi_i^n,$$

where $a_i^n = (\phi, \phi_i^n)$. We define seminorms $\|\cdot\|_h$ ($h > 0$) on $C^\infty(F)$ and subspaces $\mathcal{A}_h(F)$ of $C^\infty(F)$ by

$$\|\phi\|_h = \sup_{m \in \mathbf{N}^0} \frac{\|\omega^{m/2}\phi\|}{m! h^m},$$

$$\mathcal{A}_h(F) = \{\phi \in C^\infty(F) \mid \|\phi\|_h < \infty\}.$$

Then $\mathcal{A}_h(F)$ is a Banach space with the norm $\|\cdot\|_h$. From Proposition 1.6 in [15], $\mathcal{A}(F)$ coincides with the inductive limit of $\mathcal{A}_h(F)$ as a topological space. That is,

$$\mathcal{A}(F) = \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{A}_h(F).$$

From now on, we put $F = B (= K/M)$. Since $K \cong SO(n)$ and $M \cong SO(n-1)$, B is real-analytically isomorphic to the $(n-1)$ -dimensional sphere S^{n-1} . Therefore $\mathcal{A}'(B)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}(B)$ by the above arguments. Henceforth we write $\mathcal{B}(B)$ for $\mathcal{A}'(B)$ and call the elements of $\mathcal{A}'(B)$ hyperfunctions on B . We denote the value of $T \in \mathcal{B}(B)$ at $\phi \in \mathcal{A}(B)$ by

$$\int_B \phi(b) dT(b).$$

As in [15], we take the Casimir operator ω_K as the laplacian on B . Then we can take $\lambda_l, V^l, d(l)$ which are introduced in § 2 and § 4 as $\lambda_n, E_n, d(n)$ respectively. Put

$$\mathcal{F}_b(B) = \{(a_i^l)_{\substack{l \in \mathbf{N}^0 \\ 1 \leq i \leq d(l)}} \mid a_i^l \in \mathbf{C}, \sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| \exp(-t\lambda_l^{1/2}) < \infty \text{ for any } t > 0\}$$

and define a mapping Ψ of $\mathcal{B}(B)$ into \mathbf{C}^N by

$$\Psi(T) = (a_i^l), \quad a_i^l = \int_B \bar{\phi}_i^l(b) dT(b),$$

for $T \in \mathcal{B}(B)$. Then by Theorem 1.8 and the remark in [15, § 1], Ψ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(B)$ onto $\mathcal{F}_b(B)$ and $\mathcal{F}_b(B)$ is also given by

$$\mathcal{F}_b(B) = \{(a_i^l)_{\substack{l \in \mathbf{N}^0 \\ 1 \leq i \leq d(l)}} \mid a_i^l \in \mathbf{C}, \sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l|^2 \exp(-t\lambda_l^{1/2}) < \infty \text{ for any } t > 0\}. \quad (5.1)$$

Now, we define the Poisson transform $\mathcal{P}_s(T)$ on the real hyperbolic space X of a hyperfunction T on B . Since the Poisson kernel $P_s(z, b)$ is real analytic in b , we can operate $T \in \mathcal{B}(B)$ on $P_s(z, b)$. Thus we put

$$\mathcal{P}_s(T)(z) = \int_B P_s(z, b) dT(b).$$

PROPOSITION 5.1. *Let T be a hyperfunction on B and put $\Psi(T) = (a_i^l)$. Then*

$$\mathcal{P}_s(T)(z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} a_i^l f_{si}^l(z)$$

for any z in X , where $f_{si}^l = \mathcal{P}_s(\phi_i^l)$.

