

## Mixed-type boundary conditions for second order elliptic differential equations

By Yoshio KATO

(Received March 5, 1973)

### § 0. Introduction.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded domain in  $R^m$  with  $C^\infty$  boundary  $\Gamma$  of dimension  $m-1$  and let there be given two differential operators  $A$  on  $\bar{\Omega} = \Omega \cup \Gamma$ ,

$$(0.1) \quad A = \sum_{|\mu|, |\nu| \leq 1} D^\nu a_{\nu\mu}(x) D^\mu,$$

and  $B_1$  on  $\Gamma$ ,

$$(0.2) \quad B_1 = a_0(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial n} + b(x, D),$$

where  $n$  denotes the exterior normal of  $\Gamma$  and  $b(x, D)$  is a tangential differential operator of first order on  $\Gamma$ . The notations are the usual ones:  $\nu = (\nu_1, \dots, \nu_m)$  with non-negative integers  $\nu_j$ ,  $|\nu| = \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_m$ ,  $D = (D_1, \dots, D_m)$  with  $D_j = -i\partial/\partial x_j$  and  $D^\nu = D_1^{\nu_1} \dots D_m^{\nu_m}$ . All coefficients are assumed to be complex-valued and  $C^\infty$  in their sets of definition indicated. It is also assumed that  $A$  is elliptic and moreover that  $a_0(x) \neq 0$  for all  $x \in \Gamma$ .

Many authors had studied the mixed problem: To find  $u$  such that

$$(0.3) \quad \begin{cases} Au = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ B_1 u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_1 \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma_2 \end{cases}$$

when  $f$  is given, where  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$  are two open sets of  $\Gamma$  such that  $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \cup \bar{\Gamma}_2 = \Gamma$  and  $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \emptyset$ . But it seems to be more natural to replace and generalize (0.3) with

$$(0.4) \quad \begin{cases} Au = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \alpha B_1 u + \beta u = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are two functions on  $\Gamma$  such that  $\alpha \geq 0$ ,  $\beta \geq 0$  and  $\alpha + \beta = 1$  on  $\Gamma$ . The first reason is that for the problem (0.3) no regularity can hold, i. e. even if  $f$  is smooth,  $u$  may not be smooth (this fact is pointed out in [1, 5]), while the regularity holds for the problem (0.4), provided  $\alpha$  is smooth. The second is that all solutions of (0.3) are approximated by solutions  $u_n$  of

(0.4) with  $\alpha = \alpha_n$  such that  $\alpha_n = 1$  on  $\Gamma_1$  and  $\alpha_n \rightarrow 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  (see Theorem 4). To establish this regularity is the main purpose of this paper. This kind of mixed-type boundary conditions, however, has been thoroughly studied by Itô [3]. Here he uses fundamental solutions of parabolic differential equations. Recently Hayashida [2] treated again this problem from the viewpoint of functional analysis, but he had to assume that  $\beta$  is sufficiently large, in order to assure the regularity of solutions. In this paper we shall also use the tool of functional analysis and our main results are stated in Theorems 1~6.

The plan of the present paper is the following. In Section 1 we shall show the existence of the Green kernels  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$  for the systems  $(A_\lambda, B)$  and  $(A_\lambda^*, B^*)$ , respectively, in a weak sense. Here it is only assumed that  $\alpha$  is measurable. The definition of weak solutions is introduced in Section 2, where Theorem 4 is stated. We shall discuss in Section 3 the regularity of  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$  when  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^2(\Gamma)$ , i. e. if  $f \in H^0(\Omega)$ , then  $Gf$  and  $\tilde{G}f$  are in  $H^2(\Omega)$ . Section 4 is continued from the preceding section and is devoted to the further regularity of  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$ , i. e.  $f \in H^{k-2}(\Omega; p)$  implies that  $Gf$  and  $\tilde{G}f$  are in  $H^k(\Omega; p)$ , if  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$ ,  $k \geq 3$ , and if Condition (H) (see §4) is satisfied. It should be noticed that Condition (H) is verified in [2, 3]. If Condition (H) is not satisfied, we must further assume, in order to establish the above regularity, that  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$ . These regularities will be obtained in both cases by using what is called elliptic regularization technique. To do so we introduce, in Appendix, the extensions  $q$  and  $p$  of  $\alpha$  and  $\sqrt{\alpha}$ , respectively, and their mollifiers  $q_\varepsilon$  and  $p_\varepsilon$ .

The author would like to express his hearty thanks to Professor Hayashida for the valuable discussions with him.

### §1. Existence of the Green kernels.

Let  $B[u, v]$  be a differential bilinear form associated with the differential operator  $A$  of (0.1) presented in Introduction,

$$B[u, v] = \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\nu|, |\mu| \leq 1} a_{\nu\mu}(x) D^\nu u \cdot \overline{D^\mu v} dx$$

and  $B_1$  is the differential operator (0.2). Throughout the present paper we assume that there exists a positive constant  $c_0$  such that

$$\Re \sum_{|\nu| = |\mu| = 1} a_{\nu\mu}(x) \xi^{\nu+\mu} \geq c_0 |\xi|^2, \quad \xi \in R^m$$

for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Then it follows that there exist a nonvanishing function  $a(x)$  in  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and a first order tangential differential operator  $\gamma(x, D)$  on  $\Gamma$  satisfying for all  $u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$

$$(1.1) \quad B[u, v] + \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(x, D)u \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma = (Au, v) + \int_{\Gamma} a(x)B_1u \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma,$$

where  $(u, v)$  is the usual inner product in  $L_2(\Omega)$ . In practice we have

$$a(x) = \sum_{|\nu| = |\mu| = 1} a_{\nu\mu}(x)n^{\nu+\mu}/a_0(x).$$

Moreover we can easily find another differential operator  $B'_1$  on  $\Gamma$  similar to (0.2) such that for all  $u, v \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$

$$(1.1^*) \quad B[u, v] + \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(x, D)u \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma = (u, A^*v) + \int_{\Gamma} a(x)u \overline{B'_1v} d\sigma$$

where  $A^*$  is the formal adjoint of  $A$ . As a matter of fact we have only to take as

$$B'_1 = \overline{a_0(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial n} + b'(x, D),$$

$b'(x, D)$  being an appropriate first order tangential differential operator on  $\Gamma$ .

Now let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two functions on  $\Gamma$  satisfying

$$(1.2) \quad \alpha \geq 0, \quad \beta \geq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha + \beta = 1 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma.$$

Throughout this section we assume  $\alpha$  to be measurable on  $\Gamma$ . Setting

$$\begin{cases} Bu = \alpha B_1u + \beta u \\ B^*u = \alpha B'_1u + \beta u \end{cases}$$

we introduce two function spaces,

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{D}_B = \{u \in H^2(\Omega); Bu = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma\} \\ \mathcal{D}_{B^*} = \{u \in H^2(\Omega); B^*u = 0 \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma\}, \end{cases}$$

where and from now on by  $H^s(\Omega)$ ,  $s$  real, we mean the set of all  $u \in \mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$  such that there exists a distribution  $U \in H^s(\mathbb{R}^m)$  with  $U = u$  in  $\Omega$ . The norm of  $u$  is defined by

$$\|u\|_{s, \Omega} = \inf \|U\|_s,$$

the infimum being taken over all such  $U$ . Similarly we can define the space  $H^s(\Gamma)$  and the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{s, \Gamma}$ . It is well-known by the trace operator theorems that if  $s > 0$ ,  $u \in H^{s+1/2}(\Omega)$  implies  $u \in H^s(\Gamma)$  and there really exists a positive constant  $C_s$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \|u\|_{s, \Gamma} \leq C_s \|u\|_{s+1/2, \Omega}, \quad u \in H^{s+1/2}(\Omega).$$

Put for  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$

$$\varphi = u - B_1u \quad \text{on} \quad \Gamma.$$

Then it follows from (1.3) that  $\varphi \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  and that

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{cases} u = Bu + \alpha\varphi \\ B_1u = Bu - \beta\varphi \end{cases}$$

on  $\Gamma$ . Similarly putting for  $v \in H^2(\Omega)$

$$\phi = v - B_1'v \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

we have  $\phi \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$ , and on  $\Gamma$

$$(1.4^*) \quad \begin{cases} v = B^*v + \alpha\phi \\ B_1'v = B^*v - \beta\phi. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let  $Q[u, v]$  be an integro-differential bilinear form defined by

$$(1.5) \quad Q[u, v] = B[u, v] + \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(x, D)u \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} au \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma,$$

where

$$\Gamma_1 = \{x \in \Gamma; \alpha(x) \neq 0\}.$$

Then we have for all  $u, v \in H^2(\Omega)$

$$Q[u, v] = \begin{cases} (Au, v) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} aBu \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma, & \text{if } v \in \mathcal{D}_B \cup \mathcal{D}_{B^*} \\ (u, A^*v) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} au \cdot \overline{B^*v} d\sigma, & \text{if } u \in \mathcal{D}_B \cup \mathcal{D}_{B^*}. \end{cases}$$

PROOF. Let  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$  and  $v \in \mathcal{D}_B \cup \mathcal{D}_{B^*}$ . From (1.4), (1.4\*) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma} aB_1u \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma &= \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} a(Bu - \beta u) \bar{v} d\sigma \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} aBu \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma - \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} au \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by (1.1) and (1.5)

$$Q[u, v] = (Au, v) + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} aBu \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma.$$

The same argument as above and the use of (1.1\*) complete the proof.

Q. E. D.

CONDITION 1. The integro-differential bilinear form defined by

$$(1.6) \quad Q^0[u, v] = B[u, v] + \int_{\Gamma} \gamma(x, D)u \cdot \bar{v} d\sigma$$

is coercive over  $\mathcal{D}_B$ , i. e. there exist two positive constants  $c_1$  and  $C$  such that

$$\Re Q^0[u, u] \geq c_1 \|u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 - C \|u\|_{0, \Omega}^2, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_B.$$

CONDITION 1\*. The form  $Q[u, v]$  is coercive over  $\mathcal{D}_{B^*}$ .

This kind of the coerciveness is characterized in [4] when  $\alpha$  is a characteristic function of an open set of  $\Gamma$ .

