

On certain nonlinear evolution equations

By Jiro WATANABE

(Received May 22, 1972)

§ 1. Introduction.

We consider nonlinear evolution equations of the form

$$\frac{d}{dt}u(t) + A(t)u(t) \ni f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

in a real Hilbert space H . Here, for each fixed t , $A(t)$ is a (possibly) multi-valued nonlinear operator in H of the form $\partial\varphi^t$ (subdifferential of a lower semicontinuous convex function φ^t from H into $(-\infty, \infty]$, $\varphi^t \not\equiv \infty$), while $f(t, \cdot)$ is continuous from the strong to the weak topology of H and $f(t, \cdot) - \beta(t)\cdot$ is dissipative in H for some Lebesgue integrable function β on $[0, T]$.

We denote the inner product and the norm in H by (\cdot, \cdot) and $\|\cdot\|$ respectively. Let φ be a lower semicontinuous convex function from H into $(-\infty, \infty]$. The effective domain of φ is defined by $\{u \in H; \varphi(u) < \infty\}$. The subdifferential $\partial\varphi$ of φ is defined by $\partial\varphi(u) = \{w \in H; \varphi(v) \geq \varphi(u) + (w, v - u) \text{ for all } v \in H\}$ for each $u \in H$, and the domain of the subdifferential $\partial\varphi$ is defined by $D(\partial\varphi) = \{u \in H; \partial\varphi(u) \neq \emptyset\}$.

Let T be a positive constant. For each $t \in [0, T]$, let φ^t be a lower semicontinuous convex function from H into $(-\infty, \infty]$ with nonvoid effective domain, and suppose that $\{\varphi^t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ satisfies the following three conditions:

- (I) *The effective domain D of φ^t is independent of t .*
- (II) *For every $r > 0$, there exist two positive constants c_r and c'_r such that*

$$|\varphi^s(u) - \varphi^t(u)| \leq |s - t| \cdot [c_r \varphi^t(u) + c'_r]$$

holds if $0 \leq s, t \leq T$, $u \in D$ and $\|u\| \leq r$.

- (III) *For some $b \in D$, b is in $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ for almost all $t \in [0, T]$ and $\|\partial\varphi^t(b)\| \equiv \min\{\|v\|; v \in \partial\varphi^t(b)\}$ is Lebesgue integrable in $0 \leq t \leq T$. (See Corollary 3.4.)*

Let f be a map of $[0, T] \times H$ into H , and suppose that f satisfies the following three conditions:

- (IV) *For each fixed $u \in H$, $f(t, u)$ is strongly measurable in $0 \leq t \leq T$, and for each fixed $t \in [0, T]$ it is continuous from the strong to the weak topology of H .*

(V) For some Lebesgue integrable function β on $0 \leq t \leq T$,

$$(f(t, u) - f(t, v), u - v) \leq \beta(t) \|u - v\|^2$$

holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $u, v \in H$.

(VI) For each bounded subset H_0 of H there exists a Lebesgue integrable function γ on $0 \leq t \leq T$ satisfying $\|f(t, u)\|^2 \leq \gamma(t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $u \in H_0$.

In this paper we consider the initial value problem for the equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u(t) + \partial\varphi^t(u(t)) \ni f(t, u(t)), \quad 0 \leq t \leq T$$

with the initial condition $u(0) = a$. Here and henceforth in this paper d/dt denotes the strong differentiation with respect to t . We shall prove that under the assumptions (I) to (VI) there exists one and only one solution u of (1.1) with $u(0) = a$ for each $a \in \bar{D}$ (closure of D in H) and that $u(t)$ is in D for all $t \in (0, T]$ and $u(t)$ is in $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ for almost all $t \in [0, T]$.

When X is a Banach space, $C(I; X)$ denotes the set of all X -valued strongly continuous functions on an interval I of real numbers and $L^p(t_1, t_2; X)$ ($p \geq 1, t_1 < t_2$) denotes the set of all X -valued strongly measurable functions u on (t_1, t_2) such that $\int_{t_1}^{t_2} \|u(t)\|^p dt < \infty$.

In the case of $a \in D$ we have the following theorem which will be proved in § 6.

THEOREM 1.1. *If conditions (I) to (VI) are satisfied, then for each $a \in D$ there exists a uniquely determined pair of functions $u \in C([0, T]; H)$ and $y \in L^2(0, T; H)$ satisfying the following two conditions:*

(i) *For all $t \in [0, T]$, $u(t)$ is in D and for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $u(t)$ is in $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ and $y(t)$ is in $\partial\varphi^t(u(t))$.*

(ii) $u(t) + \int_0^t y(s) ds = a + \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds$ for all $t \in [0, T]$.

REMARK 1.2. It is easily seen from (IV) and (VI) that $f(s, u(s))$ in (ii) of Theorem 1.1 is in $L^2(0, T; H)$. Cf. [4, Theorem 3.5.4, (4)] and [11]. Note that (ii) of Theorem 1.1 holds if and only if u is strongly absolutely continuous in $[0, T]$ and satisfies $du(t)/dt + y(t) = f(t, u(t))$ a. e. in $[0, T]$ and $u(0) = a$. See [7, Appendix].

DEFINITION 1.3. A function u from $[0, T]$ into H is called a *strong solution* of the equation (1.1) on $[0, T]$, if u is in $C([0, T]; H)$ and if there exists an H -valued strongly measurable function y on $[0, T]$ satisfying the following two conditions:

(i) For almost all $t \in [0, T]$, $u(t)$ is in $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ and $y(t)$ is in $\partial\varphi^t(u(t))$.

(ii) For each $s \in (0, T]$, y is in $L^2(s, T; H)$ and

$$u(t) + \int_s^t y(\sigma) d\sigma = u(s) + \int_s^t f(\sigma, u(\sigma)) d\sigma$$

holds when $0 < s \leq t \leq T$.

REMARK 1.4. If $u \in C([0, T]; H)$ is a strong solution of (1.1) on $[s, T]$ for each $s > 0$, then u is a strong solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$. Note that $y(t) = f(t, u(t)) - du(t)/dt$ a. e. in $0 \leq t \leq T$.

In case a is in the closure \bar{D} of D we have the following theorem.

THEOREM 1.5. *If conditions (I) to (VI) are satisfied, then for each $a \in \bar{D}$ there exists one and only one strong solution u of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ with $u(0) = a$. Thus, in particular, $u(t)$ is in $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ for almost all $t \in [0, T]$. Moreover, $u(t)$ is in D for all $t \in (0, T]$.*

A subtle feature of Theorem 1.5 (as well as Theorem 1.1) is the nice differentiability property of solutions for rather general initial elements a . In this sense our results generalize those by Brezis [1]. We shall prove Theorem 1.5 in §7 by making use of a method suggested personally by Professor Y. Kōmura to whom the author wishes to express his hearty thanks.

