

## The nullity spaces of the conformal curvature tensor

By Masami SEKIZAWA

(Received March 25, 1972)

### § 1. Introduction.

A. Gray [2] has studied the nullity space of the Riemannian tensor which is a tensor field of type  $(1, 3)$  on a Riemannian manifold having the same formal properties as the curvature tensor field, and unified the studies of the nullity spaces of several tensor fields. But the Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C$  on a Riemannian manifold is not a Riemannian tensor. It is invariant under a conformal change of the metric and vanishes identically on 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold. The invariant tensor on 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold is the tensor field  $c$  defined by (2.7) in § 2.

We shall define the nullity space  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of the conformal curvature tensor as the subspace of the tangent space  $T_p(M)$  at  $p \in M$  spanned by  $X \in T_p(M)$  such that  $C_{XY} = 0$  and  $c(X, Y) = 0$  for any  $Y \in T_p(M)$ , and prove that a maximal integral manifold of the distribution  $p \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p$  is totally umbilic and conformally flat.

I should like to express my hearty gratitude to Prof. S. Tachibana for his kind suggestions and many valuable advices.

### § 2. Conformal curvature tensor.

Throughout this paper, we denote by  $M$  an  $n$ -dimensional differentiable Riemannian manifold of class  $C^\infty$  ( $n > 2$ ), by  $T_p(M)$  the tangent space of  $M$  at  $p \in M$ . Let  $\mathfrak{F}(M)$  be the algebra of differentiable real-valued functions on  $M$ ,  $\mathfrak{X}(M)$  the Lie algebra of differentiable vector fields on  $M$ . The metric tensor field will be denoted by  $\langle, \rangle$ , the Riemannian connection by  $\nabla_X$  ( $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ), and the curvature operator by  $R_{XY} = [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X, Y]}$  ( $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ ). The tensors on each tangent space determined by the tensor fields will be denoted by the same symbols. The Weyl conformal curvature tensor on  $M$  is the tensor field  $C$  of type  $(1, 3)$  defined by

$$(2.1) \quad C_{XY}Z = R_{XY}Z + (1/(n-2))\{S(X, Z)Y - S(Y, Z)X + \langle X, Z \rangle QY - \langle Y, Z \rangle QX\} \\ - (K/(n-1)(n-2))\{\langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle Y, Z \rangle X\}$$

for any  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , where we denote by  $S, Q$  and  $K$  the Ricci tensor,

the Ricci operator defined by  $\langle X, QY \rangle = S(X, Y)$  and the scalar curvature respectively.

Now we prepare the identities of the conformal curvature tensor, which are obtained by straightforward calculations.

LEMMA 1. *The Weyl conformal curvature tensor satisfies the following equations:*

$$(2.2) \quad C_{XY} = -C_{YX},$$

$$(2.3) \quad \langle C_{XY}Z, W \rangle = -\langle C_{XY}W, Z \rangle,$$

$$(2.4) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} C_{XY}Z = 0,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \text{trace}(Z \rightarrow C_{ZX}Y) = 0,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} (\nabla_X C)_{YZ}W = (1/(n-2)) \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ \langle c(X, Y), W \rangle Z - \langle Z, W \rangle c(X, Y) \},$$

where the tensor field  $c$  of type  $(1, 2)$  is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad c(X, Y) = (\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X - (1/2(n-1))\{(XK)Y - (YK)X\}.$$

Because of the equation (2.6), the Weyl conformal curvature tensor  $C$  is not a Riemannian tensor on  $M$ , (if  $M$  has the parallel Ricci tensor then  $C$  is a Riemannian tensor). The following lemma is also proved by direct calculations.

LEMMA 2. *The tensor field  $c$  satisfies the following equations:*

$$(2.8) \quad c(X, Y) = -c(Y, X),$$

$$(2.9) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \langle c(X, Y), Z \rangle = 0,$$

$$(2.10) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} (\nabla_X c)(Y, Z) = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} R_{XY}QZ = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} C_{XY}QZ,$$

$$(2.11) \quad \text{trace}(W \rightarrow (\nabla_W C)_{XY}Z) = ((n-3)/(n-2)) \langle c(X, Y), Z \rangle.$$

A Riemannian manifold on which  $C \equiv 0$  for  $n > 3$  and  $c \equiv 0$  for  $n = 3$  is said to be conformally flat.