CONDITION 2. There exists a positive constant  $c_2$  such that

$$\Re a(x) \geq c_2, \quad x \in \Gamma.$$

PROPOSITION 1.2. Suppose that Conditions 1 and 2 (resp. 1\* and 2) hold. Then we can find two positive constants  $c, \lambda$  such that

$$\Re Q[u, u] \geq c \left( \|u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} |u|^2 d\sigma \right) - \lambda \|u\|_{0, \Omega}^2, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_B \text{ (resp. } u \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}).$$

PROOF. From (1.2) and (1.5) we have for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_B$  (or  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \Re Q[u, u] &= \Re Q^0[u, u] + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \Re a |u|^2 d\sigma \\ &= \Re Q^0[u, u] + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} \Re a |u|^2 - \int_{\Gamma_1} \Re a |u|^2 d\sigma \\ &\geq c_1 \|u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 - C \|u\|_{0, \Omega}^2 + c_2 \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} |u|^2 d\sigma - \max_{\Gamma} |\Re a| \int_{\Gamma} |u|^2 d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

Using an inequality well known in the theory of trace operators; for any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_\delta > 0$  such that

$$(1.7) \quad \int_{\Gamma} |f|^2 d\sigma \leq \delta \|f\|_{1, \Omega}^2 + C_\delta \|f\|_{0, \Omega}^2, \quad f \in H^1(\Omega),$$

we can assert the proposition. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 1.3. If we denote by  $V$  the Banach space obtained by the completion of  $\mathcal{D}_B$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot\|$  defined by

$$\|u\|^2 = \|u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 + \int_{\Gamma_1} \frac{1}{\alpha} |u|^2 d\sigma,$$

it then follows that  $\mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  is a dense subset of  $V$ .

PROOF. For any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}$ , we can choose a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  in  $H^2(\Omega)$  so that

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{cases} Bv_n = Bu & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \|v_n\| \rightarrow 0 & (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{cases}$$

In fact, from  $B^*u = 0$  on  $\Gamma$  we have

$$Bu = Bu - B^*u = \alpha(B_1u - B'_1u) \quad \text{on } \Gamma.$$

It then follows from (1.3) that

$$\varphi = (B_1 u - B'_1 u)|_\Gamma \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma).$$

Hence we can find  $v \in H^2(\Omega)$  so that

$$\begin{cases} B_1 v = \varphi \\ v = 0 \end{cases}$$

on  $\Gamma$ . Letting

$$\Omega_n = \left\{ x \in \Omega; \text{dis}(x, \Gamma) > \frac{1}{n} \right\}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

we introduce function  $\zeta_n(x) \in C^\infty(R^m)$  such that  $0 \leq \zeta_n(x) \leq 1$  on  $R^m$ ,  $\zeta_n(x) = 0$  in  $\Omega_n$  and  $= 1$  in  $R^m - \Omega$ . Thus

$$v_n = \zeta_n v$$

satisfies

$$Bv_n = Bv = \alpha\varphi = Bu \quad \text{on } \Gamma$$

and

$$\|v_n\| = \|v_n\|_{1, \mathcal{Q}} = \|\zeta_n v\|_{1, \mathcal{Q}} \longrightarrow 0 \quad (n \rightarrow \infty),$$

since  $v_n = 0$  on  $\Gamma$  and a Poincaré-type inequality

$$\|v\|_{0, \mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{Q}_n} \leq \frac{M}{n} \|v\|_{1, \mathcal{Q} - \mathcal{Q}_n}$$

holds with a constant  $M$  independent of  $n$  and  $v$ . In a way quite similar to the above we can show that for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_B$  there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\}$  in  $H^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$(1.8^*) \quad \begin{cases} B^* v_n = B^* u & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \|v_n\| \longrightarrow 0 & (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{cases}$$

Now let  $u \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  be a sequence satisfying (1.8). Set  $u_n = u - v_n$ . It then easily follows that  $u_n \in \mathcal{D}_B$  and  $\|u - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). This asserts  $u \in V$  and hence  $\mathcal{D}_{B^*} \subset V$ .

Next we shall prove that  $\mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  is dense in  $V$ . Let  $u \in V$  and  $\{u_m\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}_B$  converging to  $u$  in  $V$ . For each  $u_m$  there exists a sequence  $\{v_{m,n}\}$  in  $H^2(\Omega)$  satisfying (1.8\*). Set  $u_{m,n} = u_m - v_{m,n}$ . Then  $u_{m,n} \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  and  $\|u_m - u_{m,n}\| \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Hence for each  $m$  there exists an integer  $m'$  such that

$$\|u_m - u_{m,m'}\| < \frac{1}{m}.$$

Therefore

$$\|u - u_{m,m'}\| \leq \|u - u_m\| + \|u_m - u_{m,m'}\| \longrightarrow 0 \quad (m \rightarrow \infty).$$

This completes the proof.

Q. E. D.

Denote by  $V'$  the dual space of  $V$  with norm

$$\|f\|' = \sup_{0 \neq v \in V} \frac{|(f, v)|}{\|v\|}.$$

It is easily seen that  $V$  and  $H^0(\Omega)$  are contained continuously in  $H^1(\Omega)$  and  $V'$ , respectively, i. e.

$$(1.9) \quad \begin{cases} \|u\|_{1, \mathcal{D}} \leq \|u\|, & u \in V \\ \|f\|' \leq \|f\|_0, & f \in H^0(\Omega). \end{cases}$$

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that Conditions 1 and 2 hold. Then there exists an isomorphism  $G$  of  $V'$  onto  $V$ , which is called a Green kernel for the system  $(A_\lambda, B)$ , such that for every  $f \in V'$*

$$Q_\lambda[Gf, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in V,$$

where  $\lambda$  is the constant which appeared in Proposition 1.2 and we set  $A_\lambda = A + \lambda$  and

$$Q_\lambda[u, v] = Q[u, v] + \lambda(u, v).$$

**PROOF.** From Propositions 1.2 and 1.3 we have

$$(1.10) \quad \operatorname{Re} Q_\lambda[u, u] \geq c\|u\|^2, \quad u \in V$$

and

$$(1.11) \quad |Q_\lambda[u, v]| \leq \operatorname{const.} \|u\| \cdot \|v\|, \quad u, v \in V.$$

Using the theorem of Lax-Milgram, we can assert that for every  $f \in V'$  there exists the unique  $u \in V$  such that

$$Q_\lambda[u, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in V.$$

We now define  $G$  by  $u = Gf$ . Applying this to  $v = Gf$ , we get by (1.10)

$$c\|Gf\| \leq \|f\|'.$$

If  $Gf = 0$ , it follows that  $(f, v) = 0$  for every  $v \in V$  and hence  $f = 0$ . Finally we shall show that  $G$  is onto and its inverse is continuous. Let  $u \in V$  and  $\{u_n\}$  be a sequence in  $\mathcal{D}_B$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $V$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By proposition 1.1,

$$(1.12) \quad (A_\lambda u_n, v) = Q_\lambda[u_n, v], \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_B$$

and hence by (1.11)

$$\|A_\lambda u_n - A_\lambda u_m\|' \leq \operatorname{const.} \|u_n - u_m\|.$$

Therefore  $A_\lambda u_n$  converges to some  $f \in V'$ . Thus, as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , (1.12) becomes

$$(f, v) = Q_\lambda[u, v], \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_B.$$

Hence

$$\|f\|' \leq \operatorname{const.} \|u\|.$$

These show that  $u = Gf$  and  $G^{-1}$  is continuous.

Q. E. D.

THEOREM 1\*. Suppose that Conditions 1\* and 2 hold. Then there exists an isomorphism  $\tilde{G}$  of  $V'$  onto  $V$ , the Green kernel for the system  $(A_\lambda^*, B^*)$ , such that for every  $g \in V'$

$$Q_\lambda[u, \tilde{G}g] = (u, g), \quad u \in V.$$

The proof can be done in quite parallel with one of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Suppose that Conditions 1, 1\* and 2 hold, and let  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$  be the isomorphisms which appeared in Theorems 1 and 1\*, respectively. If we consider  $G, \tilde{G}$  as operators of  $H^0(\Omega)$  to itself, then they are completely continuous and  $\tilde{G}$  is equal to  $G^*$  which is defined by

$$(Gf, g) = (f, G^*g) \quad \text{for } f, g \in H^0(\Omega).$$

PROOF. From (1.9) and Theorems 1, 1\* we have

$$\|Gf\|_{1, \mathcal{Q}} \leq \text{const.} \|f\|_{0, \mathcal{Q}}, \quad f \in H^0(\Omega),$$

$$\|\tilde{G}g\|_{1, \mathcal{Q}} \leq \text{const.} \|g\|_{0, \mathcal{Q}}, \quad g \in H^0(\Omega).$$

Hence  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$  are completely continuous on  $H^0(\Omega)$ , for the injection:  $H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega)$  is completely continuous.

We shall now prove  $\tilde{G} = G^*$ . It follows from Theorems 1 and 1\* that for every  $f, g \in H^0(\Omega)$

$$(Gf, g) = Q_\lambda[Gf, \tilde{G}g] = (f, \tilde{G}g).$$

This shows  $G^*g = \tilde{G}g$  for every  $g \in H^0(\Omega)$ .

Q. E. D.

REMARK 1. Proposition 1.3 guarantees that Conditions 1 and 1\* are equivalent.

REMARK 2. The form  $Q[u, v]$  defined by (1.5) is hermitian if  $\overline{a_{\nu\mu}(x)} = a_{\mu\nu}(x)$  for all  $\nu, \mu$  such that  $|\nu|, |\mu| \leq 1$ ,  $\gamma(x, D)^* = \gamma(x, D)$  and  $\overline{a_0(x)} = a_0(x)$ . Then it immediately follows that  $A^* = A$  and  $B'_1 = B_1$  and hence  $B^* = B$ . Thus  $\tilde{G} = G$ . Hence it follows from Theorem 2 that  $G$  is self-adjoint as an operator on  $H^0(\Omega)$ .

## §2. Weak solutions and mixed problems.

As in the preceding section we assume  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  to be measurable and satisfy (1.2), and the notations are all the same as there. Moreover we assume that Conditions 1, 1\* and 2 hold.