We should mention a few more existing works on nonlinear evolution equations which are closely related with the present paper. When A is a multivalued maximal monotone operator in a Hilbert space, Kōmura [7] considered the initial value problem

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{du}{dt} + Au(t) \ni 0 \quad (t \geq 0) \quad \text{and} \quad u(0) = a,$$

a being in the domain $D(A)$ of A , and proved the existence and uniqueness of solutions of (1.2), using the Yosida approximation of A . Kato [5] generalized a proof in Kōmura [7] when A depends on t , and showed a existence theorem for the initial value problem

$$(1.3) \quad \frac{du}{dt} + A(t)u(t) = 0 \quad (0 \leq t \leq T) \quad \text{and} \quad u(0) = a \in D(A(0))$$

in a Banach space whose dual space is uniformly convex, assuming that $A(t)$ is a single-valued m -accretive operator depending on t in a certain Lipschitzian sense and that $D(A(t))$ is independent of t . Crandall-Liggett [2] also treated (1.3) in a general Banach space, assuming among other things that $D(A(t))$ is independent of t . In this paper $A(t)$ is a multivalued maximal monotone operator of the particular form $\partial\varphi^t$ in a Hilbert space, but we do not assume that $D(A(t))$ is independent of t . In our case, however, the closure $\overline{D(\partial\varphi^t)}$ of $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ is independent of t by the assumption (I), since $\overline{D(\partial\varphi^t)}$ coincides with the closure of the effective domain D of φ^t (see [10]).

The author is indebted to Professor H. Fujita for valuable advice.

§ 2. Approximation of subdifferentials.

Let φ be a lower semicontinuous convex function from H into $(-\infty, \infty]$ with nonvoid effective domain. In this section we summarize for later use some properties of the Yosida approximation $\partial\varphi_\lambda$, $\lambda > 0$, of the subdifferential $\partial\varphi$. Most of these properties of $\partial\varphi_\lambda$ are essentially due to Moreau [8]. See also [1] and [10].

We can see easily that the subdifferential $\partial\varphi$ of φ is a nonempty monotone set in $H \times H$, i. e., $D(\partial\varphi) \neq \emptyset$ and $(u_1 - u_2, v_1 - v_2) \geq 0$ if $v_i \in \partial\varphi(u_i)$, $i = 1, 2$. Define for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $u, v \in H$

$$(2.1) \quad \Phi_\lambda(u, v) = \varphi(v) + (1/2\lambda)\|u - v\|^2.$$

For each $u \in H$ and each $\lambda > 0$, there exists one and only one u' in H such that $\Phi_\lambda(u, u') \leq \Phi_\lambda(u, v)$ for all $v \in H$, since the set of all $v \in H$ such that $\Phi_\lambda(u, v) \leq r$ is a nonempty weakly compact set in H for sufficiently large real number r , and since the function $v \rightarrow \Phi_\lambda(u, v)$ is strictly convex. This element u' , which is uniquely determined by φ , λ and u , is denoted by $J_\lambda(\varphi)u$ or $J_\lambda u$.

Let $v \in H$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Since $\Phi_\lambda(u, \alpha v + (1 - \alpha)J_\lambda u) > \Phi_\lambda(u, J_\lambda u)$, by using (2.1) we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \varphi(v) + (\alpha/2\lambda)\|v - J_\lambda u\|^2 \geq \varphi(J_\lambda u) + (v - J_\lambda u, (u - J_\lambda u)/\lambda)$$

and hence, by letting $\alpha \downarrow 0$ in (2.2), we have for all $u \in H$ and all $\lambda > 0$

$$(2.3) \quad (u - J_\lambda u)/\lambda \in \partial\varphi(J_\lambda u)$$

which implies that $\partial\varphi$ is maximal monotone and that $J_\lambda u = (1 + \lambda \cdot \partial\varphi)^{-1}u$. We define $(\partial\varphi)_\lambda u = (u - J_\lambda u)/\lambda$. It is well-known that for $u, v \in H$ and $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$(2.4) \quad \|J_\lambda u - J_\lambda v\| \leq \|u - v\|,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \|(\partial\varphi)_\lambda u\| \leq \|\partial\varphi(u)\| \equiv \inf \{\|w\|; w \in \partial\varphi(u)\}$$

and that $(\partial\varphi)_\lambda$ is monotone for each $\lambda > 0$.

For each $u \in H$ and each $\lambda > 0$ we define

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi_\lambda(u) = \varphi(J_\lambda u) + (\lambda/2)\|(\partial\varphi)_\lambda u\|^2.$$

By the definition of $J_\lambda u$ we have

$$(2.7) \quad \varphi_\lambda(u) = \Phi_\lambda(u, J_\lambda u) \leq \Phi_\lambda(u, u) = \varphi(u).$$

It follows from (2.3) that for all $u, v \in H$ and all $\lambda > 0$

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} &\Phi_\lambda(u, v) - \varphi_\lambda(u) \\ &= \varphi(v) - \varphi(J_\lambda u) - (v - J_\lambda u, (\partial\varphi)_\lambda u) + \frac{\|v - J_\lambda u\|^2}{2\lambda} \geq \frac{\|v - J_\lambda u\|^2}{2\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

For any function ϕ from H into $(-\infty, \infty]$ we define its conjugate ϕ^* by

$$\phi^*(u) = \sup \{(u, v) - \phi(v); v \in H\} \quad \text{for all } u \in H.$$

If ϕ is a lower semicontinuous convex function from H into $(-\infty, \infty]$ with nonvoid effective domain, then so is its conjugate ϕ^* . It is well-known that for $u, v \in H$

$$(2.9) \quad v \in \partial\phi(u) \text{ is equivalent to } \phi(u) + \phi^*(v) = (u, v).$$

We can easily verify

$$(2.10) \quad (\varphi_\lambda)^*(u) = \phi^*(u) + (\lambda/2)\|u\|^2 \quad \text{for all } u \in H \text{ and all } \lambda > 0.$$

By (2.6), (2.10), (2.9) and (2.3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda(u) + (\varphi_\lambda)^*((\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)) &= \varphi(J_\lambda u) + \phi^*((\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)) + \lambda\|(\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)\|^2 \\ &= (J_\lambda u, (\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)) + \lambda\|(\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)\|^2 \\ &= (u, (\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)), \end{aligned}$$

and hence, by (2.9), $(\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u) \in \partial(\varphi_\lambda)(u)$. Then for all $u, v \in H$ and all $\lambda > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (2.11) \quad 0 &\leq \varphi_\lambda(v) - \varphi_\lambda(u) - (v - u, (\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)) \\ &\leq (v - u, (\partial\varphi)_\lambda(v) - (\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)) \\ &\leq \|v - u\|^2 / \lambda \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\varphi_\lambda(u)$ is Fréchet differentiable at each $u \in H$ and the Fréchet derivative of φ_λ at u is equal to $(\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)$. Hence $\partial(\varphi_\lambda)(u) = \{(\partial\varphi)_\lambda(u)\}$, and henceforth we will write $\partial\varphi_\lambda$ instead of $(\partial\varphi)_\lambda$.

