

**$n$ -dimensional complex space forms immersed in  
 $\left\{n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right\}$ -dimensional complex space forms**

Dedicated to Professor Shigeo Sasaki on his 60th birthday

By Koichi OGIUE

(Received Jan. 12, 1972)

(Revised March 28, 1972)

**§ 1. Introduction.**

A Kaehler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature is called a *complex space form*. A *Kaehler immersion* is an isometric immersion which is complex analytic. B. O'Neill ([2]) proved the following result.

Let  $M$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be complex space forms of dimension  $n$  and  $n+p$ , respectively. If  $p < \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  and if  $M$  is a Kaehler submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $\tilde{M}$ .

He also gave the following example: There is a Kaehler imbedding of an  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $1/2$  into an  $\left\{n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right\}$ -dimensional complex projective space of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $1$ . This shows that the dimensional restriction in the above result is the best possible.

We have proved in [1] the following result.

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be an  $(n+p)$ -dimensional complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\tilde{c}$ . If  $p \geq \frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  and if  $M$  is a Kaehler submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$  with parallel second fundamental form, then either  $c = \tilde{c}$  (i. e.,  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $\tilde{M}$ ) or  $c = \tilde{c}/2$ , the latter case arising only when  $\tilde{c} > 0$ .

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following

**THEOREM.** Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be an  $\left\{n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right\}$ -dimensional

complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\tilde{c}$ . If  $M$  is a Kaehler submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ , then either  $c = \tilde{c}$  (i. e.,  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $\tilde{M}$ ) or  $c = \tilde{c}/2$ , the latter case arising only when  $\tilde{c} > 0$ . Moreover, the immersion is rigid.

§ 2. Proof of Theorem.

We use the same notation as in [1] unless otherwise stated.

Let  $M$  be an  $n$ -dimensional complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $c$  and  $\tilde{M}$  be an  $\left\{n + \frac{n(n+1)}{2}\right\}$ -dimensional complex space form of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\tilde{c}$ . We assume that  $M$  is a Kaehler submanifold of  $\tilde{M}$ . First we note that  $c \leq \tilde{c}$ .

If  $c = \tilde{c}$ , then  $M$  is totally geodesic in  $\tilde{M}$ . From now on we may therefore assume that  $c < \tilde{c}$ . We have proved in [1] that the second fundamental form  $\sigma$  of the immersion satisfies

$$(1) \quad \|\nabla' \sigma\|^2 = n(n+1)(n+2)(\tilde{c}-c)\left(\frac{\tilde{c}}{2}-c\right),$$

where  $\nabla'$  denotes the covariant differentiation with respect to the connection in (tangent bundle)  $\oplus$  (normal bundle). Therefore to prove our Theorem, it suffices to show that  $\nabla' \sigma = 0$ .

We choose a local field of orthonormal frames<sup>\*)</sup>  $e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{1^*} = \tilde{j}e_1, \dots, e_{n^*} = \tilde{j}e_n, e_{\tilde{1}}, \dots, e_{\tilde{n}}, e_{\tilde{1}^*} = \tilde{j}e_{\tilde{1}}, \dots, e_{\tilde{n}^*} = \tilde{j}e_{\tilde{n}}$  in  $\tilde{M}$  in such a way that, restricted to  $M$ ,  $e_1, \dots, e_n, e_{1^*}, \dots, e_{n^*}$  are tangent to  $M$  and<sup>\*\*)</sup>

$$e_{\tilde{a}} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{\tilde{c}-c}} \sigma(e_a, e_a),$$

$$e_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\tilde{c}-c}} \sigma(e_a, e_b),$$

where

$$(a, b) = \min \{a, b\} + \frac{|a-b|(2n+1-|a-b|)}{2} \quad \text{for } a \neq b.$$

\*) Hereafter we denote  $\frac{n(n+1)}{2}$  by  $p$ .