Now we shall say  $u$  to be a *weak solution* of Problem

$$[A, f] \quad \begin{cases} Au = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ Bu = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

if  $u$  is a square integrable function on  $\Omega$  and if



$$(2.1) \quad (u, A^*v) = (f, v), \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}$$

is valid. We shall also say  $v$  to be a *weak solution* of Problem

$$[A^*, g] \quad \begin{cases} A^*v = g & \text{in } \Omega \\ B^*v = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

if  $v$  is a square integrable function on  $\Omega$  and if

$$(Au, v) = (u, g), \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_B$$

is valid. We denote by  $D_B$  the set of  $u$  such that there exists a sequence  $u_j \in \mathcal{D}_B$  satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \|u_j - u\|_0 \rightarrow 0 \\ \|Au_j - Au_k\|_0 \rightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

as  $j, k \rightarrow \infty$ . Then we set

$$\bar{A}u = \lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} Au_j.$$

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Let  $u \in V$  and  $f \in V'$ . Then the  $u$  is a weak solution of Problem  $[A, f]$  if and only if*

$$(2.2) \quad Q[u, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in V$$

holds.

PROOF. Let  $u_n \in \mathcal{D}_B$  such that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $V$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By Proposition 1.1

$$Q[u_n, v] = (u_n, A^*v), \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*},$$

and hence

$$Q[u, v] = (u, A^*v), \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}.$$

This shows that (2.1) and (2.2) are equivalent, for  $\mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  is dense in  $V$ .

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If  $u \in D_B$ , the  $u$  is a weak solution of Problem  $[A, \bar{A}u]$  and moreover is in  $V$ .*

PROOF. Setting for  $u, v \in H^2(\Omega)$

$$\varphi = u - B_1u, \quad \psi = v - B_1'v \quad \text{on } \Gamma,$$

we have  $\varphi, \psi \in H^{1/2}(\Gamma)$  and moreover (1.4) and (1.4\*) are valid. From (1.1) and (1.1\*)

$$(Au, v) = (u, A^*v) = \int_{\Gamma} a(x)(u\bar{B}_1'v - B_1u \cdot \bar{v})d\sigma.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} u\bar{B}_1'v - B_1u \cdot \bar{v} &= (Bu + \alpha\varphi)(\overline{B^*v - \beta\psi}) - (Bu - \beta\varphi)(\overline{B^*v + \alpha\psi}) \\ &= \varphi\overline{B^*v} - Bu \cdot \bar{\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(2.3) \quad (Au, v) - (u, A^*v) = \int_{\Gamma} a(x)(\varphi \overline{B^*v} - Bu \cdot \bar{\varphi}) d\sigma.$$

Now let  $u \in D_B$  and let  $u_j \in \mathcal{D}_B$  be such that

$$\begin{cases} \|u_j - u\|_0 \longrightarrow 0 \\ \|Au_j - \bar{A}u\|_0 \longrightarrow 0 \end{cases}$$

as  $j \rightarrow \infty$ . Applying (2.3), we have

$$(Au_j, v) - (u_j, A^*v) = 0, \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}.$$

Letting  $j$  tend to infinity, we can see that  $u$  is a weak solution of Problem  $[A, \bar{A}u]$ .

It easily follows from (1.10) and (1.11) that

$$c\|u\| \leq \|A_\lambda u\|' \leq \text{const.} \|u\|, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_B.$$

In particular

$$(2.4) \quad c\|u\| \leq \|A_\lambda u\|_0, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_B,$$

from which we can assert the proposition. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Every weak solution of Problem  $[A, f]$  belonging to  $H^2(\Omega)$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_B$  and  $Au = f$  in  $\Omega$ , provided  $\alpha \in H^{1+1/2}(\Gamma)$ .*

PROOF. Let  $u$  be a weak solution of  $[A, f]$  such that  $u \in H^2(\Omega)$ . Then we have by (2.3)

$$(Au, v) - (f, v) = 0, \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega).$$

This means  $Au = f$  in  $\Omega$ , which implies

$$(2.5) \quad \int_{\Gamma} a(x) Bu(\overline{v - B_1'v}) d\sigma = 0, \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}.$$

Let  $\chi$  be arbitrarily given in  $C^\infty(\Gamma)$ . Then we can easily find a function  $v \in H^2(\Omega)$  such that

$$\begin{cases} B_1'v = -\beta\chi \\ v = \alpha\chi \end{cases}$$

on  $\Gamma$ , since  $\alpha\chi$  and  $\beta\chi$  are belonging to  $H^{1+1/2}(\Gamma)$ . It is clear that  $v \in \mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  and  $v - B_1'v = \chi$  on  $\Gamma$ . This together with (2.5) yields  $Bu = 0$  on  $\Gamma$ , i. e.  $u \in \mathcal{D}_B$ .

THEOREM 3. *Denoting by  $N_{B^*}$  the set of weak solutions of Problem  $[A^*, 0]$ , we have*

$$H^0(\Omega) = \bar{A}_\lambda(D_B) \oplus N_{B^*}.$$

PROOF. We have only to prove that  $\bar{A}_\lambda(D_B)$  is the closure of  $A_\lambda(\mathcal{D}_B)$  in  $H^0(\Omega)$ . This is easily shown by (2.4). Q. E. D.

Now we consider the mixed problem (0.3). Here we assume that  $\bar{\Gamma}_1 \cap \bar{\Gamma}_2$  is  $C^\infty$  of dimension  $m-2$ . Then we can prove

**THEOREM 4.** *Let  $\alpha = 1$  on  $\Gamma_1$ ,  $= 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$  and  $\beta = 1 - \alpha$ . For each  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , we introduce two measurable functions  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$  satisfying (1.2) and that  $\alpha_n = 1$  on  $\Gamma_1$  and  $= 0$  for every  $x \in \Gamma_2$  such that  $\text{dis}(x, \Gamma_1) \geq 1/n$ . Then for every  $f \in H^0(\Omega)$ ,  $G_n f$  converges to  $Gf$  weakly in  $H^1(\Omega)$ , where  $G_n$  is the Green kernel for the system  $(A_\lambda, B_n = \alpha_n B_1 + \beta_n)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $V_n$  be the completion of  $\mathcal{D}_{B_n}$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_n^2 = \|u\|_{1,\Omega}^2 + \int_{\alpha_n \neq 0} \frac{1}{\alpha_n} |u|^2 d\sigma$$

and

$$Q_\lambda^n[u, v] = Q_\lambda^0[u, v] + \int_{\alpha_n \neq 0} \frac{\beta_n}{\alpha_n} u \bar{v} d\sigma.$$

For  $f \in H^0(\Omega)$ , we put  $u_n = G_n f$ . Then

$$Q_\lambda^n[u_n, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in V_n.$$

By (1.9) and (1.10) we have

$$c \|u_n\|_n \leq \|f\|_0.$$

Therefore there exists a subsequence  $u_{n'}$  such that

$$(2.6) \quad u_{n'} \longrightarrow u \quad \text{in } H^1(\Omega).$$

Now using the same argument as in Proof of Proposition 1.3, we can assert  $\mathcal{D}_B \subset V_n$ . Hence

$$Q_\lambda^n[u_{n'}, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in \mathcal{D}_B,$$

since  $\beta_n = 0$  on  $\Gamma_1$  and  $v = 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$ . Thus it follows from (2.6) that  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$ ,  $u = 0$  on  $\Gamma_2$  and

$$(2.7) \quad Q_\lambda[u, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in V.$$

The use of Lemma 5.2 in [4] guarantees  $u \in V$ . This together with (2.7) shows  $u = Gf$ . Q. E. D.

### § 3. Regularity of the Green kernels, I.

By  $\mathcal{B}^s(\Gamma)$ ,  $s = 1, 2, \dots$ , we denote the set of  $s$ -times differentiable functions on  $\Gamma$  whose derivatives of order  $s$  are all bounded on  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be functions on  $\Gamma$  satisfying (1.2) and assume that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^2(\Gamma)$ . By  $\varepsilon$  we denote, throughout the present paper, positive numbers not greater than 1. All other notations are the same as in § 1. Now let  $q_\varepsilon(x)$  be a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $R^m$  defined in Lemma A.2 of Appendix, and denote by  $\alpha_\varepsilon$  the restriction of  $q_\varepsilon$  onto  $\Gamma$ , and set

$$\beta_\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon - \alpha_\varepsilon.$$

Then it follows that  $\alpha_\varepsilon$  and  $\beta_\varepsilon$  are in  $C^\infty(\Gamma)$  and uniformly convergent to  $\alpha$

and  $\beta$  on  $\Gamma$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , and that their derivatives are all uniformly bounded on  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , and moreover that  $\varepsilon \leq \alpha_\varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $\alpha_\varepsilon \geq \alpha$  on  $\Gamma$  for every  $\varepsilon$ . Accordingly  $0 \leq \beta_\varepsilon \leq 1$  on  $\Gamma$ .

PROPOSITION 3.1. *Let  $Q^\varepsilon[u, v]$  be an integro-differential bilinear form defined by*

$$Q^\varepsilon[u, v] = Q^0[u, v] + \int_\Gamma \frac{\beta_\varepsilon}{\alpha_\varepsilon} a(x) u \bar{v} \, d\sigma,$$

and set

$$\begin{cases} B_\varepsilon u = \alpha_\varepsilon B_1 u + \beta_\varepsilon u \\ B_\varepsilon^* u = \alpha_\varepsilon B_1^* u + \beta_\varepsilon u. \end{cases}$$

Then we have for every  $u, v \in H^2(\Omega)$

$$\begin{aligned} Q^\varepsilon[u, v] &= (Au, v) + \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} a B_\varepsilon u \cdot \bar{v} \, d\sigma \\ &= (u, A^*v) + \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} a u \cdot \overline{B_\varepsilon^* v} \, d\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The proof may be done by the same argument as in Proposition 1.1. Throughout this section we must assume Condition 2 as well as the following one, stronger than Conditions 1 and 1\*.

CONDITION 3. The form  $Q^0[u, v]$  is coercive over  $H^1(\Omega)$ , i.e. there exist positive constants  $c_1$  and  $C$  such that

$$\operatorname{Re} Q^0[u, u] \geq c_1 \|u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 - C \|u\|_{0, \Omega}^2, \quad u \in H^1(\Omega).$$

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Suppose that Conditions 2 and 3 hold. Then we can find two positive constants  $c, \lambda$  independent of  $\varepsilon$  such that*

$$\operatorname{Re} Q^\varepsilon[u, u] \geq c \left( \|u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 + \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} |u|^2 \, d\sigma \right) - \lambda \|u\|_{0, \Omega}^2, \quad u \in H^1(\Omega).$$

The proof is immediately obtained in parallel with one of Proposition 1.2. For each  $\varepsilon$ , the completion of  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_\varepsilon^2 = \|u\|_{1, \Omega}^2 + \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} |u|^2 \, d\sigma$$

is obviously equal to  $H^1(\Omega)$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Suppose that Conditions 2 and 3 hold. Then it follows that for every  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  there exists the unique  $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that*

$$(3.1) \quad Q_\lambda^\varepsilon[u_\varepsilon, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in H^1(\Omega),$$

and moreover that  $u_\varepsilon$  is in  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and satisfies

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} A_\lambda u_\varepsilon = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ B_\varepsilon u_\varepsilon = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

and

$$(3.3) \quad c \|u_\varepsilon\|_\varepsilon \leq \|f\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}},$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $c$  are the constants which appeared in Proposition 3.2.