LEMMA 3. *For  $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$  we have*

$$(2.12) \quad \begin{aligned} & \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ [\nabla_X, C_{YZ}] - C_{[X, Y]Z} \} W \\ &= (1/(n-2)) \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ \langle c(X, Y), W \rangle Z - \langle Z, W \rangle c(X, Y) \}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.13) \quad \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ \nabla_X(c(Y, Z)) - c([X, Y], Z) \} = \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} C_{XY}QZ.$$

PROOF. We have  $\nabla_X Y - \nabla_Y X = [X, Y]$  for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ , and so by (2.6)

$$\begin{aligned}
(1/(n-2)) \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ \langle c(X, Y), W \rangle Z - \langle Z, W \rangle c(X, Y) \} \\
= \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ [\nabla_X, C_{YZ}] - C_{\nabla_X Y Z} - C_{Y \nabla_X Z} \} W \\
= \mathfrak{S}_{XYZ} \{ [\nabla_X, C_{YZ}] - C_{[X, Y] Z} \} W.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly we have the equation (2.13).

q. e. d.

### § 3. Nullity space of the conformal curvature tensor.

We shall define the nullity space  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of the conformal curvature tensor and study the differentiability and the integrability of the distribution  $p \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p$ .

DEFINITION. Let  $p \in M$ . We define

$$\mathcal{C}_p = \{ X \in T_p(M) \mid C_{XY} = 0 \text{ and } c(X, Y) = 0 \text{ for any } Y \in T_p(M) \}$$

and we denote by  $\mathcal{C}$  the distribution  $p \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_p$ . We call the subspace  $\mathcal{C}_p$  of  $T_p(M)$  the nullity space of the conformal curvature tensor at  $p$ , and  $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(p) = \dim \mathcal{C}_p$  the index of nullity of the conformal curvature tensor at  $p$ . We call the orthogonal complement of  $\mathcal{C}_p$  in  $T_p(M)$  the conullity space of the conformal curvature tensor at  $p$ , and denote it by  $\mathcal{C}_p^\perp$ .

The function  $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$  is upper semicontinuous, and the set on which  $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}$  assumes its minimum value is open in  $M$ .

LEMMA 4. For each point  $p \in M$ , either  $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(p) = n$  or  $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(p) \leq n-2$ .

PROOF. If we assume  $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(p) \leq n-1$ , then we can choose a non-zero vector  $X \in \mathcal{C}_p$ . It follows that there is a vector  $Y \in T_p(M)$  such that  $C_{XY} \neq 0$  or a vector  $Z \in T_p(M)$  such that  $c(X, Z) \neq 0$ . In both cases,  $Y$  (resp.  $Z$ ) does not belong to  $\mathcal{C}_p$  and it is linearly independent of  $X$  because of (2.2) (resp. (2.8)). Hence  $\dim \mathcal{C}_p^\perp \geq 2$ .

q. e. d.

THEOREM 1. In a region  $U$  of  $M$  where  $\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(p)$  is positive and constant for any point  $p \in U$ , the distribution  $\mathcal{C}$  is differentiable.

PROOF. For  $p \in U$ , let  $\mathcal{A}_p$  be the linear subspace of  $T_p(M)$  spanned by vectors of the form  $C_{XY}Z$  and  $A(X, Y)$ , where  $X, Y, Z \in T_p(M)$  and  $\langle A(X, Y), Z \rangle = \langle c(Z, Y), X \rangle$ . Then, if  $W \in \mathcal{C}_p$ , the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle C_{XY}Z, W \rangle &= \langle C_{ZW}X, Y \rangle = 0, \\
\langle A(X, Y), W \rangle &= \langle c(W, Y), X \rangle = 0
\end{aligned}$$

hold, which shows that  $\mathcal{A}_p \subset \mathcal{C}_p^\perp$ . If  $\mathcal{A}_p \neq \mathcal{C}_p^\perp$ , there is a non-zero vector  $W \in \mathcal{C}_p$  such that  $\langle W, \mathcal{A}_p \rangle = 0$ . But then, for any  $X, Y, Z \in T_p(M)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle C_{WX}Y, Z \rangle &= \langle C_{YZ}W, X \rangle = -\langle C_{YZ}X, W \rangle = 0, \\
\langle c(W, X), Y \rangle &= \langle A(Y, X), W \rangle = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

so that  $W \in \mathcal{C}_p$ . Hence, it follows that  $W=0$  and hence  $\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{C}_p^\perp$ .