§ 3. Continuity of $\partial\varphi_\lambda^t$ with respect to t .

In this section we will show that, under the assumptions (I) and (II) stated in § 1, $\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(u)$ satisfies a Hölder condition with exponent 1/2 in $0 \leq t \leq T$ for each $u \in H$ and each $\lambda > 0$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let φ^1 and φ^2 be two lower semicontinuous convex functions from H to $(-\infty, \infty]$ with nonvoid effective domains. Then*

$$(3.1) \quad \varphi_\lambda^1(u) - \varphi_\lambda^2(u) \leq \varphi^1(J_\lambda(\varphi^2)u) - \varphi^2(J_\lambda(\varphi^2)u) - (\lambda/2)\|\partial\varphi_\lambda^1(u) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^2(u)\|^2$$

for all $\lambda > 0$ and all $u \in H$.

PROOF. We write $J_\lambda^i u = J_\lambda(\varphi^i)u$ for $i=1, 2$. Using (2.6) and $\partial\varphi_\lambda^i(u) \in \partial\varphi^1(J_\lambda^i u)$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &[\varphi_\lambda^1(u) - \varphi_\lambda^2(u)] - [\varphi^1(J_\lambda^1 u) - \varphi^2(J_\lambda^2 u)] \\ &= \varphi^1(J_\lambda^1 u) - \varphi^1(J_\lambda^2 u) + (\lambda/2)(\|\partial\varphi_\lambda^1(u)\|^2 - \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^2(u)\|^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \varphi^1(J_\lambda^1 u) - \varphi^1(J_\lambda^2 u) - (\partial\varphi_\lambda^1 u, J_\lambda^1 u - J_\lambda^2 u) \\ &\quad + (\lambda/2)[\|\partial\varphi_\lambda^1(u)\|^2 - \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^2(u)\|^2 - 2(\partial\varphi_\lambda^1(u), \partial\varphi_\lambda^1(u) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^2(u))] \\ &\leq -(\lambda/2)\|\partial\varphi_\lambda^1(u) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^2(u)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which proves (3.1).

LEMMA 3.2. Let φ^1 and φ^2 be two lower semicontinuous convex functions from H to $(-\infty, \infty]$ with nonvoid effective domains, and let $x \in H$, $\lambda > 0$, $\delta > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, $\varepsilon' > 0$ and $\eta \in (0, 1)$. Suppose that the following three conditions are satisfied:

(i) If $u \in H$ and $\|u - J_\lambda(\varphi^1)x\| \leq \delta$, then $\varphi^2(u) \geq (1-\eta)\varphi^1(u) - \varepsilon$.

(ii) $\varphi^2(J_\lambda(\varphi^1)x) \leq \varphi^1(J_\lambda(\varphi^1)x) + \varepsilon'$.

(iii) $\delta^2/(2\lambda) \geq \varepsilon + \varepsilon' + \eta \cdot \varphi_\lambda^1(x) (\equiv \alpha)$.

Then $\|\partial\varphi_\lambda^1(x) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^2(x)\|^2 < 2\alpha/\lambda$.

PROOF. We write $\Phi^i(u) = \varphi^i(u) + \|u - x\|^2/(2\lambda)$ and $x_i = J_\lambda(\varphi^i)x$ for $i = 1, 2$ and for $u \in H$. By (2.6) and (2.8) we have

$$(3.2) \quad \Phi^i(u) \geq \Phi^i(x_i) + \|u - x_i\|^2/(2\lambda) \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2 \quad \text{and for } u \in H,$$

and (i) and (ii) give respectively

$$(3.3) \quad \Phi^2(u) \geq (1-\eta)\Phi^1(u) - \varepsilon, \quad \text{if } \|u - x_1\| \leq \delta$$

and

$$(3.4) \quad \Phi^2(x_1) \leq \Phi^1(x_1) + \varepsilon'.$$

We will show $\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta$. Suppose $\|x_1 - x_2\| > \delta$. Define $\theta = \delta/\|x_1 - x_2\|$ and $x_\theta = (1-\theta)x_1 + \theta x_2$, then $0 < \theta < 1$ and $\|x_\theta - x_1\| = \delta$. By using (3.2), (3.3), (3.4) and the convexity of Φ^2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\eta)\Phi^1(x_1) - \varepsilon &\leq (1-\eta)\Phi^1(x_\theta) - \varepsilon \\ &\leq \Phi^2(x_\theta) \leq (1-\theta)\Phi^2(x_1) + \theta\Phi^2(x_2) \\ &\leq (1-\theta)[\Phi^1(x_1) + \varepsilon'] + \theta\left[\Phi^1(x_1) + \varepsilon' - \frac{\|x_1 - x_2\|^2}{2\lambda}\right] \\ &= \Phi^1(x_1) + \varepsilon' - \frac{\theta}{2\lambda}\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

which shows

$$(3.5) \quad \frac{\delta^2}{2\lambda\theta} = \frac{\theta}{2\lambda}\|x_1 - x_2\|^2 \leq \varepsilon + \varepsilon' + \eta \cdot \Phi^1(x_1) = \alpha,$$

since $\Phi^1(x_1) = \varphi_\lambda^1(x)$. Combining (iii) and (3.5) we have $\theta \geq 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $\|x_1 - x_2\| \leq \delta$.

Using (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\Phi^2(x_2) + \varepsilon \geq (1-\eta)\Phi^1(x_2) \geq (1-\eta)[\Phi^1(x_1) + \|x_1 - x_2\|^2/(2\lambda)]$$

and

$$\Phi^1(x_1) + \varepsilon' \geq \Phi^2(x_2) + \|x_1 - x_2\|^2 / (2\lambda).$$

Adding these two inequalities together, we get

$$\varepsilon + \varepsilon' + \eta \cdot \Phi^1(x_1) \geq \frac{2-\eta}{2\lambda} \|x_1 - x_2\|^2$$

and so

$$\|\partial\varphi_\lambda^1(x) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^2(x)\|^2 = \|x_1 - x_2\|^2 / \lambda^2 \leq 2\alpha / \lambda(2-\eta) < 2\alpha / \lambda.$$

This completes the proof.

PROPOSITION 3.3. *Suppose that $\{\varphi^t; 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ satisfies condition (I) in § 1, and that for each $r > 0$ there exists a real constant c'_r and a nonnegative function $h(s, t)$ on $0 \leq s, t \leq T$ such that*

$$(3.6) \quad |\varphi^s(u) - \varphi^t(u)| \leq h(s, t)[\varphi^t(u) + c'_r]$$

for all $s, t \in [0, T]$ and all $u \in D$ with $\|u\| \leq r$. If, for each fixed $t \in [0, T]$, $h(s, t)$ is continuous in $0 \leq s \leq T$ and $h(t, t) = 0$, then $\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(x)$ is continuous in $0 \leq t \leq T$ for each $\lambda > 0$ and each $x \in H$. Moreover, if h is of the form

$$(3.7) \quad h(s, t) = c|s - t|^\alpha \quad (0 \leq s, t \leq T)$$

with constants $c > 0$ and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$, then $\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(x)$ satisfies a Hölder condition with exponent $\alpha/2$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$ for each $\lambda > 0$ and each $x \in H$.