PROOF. We have from Proposition 3.2

$$(3.4) \quad \Re Q_\lambda^\varepsilon[u, u] \geq c \|u\|_\varepsilon^2, \quad u \in H^1(\Omega),$$

and immediately

$$|Q_\lambda^\varepsilon[u, v]| \leq C \|u\|_\varepsilon \|v\|_\varepsilon, \quad u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$$

with a constant  $C > 0$  not depending on  $\varepsilon$ . These inequalities assert the unique existence of  $u_\varepsilon \in H^1(\Omega)$  such that (3.1) is valid. Obviously  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  implies  $u_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and Proposition 3.1 assures (3.2). From (3.1) and (3.4)

$$c \|u_\varepsilon\|_\varepsilon^2 \leq |(f, u_\varepsilon)| \leq \|f\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}} \|u_\varepsilon\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}}$$

and hence (3.3) follows from  $\|u_\varepsilon\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}} \leq \|u_\varepsilon\|_\varepsilon$ .

Q. E. D.

Let  $\Omega_0$  be an open subset of  $\Omega$  and assume that there exists a  $C^\infty$ -coordinate transformation  $y = \kappa(x)$  such that  $\Omega_0$  is mapped in a one-to-one way onto an open portion  $\Sigma$  of a half space  $y_m > 0$  and  $\Gamma_0 = \bar{\Omega}_0 \cap \Gamma$  is transformed onto an open portion  $\sigma$  of  $y_m = 0$ . For functions  $u$  on  $\Omega$  and  $\varphi$  on  $\Gamma$ , we write

$$\begin{cases} \tilde{u}(y) = u(\kappa^{-1}(y)) & \text{for } y \in \Sigma \\ \tilde{\varphi}(y') = \varphi(\kappa^{-1}(y')) & \text{for } y' = (y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}) \in \sigma \end{cases}$$

and assume that the form  $Q_\lambda^\varepsilon[u, v]$  is altered by the transformation  $\kappa$  to

$$P^\varepsilon[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}] = P_1[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}] + P_2^\varepsilon[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}],$$

provided  $u, v \in H^1(\Omega)$  and  $\text{supp}[v] \subset \Omega_0 \cup \Gamma_0$ . Here

$$P_1[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}] = \int_\Sigma \sum_{|\nu|, |\mu| \leq 1} b_{\nu\mu}(y) D^\nu \tilde{u} \bar{D}^\mu \tilde{v} dy + \int_\sigma \delta(y', D') \tilde{u} \cdot \bar{\tilde{v}} dy',$$

$$P_2^\varepsilon[\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}] = \int_\sigma \frac{\tilde{\beta}_\varepsilon}{\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon} b(y') \tilde{u} \bar{\tilde{v}} d\sigma,$$

all coefficients being infinitely differentiable and  $\delta(y', D')$  of first order in  $D' = (D_1, \dots, D_{m-1})$ . In the following propositions we always assume Conditions 2 and 3. Then it follows from Proposition 3.2 that there exists a positive constant  $c'$  such that

$$(3.5) \quad \Re P^\varepsilon[\tilde{u}, \tilde{u}] \geq c' \left( \|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\Sigma}^2 + \int_\sigma \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon} |\tilde{u}|^2 dy' \right)$$

for every  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  satisfying  $\text{supp}[u] \subset \Omega_0 \cup \Gamma_0$ .

Now let  $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0 \cup \Gamma_0)$  and assume  $\zeta \geq 0$  there, and put

$$T = D_j \zeta \quad (D_j = -i\partial/\partial y_j), \quad j \neq m.$$

PROPOSITION 3.4. *There exist a constant  $C_1 > 0$  such that for every  $u \in C^\infty(\bar{Q})$*

$$|P_1[T\tilde{u}, T\tilde{u}] - P_1[\tilde{u}, T^*T\tilde{u}]| \leq C_1 \|\tilde{u}\|_{1,\varepsilon} \|T\tilde{u}\|_{1,\varepsilon}.$$

PROOF. For the sake of simplicity, we shall write  $\tilde{u}$  as  $u$  and set

$$\begin{cases} R = b_{\nu,\mu}(y)D^\nu \\ S = D^\mu \quad (|\nu|, |\mu| \leq 1). \end{cases}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} (RTu, STu) &= (TRu, STu) + ([R, T]u, STu) \\ &= (Ru, T^*STu) + ([R, T]u, STu) \\ &= (Ru, ST^*Tu) + (Ru, [T^*, S]Tu) + ([R, T]u, STu), \end{aligned}$$

where  $[A, B]$  denotes a commutator  $AB - BA$  and  $(u, v)$  does the usual inner product in  $L_2(\Sigma)$ . Hence

$$(3.6) \quad |(RTu, STu) - (Ru, ST^*Tu)| \leq K_1 \|u\|_{1,\varepsilon} \|Tu\|_{1,\varepsilon}.$$

In this section we always denote by  $K_j$  a positive constant not depending on  $u$  or  $\varepsilon$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta Tu, Tu)_\sigma &= (T\delta u, Tu)_\sigma + ([\delta, T]u, Tu)_\sigma \\ &= (\delta u, T^*Tu)_\sigma + ([\delta, T]u, Tu)_\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

and hence by (1.3)

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} |(\delta Tu, Tu)_\sigma - (\delta u, T^*Tu)_\sigma| &\leq K_2 \|[\delta, T]u\|_{-1/2,\sigma} \|Tu\|_{1/2,\sigma} \\ &\leq K_3 \|u\|_{1,\varepsilon} \|Tu\|_{1,\varepsilon}, \end{aligned}$$

where and in the following we put

$$(u, v)_\sigma = \int_\sigma u \bar{v} dy'.$$

The proof is completed by (3.6) and (3.7).

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 3.5. *There exists a constant  $C_2 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{Q})$  and every  $\varepsilon$*

$$\begin{aligned} &|P_2^* [T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon] - P_2^* [\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, T^*T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon]| \\ &\leq C_2 \left( \int_\sigma \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon} |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' + \int_\sigma |\tilde{B}_1 \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_\sigma \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon} |T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \right)^{1/2}, \end{aligned}$$

$u_\varepsilon$  being a  $C^\infty$ -function on  $\bar{Q}$  settled in Proposition 3.3.

PROOF. In the proof we omit the wave sign  $\sim$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} P_\Sigma^\varepsilon[Tu_\varepsilon, Tu_\varepsilon] - P_\Sigma^\varepsilon[u_\varepsilon, T^*Tu_\varepsilon] &= \int_\sigma \left[ \frac{\beta_\varepsilon}{\alpha_\varepsilon} b, T \right] u_\varepsilon \cdot \overline{Tu_\varepsilon} dy' \\ &= \int_\sigma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} [\beta_\varepsilon b, T] u_\varepsilon \overline{Tu_\varepsilon} dy' + \int_\sigma \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon}, T \right] (\beta_\varepsilon bu_\varepsilon) \overline{Tu_\varepsilon} dy'. \end{aligned}$$

By (3.2)

$$\beta_\varepsilon bu_\varepsilon = -b\alpha_\varepsilon B_1 u_\varepsilon \quad \text{on } \sigma.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon}, T \right] (\beta_\varepsilon bu_\varepsilon) &= \left[ T, \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} \right] (b\alpha_\varepsilon B_1 u_\varepsilon) \\ &= \left[ D_j, \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} \right] (\zeta b\alpha_\varepsilon B_1 u_\varepsilon) \\ &= -\frac{D_j \alpha_\varepsilon}{\alpha_\varepsilon^2} \zeta b\alpha_\varepsilon B_1 u_\varepsilon = -\frac{D_j \alpha_\varepsilon}{\alpha_\varepsilon} \zeta b B_1 u_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore by the Schwarz inequality and by Remark 1 in Appendix we can conclude the proposition. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 3.6. *Under the same situation as in Proposition 3.5, we can find a constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and every  $\varepsilon$*

$$(3.8) \quad \|T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{1,x}^2 + \int_\sigma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} |T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \leq C_3 \left( \|f\|_{0,\Omega}^2 + \int_\Gamma |B_1 u_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma \right).$$

PROOF. Applying (3.5) to  $\tilde{u} = T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} c' \left( \|T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{1,x}^2 + \int_\sigma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} |T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \right) &\leq \Re_\varepsilon P^\varepsilon[T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon] \\ &\leq |P^\varepsilon[T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon] - P^\varepsilon[\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, T^*T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon]| + |P^\varepsilon[\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, T^*T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon]|. \end{aligned}$$

Let  $v_\varepsilon \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0 \cup \Gamma_0)$  be such that  $\tilde{v}_\varepsilon = T^*T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$  on  $\Sigma$ . Then from Proposition 3.3

$$P^\varepsilon[\tilde{u}_\varepsilon, T^*T\tilde{u}_\varepsilon] = Q_\lambda^\varepsilon[u_\varepsilon, v_\varepsilon] = (f, v_\varepsilon).$$

Thus by Propositions 3.4, 3.5 and the Cauchy inequality we obtain that the left hand side of (3.8)

$$\leq K_4 \left( \|\tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{1,x}^2 + \int_\sigma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' + \int_\sigma |\widetilde{B}_1 u_\varepsilon|^2 dy' + \|\tilde{f}\|_{0,x}^2 \right).$$

This together with (3.3) completes the proof. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 3.7. *Under the same situation as in Proposition 3.5, we can find a constant  $C_4 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and every  $\varepsilon$*

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2,\Omega}^2 + \int_\Gamma \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} |D_\tau u_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma \leq C_4 \|f\|_{0,\Omega}^2,$$

where  $D_\tau$  denotes the tangential derivative of first order.