PROOF. Fix an arbitrary z in X . Then $P_{\bar{s}}(z, b)$ can be expanded in an absolutely and uniformly convergent Fourier series

$$P_{\bar{s}}(z, b) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} \phi_i^l(b) \int_B P_{\bar{s}}(z, b) \bar{\phi}_i^l(b) db,$$

which converges also in $\mathcal{A}(B)$ by Corollary 1 to Proposition 1.7 in [15]. Taking complex conjugate of the above equality, we have

$$P_s(z, b) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} \bar{\phi}_i^l(b) \int_B P_s(z, b) \phi_i^l(b) db,$$

which also converges in $\mathcal{A}(B)$. From the continuity of T on $\mathcal{A}(B)$ we have

$$\mathcal{P}_s(T)(z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} \int_B \bar{\phi}_i^l(b) dT(b) \int_B P_s(z, b) \phi_i^l(b) db.$$

Since

$$a_i^l = \int_B \bar{\phi}_i^l(b) dT(b)$$

and

$$f_{si}^l(z) = \int_B P_s(z, b) \phi_i^l(b) db,$$

we obtain

$$\mathcal{P}_s(T)(z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} a_i^l f_{si}^l(z),$$

which completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 5.2. (1) For any complex number s and any sequence (a_i^l) in $\mathcal{F}_b(B)$, the series

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} a_i^l f_{si}^l(z)$$

converges absolutely and uniformly on every compact subset in X .

(1) Suppose furthermore that $e(s) \neq 0$. Let f be a function in $\mathcal{A}_s(X)$ and expand f as

$$f = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}^0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} a_i^l f_{si}^l$$

by Proposition 2.2. Then the sequence (a_i^l) lies in $\mathcal{F}_b(B)$.

For the proof of the proposition, we need the following

LEMMA 5.3. Let α and β be complex numbers and γ be a positive number. Then for any h with $0 < h < 1$, there exists an integer $l_0 \in \mathbb{N}^0$ such that for any integer $l \geq l_0$,

$$(1) |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; z)| \leq (1-h)^{-|\beta|} \text{ for } |z| \leq h^2,$$

$$(2) \quad |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h)| \geq \frac{1}{2} (1-h)^{-\operatorname{Re}(\beta)}.$$

PROOF. We notice that ([11, p. 258])

$$F(1, \beta, 1; z) = (1-z)^{-\beta},$$

and that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m+|\alpha|}{m+\gamma} = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \frac{m+\alpha}{m+\gamma} = 1. \quad (5.2)$$

(1) Assume that $|z| \leq h^2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; z)| &= \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l+\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{(l+\gamma)_n} \frac{z^n}{n!} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l+|\alpha|)_n}{(l+\gamma)_n} (|\beta|)_n \frac{h^{2n}}{n!}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $1/h > 1$, by (5.2) we can choose a non-negative integer l_0 so that

$$0 \leq \frac{m+|\alpha|}{m+\gamma} \leq \frac{1}{h}$$

for $m \geq l_0$. Then for $l \geq l_0$ and $n \geq 0$,

$$\frac{(l+|\alpha|)_n}{(l+\gamma)_n} \leq \frac{1}{h^n}.$$

Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; z)| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h^n} (|\beta|)_n \frac{h^{2n}}{n!} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (|\beta|)_n \frac{h^n}{n!} \\ &= F(1, |\beta|, 1; h) = (1-h)^{-|\beta|}. \end{aligned}$$

(2) Since $(1-x)^{-|\beta|}$ is continuous in $-1 < x < 1$, we can choose an $\varepsilon > 1$ such that $\varepsilon h < 1$ and

$$0 < (1-\varepsilon h)^{-|\beta|} - (1-h)^{-|\beta|} \leq \frac{1}{2} (1-h)^{-\operatorname{Re}(\beta)}. \quad (5.3)$$

On the other hand, since

$$\begin{aligned} F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h) - (1-h)^{-\beta} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(l+\alpha)_n (\beta)_n}{(l+\gamma)_n} \frac{h^n}{n!} - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\beta)_n \frac{h^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{(l+\alpha)_n}{(l+\gamma)_n} - 1 \right) (\beta)_n \frac{h^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

we have

$$|F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h) - (1-h)^{-\beta}| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \frac{(l+\alpha)_n}{(l+\gamma)_n} - 1 \right| (|\beta|)_n \frac{h^n}{n!}.$$