PROOF. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $x \in H$. Set $x_t = J_\lambda(\varphi^t)x$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$, and fix an arbitrary $t \in [0, T]$. Let $r > \|x_t\|$. Since by (3.6)

$$\varphi^s(u) \geq [1 - h(s, t)]\varphi^t(u) - c'_r h(s, t)$$

and

$$\varphi^s(x_t) \leq \varphi^t(x_t) + h(s, t)[\varphi^t(x_t) + c'_r]$$

for $s \in [0, T]$ and $u \in D$ with $\|u\| \leq r$, it follows from Lemma 3.2 that

$$(3.8) \quad \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^s(x) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(x)\|^2 \leq (4/\lambda)h(s, t)[\varphi^t(x_t) + c'_r + (\lambda/4)\|\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(x)\|^2]$$

if s is sufficiently close to t . By (3.8), $\partial\varphi_\lambda^s(x)$ is continuous at $s = t$ and hence, by the arbitrariness of t , it is continuous in $0 \leq s \leq T$.

Therefore we may take r so large that $\|x_t\| = \|x - \lambda\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(x)\| < r$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Using Lemma 3.1 and (3.6), we obtain for all $s, t \in [0, T]$

$$\varphi_\lambda^s(x) - \varphi_\lambda^t(x) \leq \varphi^s(x_t) - \varphi^t(x_t) \leq h(s, t)[\varphi^t(x_t) + c'_r]$$

which implies that $\varphi_\lambda^s(x)$ is bounded from above in $0 \leq s \leq T$. Thus, since $\varphi^s(x_s) \leq \varphi_\lambda^s(x)$ by (2.6), $\varphi^s(x_s)$ is bounded from above in $0 \leq s \leq T$. Hence by (3.8) there exists an $M > 0$ independent of t and satisfying

$$(3.9) \quad \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^s(x) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(x)\| \leq Mh(s, t)^{1/2}$$

whenever s is sufficiently close to $t \in [0, T]$. If h is of the form (3.7), then (3.9) implies that $\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(x)$ satisfies a Hölder condition with exponent $\alpha/2$ in

$0 \leq t \leq T$. The proof is completed.

For every nonempty closed convex subset K of H , K^0 denotes the uniquely determined element u in K such that $\|u\| = \inf \{\|v\|; v \in K\}$.

COROLLARY 3.4. *Assume that conditions (I) and (II) in §1 are satisfied. Let $b \in D$. If b is in $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ for a. a. $t \in [0, T]$, then $\partial\varphi^t(b)^0$ is strongly measurable in $0 \leq t \leq T$.*

PROOF. Since by Proposition 3.3 $\partial\varphi_{i/n}^t(b)$ is continuous in $0 \leq t \leq T$ for each positive integer n and by [3, Theorem 2.3, (a)] it converges to $\partial\varphi^t(b)^0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for a. a. $t \in [0, T]$, it follows from [4, Theorem 3.5.4, (3)] that $\partial\varphi^t(b)^0$ is strongly measurable in $0 \leq t \leq T$.

§ 4. Uniqueness of solutions.

In this section we suppose that f satisfies conditions (IV) and (V). First we shall show an *a priori* estimate for strong solutions of the equation (1.1).

LEMMA 4.1. *If u_1 and u_2 are two strong solutions of (1.1) on $[0, T]$, then*

$$(4.1) \quad \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\| \leq \exp\left(\int_s^t \beta(\sigma) d\sigma\right) \|u_1(s) - u_2(s)\| \quad \text{for } 0 \leq s \leq t \leq T.$$

PROOF. By Definition 1.3 and by condition (IV), $y_i(t) = f(t, u_i(t)) - du_i(t)/dt \in \partial\varphi^t(u_i(t))$ a. e. in $[0, T]$ for $i = 1, 2$. Then, by using the monotonicity of $\partial\varphi^t$ and condition (V), we obtain

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &-\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|^2 \\ &= ([f(t, u_1(t)) - f(t, u_2(t))] - [y_1(t) - y_2(t)], u_1(t) - u_2(t)) \\ &\leq \beta(t) \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for a. a. $t \in [0, T]$. Since $\|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|$ is continuous in $0 \leq t \leq T$ and absolutely continuous in $s \leq t \leq T$ for each $s \in (0, T]$, we deduce (4.1) from (4.2).

COROLLARY 4.2. *If u_1 and u_2 are two strong solutions of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ satisfying $u_1(0) = u_2(0)$, then $u_1(t) = u_2(t)$ holds for all $t \in [0, T]$.*

This corollary proves the uniqueness part of Theorems 1.1 and 1.5, because u in Theorem 1.1 is trivially a strong solution of (1.1).

§ 5. Equations in Banach spaces.

The purpose of this section is to prepare an existence theorem for solutions of nonlinear evolution equations of a certain class, which can be applied to the approximate equations for (1.1) with $\partial\varphi^t$ replaced by $\partial\varphi_h^t$. However, we shall give a somewhat general version of the result, which seems to be of an independent interest.

Let X be a real Banach space and suppose its dual space X^* is uniformly convex. $\| \cdot \|$ denotes the norms in X and X^* . (x, x^*) denotes the value of $x^* \in X^*$ at $x \in X$. Let F be the duality map of X into X^* ; for each $x \in X$, Fx is the uniquely determined element x^* of X^* such that $(x, x^*) = \|x\|^2 = \|x^*\|^2$. It is known that F is uniformly continuous in any bounded subset of X . See Kato [5].

Let f be a map of $[0, T] \times X$ into X , where T is a positive constant. We consider the equation

$$(5.1) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u(t) = f(t, u(t))$$

in $0 \leq t \leq T$ with the initial condition $u(0) = a \in X$. For the completeness of this paper we shall show the local and global existence of solutions of (5.1) in Theorems 5.1 and 5.3 respectively. Cf. Murakami [9, Remark 2, p. 157] and Kato [5, 6].

THEOREM 5.1. *Suppose that*

(i) *For each fixed $x \in X$, $f(t, x)$ is strongly measurable in $0 \leq t \leq T$, and for each fixed $t \in [0, T]$ it is continuous from the strong to the weak topology of X .*

Let $a \in X$ and $\rho > 0$, and suppose that there exists two Lebesgue integrable functions β and γ on $0 \leq t \leq T$ satisfying

$$(5.2) \quad (f(t, x) - f(t, y), F(x - y)) \leq \beta(t) \|x - y\|^2$$

and

$$(5.3) \quad \|f(t, x)\| \leq \gamma(t)$$

respectively, whenever $0 \leq t \leq T$, $\|x - a\| < \rho$ and $\|y - a\| < \rho$. Then for some $r \in (0, T]$ there exists one and only one u in $C([0, r]; X)$ such that for all $t \in [0, r]$

$$(5.4) \quad u(t) = a + \int_0^t f(s, u(s)) ds.$$

REMARK 5.2. For $v \in C([t_1, t_2]; X)$ ($0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \leq T$), $f(t, v(t))$ is strongly measurable in $[t_1, t_2]$ by (i), and it is Bochner integrable in $[t_1, t_2]$ by (5.3) if $\|v(t) - a\| \leq \rho$ for all t . Cf. Remark 1.2. Since X is reflexive, (5.4) holds for $t \in [0, r]$ if and only if u is strongly absolutely continuous in $[0, r]$ and satisfies (5.1) for a. a. $t \in [0, r]$ and $u(0) = a$. See Kōmura [7, Appendix].