PROOF. Rewriting as  $T_j = D_j \xi_j$ ,  $j = 1, \dots, m-1$ , we get for every  $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$

$$\|\widetilde{\zeta}u\|_{2,x}^2 \leq K_5(\|\widetilde{\zeta}u\|_{1,x}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|T_j \tilde{u}\|_{1,x}^2 + \|D_m^2(\widetilde{\zeta}u)\|_{0,x}^2)$$

and from (3.2) and the ellipticity of  $A$

$$\|D_m^2(\widetilde{\zeta}u_\varepsilon)\|_{0,x}^2 \leq K_6(\|f\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}^2 + \|u_\varepsilon\|_{1,\mathcal{D}}^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \|T_j \tilde{u}_\varepsilon\|_{1,x}^2).$$

These together with Proposition 3.6 and (3.3) give

$$(3.9) \quad \|\widetilde{\zeta}u_\varepsilon\|_{2,x}^2 \leq K_7\left(\|f\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}^2 + \int_{\Gamma'} |B_1 u_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma\right).$$

Now again from Proposition 3.6 and (3.3)

$$(3.10) \quad \int_{\sigma} \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon} \tilde{\zeta}^2 |D_j \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \leq 2 \int_{\sigma} \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon} |T_j \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' + 2 \int_{\sigma} \frac{1}{\tilde{\alpha}_\varepsilon} |D_j \tilde{\zeta}|^2 |\tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \\ \leq K_8\left(\|f\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}^2 + \int_{\Gamma'} |B_1 u_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma\right).$$

Using the partition of unity of  $\bar{\Omega}$ , we obtain by (3.9) and (3.10)

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2,\mathcal{D}}^2 + \int_{\Gamma'} \frac{1}{\alpha_\varepsilon} |D_\tau u_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma \leq K_9\left(\|f\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}^2 + \int_{\Gamma'} |B_1 u_\varepsilon|^2 d\sigma\right).$$

Applying (1.7) to  $f = B_1 u_\varepsilon$ , we can assert the proposition. Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 3.8. *If  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ , then  $Gf$  is contained in  $\mathcal{D}_B$  and  $A_\lambda Gf = f$  in  $\Omega$ . Moreover it follows that there exists a constant  $C_5 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$*

$$\|Gf\|_{2,\mathcal{D}} \leq C_5 \|f\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}.$$

PROOF. From Proposition 3.7

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{2,\mathcal{D}}^2 \leq C_4 \|f\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}^2.$$

It then follows from the theorem of Banach-Sacks that there exists a decreasing sequence  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \dots$  converging to zero such that

$$v_n = \frac{u_{\varepsilon_1} + \dots + u_{\varepsilon_n}}{n}$$

converges to some  $u$  in  $H^2(\Omega)$  by the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{2,\mathcal{D}}$ . Thus, from Proposition 3.3,

$$A_\lambda v_n = f \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and

$$Bv_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \{(\alpha - \alpha_{\varepsilon_j})B_1 u_{\varepsilon_j} + (\beta - \beta_{\varepsilon_j})u_{\varepsilon_j}\}.$$

Hence, letting  $n$  tend to infinity, we obtain



$$\begin{cases} A_\lambda u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ Bu = 0 & \text{on } \Gamma, \end{cases}$$

which shows that  $u = Gf$  and hence  $A_\lambda Gf = f$  in  $\Omega$ . The latter half of the proposition is obvious. Q. E. D.

**THEOREM 5.** *Suppose that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^2(\Gamma)$  and that Conditions 2 and 3 hold. Then the Green kernel  $G$  stated in Theorem 1 is also an isomorphism of  $H^0(\Omega)$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_B$  with  $G^{-1} = A_\lambda$ , provided  $\mathcal{D}_B$  is equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{2,\mathcal{D}}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $f$  be arbitrarily given in  $H^0(\Omega)$  and let  $f_j \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $j=1, 2, \dots$ , such that  $f_j \rightarrow f$  in  $H^0(\Omega)$ . Proposition 3.8 guarantees that  $Gf_j \in \mathcal{D}_B$ ,  $A_\lambda Gf_j = f_j$  in  $\Omega$  and

$$\|G(f_j - f_i)\|_{2,\mathcal{D}} \leq C_5 \|f_j - f_i\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}.$$

Hence  $Gf \in \mathcal{D}_B$ ,  $A_\lambda Gf = f$  in  $\Omega$  and

$$\|Gf\|_{2,\mathcal{D}} \leq C_5 \|f\|_{0,\mathcal{D}}.$$

Now for any  $u \in \mathcal{D}_B$ , we set

$$U = GA_\lambda u.$$

Clearly  $U \in \mathcal{D}_B$ , for  $A_\lambda u \in H^0(\Omega)$ . Moreover

$$A_\lambda U = A_\lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

and  $U - u \in \mathcal{D}_B$ . Thus  $u = GA_\lambda u$  and

$$\|A_\lambda u\|_{0,\mathcal{D}} \leq K_{10} \|u\|_{2,\mathcal{D}}.$$

Q. E. D.

**THEOREM 5\*.** *Under the same supposition as in Theorem 5, it follows that the Green kernel  $\tilde{G}$  stated in Theorem 1\* is also an isomorphism of  $H^0(\Omega)$  onto  $\mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  with  $G^{*-1} = A_\lambda^*$ , provided  $\mathcal{D}_{B^*}$  is equipped with the norm  $\|\cdot\|_{2,\mathcal{D}}$ .*

The proof is just the same as one of Theorem 5.

**REMARK 1.** It follows from Theorems 5, 5\* that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  such that

$$\| \|u\| \| \leq C \|u\|_{2,\mathcal{D}}, \quad u \in \mathcal{D}_B \cup \mathcal{D}_{B^*}.$$

But this fact immediately follows from (1.4) and (1.4\*) too.

**REMARK 2.** In Theorems 5 and 5\*, we can replace  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^2(\Gamma)$  with  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}^1(\Gamma)$ . Then we must use the  $q_s(x)$  defined in Remark 2 of Appendix, instead of one defined in Lemma A.2 there.

**COROLLARY.** *Every weak solution of Problem  $[A, f]$  (resp.  $[A^*, g]$ ) which belongs to  $V$  is in  $\mathcal{D}_B$  and  $Au = f$  in  $\Omega$ , if  $f \in H^0(\Omega)$  (resp.  $g \in H^0(\Omega)$ ).*

**PROOF.** Let  $u \in V$  be a weak solution of  $[A, f]$  with  $f \in H^0(\Omega)$ , i. e. from Proposition 2.1, we assume that the  $u$  satisfies

$$Q[u, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in V.$$

Accordingly

$$Q_\lambda[u, v] = (f + \lambda u, v), \quad v \in V.$$

Thus  $u = G(f + \lambda u)$ . Hence by Theorem 5 we have  $u \in \mathcal{D}_B$  and  $A_\lambda u = f + \lambda u$ .

#### § 4. Regularity of the Green kernels, II.

This section is continued from the preceding section, that is, we shall discuss the further regularity of the Green kernels  $G$  and  $\tilde{G}$ . Thus let  $k$  be a fixed integer such that  $k \geq 3$ . We always assume that  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$  when the bilinear form  $Q^0[u, v]$  defined by (1.6) satisfies the following condition:

CONDITION (H). For all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$  and all  $\nu, \mu$  such that  $|\nu| = |\mu| = 1$

$$a_{\nu\mu}(x) = \overline{a_{\mu\nu}(x)}$$

is valid, and  $\gamma(x, D) - \gamma(x, D)^*$  is of order zero.

Then  $q$  and  $q_\varepsilon$  are functions defined in Lemma A.2, and  $p$  and  $p_\varepsilon$  are defined by (A.4) in Remark 1 of Appendix. When Condition (H) is not satisfied, we must further assume  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$ . Then  $p$  and  $p_\varepsilon$  are functions defined in Remark 2 of Appendix, and  $q$  and  $q_\varepsilon$  are defined by (A.5). In either case we denote by  $\alpha_\varepsilon$  the restriction of  $q_\varepsilon$  onto  $\Gamma$  and set  $\beta_\varepsilon = 1 + \varepsilon - \alpha_\varepsilon$ . All other notations are the same as in § 3 and we always assume Conditions 2 and 3. Put for any integer  $r \geq 1$

$$\begin{cases} M_r = \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} \sup |D^\nu p_\varepsilon(x)| \\ N_r = \sum_{|\nu| \leq r} \sup |D^\nu q_\varepsilon(x)|, \end{cases}$$

the supremum being taken over all  $x \in \Omega$  and all  $\varepsilon$  such that  $0 < \varepsilon \leq 1$ . It then follows from Appendix that  $M_1$  and  $N_k$  are finite when  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$  and that  $M_k, N_k$  are finite when  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$ . In the following we denote by  $C_j$  and  $L_j$  positive constants not depending on  $u$  or  $\varepsilon$ . Their dependencies on  $M_r, N_s$  are denoted by  $C_j(M_r, N_s)$  and  $L_j(M_r, N_s)$ .

PROPOSITION 4.1. *There exists a constant  $C_1 = C_1(M_1) > 0$  such that for every  $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and every  $\varepsilon$*

$$\begin{aligned} & |Q_\lambda^\varepsilon[p_\varepsilon u, p_\varepsilon u] - Q_\lambda^\varepsilon[u, q_\varepsilon u]| \\ & \leq C_1(\|u\|_{0, \Omega} \|p_\varepsilon u\|_{1, \Omega} + \|u\|_{0, \Omega}^2 + \|u\|_{-1/2, \Gamma} \|p_\varepsilon u\|_{1/2, \Gamma}). \end{aligned}$$

PROOF. Setting

$$\begin{cases} R = a_{\nu\mu}(x) D^\nu \\ S = D^\mu \quad (|\nu|, |\mu| \leq 1), \end{cases}$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} (Rp_\varepsilon u, Sp_\varepsilon u) &= (p_\varepsilon Ru, Sp_\varepsilon u) + ([R, p_\varepsilon]u, Sp_\varepsilon u) \\ &= (Ru, Sp_\varepsilon^2 u) + (Ru, [p_\varepsilon, S]p_\varepsilon u) + ([R, p_\varepsilon]u, Sp_\varepsilon u) \\ &= (Ru, Sp_\varepsilon^2 u) + (Rp_\varepsilon u, [p_\varepsilon, S]u) + ([p_\varepsilon, R]u, [p_\varepsilon, S]u) \\ &\quad + ([R, p_\varepsilon]u, Sp_\varepsilon u). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$|(Rp_\varepsilon u, Sp_\varepsilon u) - (Ru, Sp_\varepsilon^2 u)| \leq L_1(\|u\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}} \|p_\varepsilon u\|_{1,\mathcal{Q}} + \|u\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}}^2).$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} (\gamma p_\varepsilon u, p_\varepsilon u)_\Gamma &= (p_\varepsilon \gamma u, p_\varepsilon u)_\Gamma + ([\gamma, p_\varepsilon]u, p_\varepsilon u)_\Gamma \\ &= (\gamma u, p_\varepsilon^2 u)_\Gamma + ([\gamma, p_\varepsilon]u, p_\varepsilon u)_\Gamma, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$|(\gamma p_\varepsilon u, p_\varepsilon u)_\Gamma - (\gamma u, p_\varepsilon^2 u)_\Gamma| \leq L_2 \|u\|_{-1/2,\Gamma} \|p_\varepsilon u\|_{1/2,\Gamma}.$$

Q. E. D.

Let  $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0 \cup \Gamma_0)$  and assume  $\zeta \geq 0$  there. Put

$$T = D^\rho \zeta, \quad |\rho| = r,$$

where  $\rho_m = 0$  and  $2 \leq r \leq k-1$ .