We can choose an integer l_0 by (5.2) such that for $m \geq l_0$

$$\left| \frac{m+\alpha}{m+\gamma} - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon - 1.$$

By the way, it can be easily shown that $|z_i - 1| \leq \varepsilon - 1$ ($i = 1, \dots, k$) implies

$|z_1 \cdots z_k - 1| \leq \varepsilon^k - 1$. Therefore we have

$$\left| \frac{(l+\alpha)_n}{(l+\gamma)_n} - 1 \right| \leq \varepsilon^n - 1$$

for $l \geq l_0$ and $n \geq 0$. Hence we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h) - (1-h)^{-\beta}| &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\varepsilon^n - 1) \frac{(|\beta|)_n}{n!} h^n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|\beta|)_n}{n!} (\varepsilon h)^n - \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(|\beta|)_n}{n!} h^n \\ &= F(1, |\beta|, 1; \varepsilon h) - F(1, |\beta|, 1; h) \\ &= (1-\varepsilon h)^{-|\beta|} - (1-h)^{-|\beta|}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h) - (1-h)^{-\beta}| &\geq |(1-h)^{-\beta}| - |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h)| \\ &= (1-h)^{-\operatorname{Re}(\beta)} - |F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h)|. \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

From (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5) it follows immediately that

$$|F(l+\alpha, \beta, l+\gamma; h)| \geq \frac{1}{2} (1-h)^{-\operatorname{Re}(\beta)}$$

for any $l \geq l_0$, which completes the proof of the lemma.

PROOF OF PROPOSITION 5.2. First we notice (Corollary 4.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} f_{si}^l(a_{2t}K) &= f_{s1}^l(a_{2t}K) = d(l)^{1/2} e(l, s) (\tanh t)^l (\cosh t)^{2(s-1)\sigma} \\ &\quad \times F(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma + \frac{1}{2}; (\tanh t)^2), \\ f_{si}^l(a_{2t}K) &= 0 \quad (2 \leq i \leq d(l)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} d(l) &= \frac{2l+n-2}{n-2} \cdot \frac{\Gamma(l+n-2)}{\Gamma(l+1)\Gamma(n-2)}, \\ e(l, s) &= \frac{\Gamma(\sigma + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma(l+(1+s)\sigma)}{\Gamma(l+\sigma + \frac{1}{2})\Gamma((1+s)\sigma)}, \\ \sigma &= \frac{p}{2} + q = \frac{n-1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

We put $\xi = \operatorname{Re}(s)$ and $\nu = \left| -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2} \right|$.

(1) For every $\eta > 0$ we define a compact subset U_η in X by

$$U_\eta = \{z = ka_{2t}K \mid |\tanh t| \leq \exp(-2\eta), k \in K\}.$$

Put

$$S_m(z) = \sum_{l \geq m} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| |f_{si}^l(z)|.$$

Then for the proof of (1), we have only to show the uniform convergence of the series S_0 on every U_η ($\eta > 0$). Fix an arbitrary $\eta > 0$. It is easy to see that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |d(l)^{1/2} e(l, s)|^{1/l} \leq 1. \quad (5.7)$$

Since $\exp \eta > 1$ and $0 < \exp(-2\eta) < 1$, from (5.7) and Lemma 5.3 we can choose an $l_0 \in \mathbf{N}^0$ such that for $l \geq l_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} |d(l)^{1/2} e(l, s)|^{1/l} &\leq \exp \eta, \\ \left| F\left(l + (1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, l + \sigma + \frac{1}{2}; z\right) \right| \\ &\leq (1 - \exp(-2\eta))^{-\nu} \quad \text{for } |z| \leq \exp(-4\eta). \end{aligned} \quad (5.8)$$