PROOF OF THEOREM 5.1. Let $r \in (0, T]$ such that $\int_0^r \gamma(t) dt \leq \rho$. For each integer $n > 1/r$ we define u_n as

$$(5.5) \quad u_n(t) = \begin{cases} a & (t \leq 1/n) \\ a + \int_{1/n}^t f(s, u_n(s - 1/n)) ds & (1/n < t \leq r). \end{cases}$$

Clearly $\|u_n(t) - a\| \leq \rho$. Let $x_{mn}(t) = u_m(t) - u_n(t)$, $y_{mn}(t) = u_m(t - 1/m) - u_n(t - 1/n)$ and $B(t) = \int_0^t \beta(s) ds$. We obtain

$$(5.6) \quad e^{-2B(t)} \|x_{mn}(t)\|^2 \leq 2 \int_0^t e^{-2B(s)} [2\gamma(s) \|Fx_{mn}(s) - Fy_{mn}(s)\| - \beta(s)(\|x_{mn}(s)\|^2 - \|y_{mn}(s)\|^2)] ds$$

for $t \in [0, r]$. Because it is easily seen from (5.5), (5.2) and (5.3) that the derivative of the left-hand side of (5.6) with respect to t is not greater than the derivative of the right-hand side for almost all t (cf. Kato [5, Proof of Lemma 4.3]). Since by (5.5) and (5.3) $\|x_{mn}(t) - y_{mn}(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ as $m, n \rightarrow \infty$, it follows from (5.6) that u_n converges to a u in $C([0, r]; X)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (5.5) we obtain (5.4).

We conclude the proof by noting that the uniqueness of solutions is easily seen by a standard argument similar to § 4.

THEOREM 5.3. *Suppose that $f(t, x)$ satisfies condition (i) of Theorem 5.1 and the following three conditions:*

(ii) *For some Lebesgue integrable function β on $0 \leq t \leq T$ (5.2) holds for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $x, y \in X$.*

(iii) *For each bounded subset X_0 of X there exists a Lebesgue integrable function γ on $0 \leq t \leq T$ satisfying (5.3) for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $x \in X_0$.*

(iv) *For some b in X , $f(t, b)$ is in $L^2(0, T; X)$.*

Then for each $a \in X$ there exists one and only one u in $C([0, T]; X)$ such that (5.4) holds for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

PROOF. By Theorem 5.1 there exists at least a u in $C([0, t_1]; X)$ such that (5.4) holds for $0 \leq t < t_1$, where $t_1 \in (0, T]$. By using (ii) we have for almost all $t \in [0, t_1]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \exp(2B(t) + t) \frac{d}{dt} (\exp(-2B(t) - t) \|u(t) - b\|^2) \\ & = 2(f(t, u(t)), F(u(t) - b)) - (2\beta(t) + 1) \|u(t) - b\|^2 \\ & \leq \|f(t, b)\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

from which by means of (iv) it follows easily that u is bounded in $0 \leq t < t_1$. Hence, by (i) and (iii), $f(t, u(t))$ is in $L^1(0, t_1; X)$ and, by (5.4), $u(t)$ converges strongly to an a_1 in X as $t \uparrow t_1$. If $t_1 < T$, it is readily seen from Theorem 5.1 that the solution u can be continued to the right of t_1 . Thus the solution u has the continuation to the whole interval $[0, T]$.

Since the uniqueness of solutions follows from Theorem 5.1, we complete the proof.

§ 6. Proof of Theorem 1.1.

We assume that conditions (I) to (VI) are satisfied. Let $\lambda > 0$. Since $\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(u)$ satisfies a Lipschitz condition with respect to u in H with Lipschitz constant $1/\lambda$ (cf. (2.4)) and a Hölder condition with exponent $1/2$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$ for each fixed $u \in H$ by virtue of (I) and (II) (see Proposition 3.3), it is easily seen that (IV) and (VI) are satisfied with $f(t, u)$ replaced by $f(t, u) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(u)$. By (V) and by the monotonicity of $\partial\varphi_\lambda^t$ we have

$$(6.1) \quad ([f(t, u) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(u)] - [f(t, v) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(v)], u - v) \leq \beta(t) \|u - v\|^2$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $u, v \in H$. Then it follows from Theorem 5.3 that for each $a \in H$ there exists one and only one H -valued strongly absolutely continuous function u_λ on $[0, T]$ satisfying

$$(6.2) \quad \frac{d}{dt} u_\lambda(t) + \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)) = f(t, u_\lambda(t)) \quad \text{a. e. in } 0 \leq t \leq T$$

and $u_\lambda(0) = a$. We shall write $y_\lambda(t) = \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))$. Note that y_λ is in $C([0, T]; H)$. We set

$$B(t) = \int_0^t \beta(s) ds.$$

LEMMA 6.1. $\{u_\lambda(t); \lambda > 0, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is bounded in H .

PROOF. For almost all $t \in [0, T]$, by using (6.1) and (6.2), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_\lambda(t) - b\|^2 &= 2([f(t, u_\lambda) - f(t, b)] - [y_\lambda - \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(b)] + f(t, b) - \partial\varphi_\lambda^t(b), u_\lambda - b) \\ &\leq 2\beta(t) \|u_\lambda(t) - b\|^2 + 2(\|f(t, b)\| + \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(b)\|) \|u_\lambda(t) - b\|, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$(6.3) \quad \frac{d}{dt} \|u_\lambda(t) - b\| \leq \beta(t) \|u_\lambda(t) - b\| + \|f(t, b)\| + \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^t(b)\|$$

(cf. [5, p. 515]). Since $u_\lambda(0) = a$, (6.3) gives for $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$\|u_\lambda(t) - b\| \leq e^{B(t)} \|a - b\| + \int_0^t e^{B(t) - B(s)} (\|f(s, b)\| + \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^s(b)\|) ds,$$

whose right-hand side is bounded in $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $\lambda > 0$ because by (III) and (2.5) we have

$$\int_0^T \|\partial\varphi_\lambda^s(b)\| ds \leq \int_0^T \|\partial\varphi^s(b)\| ds < \infty.$$

Our conclusion follows.

From now on we use the notation $J_\lambda^t u$ instead of $J_\lambda(\varphi^t)u$.