PROPOSITION 4.2. *There exists a constant  $C_2 = C_2(M_r, N_{r+1}) > 0$  such that for every  $u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and every  $\varepsilon$*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \{P^\varepsilon [T\tilde{u}, \tilde{q}_\varepsilon T\tilde{u}] - P^\varepsilon [\tilde{u}, \tilde{q}_\varepsilon T^* T\tilde{u}]\} \\ \leq C_2(\|\tilde{u}\|_{r,x} \|p_\varepsilon T\tilde{u}\|_{1,x} + \|\tilde{u}\|_{r,x}^2). \end{aligned}$$

In particular  $C_2 = C_2(M_1, N_{r+1})$  when Condition (H) is satisfied.

PROOF. For the sake of simplicity we omit the wave sign  $\sim$  and set

$$\begin{cases} R = b_{\nu,\mu}(y)D^\nu \\ S = D^\mu \quad (|\nu|, |\mu| \leq 1). \end{cases}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} (RTu, Sq_\varepsilon Tu) &= (TRu, Sq_\varepsilon Tu) + ([R, T]u, Sq_\varepsilon Tu) \\ &= (Ru, T^* Sq_\varepsilon Tu) + ([R, T]u, Sq_\varepsilon Tu) \\ &= (Ru, Sq_\varepsilon T^* Tu) + (Ru, [T^*, Sq_\varepsilon]Tu) + ([R, T]u, Sq_\varepsilon Tu) \\ &= (Ru, Sq_\varepsilon T^* Tu) + (Ru, [T^*, S]q_\varepsilon Tu) + ([R, T]u, Sq_\varepsilon Tu) \\ &\quad + (Ru, S[T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (4.1) \quad \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \{(RTu, Sq_\varepsilon Tu) - (Ru, Sq_\varepsilon T^* Tu)\} \\ \leq L_3(M_1) \|u\|_{r,x} \|p_\varepsilon Tu\|_{1,x} + \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon (Ru, S[T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu). \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}(\delta Tu, q_\varepsilon Tu)_\sigma &= (T\delta u, q_\varepsilon Tu)_\sigma + ([\delta, T]u, q_\varepsilon Tu)_\sigma \\ &= (\delta u, T^*q_\varepsilon Tu)_\sigma + ([\delta, T]u, q_\varepsilon Tu)_\sigma \\ &= (\delta u, q_\varepsilon T^*Tu)_\sigma + (\delta u, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu)_\sigma + ([\delta, T]u, q_\varepsilon Tu)_\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \{(\delta Tu, q_\varepsilon Tu)_\sigma - (\delta u, q_\varepsilon T^*Tu)_\sigma\} \\ \leq L_4(M_1)\|u\|_{r,x} \|p_\varepsilon Tu\|_{1,x} + \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon (\delta u, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu)_\sigma.\end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.1) gives

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \{P_1[Tu, q_\varepsilon Tu] - P_1[u, q_\varepsilon T^*Tu]\} \\ \leq L_5(M_1)\|u\|_{r,x} \|p_\varepsilon Tu\|_{1,x} + \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon P_1[u, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu].\end{aligned}$$

1) Case in which Condition (H) is not satisfied :

$$\begin{aligned}S[T^*, q_\varepsilon] &= [T^*, p_\varepsilon^2]S + [S, [T^*, p_\varepsilon^2]] \\ &= 2[T^*, p_\varepsilon]p_\varepsilon S + [p_\varepsilon, [T^*, p_\varepsilon]]S + [S, [T^*, p_\varepsilon^2]] \\ &= 2[T^*, p_\varepsilon]Sp_\varepsilon + 2[T^*, p_\varepsilon][p_\varepsilon, S] + [p_\varepsilon, [T^*, p_\varepsilon]]S + [S, [T^*, p_\varepsilon^2]].\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon (Ru, S[T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu) \leq L_6(\|u\|_{r,x} \|p_\varepsilon Tu\|_{1,x} + \|u\|_{r,x}^2)$$

with  $L_6 = L_6(M_r, N_{r+1})$ . By the similar argument as above

$$\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon (\delta u, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu)_\sigma \leq L_7(\|u\|_{r,x} \|p_\varepsilon Tu\|_{1,x} + \|u\|_{r,x}^2)$$

with  $L_7 = L_7(M_r)$ . Hence

$$(4.3) \quad \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon P_1[u, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu] \leq L_8(\|u\|_{r,x} \|p_\varepsilon Tu\|_{1,x} + \|u\|_{r,x}^2)$$

with  $L_8 = L_8(M_r, N_{r+1})$

2) Case in which Condition (H) is satisfied: Put

$$v = [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu.$$

It follows from Condition (H) that, denoting by  $P_1^0$  and  $\delta^0$  the principal parts of the form  $P_1$  and  $\delta$ , we have

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned}\mathcal{R}_\varepsilon P_1[u, v] &= \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon P_1^0[u, v] + \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \{P_1[u, v] - P_1^0[u, v]\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\{P_1^0[u, v] + P_1^0[v, u]\} + (v, \delta^0 u) - (\delta^0 v, u) \\ &\quad + \mathcal{R}_\varepsilon \{P_1[u, v] - P_1^0[u, v]\}.\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(i)} \quad & (Ru, Sv) + (Rv, Su) = (Ru, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]TSu) \\
 & + (Ru, [S, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]T]u) + ([T^*, q_\varepsilon]TRu, Su) + ([R, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]T]u, Su) \\
 & = (Ru, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]TSu) + (Ru, T^*[q_\varepsilon, T]Su) + O(\|u\|_{r,\mathcal{S}}^2).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$\begin{aligned}
 [T^*, q_\varepsilon]T + T^*[q_\varepsilon, T] &= [\zeta D^\rho, q_\varepsilon]D^\rho\zeta + \zeta D^\rho[q_\varepsilon, D^\rho\zeta] \\
 &= \zeta[D^\rho, q_\varepsilon]D^\rho\zeta + \zeta D^\rho[q_\varepsilon, D^\rho]\zeta \\
 &= \zeta([D^\rho, q_\varepsilon]D^\rho + D^\rho[q_\varepsilon, D^\rho])\zeta \\
 &= \zeta(D^\rho[q_\varepsilon, D^\rho] - [q_\varepsilon, D^\rho]D^\rho)\zeta \\
 &= \zeta[D^\rho, [q_\varepsilon, D^\rho]]\zeta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|(Ru, Sv) - (Rv, Su)| \leq L_9(N_{r+1})\|u\|_{r,\mathcal{S}}^2.$$

$$\text{(ii)} \quad (\delta^0 u, v)_\sigma + (\delta^0 v, u)_\sigma = (\delta^0 [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu, u)_\sigma + (T^*[q_\varepsilon, T]\delta^0 u, u)_\sigma.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \delta^0 [T^*, q_\varepsilon]T + T^*[q_\varepsilon, T]\delta^0 \\
 &= \delta^0 ([T^*, q_\varepsilon]T + T^*[q_\varepsilon, T]) + [T^*[q_\varepsilon, T], \delta^0] \\
 &= \delta^0 \zeta [D^\rho, [q_\varepsilon, D^\rho]] \zeta + [T^*[q_\varepsilon, T], \delta^0].
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$|(\delta^0 u, v) + (\delta^0 v, u)| \leq L_{10}(N_{r+1})\|u\|_{r,\mathcal{S}}^2.$$

$$\text{(iii)} \quad |P_1[u, v] - P_1^0[u, v]| \leq L_{11}(N_{r+1})\|u\|_{r,\mathcal{S}}^2.$$

Accordingly by (4.4), (i), (ii) and (iii)

$$\mathcal{R}_e P_1[u, [T^*, q_\varepsilon]Tu] \leq L_{12}(N_{r+1})\|u\|_{r,\mathcal{S}}^2.$$

This together with (4.2) and (4.3) establishes

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \mathcal{R}_e \{P_1[Tu, q_\varepsilon Tu] - P_1[u, q_\varepsilon T^*Tu]\} \\
 & \leq L_{13}(\|u\|_{r,\mathcal{S}} \|p_\varepsilon Tu\|_{1,\mathcal{S}} + \|u\|_{r,\mathcal{S}}^2)
 \end{aligned}$$

with  $L_{13} = L_{13}(M_r, N_{r+1})$  in Case 1) and  $= L_{13}(M_1, N_{r+1})$  in Case 2).

Thus the proposition immediately follows from the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & P_2^0[Tu, q_\varepsilon Tu] - P_2^0[u, q_\varepsilon T^*Tu] \\
 &= (\beta_\varepsilon bTu, Tu)_\sigma - (\beta_\varepsilon bu, T^*Tu)_\sigma = ([\beta_\varepsilon b, T]u, Tu)_\sigma.
 \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 4.3. *There exists a constant  $C_3 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  and every  $\varepsilon$*

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \tilde{p}_\varepsilon T \tilde{u}_\varepsilon \|_{1, \mathcal{X}}^2 + \int_\sigma |T \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \\ & \leq C_3 (\| \tilde{u}_\varepsilon \|_{r, \mathcal{X}}^2 + \sum_{|\nu|=r-1} \| \tilde{p}_\varepsilon D^\nu \tilde{f} \|_{0, \mathcal{X}} + \| \tilde{f} \|_{r-2+1/2, \mathcal{X}} \| \tilde{u}_\varepsilon \|_{r+1/2, \mathcal{X}}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $u_\varepsilon$  is a function introduced in Proposition 3.3.