Since $|\bar{\tau}_{ij}^l(k)| \leq 1$ ($k \in K$) and from (2.6)

$$f_{si}^l(kz) = \sum_{j=1}^{d(l)} f_{sj}^l(z) \bar{\tau}_{ij}^l(k),$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_{l_0}(ka_{2t}K) &\leq \sum_{l \geq l_0} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| |f_{sj}^l(a_{2t}K)| |\bar{\tau}_{ij}^l(k)| \\ &\leq \sum_{l \geq l_0} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| |f_{sj}^l(a_{2t}K)|. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, from (5.6) and (5.8), putting $r = |\tanh t|$ we have for $z = ka_{2t}K \in U_\eta$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{l_0}(z) &\leq \sum_{l \geq l_0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| |f_{si}^l(a_{2t}K)| \\ &\leq (\cosh t)^{2(\xi-1)\sigma} (1 - \exp(-2\eta))^{-\nu} \sum_{l \geq l_0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| (r |d(l)^{1/2} e(l, s)|^{1/l})^l. \end{aligned}$$

We put

$$M_\eta = (1 - \exp(-2\eta))^{-\nu} \sup_{r \leq \exp(-2\eta)} (\cosh t)^{2(\xi-1)\sigma}.$$

Since

$$r |d(l)^{1/2} e(l, s)|^{1/l} \leq \exp(-2\eta) \exp(\eta) = \exp(-\eta),$$

we have for $l \geq l_0$ that

$$S_{l_0}(z) \leq M_\eta \sum_{l \geq l_0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| \exp(-\eta l).$$

On the other hand

$$\lambda_l = \frac{l(l+n-2)}{2(n-2)}$$

implies that

$$\frac{l}{\sqrt{2(n-2)}} \leq \lambda_l^{1/2} \leq l \quad (5.9)$$

for $l \in \mathbf{N}^0$. Hence we have

$$S_{l_0}(z) \leq M_\eta \sum_{l \geq l_0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l| \exp(-\eta \lambda_l^{1/2}),$$

which is finite because $(a_i^l) \in \mathcal{F}_b(B)$. Consequently $S_0(z)$ is uniformly convergent in U_η .

(2) Let $\eta > 0$ and choose a $t \in \mathbf{R}$ so that

$$r = \tanh t = \exp\left(\frac{-\eta}{4\sqrt{2(n-2)}}\right).$$

From the assumption that $e(s) \neq 0$, it follows that $e(l, s) \neq 0$ for $l \in \mathbf{N}^0$ and that

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} |e(l, s)|^{1/l} = 1. \quad (5.10)$$

Therefore by Lemma 5.3 and (5.10) we can find an $l_0 \in \mathbf{N}^0$ such that for $l \geq l_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |e(l, s)|^{2/l} &\geq \exp\left(\frac{-\eta}{2\sqrt{2(n-2)}}\right), \\ \left|F\left(l+(1-s)\sigma, -s\sigma + \frac{1}{2}, l+\sigma + \frac{1}{2}; r^2\right)\right| &\geq \frac{1}{2} (1-r^2)^{\xi\sigma-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, for $z = a_{2t}K$, from Proposition 2.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi_{\mathcal{F}}^z\|^2 &\geq \sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}^0} d(l)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l|^2\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{d(l)} |f_{s_j}^l(z)|^2\right) \\ &\geq \sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}^0} d(l)^{-1} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l|^2\right) |f_s^l(z)|^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)^{2\xi\sigma-1} (\cosh t)^{4(\xi-1)\sigma} \sum_{l \geq l_0} (|e(l, s)|^{2/l} r^2)^l \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l|^2\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)^{2\xi\sigma-1} (\cosh t)^{4(\xi-1)\sigma} \sum_{l \geq l_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l|^2\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\eta l}{\sqrt{2(n-2)}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\begin{aligned} r^2 |e(l, s)|^{2/l} &\geq \exp\left(\frac{-\eta}{2\sqrt{2(n-2)}}\right) \exp\left(\frac{-\eta}{2\sqrt{2(n-2)}}\right) \\ &= \exp\left(\frac{-\eta}{\sqrt{2(n-2)}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore from (5.9) we have