LEMMA 6.2. If H_0 is a bounded subset of H , then $\{J_\lambda^t u; 0 \leq t \leq T, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, u \in H_0\}$ is also bounded in H .

PROOF. Let $0 \leq t \leq T$, $\lambda, \mu > 0$ and $u \in H$, then

$$(6.4) \quad J_\mu^t u = J_\lambda^t \left(\frac{\lambda}{\mu} u + \frac{\mu - \lambda}{\mu} J_\mu^t u \right)$$

(see e. g. [2, Lemma 1.2, (iv)]). It is easily seen from (6.4) and (2.4) that

$$(6.5) \quad \|J_\lambda^t u - J_\mu^t u\| \leq (1 - \lambda) \|u - J_\mu^t u\|$$

when $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. Let v be a fixed element in H_0 . By using (2.4), the triangle inequality and (6.5) we get

$$\|J_\lambda^t u\| \leq \|J_\lambda^t v\| + \|u - v\| \leq (1 - \lambda) \|v - J_\mu^t v\| + \|J_\mu^t v\| + \|u - v\|,$$

which proves the lemma since $J_\mu^t v$ is bounded in $0 \leq t \leq T$ by Proposition 3.3.

We assume that a is in D .

LEMMA 6.3. $\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))$ is bounded in $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

PROOF. Let r be the supremum of $\|J_\mu^t u_\lambda(s)\|$ in $0 \leq s, t \leq T$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. By Lemmas 6.1 and 6.2, r is finite. Let $\lambda > 0$ and $u \in H$ such that $r \geq \|J_\lambda^s u\|$ for all $s \in [0, T]$. Using Lemma 3.1, (II) and (2.6), we obtain

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} |\varphi_\lambda^t(u) - \varphi_\lambda^s(u)| &\leq \max \{ \varphi^t(J_\lambda^s u) - \varphi^s(J_\lambda^s u), \varphi^s(J_\lambda^t u) - \varphi^t(J_\lambda^t u) \} \\ &\leq |t - s| \cdot [c_r \max \{ \varphi^s(J_\lambda^s u), \varphi^t(J_\lambda^t u) \} + c_r'] \\ &\leq |t - s| \cdot [c_r \max \{ \varphi_\lambda^s(u), \varphi_\lambda^t(u) \} + c_r'], \end{aligned}$$

which implies, in particular, the continuity of $\varphi_\lambda^t(u)$ with respect to t . Using (2.11) and (6.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &|\varphi_\lambda^s(u_\lambda(s)) - \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))| \\ &\leq |\varphi_\lambda^s(u_\lambda(s)) - \varphi_\lambda^s(u_\lambda(t))| + |\varphi_\lambda^s(u_\lambda(t)) - \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))| \\ &\leq |(y_\lambda(s), u_\lambda(s) - u_\lambda(t))| + \|u_\lambda(s) - u_\lambda(t)\|^2 / \lambda \\ &\quad + |t - s| \cdot [c_r \max \{ \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)), \varphi_\lambda^s(u_\lambda(t)) \} + c_r'], \end{aligned}$$

from which we get easily the absolute continuity of $\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))$ in $0 \leq t \leq T$ by the strong absolute continuity of u_λ and the boundedness of y_λ .

For almost all $t \in [0, T]$, by using (2.11) and (6.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(s))|_{s=t} &= (y_\lambda(t), u_\lambda'(t)) \\ &= (f(t, u_\lambda(t)) - u_\lambda'(t), u_\lambda'(t)) \\ &\leq (1/4) \|f(t, u_\lambda(t))\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

which implies by Lemma 6.1 and (VI) the existence of a Lebesgue integrable function γ on $[0, T]$ independent of λ and satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(s))|_{s=t} \leq \gamma(t) \quad \text{for almost all } t \in [0, T].$$

Hence, using (6.6), we have for almost all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)) &= \lim_{s \rightarrow t} \frac{1}{s-t} [\varphi_\lambda^s(u_\lambda(s)) - \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(s))] + \frac{\partial}{\partial s} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(s))|_{s=t} \\ &\leq c_r \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)) + c'_r + \gamma(t), \end{aligned}$$

which gives

$$(6.7) \quad \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)) \leq e^{c_r t} \varphi_\lambda^0(a) + \int_0^t e^{c_r(t-s)} [c'_r + \gamma(s)] ds \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

Since by (2.7)

$$(6.8) \quad \varphi_\lambda^0(a) \leq \varphi^0(a) < \infty,$$

it follows from (6.7) that $\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))$ is bounded from above in $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

For $v \in H$ with $\|v\| \leq r$ and for $t \in [0, T]$, by (II), we get

$$\frac{1}{1+tc_r} [\varphi^0(v) - tc'_r] \leq \varphi^t(v),$$

which shows that $\varphi^t(v)$ is bounded from below in $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $\|v\| \leq r$ because $\varphi^0(v)$ is bounded from below in $\|v\| \leq r$. Therefore, since $\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)) \geq \varphi^t(J_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)))$ by (2.6), $\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))$ is bounded from below in $0 \leq t \leq T$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$. The proof is complete.

LEMMA 6.4. $\{y_\lambda; 0 < \lambda \leq 1\}$ is bounded in $L^2(0, T; H)$.

PROOF. Let r be the same number as in the proof of the preceding lemma. Let $T' \in (0, T)$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1]$. By using (2.11), (6.2) and (6.6) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_0^{T'} (y_\lambda(t), f(t, u_\lambda(t)) - y_\lambda(t)) dt \\ &= \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{T'} [\varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t+h)) - \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t))] dt \\ &= \varphi_\lambda^{T'}(u_\lambda(T')) - \varphi_\lambda^0(a) - \lim_{h \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{h} \int_0^{T'} [\varphi_\lambda^{t+h}(u_\lambda(t+h)) - \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t+h))] dt \\ &\geq \varphi_\lambda^{T'}(u_\lambda(T')) - \varphi_\lambda^0(a) - \int_0^{T'} [c_r \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)) + c'_r] dt, \end{aligned}$$

from which, by using Lemma 6.3, we get

$$(6.9) \quad \int_0^T \|y_\lambda(t)\|^2 dt - \int_0^T (y_\lambda(t), f(t, u_\lambda(t))) dt \leq \varphi_\lambda^0(a) + C$$

for some real constant C independent of λ . Since, by (VI) and Lemma 6.1, $\int_0^T \|f(t, u_\lambda(t))\|^2 dt$ is bounded in $\lambda > 0$, it follows from (6.8) and (6.9) that $\int_0^T \|y_\lambda(t)\|^2 dt$ is bounded in $0 < \lambda \leq 1$.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1. We shall prove the existence of a $u \in C([0, T]; H)$