PROOF. In the proof we omit again the wave sign  $\sim$  like in the preceding one. Substituting  $p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon$  for  $u$  in (3.5), we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad c' \left( \| p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon \|_{1, \mathcal{X}}^2 + \int_\sigma |T u_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \right) \leq \mathcal{R}_e P^\varepsilon [p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon].$$

From Proposition 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_e \{ P^\varepsilon [p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon, p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon] - P^\varepsilon [T u_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon] \} \\ & \leq L_{14} (\| u_\varepsilon \|_{r, \mathcal{X}} \| p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon \|_{1, \mathcal{X}} + \| u_\varepsilon \|_{r, \mathcal{X}}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus with the aid of (4.5) and Proposition 4.2 we obtain, by using the Cauchy inequality,

$$(4.6) \quad \| p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon \|_{1, \mathcal{X}}^2 + \int_\sigma |T u_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \leq L_{15} (\| u_\varepsilon \|_{r, \mathcal{X}}^2 + |P^\varepsilon [u_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon T^* T u_\varepsilon]|).$$

Now from (3.1) in Proposition 3.3

$$\begin{aligned} P^\varepsilon [u_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon T^* T u_\varepsilon] &= (Jf, q_\varepsilon T^* T u_\varepsilon) \quad \left( J = \left| \frac{\partial x}{\partial y} \right| \right) \\ &= (Jf, q_\varepsilon \zeta D^{\rho'} T u_\varepsilon) = (f, J q_\varepsilon \zeta D^{\rho'} D T u_\varepsilon) \quad (D^{\rho'} = D^{\rho'} D, |\rho'| = r-1) \\ &= (p_\varepsilon D^{\rho'} f, J \zeta p_\varepsilon D T u_\varepsilon) + (f, [J q_\varepsilon \zeta, D^{\rho'}] D T u_\varepsilon) \\ &= (\zeta p_\varepsilon D^{\rho'} f, J D(p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon)) + (\zeta p_\varepsilon D^{\rho'} f, J [p_\varepsilon, D] T u_\varepsilon) \\ & \quad + (f, [J q_\varepsilon \zeta, D^{\rho'}] D T u_\varepsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |P^\varepsilon [u_\varepsilon, q_\varepsilon T^* T u_\varepsilon]| &\leq L_{16} \{ \| \zeta p_\varepsilon D^{\rho'} f \|_{0, \mathcal{X}} (\| p_\varepsilon T u_\varepsilon \|_{1, \mathcal{X}} + \| T u_\varepsilon \|_{0, \mathcal{X}}) \\ & \quad + \| f \|_{r-2+1/2, \mathcal{X}} \| T u_\varepsilon \|_{1/2, \mathcal{X}} \}. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (4.6) guarantees the proposition.

Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 4.4. There exists a constant  $C_4 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{Q}})$  and every  $\varepsilon$

$$\sum_{|\nu|=k} \| p D^\nu u_\varepsilon \|_{0, \mathcal{Q}}^2 + \| u_\varepsilon \|_{k-1/2, \mathcal{Q}}^2 \leq C_4 \left( \sum_{|\nu|=k-2} \| p_\varepsilon D^\nu f \|_{0, \mathcal{Q}}^2 + \| f \|_{k-2-1/2, \mathcal{Q}}^2 \right).$$

PROOF. With the aid of Proposition 4.3 we have for  $j=1, 2, \dots, n$

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \tilde{p}_\varepsilon D_j T \tilde{u}_\varepsilon \|_0^2 + \int_\sigma |T \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \\ & \leq L_{17} (\| u_\varepsilon \|_{r, \mathcal{Q}}^2 + \sum_{|\nu| \leq r-1} \| p_\varepsilon D^\nu f \|_{0, \mathcal{Q}}^2 + \| f \|_{r-2+1/2, \mathcal{Q}} \| u_\varepsilon \|_{r+1/2, \mathcal{Q}}) \\ & (= L_{17} F). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$(4.7) \quad \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=r \\ \rho_m=0}} \left( \sum_{|\nu|=1} \|\tilde{p}_\varepsilon D^\rho D^\nu(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)\|_{0,x}^2 + \int_\sigma |D^\rho(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)|^2 dy' \right) \leq L_{18} F.$$

It now follows from (3.2) and the ellipticity of  $A$  that  $D_m^2(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)$  is expressed by a linear combination of the terms

$$\begin{cases} D_n D_j(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon), & D_j D_k(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon) & (1 \leq j, k \leq m-1), \\ D_j(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon) & & (1 \leq j \leq m), \\ \zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon, & \zeta f, & [A_\lambda, \zeta] \tilde{u}_\varepsilon. \end{cases}$$

Hence, operating  $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon D^\rho (|\rho|=r-1, \rho_m=0)$  to  $D_m^2(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)$  and using (4.7), we get

$$\sum_{\substack{|\rho|=r-1 \\ \rho_m=0}} \sum_{|\nu|=2} \|\tilde{p}_\varepsilon D^\rho D^\nu(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)\|_{0,x}^2 \leq L_{19} F.$$

Similarly, operating  $\tilde{p}_\varepsilon D^\rho D_m (|\rho|=r-2, \rho_m=0)$  to  $D_m^2(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)$  we obtain

$$\sum_{\substack{|\rho|=r-2 \\ \rho_m=0}} \sum_{|\nu|=3} \|\tilde{p}_\varepsilon D^\rho D^\nu(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)\|_{0,x}^2 \leq L_{20} F.$$

Successive repetition of this process gives us

$$\sum_{|\nu|=r+1} \|\tilde{p}_\varepsilon D^\nu(\zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon)\|_{0,x}^2 + \sum_{\substack{|\rho|=r \\ \rho_m=0}} \int_\sigma |D^\rho \zeta \tilde{u}_\varepsilon|^2 dy' \leq L_{21} F.$$

By the use of the partition of unity of  $\bar{\Omega}$  we obtain, noting  $0 < p(x) \leq p_\varepsilon(x)$  in  $\Omega$ ,

$$(4.8) \quad \sum_{|\nu|=r+1} \|p_\varepsilon D^\nu u_\varepsilon\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|u_\varepsilon\|_{r,\Gamma}^2 \leq L_{22} F.$$

Here we shall use the coercive estimate:

$$\|u\|_{r+1/2,\mathcal{Q}}^2 \leq \text{const.} (\|A_\lambda u\|_{r-2+1/2,\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|u\|_{r,\Gamma}^2), \quad u \in C_\infty(\bar{\Omega}),$$

and the interpolation inequality: For any  $\delta > 0$  there exists a constant  $C_\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{r,\mathcal{Q}}^2 \leq \delta \|u\|_{r+1/2,\mathcal{Q}}^2 + C_\delta \|u\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}}^2, \quad u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega}).$$

With the aid of (3.3), (4.8) and the Cauchy inequality as well as the above two inequalities, we can conclude the proposition, since  $p \leq p_\varepsilon$  in  $\Omega$  and  $r$  was arbitrarily fixed so that  $r \leq k-1$ . Q. E. D.

Let  $s$  be an integer such that  $s \geq 1$ . By  $H^s(\Omega; p)$  we denote the Banach space obtained by the completion of  $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$  with respect to the norm  $\|\cdot; p\|_s$ , defined by

$$\|u; p\|_s^2 = \sum_{|\nu|=s} \|p D^\nu u\|_{0,\mathcal{Q}}^2 + \|u\|_{s-1/2,\mathcal{Q}}^2.$$

For  $s \geq 3$ , we set

$$\begin{cases} H_B^s(\Omega; p) = \{u \in H^s(\Omega; p); Bu = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\} \\ H_{B^*}^s(\Omega; p) = \{u \in H^s(\Omega; p); B^*u = 0 \quad \text{on } \Gamma\}. \end{cases}$$

PROPOSITION 4.5. 1) For  $s \geq 1$ ,

$$\begin{cases} H^s(\Omega) \subset H^s(\Omega; p) \subset H^{s-1/2}(\Omega) \\ H^s(\Omega; p) \subset V'. \end{cases}$$

2) The space  $H_B^s(\Omega; p)$  and  $H_{B^*}^s(\Omega; p)$  are closed subspaces of  $H^s(\Omega; p)$  when  $s \geq 3$ .

3) For  $s \geq 3$ ,

$$\begin{cases} H_B^s(\Omega; p) \subset V \\ H_{B^*}^s(\Omega; p) \subset V. \end{cases}$$

Here the injections are all continuous.

PROOF. 1) is easily proved by the above definition and (1.9). 2) follows from (1.3). With the aid of Remark 1 in § 3 we may prove 3). Q. E. D.

PROPOSITION 4.6. If  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ , then  $Gf$  is contained in  $H_B^k(\Omega; p)$  and  $A_\lambda Gf = f$  in  $\Omega$ . Moreover it follows that there exists a constant  $C_5 > 0$  such that for every  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$

$$(4.9) \quad \|Gf; p\|_k \leq C_5 \|f; p\|_{k-2}.$$

PROOF. Let  $f \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ . Then from Proposition 4.4 it follows that  $\|u_\varepsilon; p\|_k$  is bounded with respect to  $\varepsilon$ , for  $p_\varepsilon$  is bounded on  $\Omega$ . Making use of the theorem of Banach-Sacks, we can find a decreasing sequence  $\{\varepsilon_j\}$ , converging to zero, such that

$$v_n = \frac{u_{\varepsilon_1} + \cdots + u_{\varepsilon_n}}{n}$$

converges to some  $u$  in  $H^k(\Omega; p)$  by the norm  $\|\cdot; p\|_k$ . By the same argument as in Proposition 3.8, we can assert that  $u = Gf \in H_B^k(\Omega; p)$  and  $A_\lambda Gf = f$  in  $\Omega$ . To complete the proof we must show (4.9). Now the use of Proposition 4.4 gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|v_n; p\|_k &\leq \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \|u_{\varepsilon_j}; p\|_k \\ &\leq \frac{C_4}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n \left( \sum_{|\nu|=k-2} \|p_{\varepsilon_j} D^\nu f\|_{0, \mathbf{Q}}^2 + \|f\|_{k-2-1/2, \mathbf{Q}}^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Letting  $n$  tend to infinity we obtain (4.9).