\*\*\*) We make use of the following convention on the range of indices:

$A, B, C, D = 1, \dots, n, 1^*, \dots, n^*, \tilde{1}, \dots, \tilde{p}, \tilde{1}^*, \dots, \tilde{p}^*$   
 $i, j, k, l = 1, \dots, n, 1^*, \dots, n^*$   
 $a, b, c, d, e = 1, \dots, n$   
 $\alpha, \beta = \tilde{1}, \dots, \tilde{p}, \tilde{1}^*, \dots, \tilde{p}^*$   
 $\lambda, \mu = \tilde{1}, \dots, \tilde{p}.$

With respect to the frame field of  $\mathbb{R}^n \tilde{M}$  chosen above, let  $\omega^1, \dots, \omega^n, \omega^{1*}, \dots, \omega^{n*}, \omega^{\tilde{1}}, \dots, \omega^{\tilde{p}}, \omega^{\tilde{1}*}, \dots, \omega^{\tilde{p}*}$  be the field of dual frames. Then the structure equations of  $\tilde{M}$  are given by

$$(2) \quad d\omega^A = -\sum_B \omega_B^A \wedge \omega^B,$$

$$(3) \quad \omega_B^A + \omega_A^B = 0,$$

$$\omega_b^a = \omega_{b^*}^{a^*}, \quad \omega_\mu^\lambda = \omega_{\mu^*}^{\lambda^*}, \quad \omega_\mu^a = \omega_{\mu^*}^{a^*},$$

$$\omega_{b^*}^a = \omega_{a^*}^b, \quad \omega_{\lambda^*}^\alpha = \omega_{\alpha^*}^\lambda, \quad \omega_{\mu^*}^\lambda = \omega_{\lambda^*}^\mu,$$

$$(4) \quad d\omega_B^A = -\sum_C \omega_C^A \wedge \omega_B^C + \Phi_B^A,$$

$$\Phi_B^A = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{C,D} K_{BCD}^A \omega^C \wedge \omega^D,$$

$$(5) \quad K_{BCD}^A = \frac{c}{4} (\delta_{AC} \delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD} \delta_{BC} + J_{AC} J_{BD} - J_{AD} J_{BC} + 2J_{AB} J_{CD}),$$

where

$$(\tilde{J}_{AB}) = \left( \begin{array}{cc|cc} 0 & -I_n & & \\ I_n & 0 & 0 & \\ \hline & 0 & 0 & -I_p \\ & & I_p & 0 \end{array} \right),$$

$I_s$  being the identity matrix of degree  $s$ .

Restricting these forms to  $M$ , we have the structure equations of the immersion:

$$(6) \quad \omega^\alpha = 0,$$

$$(7) \quad \omega_i^\alpha = \sum_j h_{ij}^\alpha \omega^j, \quad h_{ij}^\alpha = h_{ji}^\alpha,$$

$$(8) \quad d\omega^i = -\sum_j \omega_j^i \wedge \omega^j,$$

$$(9) \quad d\omega_j^i = -\sum_k \omega_k^i \wedge \omega_j^k + \Omega_j^i,$$

$$\Omega_j^i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k,l} R_{jkl}^i \omega^k \wedge \omega^l,$$

$$(10) \quad R_{jkl}^i = \frac{c}{4} (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jl} - \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} + J_{ik} J_{jl} - J_{il} J_{jk} + 2J_{ij} J_{kl}),$$

where

$$(J_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -I_n \\ I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since  $\sigma(e_i, e_j) = \sum h_{ij}^\alpha e_\alpha$ , we can see the following (cf. [1]):



$$(12) \quad \sum_k h_{ijk}^\alpha \omega^k = dh_{ij}^\alpha - \sum_l h_{il}^\alpha \omega_j^l - \sum_l h_{lj}^\alpha \omega_i^l + \sum_\beta h_{ij}^\beta \omega_\beta^\alpha,$$

then from (4), (5), (6) and (7) we have  $h_{ijk}^\alpha = h_{ikj}^\alpha$  so that

$$(13) \quad h_{ijk}^\alpha \text{ is symmetric with respect to } i, j \text{ and } k.$$