and a $y \in L^2(0, T; H)$ satisfying (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.1. When $\lambda, \mu > 0$, by using (6.2), (V), (2.3) and the monotonicity of $\partial\varphi^t$ we have for almost all $t \in [0, T]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{d}{dt} \|u_\lambda(t) - u_\mu(t)\|^2 \\ &= 2\langle [f(t, u_\lambda) - f(t, u_\mu)] - [y_\lambda(t) - y_\mu(t)], u_\lambda(t) - u_\mu(t) \rangle \\ &\leq 2\beta(t) \|u_\lambda(t) - u_\mu(t)\|^2 - 2\langle y_\lambda(t) - y_\mu(t), \lambda y_\lambda(t) - \mu y_\mu(t) \rangle \\ &= 2\beta(t) \|u_\lambda(t) - u_\mu(t)\|^2 - (\lambda + \mu) \|y_\lambda(t) - y_\mu(t)\|^2 - (\lambda - \mu) (\|y_\lambda(t)\|^2 - \|y_\mu(t)\|^2), \end{aligned}$$

from which by using $u_\lambda(0) = u_\mu(0) = a$ we obtain for $0 \leq t \leq T$

$$\begin{aligned} (6.10) \quad & e^{-2B(t)} \|u_\lambda(t) - u_\mu(t)\|^2 + (\lambda + \mu) \int_0^t e^{-2B(s)} \|y_\lambda(s) - y_\mu(s)\|^2 ds \\ & \leq (\mu - \lambda) \int_0^t e^{-2B(s)} (\|y_\lambda(s)\|^2 - \|y_\mu(s)\|^2) ds. \end{aligned}$$

By (6.10) and by Lemma 6.4, $\int_0^t e^{-2B(s)} \|y_\lambda(s)\|^2 ds$ is nondecreasing as $\lambda \downarrow 0$ and bounded in $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, and hence it converges to a real number as $\lambda \downarrow 0$. Then it follows from (6.10) again that, as $\lambda \downarrow 0$, u_λ converges to a u in $C([0, T]; H)$ and y_λ converges to a y in $L^2(0, T; H)$. Since we can choose a sequence of real numbers $\lambda_n \downarrow 0$ such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \partial\varphi_{\lambda_n}^t(u_{\lambda_n}(t)) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_{\lambda_n}(t) = y(t)$ a. e. in $0 \leq t \leq T$, we have

$$(6.11) \quad u(t) \in D(\partial\varphi^t) \quad \text{and} \quad y(t) \in \partial\varphi^t(u(t)) \quad \text{for a. a. } t \in [0, T].$$

See, e. g., [5, Lemma 2.5, (b)]. Since u is continuous, (6.11) implies that $u(t)$ is in the closure of $D(\partial\varphi^t)$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, and moreover,

$$(6.12) \quad \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} J_\lambda^t u_\lambda(t) = u(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

In fact, $J_\lambda^t u(t) \rightarrow u(t)$ as $\lambda \downarrow 0$ (see [3, Theorem 2.3, (b)]) and hence we obtain (6.12) by using (2.4). Using (6.12), (2.6), Lemma 6.3 and the lower semicontinuity of φ^t we get

$$\varphi^t(u(t)) \leq \liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \varphi^t(J_\lambda^t u_\lambda(t)) \leq \liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_\lambda(t)) < \infty,$$

which implies $u(t) \in D$ for all $t \in [0, T]$. Thus we have proved that u and y satisfy condition (i). Condition (ii) follows from the relation

$$u_\lambda(t) + \int_0^t y_\lambda(s) ds = a + \int_0^t f(s, u_\lambda(s)) ds, \quad 0 \leq t \leq T,$$

by making $\lambda \downarrow 0$.

Since the uniqueness part of the theorem has been proved already in § 4, the proof is complete.

§7. Proof of Theorem 1.5.

We shall prove Theorem 1.5. Suppose conditions (I) to (VI) are satisfied, and let $a \in \bar{D}$. Choose $a_n \in D$ ($n=1, 2, \dots$) such that $\|a_n - a\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and let u_n be a strong solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ with $u_n(0) = a_n$ (see Theorem 1.1). By (4.1), u_n converges to a u in $C([0, T]; H)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. If $u(s) \in D$ for some $s \in (0, T)$, then u is a strong solution of (1.1) on $[s, T]$ and $u(t)$ is in D for all $t \in [s, T]$. Because by Theorem 1.1 there exists a strong solution v of (1.1) on $[s, T]$ with $v(s) = u(s)$ and $v(t)$ is in D for all $t \in [s, T]$, and hence by using (4.1) we get $u(t) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n(t) = v(t) \in D$ for all $t \in [s, T]$. Therefore, if $u(t) \in D$ for all $t \in (0, T]$, it follows from Remark 1.4 that u is a strong solution of (1.1) on $[0, T]$ with $u(0) = a$. Since the uniqueness part of Theorem 1.5 has been proved already in §4, it remains to show $u(t) \in D$ for all $t \in (0, T]$.

Suppose there exists a $t_0 \in (0, T]$ such that $u(t_0) \notin D$. Then $u(t) \notin D$ for all $t \in [0, t_0]$, and by the lower semicontinuity of φ^t we have

$$(7.1) \quad \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi^t(u_n(t)) \geq \varphi^t(u(t)) = \infty \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, t_0].$$

For $\lambda > 0$ let $u_{n\lambda}$ be a strong solution of (6.2) on $[0, T]$ with $u_{n\lambda}(0) = a_n$. Since, by (6.12), $\lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} J_\lambda^t u_{n\lambda}(t) = u_n(t)$, we have

$$(7.2) \quad \liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)) \geq \liminf_{\lambda \downarrow 0} \varphi^t(J_\lambda^t u_{n\lambda}(t)) \geq \varphi^t(u_n(t))$$

for all $t \in [0, T]$ and all $n \geq 1$.

By Lemma 6.2, $r \equiv \sup \{\|J_\lambda^t u_{n\lambda}(t)\|; n \geq 1, 0 < \lambda \leq 1, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ is finite, because the proof of Lemma 6.1 shows the boundedness of $\{u_{n\lambda}(t); n \geq 1, \lambda > 0, 0 \leq t \leq T\}$ in H . Let m be a positive integer such that

$$(7.3) \quad \exp\left(\int_0^T |\beta(s)| ds\right) \|a_n - a_m\| \leq 1 \quad \text{if } n \geq m,$$

and set $M = \sup \{|\varphi_\mu^s(u_{m\mu}(s))|; 0 \leq s \leq T, 0 < \mu \leq 1\}$. By Lemma 6.3, M is finite. We define

$$(7.4) \quad g_{n\lambda}(t) = e^{-cr^t} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)) - M$$

for $n \geq 1, \lambda > 0$ and $t \in [0, T]$.