Q. E. D.

THEOREM 6. Let  $k$  be an integer such that  $k \geq 3$ . Suppose that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{B}^k(\Gamma)$  when Condition (H) is satisfied, that  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathfrak{B}^k(\Gamma)$  when Condition (H) is not



satisfied, and that Conditions 2 and 3 hold. Then the Green kernel  $G$  stated in Theorem 1 is also an isomorphism of  $H^{k-2}(\Omega; p)$  onto  $H_B^k(\Omega; p)$  with  $G^{-1} = A_\lambda$ .

PROOF. The proof may be done in a way quite similar to one of Theorem 5. To do so, we have only to notice that if  $u \in H^s(\Omega; p)$  with  $s \geq 2$ , then  $Du \in H^{s-1}(\Omega; p)$  and the mapping  $u \rightarrow Du$  is continuous. Q. E. D.

THEOREM 6\*. Under the same supposition as in Theorem 6, it follows that the Green kernel  $\tilde{G}$  stated in Theorem 1\* is also an isomorphism of  $H^{k-2}(\Omega; p)$  onto  $H_B^k(\Omega; p)$  with  $G^{*-1} = A_\lambda^*$ .

The proof is just the same as one of Theorem 6.

COROLLARY. Every weak solution of Problem  $[A, f]$  (resp.  $[A^*, g]$ ) which belongs to  $V$  is in  $H_B^k(\Omega; p)$  (resp.  $H_B^k(\Omega; p)$ ), if  $f \in H^{k-2}(\Omega; p)$  (resp.  $g \in H^{k-2}(\Omega; p)$ ).

PROOF. Let  $u \in V$  be a weak solution of  $[A, f]$  with  $f \in H^{k-2}(\Omega; p)$ , i. e. from Proposition 2.1, we assume that the  $u$  satisfies

$$Q[u, v] = (f, v), \quad v \in V.$$

Accordingly

$$Q_\lambda[u, v] = (f + \lambda u, v), \quad v \in V.$$

Thus  $u = G(f + \lambda u)$ . Hence by Theorem 6 we have  $u \in H_B^k(\Omega; p)$  and  $A_\lambda u = f + \lambda u$ , since  $f + \lambda u \in H^1(\Omega; p)$ . If  $k - 2 > 1$ , we can assert, by the same argument as above,  $u \in H_B^k(\Omega; p)$ . After repeating this process, we finally obtain  $u \in H_B^k(\Omega; p)$ . Q. E. D.

### Appendix.

LEMMA A.1. Let  $f$  be in  $\mathcal{B}^2(R^m)$  such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  in  $R^m$ . Then

$$(A.1) \quad \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x) \right|^2 \leq 2K_j f(x), \quad x \in R^m,$$

where

$$K_j = \sup_{x \in R^m} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x) \right|.$$

PROOF. We first prove (A.1) when  $m = 1$ , i. e.

$$(A.2) \quad |f'(x)|^2 \leq 2 \sup_{t \in R} |f''(t)| \cdot f(x), \quad x \in R.$$

It is trivial at  $x = x_0$  such that  $f'(x_0) = 0$ . We hence assume  $f'(x_0) \neq 0$ . Clearly  $f(x_0) > 0$ . By the Taylor expansion formula,

$$f(x_0 - h) = f(x_0) - hf'(x_0) + \frac{h^2}{2} f''(x_0 - \theta h),$$

with  $0 < \theta < 1$ . Here, putting

$$h = 2f(x_0)/f'(x_0),$$

we obtain, by using the fact  $f(x_0 - h) \geq 0$ ,

$$f(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{2f(x_0)}{f'(x_0)} \right)^2 f''(x_0 - 2\theta f(x_0)/f'(x_0)),$$

from which it follows

$$f'(x_0)^2 \leq 2f''(x_0 - 2\theta f(x_0)/f'(x_0))f(x_0).$$

This proves (A.2).

Now we shall prove in general case. Let  $f \in \mathcal{B}^2(R^m)$  be such that  $f(x) \geq 0$  in  $R^m$ . We consider  $f(x_1, \dots, x_m)$  as a function of a variable  $x_j$ , freezing the remainder. Applying (A.2), we obtain, for every  $x \in R^m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j}(x_1, \dots, x_m) \right|^2 &\leq 2 \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial x_j^2}(x_1, \dots, t, \dots, x_m) \right| f(x_1, \dots, x_m) \\ &\leq 2K_j f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Q. E. D.

Let  $\Omega$  and  $\Gamma$  be the same as in Introduction and let there be given a function  $h(x)$  on  $\Gamma$  such that  $h \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$ ,  $k \geq 0$ , and  $0 \leq h(x) \leq 1$  on  $\Gamma$ . We can then easily find a function  $H(x)$  in  $\mathcal{B}^k(R^m)$  (an extension of  $h$  on the whole space  $R^m$ ) such that

$$(A.3) \quad \begin{cases} 0 \leq H(x) \leq 1 & \text{on } R^m \\ H(x) > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ H(x) = h(x) & \text{on } \Gamma \\ \text{supp } [H] \text{ is compact.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $f(t) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$  such that  $f(t) = 0$  for  $t \geq 0$  and  $= e^{1/t}$  for  $t < 0$ . Using this, we define a function  $\zeta(x)$  in  $C_0^\infty(R^m)$  by

$$\zeta(x) = f(|x| - 1) / \int f(|x| - 1) dx$$

and set

$$\rho_\delta(x) = \delta^{-m} \zeta\left(\frac{x}{\delta}\right), \quad \delta > 0.$$

It then follows that  $\rho_\delta(x) \geq 0$  in  $R^m$ ,  $\rho_\delta(x) = 0$  for  $|x| \geq \delta$  and

$$\int_{R^m} \rho_\delta(x) dx = 1.$$

LEMMA A.2. Let  $\alpha \in \mathcal{B}^k(\Gamma)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ , be such that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$  on  $\Gamma$  and  $q(x)$  be an extension of  $\alpha$  on  $R^m$  satisfying (A.3). Then a mollifier  $q_\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , of  $q$  defined by the convolution

$$q_\varepsilon = (q(x) + \varepsilon) * \rho_{\varepsilon/L} \quad \left( L = \sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{x \in R^m} \left| \frac{\partial q}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \right)$$

is in  $C^\infty(R^m)$  and uniformly convergent to  $q(x)$  on  $R^m$ , and its derivatives of orders up to  $k$  are all uniformly bounded on  $R^m$  with respect to  $\varepsilon$ . Moreover it follows that  $\varepsilon \leq q_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  and  $q_\varepsilon \geq q$  on  $R^m$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

PROOF. The first half of the lemma is well-known. So we only prove the latter half. For  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

$$q_\varepsilon(x) - q(x) = \int_{|y| \leq \varepsilon/L} \{q(x-y) + \varepsilon - q(x)\} \rho_{\varepsilon/L}(y) dy.$$

Thus

$$|q(x-y) - q(x)| \leq L|y|.$$

Hence

$$q(x-y) + \varepsilon - q(x) \geq 0 \quad \text{if } |y| \leq \varepsilon/L.$$

This asserts  $q_\varepsilon(x) - q(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in R^m$ . From the fact  $\varepsilon \leq q(x) + \varepsilon \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in R^m$ , it follows  $\varepsilon \leq q_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1 + \varepsilon$  for all  $x \in R^m$  and all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

Q. E. D.

REMARK 1. If we set on  $R^m$

$$(A.4) \quad \begin{cases} p(x) = \sqrt{q(x)} \\ p_\varepsilon(x) = \sqrt{q_\varepsilon(x)}, \end{cases}$$

it follows from Lemma A.1 that  $p_\varepsilon(x)$  is uniformly convergent to  $p(x)$  on  $R^m$ , and that its derivatives are uniformly bounded on  $R^m$  with respect to  $\varepsilon > 0$  if  $k \geq 2$ , and moreover  $\sqrt{\varepsilon} \leq p_\varepsilon(x) \leq \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon}$  and  $p_\varepsilon(x) \geq p(x)$  on  $R^m$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . In fact we have

$$\frac{\partial p_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j}(x) = - \frac{\partial q_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j}(x) / p_\varepsilon(x)$$

and

$$\left| \frac{\partial q_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j}(x) \right|^2 \leq 2 \sup_{y \in R^m} \left| \frac{\partial^2 q}{\partial x_j^2}(y) \right| \cdot q_\varepsilon(x), \quad x \in R^m.$$

REMARK 2. Let  $\sqrt{\alpha} \in \mathcal{B}^k(I)$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Then we can assert the following in quite parallel with Lemma A.2: A mollifier  $p_\varepsilon$ ,  $\varepsilon > 0$ , of  $p$  (an extension of  $\sqrt{\alpha}$  on  $R^m$  satisfying (A.3)) defined by

$$p_\varepsilon = (p(x) + \eta) * \rho_{\eta/M} \quad \left( \eta = \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} - 1, M = \sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{x \in R^m} \left| \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_j}(x) \right| \right)$$

is in  $C^\infty(R^m)$  and uniformly convergent to  $p(x)$  on  $R^m$ , and its derivatives of orders up to  $k$  are all uniformly bounded on  $R^m$  with respect to  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Moreover it follows that  $\sqrt{1 + \varepsilon} - 1 \leq p_\varepsilon(x) \leq \sqrt{1 + \varepsilon}$  and  $p_\varepsilon(x) \geq p(x)$  on  $R^m$  for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

If we set on  $R^m$

$$(A.5) \quad \begin{cases} q(x) = p(x)^2 \\ q_\varepsilon(x) = p_\varepsilon(x)^2, \end{cases}$$

the  $q_\varepsilon(x)$  has the same property as that defined in Lemma A.2 with  $(\sqrt{1+\varepsilon}-1)^2 \leq q_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1+\varepsilon$  instead of  $\varepsilon \leq q_\varepsilon(x) \leq 1+\varepsilon$  on  $R^m$ .

### References

- [ 1 ] G. Fichera, Sul problema della derivata obliqua e sul problema misto per l'equazione di Laplace, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital.*, 7 (1952), 367-377.
- [ 2 ] K. Hayashida, On the singular boundary value problem for elliptic equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 184 (1973), 205-221.
- [ 3 ] S. Ito, Fundamental solutions of parabolic differential equations and boundary value problems, *Japan. J. Math.*, 27 (1957), 55-102.
- [ 4 ] Y. Kato, On the coerciveness for integro-differential quadratic forms, to appear in *J. Analyse Math.*
- [ 5 ] J. Peetre, Mixed problems for higher order elliptic equations in two variables, I, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, 15 (1961), 337-353.

Yoshio KATO  
Mathematical Institute  
Nagoya University  
Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku  
Nagoya, Japan

---