Moreover we can see that

$$(14) \quad h_{a^*b^*k}^\alpha = -h_{abk}^\alpha, \quad h_{ab^*k}^\alpha = h_{a^*bk}^\alpha.$$

Therefore we have the following

LEMMA. *The following three conditions are mutually equivalent:*

- (i)  $V'\sigma = 0$ .
- (ii)  $h_{ijk}^\alpha = 0$  for all  $\alpha, i, j$  and  $k$ .
- (iii)  $\omega_{\tilde{a}^*}^{\tilde{a}} = 2\omega_{a^*}^a, \omega_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{b}} = 0, \omega_{\tilde{b}^*}^{\tilde{b}} = 0,$   
 $\omega_{\tilde{a}(\tilde{b})}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \sqrt{2} \omega_a^b, \omega_{\tilde{a}^*(\tilde{b})}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \sqrt{2} \omega_a^b,$   
 $\omega_{(\tilde{b}, \tilde{c})}^{\tilde{a}} = 0, \omega_{(\tilde{b}, \tilde{c})^*}^{\tilde{a}} = 0,$   
 $\omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_{a^*}^a + \omega_{\tilde{b}^*}^b,$   
 $\omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{c})}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_c^b, \omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{c})^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_{c^*}^b,$   
 $\omega_{(\tilde{c}, \tilde{d})}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = 0, \omega_{(\tilde{c}, \tilde{d})^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = 0,$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are different.

From (11) and (12) we have

$$(15) \quad h_{aak}^{\tilde{a}} = h_{a^*a^*k}^{\tilde{a}} = 0.$$

From (13), (14) and (15) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k h_{a^*a^*k}^{\tilde{a}} \omega^k &= \sum_b h_{a^*a^*b}^{\tilde{a}} \omega^b + \sum_b h_{a^*a^*b^*}^{\tilde{a}} \omega^{b^*} \\ &= \sum_b h_{a^*ab^*}^{\tilde{a}} \omega^b - \sum_b h_{a^*ab}^{\tilde{a}} \omega^{b^*} \\ &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$h_{a^*a^*k}^{\tilde{a}} = 0.$$

This, together with (11) and (12), implies

$$(16) \quad \omega_{\tilde{a}^*}^{\tilde{a}} = 2\omega_{a^*}^a.$$

From (4), (5), (6), (11)' and (16), we have (for example, (17), is obtained by putting  $A = \tilde{a}$  and  $B = a$  in (4))

$$(17) \quad \begin{cases} \sum_{b \neq a} (\omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^a) \wedge \omega^b + \sum_{b \neq a} (\omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^a) \wedge \omega^{b^*} = 0 \\ \sum_{b \neq a} (\omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^a) \wedge \omega^b - \sum_{b \neq a} (\omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^a) \wedge \omega^{b^*} = 0 \end{cases}$$

$$(18) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & (\omega_{(\tilde{a},b)}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^a) \wedge \omega^a + (\omega_{(\tilde{a},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^a) \wedge \omega^{a^*} + \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^b + \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{b^*} \\ & \quad + \sum_{c \neq a,b} \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^c + \sum_{c \neq a,b} \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{c^*} = 0 \\ & (\omega_{(\tilde{a},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^a) \wedge \omega^a - (\omega_{(\tilde{a},b)}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^a) \wedge \omega^{a^*} + \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^b - \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{b^*} \\ & \quad + \sum_{c \neq a,b} \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^c - \sum_{c \neq a,b} \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{c^*} = 0 \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$(19) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \sqrt{2} (\omega_{\tilde{a}^{(a,b)}}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_a^b) \wedge \omega^a + \sqrt{2} (\omega_{\tilde{a}^{(a,b)^*}}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{a^*}^b) \wedge \omega^{a^*} \\ & \quad + (\omega_{(\tilde{a},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_{a^*}^a - \omega_{b^*}^b) \wedge \omega^{b^*} + \sum_{c \neq a,b} (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_c^b) \wedge \omega^c + \sum_{c \neq a,b} (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_{c^*}^b) \wedge \omega^{c^*} \\ & = 0 \\ & \sqrt{2} (\omega_{\tilde{a}^{(a,b)}}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_a^b) \wedge \omega^a - \sqrt{2} (\omega_{\tilde{a}^{(a,b)^*}}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{a^*}^b) \wedge \omega^{a^*} \\ & \quad + (\omega_{(\tilde{a},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_{a^*}^a - \omega_{b^*}^b) \wedge \omega^b + \sum_{c \neq a,b} (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_c^b) \wedge \omega^c - \sum_{c \neq a,b} (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_{c^*}^b) \wedge \omega^{c^*} \\ & = 0 \end{aligned} \right.$$