An argument similar to the proof of Egorov's Theorem [11, p. 16] shows that by (7.1) and (7.2) we can choose a positive integer $n_0 \geq m$, a Lebesgue measurable set E in $[0, t_0]$, of measure greater than $t_0/2$, and a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=n_0}^\infty$ of positive integers such that

$$(7.5) \quad g_{n, 1/k}(t) > 0 \quad \text{if } n \geq n_0, k \text{ (integer)} \geq k_n \text{ and } t \in E.$$

For each $n \geq 1$ and each $\lambda > 0$ we can show similarly to the proof of Lemma 6.3

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)) &\leq c_r \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)) + c_r' + (\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)), u'_{n\lambda}(t)) \\ &= c_r \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)) + c_r' - \|\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t))\|^2 + (\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)), f(t, u_{n\lambda}(t))) \end{aligned}$$

a. e. in $0 \leq t \leq T$ and hence, making use of (7.4), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (7.6) \quad e^{c_r t} \frac{d}{dt} g_{n\lambda}(t) &\leq c_r' - \|\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t))\|^2 + (\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)), f(t, u_{n\lambda}(t))) \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t))\|^2 + \gamma(t) e^{c_r t}, \quad \text{a. e. in } 0 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used (VI) and γ is a nonnegative Lebesgue integrable function on $[0, T]$ independent of $n \geq 1$ and $\lambda > 0$. Since by using (4.1), (7.3) and (7.4) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t))\| &\geq (\partial \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)), u_{n\lambda}(t) - u_{m\lambda}(t)) \\ &\geq \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{n\lambda}(t)) - \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{m\lambda}(t)) \\ &= e^{c_r t} (g_{n\lambda}(t) + M) - \varphi_\lambda^t(u_{m\lambda}(t)) \\ &\geq e^{c_r t} g_{n\lambda}(t) \end{aligned}$$

for $t \in [0, T]$, $n \geq m$ and $0 < \lambda \leq 1$, it follows from (7.5) and (7.6) that

$$(7.7) \quad \frac{d}{dt} g_{n,1/k}(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2} g_{n,1/k}(t)^2 \chi_E(t) + \gamma(t) \quad \text{a. e. in } 0 \leq t \leq T$$

for all $n \geq n_0$ and all $k \geq k_n$, where χ_E is the characteristic function of E .

In order to complete the proof we prepare a lemma. Let $S > 0$ and let e and γ be two nonnegative Lebesgue integrable functions on $0 \leq t \leq S$. We set

$$c(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds \quad \text{for } 0 \leq t \leq S$$

and

$$(7.8) \quad h_\alpha(t) = 2\alpha / \left(2 - \alpha \int_t^S e(s) ds \right) \quad \text{for real } \alpha \text{ and } t \in [0, S]$$

when the denominator in the right-hand side of (7.8) does not vanish.

LEMMA 7.1. *Let g be a real-valued absolutely continuous function on $[0, S]$ satisfying*

$$(7.9) \quad \frac{d}{dt} g(t) \leq -\frac{1}{2} g(t)^2 e(t) + \gamma(t) \quad \text{a. e. in } 0 \leq t \leq S.$$

Let $\alpha > 0$. If $g(S) > \alpha + c(S)$, then $g(t) > h_\alpha(t) + c(t)$ whenever $\int_t^S e(s) ds < 2/\alpha$ and $0 \leq t \leq S$.

PROOF. Suppose that, for some $t_1 \in [0, S]$, $\int_{t_1}^S e(s) ds < 2/\alpha$ and $g(t_1) \leq h_\alpha(t_1) + c(t_1)$ hold simultaneously. Then, since $g(S) > \alpha + c(S) = h_\alpha(S) + c(S)$, there exists a $t_2 \in [t_1, S)$ such that

$$(7.10) \quad g(t_2) = h_\alpha(t_2) + c(t_2)$$

and such that $g(t) > h_\alpha(t) + c(t)$ for all $t \in (t_2, S]$. Hence we have

$$(7.11) \quad g(t) > h_\alpha(t) > 0, \quad t_2 < t \leq S.$$

By using (7.10), (7.8), (7.9) and (7.11) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - g(S) + c(S) &= \int_{t_2}^S \frac{d}{dt} [h_\alpha(t) - g(t) + c(t)] dt \\ &\geq -\frac{1}{2} \int_{t_2}^S [h_\alpha(t)^2 - g(t)^2] e(t) dt \\ &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. The proof is complete.

END OF THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.5. We define $c(t) = \int_0^t \gamma(s) ds$ for $0 \leq t \leq T$.

By (7.1) and (7.2) we can take $n \geq n_0$ and $k \geq k_n$ such that $g_{n,1/k}(t_0) > c(t_0) + 4/t_0$. Let $4/t_0 < \alpha < g_{n,1/k}(t_0) - c(t_0)$. Then by (7.7) and Lemma 7.1 we have

$$(7.12) \quad g_{n,1/k}(t) > c(t) + 2\alpha / \left(2 - \alpha \int_t^{t_0} \chi_E(s) ds \right)$$

if $\int_t^{t_0} \chi_E(s) ds < 2/\alpha$ and $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Since $\int_0^{t_0} \chi_E(s) ds > t_0/2 > 2/\alpha$, we can find the greatest number t_1 in $(0, t_0)$ such that

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_0} \chi_E(s) ds = 2/\alpha,$$

and hence by (7.12) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n,1/k}(t_1) &= \lim_{t \downarrow t_1} g_{n,1/k}(t) \\ &\geq c(t_1) + \lim_{t \downarrow t_1} 2\alpha / \left(2 - \alpha \int_t^{t_0} \chi_E(s) ds \right) \\ &= \infty \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts $g_{n,1/k}(t_1) < \infty$. The proof is complete.

References

- [1] H. Brezis, Propriétés régularisantes de certains semi-groupes non linéaires, Israel J. Math., 9 (1971), 513-534.
- [2] M. G. Crandall and T. M. Liggett, Generation of semi-groups of nonlinear transformations on general Banach spaces, Amer. J. Math., 93 (1971), 265-298.
- [3] M. G. Crandall and A. Pazy, Semi-groups of nonlinear contractions and dissipative sets, J. Functional Anal., 3 (1969), 376-418.
- [4] E. Hille and R. S. Phillips, Functional Analysis and Semi-groups, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ., Vol. 31, 1957.

- [5] T. Kato, Nonlinear semigroups and evolution equations, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **19** (1967), 508-520.
- [6] T. Kato, Accretive operators and nonlinear evolution equations in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Functional Analysis, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., Amer. Math. Soc.*, **18**, Part 1 (1970), 138-161.
- [7] Y. Kōmura, Nonlinear semi-groups in Hilbert space, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, **19** (1967), 493-507.
- [8] J. J. Moreau, Proximité et dualité dans un espace hilbertien, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, **93** (1965), 273-299.
- [9] H. Murakami, On non-linear ordinary and evolution equations, *Funkcialaj Ekvacioj*, **9** (1966), 151-162.
- [10] J. Watanabe, On nonlinear semigroups generated by cyclically dissipative sets, *J. Fac. Sci. Univ. Tokyo, Sec. IA*, **18** (1971), 127-137.
- [11] K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, Kinokuniya Book-Store, 1968.

Jiro WATANABE

Department of Information Mathematics
University of Electro-Communications
Chofugaoka, Chofu-shi Japan