$$\|\phi_{\mathcal{F}}^z\|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} (1-r^2)^{2\xi\sigma-1} (\cosh t)^{4(\xi-1)\sigma} \sum_{l \geq l_0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l|^2\right) \exp(-\eta \lambda_l^{1/2}),$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbf{N}^0} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} |a_i^l|^2\right) \exp(-\eta \lambda_l^{1/2}) < \infty.$$

Since η is arbitrary, we can conclude that (a_i^l) lies in $\mathcal{F}_b(B)$ by (5.1). This finishes the proof.

PROPOSITION 5.4. *Let G be a connected real semisimple Lie group of real rank one with finite center, K be a maximal compact subgroup of G and Δ be the laplacian on $X=G/K$ corresponding to the riemannian metric induced by the Killing form of the Lie algebra of G . Suppose that f_n ($n \in \mathbf{N}^0$) are eigenfunctions of Δ with eigenvalue μ and that $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} f_n$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent on every compact subset in X . Then $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} f_n$ is also an eigenfunction of Δ with the same eigenvalue μ .*

PROOF. For $x \in G$, we define an operator M^x on $C(X)$ by

$$(M^x f)(gK) = \int_K f(gkxK) dk \quad (g \in G)$$

for $f \in C(X)$. Then by [6, Chap. X, Lemma 7.1 and Theorem 7.2] there exists a C^∞ -function λ on X such that

$$\begin{aligned} (M^x f_n)(z) &= \lambda(xK) f_n(z) \quad (z \in X), \\ (\Delta \lambda)(eK) &= \mu. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum f_n$ is absolutely and uniformly convergent on every compact subset in X , we have

$$\begin{aligned} [M^x(\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} f_n)](z) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} (M^x f_n)(z) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} \lambda(xK) f_n(z) \\ &= \lambda(xK) (\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} f_n)(z). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by [6, Chap. X, Theorem 7.2], $\sum_{n \in \mathbf{N}^0} f_n$ is an eigenfunction of Δ with eigenvalue $(\Delta \lambda)(eK) = \mu$, which completes the proof.

Now we can state the main theorem. For the notation, see § 2.

THEOREM 5.5. *Let $X=G/K$ be a real hyperbolic space.*

- (1) *The Poisson transform \mathcal{P}_s maps $\mathcal{B}(B)$ into $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$.*
- (2) *If $e(s) \neq 0$, \mathcal{P}_s is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{B}(B)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$.*

COROLLARY 5.6. *For a real hyperbolic space, any eigenfunction f of Δ can be represented as*

$$f(z) = \int_B P_s(z, b) dT(b)$$

with some complex number s and some hyperfunction T on B .

PROOF. Let $\Delta f = \mu f$. We can choose an $s \in \mathbf{C}$ such that $\mu = (s^2 - 1)\langle \rho, \rho \rangle$ and $\text{Re}(s) \geq 0$. Then $e(s) \neq 0$ and we have only to apply Theorem 5.5 to f .

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.5. (1) Let T be a hyperfunction on B and put

$\Psi(T) = (a_i^l)$. By Proposition 5.1,

$$\mathcal{P}_s(T)(z) = \sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} a_i^l f_{si}^l(z),$$

which is absolutely and uniformly convergent on every compact subset in X by Proposition 5.2. Since f_{si}^l lies in $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$, we can conclude from Proposition 5.4 that $\mathcal{P}_s(T)$ also lies in $\mathcal{H}_s(X)$.