$$(20) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_c^b) \wedge \omega^a + (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_{c^*}^b) \wedge \omega^{a^*} + (\omega_{(\tilde{c},b)}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_c^a) \wedge \omega^b \\ & \quad + (\omega_{(\tilde{c},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_{c^*}^a) \wedge \omega^{b^*} + \sqrt{2} \omega_c^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^c + \sqrt{2} \omega_{c^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{c^*} \\ & \quad + \sum_{d \neq a,b,c} (\omega_{(\tilde{c},d)}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^d + \omega_{(\tilde{c},d)^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{d^*}) = 0 \\ & (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_{c^*}^b) \wedge \omega^a - (\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_c^b) \wedge \omega^{a^*} + (\omega_{(\tilde{c},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_c^a) \wedge \omega^b \\ & \quad - (\omega_{(\tilde{c},b)}^{\tilde{a}} - \omega_c^a) \wedge \omega^{b^*} + \sqrt{2} \omega_c^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^c - \sqrt{2} \omega_{c^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{c^*} \\ & \quad + \sum_{d \neq a,b,c} (\omega_{(\tilde{c},d)^*}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^d - \omega_{(\tilde{c},d)}^{\tilde{a}} \wedge \omega^{d^*}) = 0, \end{aligned} \right.$$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are different.

From (17) and Cartan's lemma we may write

$$(21) \left\{ \begin{aligned} & \omega_{(\tilde{a},b)}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^a = \sum_{c \neq a} (\varphi_{bc}^a \omega^c + \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^{c^*}) \\ & \omega_{(\tilde{a},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^a = \sum_{c \neq a} (\varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^c - \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^{c^*}), \end{aligned} \right.$$

where

$$(22) \quad \varphi_{bc}^a = \varphi_{cb}^a, \quad \varphi_{bc^*}^a = \varphi_{c^*b}^a.$$

From (18), (21) and Cartan's lemma we may write

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \delta_c^b \omega_b^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^a - \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^{a^*} + \omega_{(\bar{b}, c)}^{\bar{a}} = \sum_{d \neq a} (\psi_{bcd}^a \omega^d + \psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^{d^*}) \\ \sqrt{2} \delta_c^b \omega_b^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^a + \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^{a^*} + \omega_{(\bar{b}, c)^*}^{\bar{a}} = \sum_{d \neq a} (\psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^d - \psi_{bcd}^a \omega^{d^*}), \end{cases}$$

or

$$(23) \quad \begin{cases} \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bb}^a \omega^a - \varphi_b^{a^*} \omega^{a^*} = \sum_{d \neq a} (\psi_{bba}^a \omega^d + \psi_{bba^*}^a \omega^{d^*}) \\ \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bb^*}^a \omega^a + \varphi_{bb}^a \omega^{a^*} = \sum_{d \neq a} (\psi_{bba^*}^a \omega^d - \psi_{bba}^a \omega^{d^*}) \end{cases}$$

$$(24) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(\bar{b}, c)}^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^a - \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^{a^*} = \sum_{d \neq a} (\psi_{bcd}^a \omega^d + \psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^{d^*}) \\ \omega_{(\bar{b}, c)^*}^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^a + \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^{a^*} = \sum_{d \neq a} (\psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^d - \psi_{bcd}^a \omega^{d^*}), \end{cases}$$

where

$$(25) \quad \psi_{bcd}^a \text{ and } \psi_{bcd^*}^a \text{ are symmetric with respect to } b, c \text{ and } d.$$