For any fixed point  $q \in U$ , let  $F = (F_1, \dots, F_n)$  be a frame field defined on a neighborhood  $U_0$  of  $q$  in  $U$ , and let the vector fields  $C_{abc}$  and  $A_{ab}$  be defined by formulas  $C_{abc} = C_{F_a F_b} F_c$  and  $A_{ab} = A(F_a, F_b)$ . These vector fields are differentiable in  $U_0$ . Since  $\mathcal{A}_p = \mathcal{C}_p^\perp$ , we see that the vectors  $C_{abc}(p)$  and  $A_{de}(p)$  span  $\mathcal{C}_p^\perp$  for each  $p \in U_0$ . So, let us suppose that the vectors  $\{C_{abc}(q), A_{de}(q)\}_{(abcde) \in I}$ , where  $I$  is an index set, are a basis for  $\mathcal{C}_q^\perp$ . Then the vector fields  $C_{abc}$  and  $A_{de}$  ( $(abcde) \in I$ ) are differentiable vector fields defined on  $U_0$ ; they are linearly independent in some (possibly smaller) neighborhood  $V$  of  $q$ , and they span  $\mathcal{C}_p^\perp$  for each  $p \in V$ , because of the fact that the index  $\mu_C$  is constant on  $V$ . Since the distributions  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\perp$  are orthogonal, it follows that the distribution  $\mathcal{C}$  is differentiable. q. e. d.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $U$  be a region of  $M$  on which the index  $\mu_C$  is positive and constant. Then the distribution  $\mathcal{C}$  is integrable on  $U$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be vector fields in  $\mathcal{C}$ . From Lemma 3 it follows that  $[X, Y]$  is in  $\mathcal{C}$ . q. e. d.

#### § 4. Local properties of the integral manifolds.

Let  $L$  be a Riemannian manifold isometrically imbedded into another Riemannian manifold  $M$ . Let  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L)$  be the restriction of vector fields on  $M$  to  $L$ , then we write

$$\bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L) = \mathfrak{X}(L) \oplus \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$$

where  $\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$  is the collection of vector fields normal to  $L$ . Let  $P$  denote the orthogonal projection of  $\bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L)$  to  $\mathfrak{X}(L)$ . For  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$  we denote the Riemannian connection on  $L$  by  $\bar{\nabla}_X$ . It is known that  $\bar{\nabla}_X Y = P \nabla_X Y$  holds for  $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ .

The *configuration tensor* (cf. [1], [3]) of  $L$  in  $M$  is an  $\mathfrak{F}(M)$ -linear map  $T: \mathfrak{X}(L) \times \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L) \rightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L)$  defined by

$$T_X Y = \nabla_X Y - \bar{\nabla}_X Y \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L),$$

$$T_X Z = P \nabla_X Z \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{X}(L), Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp.$$

We now list some well-known properties of this operator. (For the proof see [1] for example.)

**LEMMA 5.** *The configuration tensor  $T$  has the following properties:*

$$(4.1) \quad T_X Y = T_Y X \quad \text{for } X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L),$$

$$(4.2) \quad \langle T_X Y, Z \rangle = -\langle Y, T_X Z \rangle \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{X}(L), Y, Z \in \bar{\mathfrak{X}}(L),$$

$$(4.3) \quad T_X(\mathfrak{X}(L)) \subset \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp \quad \text{and} \quad T_X(\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp) \subset \mathfrak{X}(L) \quad \text{for } X \in \mathfrak{X}(L).$$

We note that the configuration tensor is determined by its effect on  $\mathfrak{X}(L)$  or on  $\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ , and it has the same informations as the second fundamental form.

Next we shall prove that a maximal integral manifold  $L$  of the distribution  $\mathcal{C}$  is totally umbilic. First we state a lemma, the proof of which is given in a way similar to that used in the first step of the proof of Theorem 1.

LEMMA 6. *Let  $L$  be a maximal integral manifold of  $\mathcal{C}$ . If  $X, Y, Z$  belong to  $\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ , then  $C_{XY}Z$  and  $c(X, Y)$  also belong to  $\mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ .*

THEOREM 3. *Let  $L$  be a maximal integral manifold of  $\mathcal{C}$ ; then  $L$  is totally umbilic.*

PROOF. Let  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$  and  $Y, Z, U \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ . Since  $C_{YZ}U \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} P \underset{XYZ}{\mathfrak{S}} \nabla_X(C_{YZ}U) &= P\{\nabla_X(C_{YZ}U) + \nabla_Y(C_{ZX}U) + \nabla_Z(C_{XY}U)\} \\ &= T_X C_{YZ}U. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we get by (2.12) and Lemma 6