(2) The surjectivity of \mathcal{P}_s is clear from (2) in Proposition 5.2. Assume that $\mathcal{P}_s(T) = 0$ ($T \in \mathcal{B}(B)$). Then, putting $\Psi(T) = (a_i^l)$, we have

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} \sum_{i=1}^{d(l)} a_i^l f_{si}^l(z) = 0 \quad (z \in X).$$

Replacing z by kz , from (2.6) we have

$$\sum_{l \in \mathbb{N}_0} d(l)^{-1/2} \sum_{i,j=1}^{d(l)} a_i^l f_{sj}^l(z) \phi_{ij}^l(k) = 0.$$

Since ϕ_{ij}^l are linearly independent on K , we obtain

$$a_i^l f_{si}^l(z) = 0 \quad (z \in X)$$

for l and $1 \leq i \leq d(l)$. The condition $e(s) \neq 0$ implies $f_{si}^l \neq 0$ on X . Therefore we have $a_i^l = 0$, which completes the proof.

References

- [1] H. Grauert, On Levi's problem and the imbedding of real-analytic manifolds, *Ann. of Math.*, **68** (1958), 460-472.
- [2] Harish-Chandra, Spherical functions on a semisimple Lie group I, *Amer. J. Math.*, **80** (1958), 241-310.
- [3] M. Hashizume, A. Kowata, K. Minemura and K. Okamoto, An integral representation of an eigenfunction of the laplacian on the euclidean space, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **2** (1972), 535-545.
- [4] M. Hashizume, K. Minemura and K. Okamoto, Harmonic functions on hermitian hyperbolic spaces, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, **3** (1973), 81-108.
- [5] S. Helgason, A duality for symmetric spaces with applications to group representations, *Advances in Math.*, **5** (1970), 1-154.
- [6] S. Helgason, *Differential Geometry and Symmetric Spaces*, Academic Press, New York, 1962.
- [7] K. Jhonsen and N.R. Wallach, Composition series and intertwining operators for the spherical principal series, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1972), 1053-1059.
- [8] A.W. Knap, Fatou's theorem for symmetric spaces: I, *Ann. of Math.*, **88** (1968), 106-127.
- [9] B. Kostant, On the existence and irreducibility of certain series of representations, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **75** (1969), 627-642.
- [10] A. Kowata and K. Okamoto, Harmonic functions and the Borel-Weil theorem, to appear in *Hiroshima Math. J.*

- [11] N. N. Lebedev, *Special Functions and Their Applications*, Prentice-Hall, London, 1965.
- [12] J. L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Problèmes aux limites non homogènes (VII)*, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.*, 4 (1963), 201-224.
- [13] A. Martineau, *Distributions et valeurs au bords des fonctions holomorphes*, *Theory of Distributions (Proc. Internat. Summer Inst.)*, Lisbon, 1964, 193-326.
- [14] A. Martineau, *Les hyperfonctions de M. Sato*, *Séminaire Bourbaki*, 13 (1960/1), No. 214.
- [15] K. Minemura, *Harmonic functions on real hyperbolic spaces*, *Hiroshima Math. J.*, 3 (1973), 121-151.
- [16] K. Okamoto, *Harmonic Analysis on homogeneous vector bundles*, *Lecture notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, 266 (1972), 255-271.
- [17] A. Orihara, *Bessel functions and the euclidean motion group*, *Tôhoku Math. J.*, 13 (1961), 66-74.
- [18] M. Sato, *Theory of hyperfunctions II*, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo*, 8 (1960), 387-436.
- [19] M. Sugiura, *Fourier series of smooth functions on compact Lie groups*, *Osaka J. Math.*, 8 (1971), 33-47.
- [20] R. Takahashi, *Sur les représentations unitaires des groupes de Lorentz généralisés*, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 91 (1963), 289-433.

Katsuhiko MINEMURA
Department of Mathematics
Hiroshima University

Present address:
Department of Mathematics
Japan Women's University
Mejirodai, Bunkyo-ku
Tokyo, Japan