Since  $\omega_b^{\bar{a}} + \omega_b^{\bar{a}} = 0$  and  $\omega_b^{\bar{a}} = \omega_b^{\bar{a}}$ , we can see from (23) that

$$(26) \quad \varphi_{bb}^a = 0, \quad \varphi_{bb^*}^a = 0, \quad \psi_{bba}^a = 0, \quad \psi_{bba^*}^a = 0,$$

and hence

$$(27) \quad \omega_b^{\bar{a}} = 0, \quad \omega_b^{\bar{a}} = 0 \quad (a \neq b).$$

From (21) and (26) we have

$$(28) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(\bar{a}, b)}^{\bar{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\bar{a}} = \sum_{c \neq a, b} (\varphi_{bc}^a \omega^c + \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^{c^*}) \\ \omega_{(\bar{a}, b)^*}^{\bar{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\bar{a}} = \sum_{c \neq a, b} (\varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^c - \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^{c^*}), \end{cases}$$

which implies that  $\omega_{(\bar{a}, b)}^{\bar{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\bar{a}}$  and  $\omega_{(\bar{a}, b)^*}^{\bar{a}} - \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\bar{a}}$  do not contain  $\omega^a, \omega^{a^*}, \omega^b$  and  $\omega^{b^*}$ . Moreover from (24), (25) and (26) we have

$$(29) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(\bar{b}, c)}^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^a - \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^{a^*} = \sum_{d \neq a, b, c} (\psi_{bcd}^a \omega^d + \psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^{d^*}) \\ \omega_{(\bar{b}, c)^*}^{\bar{a}} - \varphi_{bc^*}^a \omega^a + \varphi_{bc}^a \omega^{a^*} = \sum_{d \neq a, b, c} (\psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^d - \psi_{bcd}^a \omega^{d^*}), \end{cases}$$

which implies that  $\omega_{(\bar{b}, c)}^{\bar{a}}$  and  $\omega_{(\bar{b}, c)^*}^{\bar{a}}$  do not contain  $\omega^b, \omega^{b^*}, \omega^c$  and  $\omega^{c^*}$ .

From (19) and (28) we can see that  $\omega_{(\bar{a}, b)}^{\bar{a}} - \omega_a^a - \omega_b^b$  does not contain  $\omega^a, \omega^{a^*}, \omega^b$  and  $\omega^{b^*}$  so that we may write

$$(30) \quad \omega_{(\bar{a}, b)}^{\bar{a}} - \omega_a^a - \omega_b^b = \sum_{c \neq a, b} (A_c^{ab} \omega^c + A_c^{a^*b} \omega^{c^*}).$$

From (20) and (29) we can see that  $\omega_{(\bar{a}, c)}^{\bar{a}} - \omega_c^c$  and  $\omega_{(\bar{a}, c)^*}^{\bar{a}} - \omega_c^c$  do not contain  $\omega^c$  and  $\omega^{c^*}$ . By symmetry, they do not also contain  $\omega^b$  and  $\omega^{b^*}$ . Therefore we may write

$$(31) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{(\tilde{a},b)} - \omega_c^b = \sum_{d \neq b,c} (B_{cd}^{ab} \omega^d + B_{cd^*}^{ab} \omega^{d^*}) \\ \omega_{(\tilde{a},c)^*}^{(\tilde{a},b)} - \omega_{c^*}^b = \sum_{d \neq b,c} (B_{cd^*}^{ab} \omega^d - B_{cd}^{ab} \omega^{d^*}). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{(\tilde{a},b)} - \omega_c^b + \omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{(\tilde{a},c)} - \omega_b^c = 0$  and  $\omega_{(\tilde{a},c)^*}^{(\tilde{a},b)} - \omega_{c^*}^b = \omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{(\tilde{a},c)} - \omega_{b^*}^c$ , we can see from (31) that  $B_{cd}^{ab} = B_{cd^*}^{ab} = 0$  and hence

$$(32) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(\tilde{a},c)}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = \omega_c^b \\ \omega_{(\tilde{a},c)^*}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = \omega_{c^*}^b. \end{cases}$$