$$\begin{aligned} &(n-2)P \underset{XYZ}{\mathfrak{S}} \nabla_X(C_{YZ}U) \\ &= P \underset{XYZ}{\mathfrak{S}} \{\langle c(X, Y), U \rangle Z - \langle Z, U \rangle c(X, Y) + (n-2)(C_{YZ} \nabla_X U + C_{[X, Y]Z} U)\} \\ &= P\{\langle c(Y, Z), U \rangle X + (n-2)(C_{YZ} P \nabla_X U + C_{P[X, Y]Z} U + C_{P[Z, X]Y} U)\} \\ &= \langle c(Y, Z), U \rangle X. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we see that

$$(4.4) \quad T_X C_{YZ}U = (1/(n-2)) \langle c(Y, Z), U \rangle X.$$

Next let  $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ . Then  $T_X W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$  and so by Lemma 6  $C_{YZ}T_X W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ . From (4.4) and Lemma 5, we have

$$(n-2) \langle C_{YZ}T_X W, U \rangle = (n-2) \langle T_X C_{YZ}U, W \rangle = \langle X, W \rangle \langle c(Y, Z), U \rangle.$$

Hence

$$\langle (n-2)C_{YZ}T_X W - \langle X, W \rangle c(Y, Z), U \rangle = 0$$

and so

$$(n-2)C_{YZ}T_X W - \langle X, W \rangle c(Y, Z) \in \mathfrak{X}(L) \cap \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp.$$

This implies

$$(4.5) \quad (n-2)C_{YZ}T_X W - \langle X, W \rangle c(Y, Z) = 0.$$

Especially setting  $X = W$  and  $\langle X, X \rangle = 1$ , we have

$$(4.6) \quad C_{YZ}T_X X - (1/(n-2))c(Y, Z) = 0.$$

Let us put

$$\tau_X W = T_X W - \langle X, W \rangle T_X X$$

for any unit  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ . Then by (4.5) and (4.6), we have

$$(4.7) \quad C_{YZ}\tau_X W = 0$$

for any unit  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$  and any  $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ ,  $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)^\perp$ ; however, the equation (4.7) holds also for any  $Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ , and so by (2.3) and (4.6), we see that

$$C_{\tau_X W Y} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad c(\tau_X W, Y) = 0$$

for any unit  $X \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$  and any  $W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ ,  $Y \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$ . Hence  $\tau_X W \in \mathfrak{X}(L)$  and so  $\tau_X W = 0$ . This implies

$$T_X W = \langle X, W \rangle T_X X$$

for any unit vector field  $X$  on  $L$  and any vector field  $W$  on  $L$ . Taking account of (4.1), we see that  $T_X X$  is independent of the choice of a unit vector field  $X$  on  $L$ . This proves that  $L$  is totally umbilic. q. e. d.

We remark that a maximal integral manifold  $L$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is conformally flat provided  $\dim L > 3$  by the Gauss equation for the Weyl conformal curvature tensor (cf. [7]).

On a Riemannian manifold, we know another interesting curvature tensor  $B$  defined by

$$B_{XY}Z = (p-1)R_{XY}Z + (1/2)\{S(X, Z)Y - S(Y, Z)X + \langle X, Z \rangle QY - \langle Y, Z \rangle QX\} \\ - ((n-p)K/n(n-1))\{\langle X, Z \rangle Y - \langle Y, Z \rangle X\}, \quad p = 2, 3, \dots, n-1,$$

which has appeared in Tachibana [5] and Tomonaga [6]. Our method can be applied to studies of nullity spaces of this curvature tensor. Precisely, we define the tensor field  $b$  by

$$b(X, Y) = (\nabla_X Q)Y - (\nabla_Y Q)X - ((n-p)/n(n-1))\{(XK)Y - (YK)X\},$$

then we have the same results as in Theorems 1, 2 and 3 for  $B$  and  $b$ .

Department of Mathematics  
Science University of Tokyo  
Wakamiya Shinjuku-ku  
Tokyo, Japan

### References

- [1] A. Gray, Minimal varieties and almost Hermitian submanifolds, *Michigan Math. J.*, 12 (1965), 273-287.
- [2] A. Gray, Spaces of constancy of curvature operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 17 (1966), 897-902.
- [3] R. Maltz, The nullity spaces of the curvature operator, *Cahiers de Top. et Géom. Diff.*, 8 (1966), 1-20.

- [ 4 ] A. Rosenthal, Riemannian manifolds of constant nullity, *Michigan Math. J.*, 14 (1967), 469-480.
  - [ 5 ] S. Tachibana, On the mean curvature for  $p$ -plane, to appear.
  - [ 6 ] Y. Tomonaga, Note on Betti numbers of Riemannian manifolds I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 5 (1953), 59-64.
  - [ 7 ] K. Yano, Sur les équations fondamentales dans la géométrie conforme des sous-espaces, *Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo*, 19 (1943), 326-334.
-