Substituting (28), (30) and (32) into (19), we can see

$$\varphi_{bc}^a = \varphi_{bc^*}^a = 0, \quad A_c^{ab} = A_{c^*}^{ab} = 0,$$

which, together with (28), (29) and (30), implies

$$(33) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(\tilde{a},b)}^{\tilde{a}} = \sqrt{2} \omega_b^{\tilde{a}} \\ \omega_{(\tilde{a},b)^*}^{\tilde{a}} = \sqrt{2} \omega_{b^*}^{\tilde{a}}. \end{cases}$$

$$(34) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)}^{\tilde{a}} = \sum_{d \neq a,b,c} (\psi_{bcd}^a \omega^d + \psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^{d^*}) \\ \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} = \sum_{d \neq a,b,c} (\psi_{bcd^*}^a \omega^d - \psi_{bcd}^a \omega^{d^*}) \end{cases}$$

$$(35) \quad \omega_{(\tilde{a},b)^*}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = \omega_{a^*}^{\tilde{a}} + \omega_{b^*}^{\tilde{a}}.$$

Moreover (20) implies that we may write

$$(36) \quad \begin{cases} \omega_{(c,d)}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = \sum_e (C_{cde}^{ab} \omega^e + C_{cde^*}^{ab} \omega^{e^*}) \\ \omega_{(c,d)^*}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = \sum_e (C_{cde^*}^{ab} \omega^e - C_{cde}^{ab} \omega^{e^*}). \end{cases}$$

Since  $\omega_{(c,d)}^{(\tilde{a},b)} + \omega_{(c,d)}^{(\tilde{c},d)} = 0$  and  $\omega_{(c,d)^*}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = \omega_{(c,d)^*}^{(\tilde{c},d)}$ , we can see from (36) that  $C_{cde}^{ab} = C_{cde^*}^{ab} = 0$ , and hence

$$(37) \quad \omega_{(c,d)}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = \omega_{(c,d)^*}^{(\tilde{a},b)} = 0.$$

Substituting (32), (34) and (37) into (20), we can see

$$\psi_{bcd}^a = \psi_{bcd^*}^a = 0,$$

which, together with (34), implies

$$(38) \quad \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)}^{\tilde{a}} = \omega_{(\tilde{b},c)^*}^{\tilde{a}} = 0.$$



Thus we have proved the following

PROPOSITION.

$$\omega_{\tilde{a}}^{\tilde{a}} = 2\omega_a^a, \quad \omega_{\tilde{b}}^{\tilde{b}} = \omega_b^b = 0,$$

$$\omega_{\tilde{a}}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \sqrt{2} \omega_a^b, \quad \omega_{\tilde{a}^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \sqrt{2} \omega_a^b.$$

$$\omega_{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_a^a + \omega_b^b,$$

$$\omega_c^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_c^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = 0,$$

$$\omega_{(\tilde{a}, c)^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_c^b, \quad \omega_{(\tilde{a}, c)^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_c^b,$$

$$\omega_{(\tilde{c}, \tilde{d})}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = \omega_{(\tilde{c}, \tilde{d})^*}^{(\tilde{a}, \tilde{b})} = 0,$$

where  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are different.

Our Theorem follows immediately from Lemma and Proposition.

Department of Mathematics  
Tokyo Metropolitan University

### Bibliography

- [ 1 ] K. Ogiue, On Kaehler immersions, to appear in *Canad. J. Math.*
- [ 2 ] B. O'Neill, Isotropic and Kaehler immersions, *Canad. J. Math.*, 17 (1965), 907-915.